

Video Article

'Boden Food Plate': Novel Interactive Web-based Method for the Assessment of Dietary Intake

Alice Meroni^{*1}, Nyssa Jualim^{*1}, Nicholas Fuller¹

¹The Boden Institute of Obesity, Nutrition, Exercise & Eating Disorders, Charles Perkins Centre, University of Sydney

^{*}These authors contributed equally

Correspondence to: Nicholas Fuller at nick.fuller@sydney.edu.au

URL: <https://www.jove.com/video/57923>

DOI: [doi:10.3791/57923](https://doi.org/10.3791/57923)

Keywords: Medicine, Issue 139, Nutrition, dietary assessment, electronic application, food record, dietary self-monitoring, web-based application

Date Published: 9/18/2018

Citation: Meroni, A., Jualim, N., Fuller, N. 'Boden Food Plate': Novel Interactive Web-based Method for the Assessment of Dietary Intake. *J. Vis. Exp.* (139), e57923, doi:10.3791/57923 (2018).

Abstract

Different methods can be used in research to assess dietary intake, many of which are still paper-based. Written estimated food diaries are often utilized in clinical trials, despite being a burden for both study participants and researchers. This method requires participant literacy, it is time consuming, labor intensive, and can easily lead to under-reporting. With advancements in technology, there is a growing interest in electronic diaries that automate the dietary assessment process. These are focused on improving accuracy, reducing both time and cost and providing users with a visual and more enjoyable experience. The methodology presented here aimed to validate the 'Boden Food Plate', a novel web-based platform for self-recording of food and drink items, compared to a traditional estimated food diary. The application was also rated on a satisfaction scale by study participants using a paper-based questionnaire. Sixty-seven participants completed the dietary measures on both the three-day electronic and paper food diaries. For the analysis, only dietary data completed at both study time points (baseline and week six) was utilized. Despite small mean differences between dietary data collection methods, Bland Altman analysis showed fairly wide 95% limits of agreement between the electronic platform and the written estimated food diary and there were few cases which did not fall within the 95% confidence intervals. Overall, participants found the electronic food diary to be more fun than the paper method and as easy to use as hard copy diaries. The new platform has potential as a self-recording tool for the collection of dietary data, particularly when utilized in clinical trial settings. However, further validation studies are needed to improve the validity of this novel electronic dietary data collection tool.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <https://www.jove.com/video/57923/>

Introduction

The ability to accurately collect dietary data and assess diet is an essential component of nutrition and weight management research. Many methods to assess dietary intake, both retrospective and prospective, have already been established. However, these commonly used tools come with their own limitations. Hereby, there is a need for a new technological platform that can improve the accuracy of the data and reduce the burden for both researchers and participants^{1,2,3}.

Twenty-four hour recalls (24 h recalls) and Food Frequency Questionnaires (FFQs) are dietary data collection methods that rely on the participants' memory and suffer from potential recall bias and misreporting^{1,2,4}. Written food records, such as FFQs and food diaries, require literacy and can place a high burden on the participants. Additionally, written records where food and drink items are reported at the time of consumption can lead to alterations in the habitual dietary intakes⁵. These traditional methods of nutritional data collection and coding can also be extremely time consuming and require highly trained personnel¹. Seven-day weighed food diaries are considered the non-biomarker gold standard for dietary intake assessments. However, this method has been shown to increase the rate of under-reporting over a seven-day period due to a high participant burden⁶. Hence, a three-day estimated food diary using traditional household measures to quantify food and drink amounts is sought to be more feasible than seven-day written food records.

Previous studies have shown that collecting dietary intake using electronic tools is valid and efficient compared to traditional methods^{7,8}. For this reason, there is an increased interest in technologies that can assist in the recording and analysis of dietary data. Despite the limitations of being costly and of requiring computer literacy from the participants⁹, electronic dietary assessment methods add the benefit of being more visual compared to traditional dietary collection tools. Hence, they are well received and found enjoyable by the users¹⁰. Additionally, electronic tools can significantly reduce researchers' time and the costs associated with collecting and analyzing data^{8,11}. Furthermore, they provide participants with immediate feedback allowing for self-monitoring of nutritional intakes and higher compliance to personal goals^{12,13}.

