

Video Article

Metabolic Labeling of Leucine Rich Repeat Kinases 1 and 2 with Radioactive Phosphate

Jean-Marc Taymans¹, Fangye Gao¹, Veerle Baekelandt¹

¹Laboratory for Neurobiology and Gene Therapy, Department of Neurosciences, KU Leuven and Leuven Institute for Neuroscience and Disease (LIND)

Correspondence to: Jean-Marc Taymans at Jean-Marc.Taymans@med.kuleuven.be

URL: <https://www.jove.com/video/50523>

DOI: [doi:10.3791/50523](https://doi.org/10.3791/50523)

Keywords: Cellular Biology, Issue 79, biology (general), biochemistry, bioengineering (general), LRRK1, LRRK2, metabolic labeling, ³²P-orthophosphate, immunoprecipitation, autoradiography

Date Published: 9/18/2013

Citation: Taymans, J.M., Gao, F., Baekelandt, V. Metabolic Labeling of Leucine Rich Repeat Kinases 1 and 2 with Radioactive Phosphate. *J. Vis. Exp.* (79), e50523, doi:10.3791/50523 (2013).

Abstract

Leucine rich repeat kinases 1 and 2 (LRRK1 and LRRK2) are paralogs which share a similar domain organization, including a serine-threonine kinase domain, a Ras of complex proteins domain (ROC), a C-terminal of ROC domain (COR), and leucine-rich and ankyrin-like repeats at the N-terminus. The precise cellular roles of LRRK1 and LRRK2 have yet to be elucidated, however LRRK1 has been implicated in tyrosine kinase receptor signaling^{1,2}, while LRRK2 is implicated in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease^{3,4}. In this report, we present a protocol to label the LRRK1 and LRRK2 proteins in cells with ³²P-orthophosphate, thereby providing a means to measure the overall phosphorylation levels of these 2 proteins in cells. In brief, affinity tagged LRRK proteins are expressed in HEK293T cells which are exposed to medium containing ³²P-orthophosphate. The ³²P-orthophosphate is assimilated by the cells after only a few hours of incubation and all molecules in the cell containing phosphates are thereby radioactively labeled. Via the affinity tag (3xflag) the LRRK proteins are isolated from other cellular components by immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitates are then separated via SDS-PAGE, blotted to PVDF membranes and analysis of the incorporated phosphates is performed by autoradiography (³²P signal) and western detection (protein signal) of the proteins on the blots. The protocol can readily be adapted to monitor phosphorylation of any other protein that can be expressed in cells and isolated by immunoprecipitation.

Video Link

The video component of this article can be found at <https://www.jove.com/video/50523/>

Introduction

Leucine rich repeat kinases 1 and 2 (LRRK1 and LRRK2) are multidomain paralogs which share a similar domain organization. Both proteins encode a GTPase sequence akin to the Ras family of GTPases (Ras of Complex Proteins, or ROC) as well as a C-terminal of ROC domain (COR), effectively classifying both proteins to the ROC-COR protein family^{5,6}. N-terminal of the ROC-COR domain tandem, both proteins encode a leucine-rich repeat domain as well as an ankyrin-like domain, while only LRRK2 encodes an extra armadillo domain⁶⁻⁸. C-terminal of ROC-COR, both proteins share a serine-threonine kinase domain while only LRRK2 encodes a WD40 domain in the C-terminal region⁸. The precise cellular roles of LRRK1 and LRRK2 have yet to be elucidated, however LRRK1 has been implicated in tyrosine kinase receptor signaling^{1,2}, while genetic evidence points to a role for LRRK2 in the pathogenesis of Parkinson's disease^{3,4}.


