

Video Article

Measuring Glutathione-induced Feeding Response in Hydra

Ram Kulkarni¹, Sanjeev Galande¹

¹Centre of Excellence in Epigenetics, India Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune

Correspondence to: Sanjeev Galande at sanjeev@iiserpune.ac.in

URL: <https://www.jove.com/video/52178>

DOI: [doi:10.3791/52178](https://doi.org/10.3791/52178)

Keywords: Basic Protocols, Issue 93, Hydra, chemosensation, feeding response, feeding status, glutathione, prey, starvation

Date Published: 11/16/2014

Citation: Kulkarni, R., Galande, S. Measuring Glutathione-induced Feeding Response in Hydra. *J. Vis. Exp.* (93), e52178, doi:10.3791/52178 (2014).

Abstract

Hydra is among the most primitive organisms possessing a nervous system and chemosensation for detecting reduced glutathione (GSH) for capturing the prey. The movement of prey organisms causes mechanosensory discharge of the stinging cells called nematocysts from hydra, which are inserted into the prey. The feeding response in hydra, which includes curling of the tentacles to bring the prey towards the mouth, opening of the mouth and consequent engulfing of the prey, is triggered by GSH present in the fluid released from the injured prey. To be able to identify the molecular mechanism of the feeding response in hydra which is unknown to date, it is necessary to establish an assay to measure the feeding response. Here, we describe a simple method for the quantitation of the feeding response in which the distance between the apical end of the tentacle and mouth of hydra is measured and the ratio of such distance before and after the addition of GSH is determined. The ratio, called the relative tentacle spread, was found to give a measure of the feeding response. This assay was validated using a starvation model in which starved hydra show an enhanced feeding response in comparison with daily fed hydra.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <https://www.jove.com/video/52178/>

Introduction

Hydra is the most primitive organism possessing a nervous system and chemosensation for detecting reduced glutathione (GSH) for capturing the prey¹. It feeds on a variety of animals such as nematode, crustacea, insect larvae, tadpoles and newly hatched fish¹. The movement of these prey organisms causes mechanosensory discharge of the stinging capsules called nematocysts from hydra, which are inserted into the prey². GSH present in the fluid released from the injured prey subsequently activates the feeding response in hydra which includes curling of the tentacles to bring the prey towards the mouth, opening of the mouth, and consequent engulfing of the prey. Multiple molecules, such as dopamine³, glutamate⁴, GABA, glycine⁵, NMDA receptors⁶, and allatotropin⁷, have been shown to be involved in the feeding response in hydra. It has also been shown that the chemosensory response induced by GSH is modulated by the feeding status of the animal such that starved hydra exhibited enhanced feeding response¹. Such an increase in the GSH sensitivity is biologically relevant since under starvation hydra need to find its prey at higher sensitivity.

Although the feeding response induced by GSH can be clearly observed under microscope, the methods typically used for measuring the feeding response observations are non-quantitative. In most of the cases, the time during which the mouth of the hydra remains open was taken as a measure of the feeding response^{8,9}; whereas in another case, quantitation was based on the number of hydra out of a population showing the feeding response¹⁰. However, observing the mouth opening time of the hydra polyps is cumbersome and subject to variation induced by uncontrollable parameters such as the direction of the mouth orientation during observations. Similarly, since the feeding response is a quantitative parameter, population-based approaches are subject to variations/errors caused by the opinion or observational bias of the individual observer. To circumvent these issues we have developed a method for the relative quantification of the feeding response in hydra (*Hydra vulgaris* Ind-Pune¹¹) based on the distance of the apical end of the tentacle from the mouth of the hydra polyp.

Protocol

1. Hydra Culture and Measurement of the Feeding Response

1. Maintain hydra polyps in culture by feeding them daily with artemia and keeping them in a medium (1 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.6, 1 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 0.1 mM KCl, and 0.1 mM MgSO₄) contained in a glass bowl at 18 °C under 12 hr light-12 hr dark cycles as described earlier¹².
2. For measuring the feeding response, transfer one mature hydra polyp having 5 to 6 tentacles to a single well of a 24-well plate. Remove the residual medium from the well by tilting it, and then immediately add 500 µl of fresh medium.
3. Prepare 9 µM glutathione solution in hydra medium. Since the glutathione solution is prone to oxidation, always use freshly prepared glutathione solution for each experiment.