The here presented protocol was developed to test the functions and practicality of the 'Boden Food Plate', a web-based electronic food diary, as well as its agreement with a traditional three-day estimated food diary method. This platform allows users to record food and drink items consumed throughout the day on virtual plates in the form of visual depictions, which are one of the strengths of the application. Foods and drinks are selected from an embedded database which contains approximately 1,200 items with fixed serving sizes. The dietary data entered

in the electronic food diary is automatically analyzed and researchers can export the results. Additionally, the application generates graphs illustrating the adequacy or non-adequacy of the diet for total energy and some important nutrients which can be accessed by both researchers and users. Compared to other currently available nutritional platforms, this newly created electronic diary is innovative and practical to use. Therefore, it can be a useful dietary data collection tool to be used in future studies.

The present paper describes in detail the entire protocol for dietary data collection utilizing both electronic and paper-based food diaries and presents some results that are published in full in Fuller *et al.*¹⁴

Protocol

NOTE: All experimental procedures described below were approved by the Sydney Local Health District (Royal Prince Alfred Zone) Ethics Review Committee.

1. Participant Recruitment

1. Recruit participants from the institution databases and by advertising the study on the institution website and local newspapers.
NOTE: Criteria that was applied for this particular study are male and female, aged 25–55 years, pre-menopausal, with a BMI ≥ 25 to ≤ 35 kg/m².
2. Ensure that potential participants are computer literate.

2. Preparing Participants for Dietary Data Collection

1. Arrange an initial individual screening visit by calling each participant.
2. Obtain written informed consent from each participant prior to the screening visit.
3. **Train participants in the use of the electronic platform.**
 1. Provide participants with a step-by-step guide explaining how to use the electronic platform. Give participants sufficient time to read it.
 2. Show participants how to use the platform on the study laptop.
 3. Ask participants to enter in the platform some food and drink items in the presence of a trained study investigator.
4. Provide participants with a traditional paper-based food diary with instructions on how to use it included. Ask them to complete it before their baseline visit.
5. **Instruct participants to:**
 1. Read the instructions and the 'Example Day' (**Figure 1**) included in the food diary thoroughly before starting to record any food or drink.
 2. Record all foods and beverages consumed for three consecutive days (two weekdays and one weekend). Ask them to report all items consumed both at home and outside home (e.g. at work or at a restaurant) and to insert them in the diary as close to the time of consumption as possible to avoid relying on memory.
 3. Refer to the portion sizes guide included in the food diary to estimate the measurement and amount of foods and drinks.
 4. Use the checklist of commonly forgotten foods and beverages included in the food diary to make sure no food and drink items are missing.
 5. Record items consumed as specific as possible (write 'chicken thigh with skin' instead of 'chicken', or 'reduced fat milk' instead of 'milk', for example).
 6. Indicate the preparation methods (fried, steamed, baked, and raw, for example).
 7. List the brand name of food and drink products.
 8. Include recipes for any unusual items prepared at home.
6. Instruct participants to enter the written information recorded on the paper food diary into the electronic diary at the end of each of the three days.
7. Arrange a baseline visit for each participant within one week after the screening visit.
8. Instruct participants to bring the completed paper food diary at the baseline visit.
9. At the week five visit, provide participants with a new paper food diary and instruct them to complete it for another three days before the week six visit and ask them to enter the data into the electronic diary over the same three days.

3. Written Food Diary

NOTE: Food intake was self-reported and collected by utilizing both the traditional written paper diary method and the electronic web-based platform ('Boden Food Plate') over the same three-day period.

1. **Collecting the Dietary Data**
NOTE: Participants complete the three-day paper food diary and return them at the baseline and week six visit.
 1. Review the food diaries together with the participants to ensure all dietary data is legible and all of the measurements and amounts consumed are entered correctly.
2. **Analysis of the Written Dietary Data**
 1. Enter the three-day written food diary data for each participant into dietary analysis software (see **Table of Materials**) for the analysis of nutrient intake. The software automatically calculates the nutritional intakes.
 2. Export the calculated values for energy (kJ) and macronutrient intake (protein, fat and carbohydrate) represented in absolute grams (g) and as a percentage of total energy intake (% kJ) as the average of six days (three days at baseline and three days at the week six visit) into a spreadsheet.