The phosphorylation of proteins is a common regulatory mechanism in cells. For example, phosphorylation can be essential for the activation of enzymes or for the recruitment of proteins to a signaling complex. The cellular phosphorylation of LRRK2 has been extensively characterized and phosphosite mapping has shown a majority of cellular phosphorylation sites to occur in a cluster between the ankyrin repeat and leucine rich repeat domains⁹⁻¹¹. Although LRRK1 cellular phosphorylation sites have yet to be mapped, evidence from studies using phosphoprotein staining of blots of immunoprecipitated LRRK1 protein from COS7 cells suggests that LRRK1 protein is phosphorylated in cells¹².

This paper provides a basic protocol for assaying general phosphorylation level of LRRK1 and LRRK2 in cell lines using metabolic labeling with ³²P-orthophosphate. The overall strategy is straightforward. Affinity tagged LRRK proteins are expressed in HEK293T cells which are exposed to medium containing ³²P-orthophosphate. The ³²P-orthophosphate is assimilated by the cells after only a few hours of incubation and all molecules in the cell containing phosphates are thereby radioactively labeled. The affinity tag (3xflag) is then used to isolate the LRRK proteins from other cellular components by immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitates are then separated via SDS-PAGE, blotted to PVDF membranes and analysis of the incorporated phosphates is performed by autoradiography (³²P signal) and western detection (protein signal) of the proteins on the blots.














Protocol















The present protocol uses radioactive ³²P-labeled orthophosphate to follow cellular phosphorylation of LRRK2. It is important to bear in mind that all operations with radioactive reagents should be performed using appropriate protective measures to minimize exposure of radioactive radiation to the operator and the environment. Compounds containing isotopes that emit ionizing radiation can be harmful to human health and

strict licensing and regulations at an institutional and national level control their use. The experiments in this protocol were carried out following training in open source radiation use at Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KU Leuven) and following the good laboratory practice guidelines provided by the health, safety and environment department at the university. Several steps in our protocol are widely deployed such as cell culture, SDS-PAGE, western blotting and given here are details of the protocol as applied in our laboratory. It should be noted that precise experimental conditions vary from laboratory to laboratory; therefore specific measures to ensure proper handling of radioactive material should be adapted to each new laboratory setting.









Use of open source radiation is subject to prior regulatory approval and the regulatory body responsible for open source radiation in laboratory research varies from country to country. Users should consult with their institutional radiation safety officer in order to ensure that procedure conform to local rules and regulations. Information on regulatory bodies can be found: in Belgium, the Federal Agency for Nuclear Control (<http://www.fanc.fgov.be>, website in French or Dutch), in the United Kingdom, the Health and Safety Executive (<http://www.hse.gov.uk/radiation/ionising/index.htm>), in the United States the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (<http://www.nrc.gov/materials/miau/regs-guides-comm.html>), in Canada the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (<http://nuclearsafety.gc.ca/eng/>), and in Germany Das Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz (<http://www.bfs.de/de/bfs>). Safety precautions relevant to this protocol have been noted in the text, highlighted with the radioactive trefoil symbol ()




