4. Transfer the plate to the imaging platform of a microscope having provisions for image recording. Use a dark background such that the behavior of hydra polyp can be clearly imaged against the contrasting background.
5. The room used for observing and imaging the behavior of hydra free from lights of fluctuating intensities, air currents and noise. Such disturbances could also cause the hydra polyp to show contraction of the tentacles - even in the absence of glutathione.
6. Allow the polyp to relax for 5 min.
7. Make sure that the polyp is located along the central region of the well such that the behavior can be imaged clearly. If the polyp is at the edge of the well, bring it to the center by flushing the medium using a pipette and again allow it to relax.
8. Capture an image of hydra in the relaxed state. This will be the zero-time point observation.
9. Quickly add 9 μ M glutathione solution to reach a final concentration of 3 μ M in the well. Depending on the purpose of the experiment and the response shown by hydra, test a range of different concentrations of glutathione and choose the appropriate concentration required.
10. Start the timer immediately after adding glutathione solution and capture images after every 15-30 sec for 4-5 min. Do not change the magnification settings during the time-lapse imaging.
11. Add the glutathione solution gently and with uniform flow such that the position of the animal in the well would be minimally disturbed in the field of view of the microscope. However, if the polyp moves extensively after adding glutathione solution, move the plate very gently to bring the polyp in the field of view for image capture.
12. In the control experiment, use medium lacking glutathione while keeping all the other parameters identical.
13. Make sure to perform all of the above experimental steps during the first half of the day - before 1 P.M. to avoid the possible effect of circadian rhythm on the extent of feeding response.
14. Open each of the captured images using the GNU Image Manipulation Program (GIMP).
15. Use the "Measure" tool available from Menu > Tools > Measure to determine the distance between apical end of each of the tentacles and hypostome. If the mouth opening is observed in any of the images, determine the distance between the center of the opened mouth and the apical end of the tentacle. Refer to this distance as the tentacle spread.
16. Calculate the average tentacle spread for each polyp before and after glutathione exposure. Calculate the ratio of average tentacle spread at zero-time point to that at each of the subsequent time-points. This ratio will be called relative tentacle spread.
17. Repeat measurements for at least 20 polyps.

$$\text{Average tentacle spread} = \frac{\text{Sum of tentacle spread of all tentacles}}{\text{number of tentacles}}$$

$$\text{Relative tentacle spread} = \frac{\text{Average tentacle spread after adding glutathione}}{\text{Average tentacle spread before adding glutathione}}$$

2. Method Validation using the Starvation Model

1. For starvation, transfer a few hydra polyps to a separate glass bowl and do not feed them for 5 days. Feed the control group of a few polyps daily with artemia in a similar-sized bowl. Change the medium from both experimental bowls daily to avoid fungal growth in the medium.
2. On the day of the experiment, feed the control group of hydra with artemia for 1 hr and use these hydra for the subsequent experiments after removing all uneaten and dead artemia from the medium.
3. Measure the feeding response of the starved hydra in comparison with the hydra from control group by the method described earlier in step 1. To avoid any bias due to time of observation, alternate the measurements of each of the starved and control hydra polyps.

Representative Results

Glutathione causes hydra to exhibit curling of the tentacles towards the mouth for the purpose of engulfing the prey. Such curling of tentacles brings apical ends of the tentacles closer to the hypostome. This results in the reduction in the tentacle spread, or the linear distance between apical end of the tentacle and hypostome (**Figure 1**). The relative tentacle spread, or the ratio of average tentacle spread before and after adding glutathione, averaged across multiple polyps reduces over time. The relative tentacle spread after addition of the medium lacking glutathione, however, reduces only transiently and attains unit value in about a minute (**Figure 2**). Starvation is known to cause enhancement of the feeding behavior induced by glutathione^{1,13}. The method of measuring the feeding behavior described here was validated by the starvation model. The tentacle spread one minute after adding glutathione was significantly lower for the starved group as compared to the satiated group ($p = 1 \times 10^{-16}$ by applying two tailed t-test with equal variance) (**Figure 3**).




Figure 1: Method of measuring average tentacle spread. An image of a relaxed hydra polyp was recorded before adding GSH and the linear distance between mouth and apical end of each of the tentacle of the polyp was measured in terms of number of pixels using the GIMP tool. Another image was captured after adding GSH and the tentacle spread was measured in similar manner.