3. Import mean values of the six days into statistical software for analysis.

4. Electronic Food Diary ('Boden Food Plate')

1. Registration

1. Send an 'invitation email' to the study participants with the link to register a new account in the electronic platform.
2. Instruct participants to follow the registration process by entering their full name, date of birth, gender, email and create a username (email address) and password to log-in to the electronic platform (**Figure 2**).
3. Instruct participants to access the visual guide on how to use the electronic food diary from the application homepage and to read it carefully (**Figure 3**).
4. Provide phone and email support to assist participants who encounter difficulties when completing the electronic food diary.

2. To create an electronic food diary, have study participants:

1. Select the icon with the specific project title they are invited to join (**Figure 4**).
2. Select 'Make a new entry' to create a new day of the electronic food diary where they can enter food and drink items using the information from the written food diary (**Figure 5**).
3. Use the 'Search' tool to find food and drink items consumed during the day from the database (**Figure 6**).
4. Click on the desired food and drink items and virtually drag them onto the plate to reflect the meals consumed at that time (**Figure 6**).
5. Select one of the small plates at the bottom of the page to move to different meals (breakfast, morning snack, lunch, afternoon snack, dinner and supper). When the selected small plate starts to bounce, enter foods and beverages on the big plate in the middle of the screen which corresponds to the meal selected (**Figure 6**).
6. Click the 'Save changes' button to save all entries of the day (**Figure 6**).
7. Visualize the bar graphs showing the comparison between the automatically calculated dietary intakes and the average population dietary intakes (**Figure 7**) by clicking on the 'Graph' icon on the entries page (**Figure 5**).

NOTE: Participants create two separate three-day electronic food diaries for the baseline and week six visit.

3. Preparing dietary data for analysis

1. Export the average value of energy and nutrient intakes for each participant into a spreadsheet by accessing the platform managing portal.
2. Import the spreadsheet into statistical software for analysis.

4. Overall satisfaction questionnaire

1. Administer a paper-based questionnaire to measure the overall satisfaction with the program for participants at week six.
2. Instruct participants to score different elements of the web-based application on a scale of 0–10 (with 0 being a negative, 5 a neutral and 10 a positive evaluation), covering topics such as ease of use, completeness of the food list, and search function.

5. Analysis of the Dietary Data

1. Use only data from participants who entered three days of dietary data into the electronic platform and completed three days of written food diary at both baseline and week six visits.
2. **Obtain macronutrient percentages.**
 1. Multiply the total macronutrient amount (g) by kilojoules per gram (kJ/g) of macronutrient, with 17 kJ per gram for protein and carbohydrate and 37 kJ per gram for fat.
 2. Divide the value (kilojoules per total grams of macronutrient) by the total energy intake (kJ), then multiply the number obtained by 100 to find the macronutrient percentage of total energy intake (% kJ).
3. Calculate mean values ($\pm$ standard deviation (SD)) for total energy (kJ) and protein, fat and carbohydrate intake (in both absolute grams and as a percentage of energy intake) for the electronic and written food diaries separately.
4. Use Pearson's product-moment correlation to determine the correlation between the two dietary collection methods.
5. **Obtain percentage differences between the two data collection methods.**
 1. Subtract the values determined for the written food diary from the electronic food diary.
6. **Create Bland-Altman plots for mean total energy (kJ), protein, carbohydrate and fat intake (% kJ).**
 1. Plot the mean values of each data collection tool (x-axis) against the difference between the methods (y-axis)^{15,16}.
 2. Plot the mean difference and upper and lower interval lines (mean ($\pm$ SD)*1.96). This allows for extensive examination of the agreement between the electronic and paper dietary collection methods.

6. Analysis of the Questionnaires

1. Calculate the mean ($\pm$ SD) score for each question of the overall satisfaction with data derived from the questionnaire.

Representative Results

Out of the 76 participants recruited, only 67 self-recorded their food intake for three days on both the electronic and paper-based food diary at both time periods (baseline and week six). Only the dietary data from these 67 subjects was utilized for the nutritional analysis. The 9 participants excluded from this study did not complete food intake records from the required time points.

Table 1 shows no significant differences in mean energy and macronutrient intake between the written and electronic food diary. However, the 95% limits of agreement are fairly wide, particularly for energy intake, and some cases can be observed lying outside the 95% confidence intervals of the Bland Altman plots (**Figure 8A-D**).

The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was high for energy (0.878), protein (0.642), fat (0.682) and carbohydrate (0.792). This does not suggest good agreement despite a strong correlation between the two data collection methods^{15,16}.