1. Metabolic Labeling of Cells









1. Prepare cells for labeling.
 1. Culture HEK293T cell lines according to standard culture conditions (37 °C, 5% CO₂) in DMEM with 8% fetal calf serum and gentamycin.
 2. Expand cells sufficiently to obtain at least 1×10^6 cells per sample to test.
 3. Trypsinize cells and plate out into 6 well plates (35 mm diameter) at 10^6 cells/well.
 4. 24 hr after plating out cells, express 3xflag-LRRK2 protein via transfection or lentiviral vector mediated transduction.
 1. For transfection, mix per sample 4 µg DNA (pCHMWS-3xflag-LRRK2 plasmid¹³⁻¹⁵ or pCHMWS-3xflag-LRRK1 plasmid¹⁵) and 8 µl of linear polyethylenimine (linear PEI, 1 mg/ml) into 80 µl DMEM (without additions). Allow to complex for 15-30 min then add complex to cells by mixing well into medium present.
 2. For lentiviral vector mediated transduction, dilute lentiviral vector encoding 3xflag-LRRK1 or -2 (LV-3xflag-LRRK1, LV-3xflag-LRRK2, as a rule of thumb, transduce with twice as many transducing units, *i.e.* number of functional vector particles, of lentivector as there are cells) into the culture medium. A description of the production of LV-3xflag-LRRK1/2 has previously been described¹⁵.
 5. When cells are 80-100% confluent (about 48 hr after transfection or transduction), rinse cells with prewarmed (37 °C) DMEM without phosphates.
2. Label cells with ³²P-ortho-phosphate.
 1. Keep in mind general principles of safety when working with radiation.
 1.  Perform all operations with ³²P in a designated radiation area.
 2.  Suitable personal protective equipment should be worn - under standard operating procedure in our laboratory these include lab coat, double gloves and protective goggles.
 3.  All work with ³²P should be shielded from users by 6 mm Perspex screens to minimize exposure.
 4.  Personal monitoring devices should always be used - within KUL all certified open source radiation user wears a film badge attached to the breast pocket of the lab coat to monitor radiation exposure during experiments.
 5.  All experimental surfaces should be assessed for radioactivity before and after use with a Geiger counter.
 6.  All potentially contaminated consumables should be disposed of in strict adherence to institutional guidelines for radioactive waste disposal.
 2. Under a laminar flow, prepare a Falcon tube with 2.1 ml of DMEM without phosphates (prewarmed to 37 °C) per 6-well plate of cells to label.
 1. For instance, to label cells in all wells of a 6-well plate, prepare 12.6 ml medium (=6 x 2.1). This is to provide for 2 ml medium to be used per 6-well plate well of cells with a 5% excess in volume.
 3.  Prepare the bench at which the experiments with ionizing radiation will be performed. The working space is covered by a spill mat upon which a protective liner of absorbent material is placed. In case you are using a liner with one waterproof surface, place it with the absorbent side up.
 4.  Also provide for a Perspex jar on the work space and place the tube of phosphate free medium in it.
 5.  Take the lead lined container with the vial of ³²P labeled orthophosphate out of the fridge and bring it to the radioactivity bench. Monitor the container for external radioactive contamination using a Geiger counter.
 6.  Dilute ³²P labeled orthophosphate into the tube of DMEM without phosphates at a concentration of 24 µCi/ml.
 1. Note: at 2 ml per 6-well plate well of cells, this corresponds to 5 µCi ³²P labeled orthophosphate/cm² of cultured cells.
 2.  Keep the tube in the Perspex jar.
 7.  Close the container with the remainder of the ³²P labeled orthophosphate and replace in the fridge.
 8.  Remove the 6-well plates with cells to be labeled from the incubator and place on the radioactivity bench.

9.  Remove medium supernatant and discard. Add 2 ml of the phosphate-free medium containing ^{32}P labeled orthophosphate/well.
 10.  Place the culture plates into a Perspex box then monitor the container for external radioactive contamination using a Geiger counter.
 11.  Transfer the Perspex box with cells to a eukaryotic cell incubator dedicated to isotopic metabolic labeling.
 12.  Incubate for 1-20 hr at 37 °C in 5% CO_2 .
 1. In general, an incorporation time of 3 hr or more is advised. The optimal incubation time may be assessed through time course experiments for each specific protein as desired.
 13.  Optional: treat cells with compound.
 1. In experiments with compound treatment (such as a kinase inhibitor), a compound treatment step is included after an initial incubation time without compound to allow for the labeling. After the desired incubation time, the Perspex box containing the culture plates are removed from the incubator and brought to the radioactivity bench.
 2.  Labeling medium is removed and replaced by prewarmed phosphate free medium into which the compound is diluted at the desired concentration. Discard medium in a 50 ml tube for waste collection which is placed in the Perspex jar.
 3.  Cells are replaced in the Perspex box and placed in the cell incubator for the desired contact time.
3.  Collect lysates of labeled cells.
 1.  Remove medium from cells and discard into the waste collection tube which is placed in the Perspex jar.
 2.  Rinse cells 2x with ice cold TBS (Tris 50 mM, NaCl 150 mM, pH 7.4, 2 ml/rinse), discarding rinse solution into a waste collection tube placed in the Perspex jar.
 3.  Add 0.5 ml of ice cold immunoprecipitation (IP) lysis buffer to each well and collect lysate by pipetting lysate up and down in order to loosen all lysed cells.
 1. Prepare the required volume of IP lysis buffer (0.5 ml/sample plus 5% excess) ahead of time, adding the Protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail fresh just before use.
 2. The composition of the lysis buffer is Tris 20 mM pH 7.5, NaCl 150 mM, EDTA 1 mM, Triton 1%, Glycerol 10 %, protease inhibitor cocktail and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail.
 4.  Transfer the lysate to a microcentrifuge tube and incubate on ice for at least 10 min.
 5.  Centrifuge the lysates in a microcentrifuge at $>5,000 \times g$ for 10 min.
 6.  Dispose of radioactive waste in dedicated waste bins which are stored behind Perspex shields.

