

**Submission ID #: 69530**

**Scriptwriter Name: Poornima G**

**Project Page Link: <https://review.jove.com/account/file-uploader?src=21206698>**

## **Title: High-Throughput, In-Field Screening of Photosynthetic Efficiency in Crop Plants Using an Autonomous Robot**

### **Authors and Affiliations:**

<sup>1</sup>Crop Science, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

<sup>2</sup>Plant Sciences, Institute of Bio-and Geosciences, Forschungszentrum Jülich

### **Corresponding Authors:**

Beat Keller                      beat.keller@usys.ethz.ch

### **Email Addresses for All Authors:**

Nicolin Caflisch	nicolin.caflisch@usys.ethz.ch
Andreas Hund	andreas.hund@usys.ethz.ch
Onno Muller	o.muller@fz-juelich.de
Achim Walter	achim.walter@usys.ethz.ch
Beat Keller	beat.keller@usys.ethz.ch

## **Author Questionnaire**

**1. Microscopy:** Does your protocol require the use of a dissecting or stereomicroscope for performing a complex dissection, microinjection technique, or something similar? **NO**

**2. Software:** Does the part of your protocol being filmed include step-by-step descriptions of software usage? **Yes**

**3. Filming location:** Will the filming need to take place in multiple locations? **NO**

**4. Testimonials (optional):** Would you be open to filming two short testimonial statements **live during your JoVE shoot**? These will **not appear in your JoVE video** but may be used in JoVE's promotional materials. **NO**

### **Current Protocol Length**

Number of Steps: 18

Number of Shots: 30 (17 SC)

# Introduction

---

## INTRODUCTION:

- 1.1. **Beat Keller:** We investigate photosynthesis to identify highly efficient and resilient varieties, and the underlying beneficial alleles, under fluctuating field conditions.
  - 1.1.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

What technologies are currently used to advance research in your field?

- 1.2. **Beat Keller:** Photosynthesis is mostly assessed through time-consuming manual PAM or gas-exchange measurements, which limits the detection of seasonal dynamics and the screening of high genetic diversity.
  - 1.2.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

## CONCLUSION:

What significant findings have you established in your field?

- 1.3. **Nicolin Caflisch:** Automated LIFT measurements throughout the season reveal physiological heterogeneity within plot canopies and, importantly, distinct photosynthetic efficiencies between genotypes.
  - 1.3.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

What advantage does your protocol offer compared to other techniques?

- 1.4. **Nicolin Caflisch:** Our approach captures real-time photosynthesis measurements non-invasively and autonomously within milliseconds, enabling rapid comparison of photosynthetic responses across full seasons and diverse genotypes.
  - 1.4.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

What questions will future research focus on?

- 1.5. **Beat Keller:** Future work will integrate LIFT with genomic, thermal, and 3D canopy data to more precisely predict photosynthesis, stress resilience, and canopy productivity.
  - 1.5.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

# Protocol

---

## 2. Setting Up the Robot and Conducting LIFT Measurements

**Demonstrators:** Nicolin Caflisch, Beat Keller

- 2.1. To begin, install the camera tripod next to the experimental field [1]. Place the PPFR sensor on top of the tripod [2-TXT], and set the data logger and power bank on the ground below the tripod [3].
  - 2.1.1. WIDE: Talent setting up a camera tripod at the edge of the experimental field.
  - 2.1.2. Talent mounting the PPFR sensor securely on top of the tripod. **TXT: PPFR: Photosynthetic Photon Flux Rate**
  - 2.1.3. Talent placing or pointing to the data logger and power bank side by side on the ground below the tripod.
- 2.2. Now, mount the LIFT (*lift*) sensor assembly on the front of the robot, positioning it at a height of approximately 60 centimeters above the crop canopy [1].
  - 2.2.1. Talent aligning and securing the LIFT sensor assembly onto the front panel of the robot at the specified height.
- 2.3. Place the laptop computer, car battery, and power inverter on top of the robot [1]. Connect the power inverter to the car battery [2], then connect the inverter to the LIFT sensor assembly [3].
  - 2.3.1. Talent pointing to the laptop, car battery, and inverter securely on the robot's top platform.
  - 2.3.2. Talent connecting the power inverter input cables to the car battery terminals.
  - 2.3.3. Talent plugging the LIFT sensor assembly cable into the output port of the power inverter.
- 2.4. Next, position the GNSS antenna kit on top of the robot [1], connect the antenna kit to the laptop computer [2], and start the GNSS logger desktop client and adjust the desired settings [3-TXT].
  - 2.4.1. Talent placing the GNSS antenna kit flat on top of the robot.
  - 2.4.2. Talent plugging in the antenna cable into the laptop.
  - 2.4.3. SCREEN: Show the GNSS logger desktop client interface being opened and

activated on the laptop. 2025-12-08 09-37-35\_step\_2-4-3\_high\_resolution\_NC.mp4 00:02-00:19. **TXT: Do not look directly into the excitation beam**

- 2.5. The quantity directly measured by the LIFT sensor is the chlorophyll fluorescence yield, which is the increase in total chlorophyll fluorescence due to the excitation beam for each flashlet [1]. To calculate the quantum efficiency of the photosystem II (2), use the given formula [2]. The total duration of one chlorophyll fluorescence measurement, including the relaxation phase, is around 21 milliseconds, with the 300 flashlet excitation phase lasting only 750 microseconds [3].