Out of the 67 participants whose dietary data was used for the nutritional analysis, 65 completed the feedback questionnaire. All questionnaire items had mean scores over 5 (neutral score), indicating that 'Boden Food Plate' was well accepted by participants and enjoyable to use. Participants indicated they were particularly satisfied with the 'visibility of the items on the screen', giving this item the highest score (mean score of 7.4). 'Fun compared to the paper food diary' and 'ease of use' had an equal second highest rating (mean score of 6.9). 'Search function' and 'range of food and drink items' were rated the lowest (mean scores of 6.1 and 6.3, respectively).

EXAMPLE DAY		DATE: <i>Wednesday 1/1/2014</i>
Time & place	Food description & amount	
7.30am, home	2 weetbix 1 cup whole milk ½ large banana 1 mug of instant coffee with 2 tsp sugar and 2 tbsp whole milk	
11am, café	1 small skim latte	
11.30am, work	1 slice chocolate, store bought mud cake (1/8 plate)	
1.30pm, work	Med ☐ T/A, 1/2 fried rice, 1/2 beef black bean stir fry 375ml can Pepsi Max	
3.00pm, work	1 Yoplait 98% fat free berry yoghurt (100 g)	
5.30pm, home	Plain Sakata rice crackers (1/2 100 g packet) 2 heaped tbs black swan hummus	
7.30pm, home	Spaghetti bolognese (serves 4) 520 g lean beef mince 1 tbs olive oil 1 brown onion 2 garlic cloves 1 can chopped tin tomatoes 1 500 g packet of spaghetti	
8.30pm, home	6 squares Cadbury milk chocolate	
Comments: cake at work for colleague's birthday morning tea (not usual)		I ate 1 serving of this recipe

Figure 1: 'Example day' of the written food diary. Write the date (day of the week, day, month and year) corresponding to each day of dietary data recording on the top of the page. Always start a new day on a new page. Write the time and place of the meals consumed during the day under 'Time & place'. Record all foods and drinks and their amounts under 'Food description & amount'. [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)

Register

* Name

* Surname

Mobile

* Date of Birth

* Gender

Invitation Code

* Email

Figure 2: Registration page. Fill in all fields marked with an asterisk. [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)




Figure 3: Visual instruction guide. Access the instruction guide by selecting 'Guide' from the top left corner of the homepage ('Dashboard'). Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.




Figure 4: Selection of the study project. Select the icon corresponding to the study project from the homepage ('Dashboard') to access the electronic food diary. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.




Figure 5: New electronic food diary entry. Select 'Make a new entry' to start recording a new day in the electronic food diary. Select the 'Graph' icon next to each completed entry to visualize the graphs that represent your dietary intakes. Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.




Figure 6: Entering food and drink items in the electronic food diary. Select one of the 6 small plates at the bottom of the screen. These represent the meals of the day (i.e., breakfast, morning snack, lunch, afternoon snack, dinner and supper). The selected small plate starts to bounce indicating that the large plate in the middle of the screen represents that meal. Use the 'Search' bar to find the desired food or drink item. A list of potential items with their visual depictions and serving sizes appears. Select the desired food or drink items in the appropriate serving size and virtually drag them on the large plate. The items appear on the plate and can be removed by simply dragging them out of the plate. Review the foods and beverages selected from the list on the right side of the large plate. Once all meals are recorded, select the 'Save changes' button to save the entries and exit the electronic food diary page. [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)




Figure 7: Examples of bar graphs comparing the user's dietary intakes with the average population intakes. The user's nutrient intakes are compared to the population average. The green bars represent an adequate intake of the nutrient. The red bars represent an inadequate intake of the nutrient. [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)




Figure 8: Bland Altman plots for the mean values for energy and macronutrient intake (x-axis) against the difference between the electronic and paper food diaries (y-axis). The Bland Altman plots for total energy intake in kilojoules (A), as well as protein (B), fat (C) and carbohydrate (D) as a percentage of total energy show that the 95% limits of agreement between the two methods of dietary data collection are fairly wide, particularly for total energy intake (A). In all plots, some cases do not lie within the 95% confidence intervals. Figure modified from Fuller *et al.*¹⁴ [Please click here to view a larger version of this figure.](#)