2. Analyze Labeling of Proteins of Interest

1.  Isolate protein of interest by immunopurification (IP).
 1.  Transfer the microcentrifuge tubes with centrifuged lysates back to ice and pipette the supernatant into a microcentrifuge tube containing 10 μl bed volume of equilibrated flag-M2 agarose beads.
 1. Prepare the tubes with equilibrated flag-M2 agarose beads ahead of time.
 2. For this, pipette a volume of flag-M2 agarose slurry corresponding to 10 μl bed volume per sample plus a 5% excess.
 1. Generally, a 10 μl bed volume of beads corresponds to 20 μl slurry. Refer to the product data sheet for more details.
 3. Equilibrate the beads by rinsing 3x in 10 volumes (relative to bed volume) of IP lysis buffer.
 4. Distribute equilibrated beads evenly at 10 μl bed volume/tube into as many tubes as there are samples. Label the tubes with an identifier for each sample.
 2.  Transfer the microcentrifuge tubes to 50 ml tubes (about 6 microcentrifuge tubes/50 ml tube) labeled with a radioactive trefoil symbol and keep on ice.
 3.  Transfer samples to a rotating device behind a Perspex shield in the designated area of a cold room for end over end mixing at 4 °C for 1-20 hr.
 4.  Transfer the samples to a designated work space on ice.
 5.  Spin down the protein bound flag-M2 agarose beads in a microcentrifuge (1,000 $\times g$, 1 min) and discard the supernatant into a waste collection tube.
 6.  Wash the protein bound flag-M2 agarose beads by resuspending in 1 ml IP wash buffer.
 1. Composition of IP wash buffer: Tris 25 mM pH 7.5, NaCl 400 mM, Triton 1%. It is recommended to also include protease and phosphatase inhibitors in the wash buffer for proteins sensitive to degradation by co-purifying proteases or to dephosphorylation by co-purifying phosphatases.
 2.  Spin down the protein bound flag-M2 agarose beads in a microcentrifuge (1,000 $\times g$, 1 min) and discard the supernatant into a waste collection tube.