Figure 2: Quantitation of the GSH-induced feeding response in hydra. Tentacle spread at different time-points after adding GSH was measured as described in Figure 1 and relative tentacle spread was denoted as a ratio of tentacle spread before and after adding GSH ($n = 14$). The values for the control group represent the relative tentacle spread after addition of hydra medium without GSH ($n = 14$). Errors bars represent \pm SEM.




Figure 3: Validation of the method of quantitation of the feeding response using starvation model. X-axis represents the time after addition of 3 μ M GSH for starved ($n = 61$) and satiated ($n = 66$) groups.

Discussion

Feeding behavior in hydra represents one of the most ancestral chemosensory systems in the metazoans. Although the presence of GSH in the crustacean fluid released after nematocyst-assisted prey capture was detected long ago¹, neither the GSHR protein nor the putative encoding gene/s have been characterized from hydra to date. Few attempts have been made to characterize GSH binding proteins in hydra^{8, 14, 15}. However, the identity of these putative receptor proteins remains obscure and very few other molecular components, which are likely to contribute to the feeding reaction, have been reported to date^{16, 17}. The only characterized GSHR in the animal kingdom is from goldfish¹⁸. Classical techniques, such as using the inhibitors of various proteins of the GPCR signaling cascade as well as the advanced molecular biological techniques (RNAi mediated gene silencing and CRISPR-Cas9 mediated genome editing), can provide powerful tools for identification of the molecular components of the GSH signalling cascade in hydra. The scorable readout of such an altered expression and function of the receptor and the other components of the GSH signalling would be altered feeding behavior. It was therefore essential to devise a method for measuring the feeding response in hydra induced by GSH.

Phenotypically, the feeding response involves curling of the tentacles towards the mouth and the subsequent opening of the mouth. Utilizing the former observation we developed a simple and robust method of quantitating the feeding behavior which involves measuring the distance between the apical ends of the tentacle and mouth. It is essential to (1) use the freshly prepared GSH solution, (2) use the appropriate concentration of GSH, and (3) perform the experiment in the laboratory having uniform light intensities and no strong air currents to obtain the reproducible measurement of the GSH-associated feeding behavior. Feeding response-like behavior could also be physically induced by simply adding medium lacking GSH. However, such behavior is transient and not noticeable after 15-30 sec. Therefore, it was necessary to include this control in the experiment and to measure the feeding response only after such the response caused by the mechanical disturbance is completely ceased. To minimize the variation in the mechanical disturbance-induced tentacular contraction caused by variable flow rate with which glutathione solution is added, it would also be feasible to substitute manual dispensing of the test solution with a mechanical device as described earlier¹⁹. Mechanized dispensing of glutathione solution would enable tighter control of the magnitude and orientation of mechanical stimulus such that it could be uniform across all dispensing events and therefore normalized internally.

In this method, the tentacle spread is measured across two dimensions; whereas in reality, few of the tentacles are also spread along the third axis pointing towards the observer. Similarly, the orientation of the tentacles can change after adding glutathione. However, averaging the tentacle spread across multiple tentacles of a single polyp as well as across multiple polyps normalizes the bias introduced by two-dimensional

measurements as well as the changed orientation of the tentacles. We suggest that the polyps which move to the extreme periphery of the well, where clear observation of the tentacles is not always feasible, be excluded from the analysis. Furthermore, taking the relative tentacle spread, which is the ratio of tentacle spread before and after adding GSH, and not the absolute value of the tentacle spread after GSH treatment, as a measure of feeding response might result in minimization of the variation induced by variability in the tentacle length of the individual polyps. This protocol of measuring the glutathione-induced feeding response could be equally useful for all hydra species. For measuring tentacle spread in the images obtained from large populations of hydra, it would also be possible to write a computer algorithm similar to those available for the analysis of animal behavior²⁰.

Disclosures

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to K. P. Madhu, Nita Beliappa and staff of the Media Centre of Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune for their help in the video production. The work was supported by funding under the Centre of Excellence program of Department of Biotechnology, Government of India to SG and postdoctoral fellowship by Department of Science and Technology, Government of India to RK.