Nutrient	Written food diary		Electronic food diary ('Boden Food Plate')		Mean difference ^a	(95% confidence interval)
	Mean	Standard deviation	Mean	Standard deviation		
Energy (kJ)	7541.01	1966.32	7451.7	2023.84	86.38	(-1848.49, 2019.26)
Protein (g)	91.48	27.48	94.49	27.82	-3.01	(-43.74, 37.72)
%kJ from protein	20.91	4.35	21.51	4.12	-0.6	(-7.63, 6.43)
Fat (g)	66.99	21.79	67.3	23.21	-0.31	(-30.43, 29.80)
%kJ from fat	32.71	6.07	33.26	6.64	-0.55	(-7.58, 6.48)
Carbohydrate (g)	185.87	58.22	178.2	60.43	7.66	(-46.34, 61.66)
%kJ from carbohydrate	39.47	6.87	39.87	7.54	-0.41	(-9.59, 8.77)

^a Mean difference between the written food diary and the electronic food diary. Percentage differences were obtained by subtracting the values calculated for the written food diary from the electronic food diary.

Table 1: Comparison of mean values of each nutrient between paper diary and electronic food diary and 95% confidence interval (n=67). The mean values indicate no significant differences between the paper diary and the electronic food diary. However, the 95% confidence intervals are fairly wide, particularly for total energy intake. Table modified from Fuller *et al.*¹⁴

Discussion

The web-based food diary utilized for this study, the 'Boden Food Plate', is a newly developed method for the collection of dietary information, designed to provide research groups with faster access to data. The results demonstrate that the energy and macronutrient intake data collected on the electronic method were accurate when compared to the dietary data recorded on the written estimated food diary, which is considered the gold standard for this study. The visual electronic tool has also proven to be easier and more enjoyable to use than its paper counterpart.

Electronic food diaries have been proven to be valid in collecting dietary intake. Representing each macronutrient as a percentage of total energy intake provides a more accurate insight, as it takes into consideration the large variation in energy intake across a population. Previous studies also showed that there is a correlation between traditional methods (24-h recalls and food diaries) and electronic food diaries¹⁷. Similarly, in the current study, Pearson's product-moment correlations showed strong correlations between electronic and paper food diary. However, the limits of agreement of the electronic method when compared to the paper diary were fairly wide. This suggests that further improvement and validation of the electronic tool are required¹⁴.

Participants scored all items of the feedback questionnaire above average, demonstrating that they were satisfied with the web-based application. They found the electronic food diary easier and more enjoyable to use than the paper food diary. This is likely due to the electronic platform being a visual method of recording dietary intake which encourages users to engage with the interactive functions of the application. As an additional function, 'pop-up' healthy food suggestions appear intermittently during the completion of the electronic food diary as a reminder for the user. Healthy food suggestions focus on swapping specific food items with healthier options, for example, foods high in saturated fat with those high in polyunsaturated or monounsaturated fat, and foods with a high glycemic index with those lower in glycemic index. In a previous review on mobile phone tools, electronic food diaries have also been reported to provide greater satisfaction, particularly in the form of mobile applications, when compared to paper diaries¹⁷. A trial using a mobile food diary tool, 'My Meal Mate', showed a greater user compliance and ease of use, as participants found recording dietary intake on a mobile phone more comfortable in public settings^{10,18}.

In addition to greater user satisfaction, when compared to paper food diaries, the electronic platform provided researchers with a faster access to the dietary data as this was entered directly by the participants into the application. For this reason, valid and reliable electronic tools should be utilized by research groups to decrease time and costs associated with the data entry and analysis of written diaries¹⁹.

The most critical steps in the described protocol are the participants self-recording of dietary items in the electronic food diary and the appropriate use of the fixed serving sizes. Participants could incur the mistake of not recording foods and beverages that are difficult to find or unavailable in the electronic database. Additionally, users could record portion sizes incorrectly by just selecting the pre-determined portion sizes, which do not necessarily represent the actual portions consumed. This source of error was also seen in 'My Meal Mate'¹⁰. However, it can be argued that the pre-determined serving sizes have the potential to minimize participant's burden and increase user's experience of the web-based platform. It is important to provide participants with an adequate face-to-face training in how to utilize an electronic platform and to encourage them to contact the study investigators for assistance, should they encounter difficulties when using the electronic food diary outside the research facility. Giving participants the possibility to test the application before creating a new electronic food diary and providing them with a step-by-step guide with detailed instructions on how to use the electronic platform is critical to minimize sources of error and to improve participants' compliance.