3.  Repeat the wash step 3x.
7.  After the washes, resuspend the beads into 1 ml IP rinse buffer (Tris 25 mM pH 7.5, MgCl_2 10 mM, dithiothreitol (DTT) 2 mM, Triton 0.02%, beta-glycerophosphate 5 mM, Na_3VO_4 0.1 mM).
8.  Spin down the protein bound flag-M2 agarose beads in a microcentrifuge (1,000 x g, 1 min) and discard the supernatant into a waste collection tube. Remove all excess buffer.
9.  Resuspend beads into 40 μl of IP sample SDS loading buffer (Tris-HCl 160 mM pH 6.8, SDS 2%, DTT 0.2 M, glycerol 40%, bromophenol blue 2 mg/ml).
 1. Samples can be analyzed immediately or stored in a -20°C freezer for ulterior analysis.
 1.  For storage of samples at -20°C , place samples in tube holders or boxes in a Perspex box in a radioactive trefoil symbol labeled freezer dedicated for storage of radioactive samples.
10.  Dispose of radioactive waste in dedicated waste bins which are stored behind Perspex shields.
2.  Resolve IP samples via SDS-PAGE and blot to PVDF membrane.
 1.  Heat samples in loading buffer to 95°C for 2 min and centrifuge for 1 min at $>1,000 \times g$ to pellet the beads.
 2.  Prepare the protein gel electrophoresis module on the radioactivity bench behind a Perspex screen.
 3.  Load samples onto a 3-8% tris-acetate SDS-PAGE gels.
 1. This type of gel is suited for resolving high molecular weight (HMW) proteins. Other gel types may also be suited, such as a 4-20% Bis-Tricine gel or Tris-glycine 4-20% gels.
 2. Include a molecular weight marker which is suitable to discern sizes of HMW proteins.
 4.  Perform electrophoresis at 150 V for 1 hr.
 5.  After electrophoresis, remove the gel from its plastic casing and transfer the gel to a container with Western blotting transfer buffer.
 1. Composition of Western blotting transfer buffer: Tris 50 mM, Glycine 40 mM, SDS 0.04%, Methanol 20%.
 2.  Cut off the parts of the gel which stick out such as the well separators and bottom portion of the gel which sticks out.
 6. Prepare one polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane per gel by dipping in methanol for 1 min, then place in transfer buffer.
 1. Membranes are cut to the same size as the gel plus a margin of 3 mm.
 7. Place a semi-dry blotting module on the radioactivity bench and remove the cover and upper electrode plate.
 8.  Prepare the blotting sandwich on the surface of the semi-dry blotting module.
 1. Wet an extra thick (2.5 mm thick, 7.5 x 10 cm large) blotting filter in transfer buffer and place on bottom plate of the blotting module.
 2.  Place the pre-wet PVDF membrane on the blotting filter.
 3.  Carefully place the gel on the PVDF membrane and remove any air bubbles.
 4.  Complete the blot sandwich by wetting an extra thick blotting filter in transfer buffer and place on the bottom plate of the blotting module. Remove all air bubbles eventually present in the blotting sandwich.
Please note that the description given here is compatible with the BioRad trans-blot SD system where electrodes are such that proteins migrate downwards onto the membrane. Other blotting systems are also compatible with these steps with minor adaptations such as those eventually needed to take into account another blotting direction or, in the case of tank blotting, extra liquid waste to be disposed of in the same way as the electrophoresis buffer above.
 9.  Remove all excess buffer with an absorbent tissue and place the top plate and the cover of the semi-dry blotting module.
 10.  Transfer proteins at 15 V for 1-2 hr.
 11.  During this time, clean up the electrophoresis module.
 1.  Dispose of radioactive waste in dedicated waste bins which are stored behind Perspex shields.
 2.  Rinse the electrophoresis module with distilled water (AD) and discard the rinse water in the radioactive liquid waste container.
 12.  After transfer, remove the PVDF membrane with blotted proteins from the blotting module.
 13.  Optional: perform a Ponceau S staining of blotted proteins to visualize proteins.
 1.  Transfer the blot to a shallow blot incubation vessel containing Ponceau S solution and incubate for 5 min.
 2.  Rinse 2x quickly in AD.
 14.  Dry the membrane.