References

1. Loomis, W.F. Glutathione control of the specific feeding reactions of hydra. *Ann. Ny. Acad. Sci.* **62**, 209-228, doi: 10.1093/chemse/21.3.313 (1955).
2. Beckmann, A., & Ozbek, S. The Nematocyst: a molecular map of the Cnidarian stinging organelle. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **56**, 577-582, doi: 10.1387/ijdb.113472ab (2012).
3. Venturini, G., & Carolei, A. Dopaminergic receptors in Hydra. Pharmacological and biochemical observations. *Comp. Biochem. Phys. C.* **102**, 39-43, doi: 10.1016/0742-8413(92)90040-E (1992).
4. Kass-Simon, G., & Scappaticci A. A. Glutamatergic and GABAergic control in the tentacle effector systems of *Hydra vulgaris*. *Hydrobiologia*. **530-531**, 67-71, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-2762-8_8 (2004).
5. Pierobon, P., Tino, A., Minei, R., & Marino, G. Different roles of GABA and glycine in the modulation of chemosensory responses in *Hydra vulgaris* (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa). *Hydrobiologia*, **178**, 59-66, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-2762-8_7 (2004).
6. Pierobon, P., Sogliano, C., Minei, R., Tino, A., Porcu, P., Marino, G., Tortiglione, C., & Concas, A. Putative NMDA receptors in Hydra: a biochemical and functional study. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **20**, 2598-2604, doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03759.x (2004).
7. Alzugaray, M. E., Adami, M. L., Diambra, L. A., Hernandez-Martinez, S., Damborenea, C., Noriega, F. G., & Ronderos, J. R. Allatotropin: An ancestral myotropic neuropeptide involved in feeding. *PLoS ONE*. **8**, e77520, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0077520 (2013).
8. Bellis, S.L., Laux, D.C., & Rhoads, D.E. Affinity purification of *Hydra* glutathione binding proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **354**, 320-324, doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(94)01154-0 (1994).
9. Lenhoff, H.M., The discovery of the GSH receptor in Hydra and its evolutionary significance. *Glutathione in the Nervous System*. Shaw, C.A., ed., Taylor & Francis, Bristol, 25-43 (1998).
10. Venturini, G. The hydra GSH receptor. Pharmacological and radioligand binding studies. *Comp. Biochem. Phys. C.* **87**, 321-324 (1987).
11. Reddy, P.C., Barve, A., & Ghaskadbi, S. Description and phylogenetic characterization of common hydra from India. *Curr. Sci.* **101**, 736-8 (2011).
12. Horibata, Y. et al. Unique catabolic pathway of glycosphingolipids in a hydrozoan, *Hydra magnipapillata*. Involving endoglycoceramidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 33379-3389, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M401460200 (2004).
13. Koizumi, O., & Maeda, N. Rise of feeding threshold in satiated Hydra. *J. Comp. Physiol.* **142**, 75-80, doi: 10.1007/BF00605478 (1981).
14. Bellis, S.L., Kass-Simon, G., & Rhoads, D.E. Partial characterization and detergent solubilization of the putative glutathione chemoreceptor from hydra. *Biochemistry*. **31**, 9838-9843 (1992).
15. Morita, H., & Hanai, K. Taste receptor proteins in invertebrates - with special reference to glutathione receptor of hydra. *Chem. Senses*. **12**, 245-250, doi: 10.1093/chemse/12.2.245 (1987).
16. Colasanti, M., Venturini, G., Merante, A., Musci, G., & Lauro, G.M. Nitric oxide involvement in *Hydra vulgaris* very primitive olfactory-like system. *Journal of Neurosci.* **17**, 493-499 (1997).
17. Kuhn, A., Tsiairis, C.D., Williamson, M., Kalbacher, H., Grimmelikhuijzen, C.J., Holstein, T.W., & Gründer, S. Three homologous subunits form a high affinity peptide-gated ion channel in Hydra. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 11958-11965, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.059998 (2010).
18. Wang, M., Yao, Y., Kuang, D., & Hampson, D.R. Activation of family C G-protein-coupled receptors by the tripeptide glutathione. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281**, 8864-8870, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M512865200 (2006).
19. Ruggieri, R.D., Pierobon, P., G. Kass-Simon Pacemaker activity in hydra is modulated by glycine receptor ligands *Comp. Biochem. Phys. C.* **138**, 193- 202 doi: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2004.03.015 (2004).
20. Ramazani, R.B., Krishnan, H.R., Bergeson, S.E., & Atkinson, N.S. Computer automated movement detection for the analysis of behavior, *J. Neurosci. Meth.* **162**, 171-179 doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.01.005 (2007).