The range of foods utilized in the 'Boden Food Plate' was not sufficiently large (approximately 1,200 foods against the 10,000 included in the Australian food databases), and it was found to be a limitation of the dietary data collection method. In the feedback questionnaire that participants completed, 'range of food and drink items' recorded the lowest mean score (6.3). However, with 5 being the neutral score in the questionnaire, users did not show to be excessively limited by the range of dietary items when using the application. The database still needs improvement to encompass a greater range of foods and drinks.

For future studies, the dietary data collection method would benefit from modifications of some of the currently used fixed serving sizes and from adding more foods and beverages to the existing database, particularly in the carbohydrate-rich foods and in certain culturally specific foods categories. Additionally, it would be valuable to incorporate the analysis of micronutrients intake¹⁴.

Disclosures

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Khanh Nguyen, who was involved in the build of the electronic platform as part of his Bachelor of Engineering degree. We also acknowledge Alice Gibson, who developed the paper food diary. Finally, we would like to acknowledge Mackenzie Fong, James Gerofi, Fatima Ferkh, Cholris Leung, Lisa Leung, and Shaoyu Zhang for their help with experimental methods. The work was funded by the Commercial Development and Industry Partnership Funding Scheme at the University of Sydney.

References

1. Buzzard, M. 24-Hour dietary recall and food record methods. *Nutritional Epidemiology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 50-73 (1998).
2. MacIntyre, U. Measuring food intake. *Introduction to Human Nutrition*. 1st ed. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. 238-275 (2007).
3. Barrett-Connor, E. Nutrition Epidemiology - How Do We Know What They Ate. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. **54** (1), S182-S187 (1991).
4. Black, A. E., et al. Measurements of Total-Energy Expenditure Provide Insights into the Validity of Dietary Measurements of Energy-Intake. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. **93** (5), 572-579 (1993).
5. Rebro, S. M., et al. The effect of keeping food records on eating patterns. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. **98** (10), 1163-1165 (1998).
6. Johnson, R. K. Dietary intake - How do we measure what people are really eating? *Obesity Research*. **10**, 63s-68s (2002).
7. Bonilla, C., et al. Use of electronic dietary assessment tools in primary care: an interdisciplinary perspective. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*. **15**, 14 (2015).
8. Illner, A. K., et al. Review and evaluation of innovative technologies for measuring diet in nutritional epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. **41** (4), 1187-1203 (2012).

9. Cole, E., *et al.* A comparative study of mobile electronic data entry systems for clinical trials data collection. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. **75** (10-11), 722-729 (2006).
10. Carter, M. C., *et al.* 'My Meal Mate' (MMM), validation of the diet measures captured on a smartphone application to facilitate weight loss. *British Journal of Nutrition*. **109** (3), 539-546 (2013).
11. Woods, J., *et al.* Comparison of electronic and paper data collection. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. **153** (11), S82-S82 (2001).
12. Kerkenbush, N. L. and Lasome, C. E. The emerging role of electronic diaries in the management of diabetes mellitus. *The American Association of Critical-Care Nurses Clinical Issues*. **14** (3), 371-378 (2003).
13. Burke, L. E., *et al.* SMART trial: A randomized clinical trial of self-monitoring in behavioral weight management-design and baseline findings. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*. **30** (6), 540-551 (2009).
14. Fuller, N. R., *et al.* Comparison of an electronic versus traditional food diary for assessing dietary intake-A validation study. *Obesity Research & Clinical Practice*. **11** (6), 647-654 (2017).
15. Bland, J. M. and Altman, D. G. Statistical Methods for Assessing Agreement between Two Methods of Clinical Measurement. *Lancet*. **1** (8476), 307-310 (1986).
16. Bland, J. M. and Altman, D. G. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*. **47** (8), 931-936 (2010).
17. Sharp, D. B. and Allman-Farinelli, M. Feasibility and validity of mobile phones to assess dietary intake. *Nutrition*. **30** (11-12), 1257-1266 (2014).
18. Carter, M. C., *et al.* Adherence to a smartphone application for weight loss compared to website and paper diary: pilot randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. **15** (4), e32 (2013).
19. Johannes, C.B., *et al.* Electronic versus paper instruments for daily data collection. *Annals of Epidemiology*. **10** (7), 457 (2000).