3.  Perform autoradiography.
 1.  Expose the membrane to a phosphorescence plate for 1-5 days.
 2.  Read the ^{32}P off of the exposed phosphorescence plate using a Storm 840 phosphorescence scanner or equivalent and save the image as a high resolution tiff.
4.  Detect protein levels via immunodetection.
 1.  Rehydrate the membranes by dipping them briefly into methanol, then transfer to a shallow blot incubation vessel with PBS.
 2.  Block the membranes in PBS-T (PBS with 0.1% Triton) containing 5% milk.
 3.  Incubate the blots with anti-LRRK2 antibody^{13,16} or anti flag antibody and process further with appropriate wash steps and secondary antibody incubation.
 4.  Perform chemiluminescence detection to confirm the relative protein levels of LRRK2.
5. Quantify incorporation of ^{32}P in LRRK2.
 1. Perform densitometric analysis of the bands on the blot autoradiograms and immunoreactivity using appropriate software such as ImageJ software, a freeware program available on the National Institutes of Health website (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/>).
 2. Calculate levels of phosphate incorporation as the ratio of the autoradiographic signal over the immunoreactivity level.

Representative Results

In order to compare overall phosphorylation levels of LRRK1 and LRRK2 in cells, 3xflag tagged LRRK1 and LRRK2 were expressed in HEK293T cells¹⁵. Cells were cultured in 6-well plates and labeled with ^{32}P and analyzed as described above in the protocol text. **Figure 1 shows representative results for metabolic labeling of LRRK1 and LRRK2 in HEK293T cells.** Radioactive phosphate incorporation is observed for both LRRK1 and LRRK2. Upon quantification of the ^{32}P levels normalized to the protein levels as measured by densitometric analysis of the immunodetection with anti-flag antibody, it was found that LRRK1 had an average phosphorylation level which is lower than LRRK2 under the conditions tested, although statistical significance is not reached ($P>0.05$).




Figure 1. Metabolic labeling of LRRK1 and LRRK2. A. LRRK1 and LRRK2 expressed in HEK293T cells were metabolically labeled with ^{32}P as described in the protocol and results sections. Depicted here are representative autoradiograms (upper panel) of the ^{32}P incorporation as well as representative Western blots (lower panel) of LRRK1 and LRRK2 detection via their 3xflag tags. B. Quantification of the comparative metabolic labeling of LRRK1 and LRRK2 (N=4).

Discussion

This paper provides a basic protocol for assaying general phosphorylation level of LRRK1 and LRRK2 in cell lines using metabolic labeling with ^{32}P -orthophosphate. The overall strategy is straightforward. Affinity tagged LRRK proteins are expressed in HEK293T cells which are exposed to medium containing ^{32}P -orthophosphate. The ^{32}P -orthophosphate is assimilated by the cells after only a few hours of incubation and all molecules in the cell containing phosphates are thereby radioactively labeled. The affinity tag (3xflag) is then used to isolate the LRRK proteins from other cellular components by immunoprecipitation. Immunoprecipitates are then separated via SDS-PAGE, blotted to PVDF membranes and analysis of the incorporated phosphates is performed by autoradiography (^{32}P signal) and Western detection (protein signal) of the proteins on the blots. This protocol is to be distinguished from the protocol to measure LRRK2 autophosphorylation¹⁷ in that the labeling of LRRK1 or LRRK2 is performed in cell culture rather than in an *in vitro* phosphorylation reaction with purified proteins.

It should be noted that the detailed protocol presented here can be adjusted to accommodate for multiple variations depending on experimental needs. For instance, as labeling is efficient in most common laboratory cell lines, this protocol is not restricted to the use of the HEK293T

cell line. Also, other affinity tags may be used as an alternative to 3xflag, such as HA, myc, V5, GFP or other tags¹⁸ as multiple tags can be used to efficiently immunoprecipitate LRRK1 or LRRK2. In case a protein-specific antibody is available for the protein that is suited for immunoprecipitation, as is the case for LRRK2¹¹, this can be implemented as well. With an immunoprecipitation grade protein-specific antibody, it is also feasible to perform metabolic labeling of LRRK proteins endogenously expressed in cell lines. In the case of LRRK2, several monoclonal antibodies have been described which can immunoprecipitate endogenous LRRK2¹⁹. Finally, the metabolic labeling protocol, described here for LRRK1 and LRRK2 can also be adapted to any other protein which can be immunoprecipitated from cell lines using the general strategy described above.

A key consideration before performing metabolic labeling of proteins in cell culture is how this technique compares to other methods available to determine cellular protein phosphorylation. For instance, phosphorylation at specific sites can be monitored by immunoblotting using a phospho-specific antibody. This method follows similar steps to those described here, excluding the isotopic labeling steps, and for this reason, this technique is often favored over metabolic labeling with ³²P-orthophosphate when it is available. Metabolic labeling with ³²P-orthophosphate provides a signal which is representative of the overall phosphorylation state of the protein, therefore it cannot provide information on the phosphorylation of specific sites. For proteins with multiple phosphorylation sites, as it is the case for LRRK2^{10,11}, the metabolic labeling technique provides a one-step assessment of the overall phosphorylation level which can be ascertained with phospho-specific antibodies only pending multiple immunodetection steps. For instance, LRRK2 is highly phosphorylated in its ANK-LRR interdomain region, *i.e.* the S910/S935/S955/S973^{11,20} sites as well as in other regions¹⁰ including the recently characterized S1292 site²¹. In order to dissect out the roles of individual phosphosites, it is recommended to prefer experiments with phosphosite specific antibodies. For example phosphosite specific antibodies have allowed to discern that the S910/S935/S955/S973 phosphosites are dephosphorylated in several pathogenic mutants such as R1441C/G, Y1699C, I2020T, but not in the G2019S^{11,22}, while LRRK2 disease mutant forms generally show higher phospho-S1292 levels²¹. However, metabolic labeling are useful for a number of other studies of cellular phosphorylation. Metabolic labeling is always an applicable technique for instance in cases of unknown phosphorylation sites, or when phospho-antibodies are not available or of low sensitivity. Finally, metabolic labeling allows comparing overall phosphorylation levels of different proteins (as shown here comparing cellular phosphorylation levels of LRRK1 and LRRK2, figure 1), a comparison which is challenging to do with phosphosite-specific antibodies given differences in sensitivity from one antibody to another.

In conclusion, the present protocol allows efficient assessment of the overall phosphorylation levels of LRRK proteins in cells. The protocol can readily be adapted to monitor phosphorylation of any other protein that can be expressed in cells and isolated by immunoprecipitation. Use of this protocol is recommended when phosphosite-specific antibodies are not available for the protein in study or as a step in their validation. This protocol is especially useful when the experimental goal is to compare overall phosphorylation of 2 or more different proteins as such comparisons via metabolic labeling are not biased by differences in sensitivity of detection of phosphorylation from one protein to another. Specifically for LRRK1 and LRRK2, this technique can be used to comparatively monitor activity dependent changes in phosphorylation of LRRK1 and LRRK2, given that such changes have begun to be described for LRRK2^{11,13,23}, while LRRK1 phosphorylation regulation is poorly understood.

Disclosures

Authors have nothing to disclose.

Acknowledgements

We are also grateful to the Michael J. Fox Foundation supporting this study. We thank the Research Foundation - Flanders FWO (FWO project G.0666.09, senior researcher fellowship to JMT), the IWT SBO/80020 project Neuro-TARGET, the KU Leuven (OT/08/052A and IOF-KP/07/ 001) for their support. This research was also supported in part by the Fund Druwé-Eerdeken managed by the King Baudouin Foundation to JMT.

References

- Hanafusa, H., *et al.* Leucine-rich repeat kinase LRRK1 regulates endosomal trafficking of the EGF receptor. *Nat Commun.* **2**, 158 (2011).
- Titz, B., *et al.* The proximal signaling network of the BCR-ABL1 oncogene shows a modular organization. *Oncogene.* **29**, 5895-5910 (2010).
- Cookson, M.R. The role of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) in Parkinson's disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* **11**, 791-797 (2010).
- Taymans, J.M. & Cookson, M. Mechanisms of dominant parkinsonism; the toxic triangle of LRRK2, alpha-synuclein and tau. *Bioessays.* **32**, 227-235 (2010).
- Lewis, P.A. The function of ROCO proteins in health and disease. *Biol Cell.* **101**, 183-191 (2009).
- Marin, I., van Egmond, W.N. & van Haastert, P.J. The Roco protein family: a functional perspective. *FASEB J.* **22**, 3103-3110 (2008).
- Marin, I. The Parkinson disease gene LRRK2: evolutionary and structural insights. *Mol.Biol.Evol.* **23**, 2423-2433 (2006).
- Marin, I. Ancient origin of the Parkinson disease gene LRRK2. *J Mol Evol.* **67**, 41-50 (2008).
- Lobbestael, E., Baekelandt, V. & Taymans, J.M. Phosphorylation of LRRK2: from kinase to substrate. *Biochem Soc Trans.* **40**, 1102-1110 (2012).
- Gloeckner, C.J., *et al.* Phosphopeptide Analysis Reveals Two Discrete Clusters of Phosphorylation in the N-Terminus and the Roc Domain of the Parkinson-Disease Associated Protein Kinase LRRK2. *J Proteome Res.* **9**, 1738-1745 (2010).
- Nichols, R.J., *et al.* 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is disrupted by multiple Parkinson's disease-associated mutations and regulates cytoplasmic localization. *Biochem J.* **430**, 393-404 (2010).
- Greggio, E., *et al.* Mutations in LRRK2/dardarin associated with Parkinson disease are more toxic than equivalent mutations in the homologous kinase LRRK1. *J.Neurochem.* **102**, 93-102 (2007).
- Taymans, J.M., *et al.* LRRK2 Kinase Activity Is Dependent on LRRK2 GTP Binding Capacity but Independent of LRRK2 GTP Binding. *PLoS One.* **6**, e23207 (2011).
- Daniëls, V., *et al.* Insight into the mode of action of the LRRK2 Y1699C pathogenic mutant. *J Neurochem.* **116**, 304-315 (2011).

15. Civiero, L., *et al.* Biochemical characterization of highly purified leucine-rich repeat kinases 1 and 2 demonstrates formation of homodimers. *PLoS One*. **7**, e43472 (2012).
16. Taymans, J.M., Van den Haute, C. & Baekelandt, V. Distribution of PINK1 and LRRK2 in rat and mouse brain. *J.Neurochem.* **98**, 951-961 (2006).
17. Lewis, P.A. Assaying the kinase activity of LRRK2 *in vitro*. *J Vis Exp* (2012).
18. Lobbstaël, E., *et al.* Immunohistochemical detection of transgene expression in the brain using small epitope tags. *BMC Biotechnol.* **10**, 16 (2010).
19. Davies, P., *et al.* Comprehensive Characterization and Optimization of Leucine Rich Repeat Kinase 2 (LRRK2) Monoclonal Antibodies. *Biochem J.* (2013).
20. West, A.B., *et al.* Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in LRRK2 link enhanced GTP-binding and kinase activities to neuronal toxicity. *Hum.Mol.Genet.* **16**, 223-232 (2007).
21. Sheng, Z., *et al.* Ser1292 autophosphorylation is an indicator of LRRK2 kinase activity and contributes to the cellular effects of PD mutations. *Sci Transl Med.* **4**, 164ra161 (2012).
22. Li, X., *et al.* Phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 binding to LRRK2 is impaired by common mutations of familial Parkinson's disease. *PLoS One*. **6**, e17153 (2011).
23. Dзамко, N., *et al.* Inhibition of LRRK2 kinase activity leads to dephosphorylation of Ser(910)/Ser(935), disruption of 14-3-3 binding and altered cytoplasmic localization. *Biochem J.* **430**, 405-413 (2010).