- 2.5.1. SCREEN: Visualize the excitation pattern and increase in chlorophyll fluorescence signal per flashlet in the LIFT sensor software. 2025-12-08 10-03-55\_step\_2-5-1\_high\_resolution\_NC\_short.mp4 00:02-00:09

- 2.5.2. TEXT ON PLAIN BACKGROUND:

$$F_q'/F_m' = (F_m' - F') / F_m'$$

Where,  $F'$ : The ChlF yield of the 1st flashlet

$F_m'$ : The average of the ChlF yields of the 301<sup>st</sup> and the 302<sup>nd</sup> flashlets

- 2.5.3. SCREEN: Highlight the data showing the 750 microsecond excitation phase and the complete 21 millisecond measurement cycle. 2025-12-08 10-22-20\_step\_2-5-3\_high\_resolution\_NC.mp4, 00:02-00:23. **NOTE from the videographer: The 750 microsecond excitation phase is shown with the mouse from 00:09 to 00:12. The complete 21 millisecond measurement cycle is shown with the mouse from 00:14 to 00:22. To show the 21 millisecond measurement cycle, the mouse is deliberately moved beyond the right margin of the plot because the x axis of the plot only goes until 7.5 milliseconds**

- 2.6. Next, manually drive the robot to the beginning of the first row of plots in the experimental field using the remote controller [1].

- 2.6.1. Talent guiding the robot forward with the remote controller toward the first plot row.

- 2.7. Activate the measuring script for both the LIFT sensor and the spectrometer in continuous mode [1].

- 2.7.1. SCREEN: Show the desktop interface where the measuring script is launched, and continuous mode is selected for both devices. 2025-12-08 09-52-29\_step\_2-7-1\_high\_resolution\_NC.mp4, 00:02-00:24. **NOTE from the videographer: Please do not cut before 00:24 so that those watching the video see that the excitation pattern in the bottom-left pane appears only after START was pressed.**

- 2.8. Start the autonomous robot navigation at a velocity of 0.5 meters per second using the robot control website [1].
- 2.8.1. SCREEN: Show the robot control website interface, with the speed set to 0.5 meters per second and the start command executed. **NOTE: No screen video, step was filmed off the smartphone display by the videographer using his camera.**
- 2.9. During measurement, periodically check the plot representing the chlorophyll fluorescence yield over time in the LIFT sensor desktop client to confirm the expected shape [1]. If signals appear too weak, adjust the sensor gain accordingly [2].
- 2.9.1. SCREEN: Display the real-time chlorophyll fluorescence yield plot within the LIFT sensor software interface. 2025-12-08 10-41-06\_step\_2-9-1\_2-9-2\_high\_resolution\_NC\_ChIF\_vs\_flashlet\_number\_best.mp4, 00:03-00:03.
- 2.9.2. SCREEN: Show the gain adjustment panel in the interface, with talent increasing the gain slider. 2025-12-08 10-41-06\_step\_2-9-1\_2-9-2\_high\_resolution\_NC\_ChIF\_vs\_flashlet\_number\_best.mp4, 00:04-00:24. **NOTE from the videographer: At the beginning, the gain is too low (1), and the plot appears not very smooth. Then the gain is adjusted to an adequate value (100), resulting in a smooth plot with the correct shape. After 00:14, the gain is set to a too high value (500), resulting in a plot with a poor shape. In the end, the gain is again set to an appropriate value (100), resulting in a smooth plot with the correct shape. Showing what happens if the gain is set too high was not requested in the script, but it would be good to do so. If you do not want to show what happens if the gain is set too high (since it was not requested in the script), cut at 00:14.**
- 2.10. Periodically hold the white reference panel under the excitation beam at the height of the crop canopy [1] while the robot is turning at the field border [2]. During the summer, 36 soybean breeding lines were measured in two experimental field. The acquired data was presented in the associated manuscript”
- 2.10.1. Talent placing the white reference panel directly under the excitation beam while standing beside the turning robot.
- 2.10.2. Show the robot driving through the rows and turning at the end of the row

### **3. Data Integration and Preprocessing**

**Demonstrator:** Beat Keller

- 3.1. Use the data.table (*data table*) package in R to read in the LIFT transient and spectral data from all files ending in \_data.csv (*data-C-S-V*) and \_spectral.csv (*spectral-C-S-V*) [1].
  - 3.1.1. SCREEN: Display the R console with the script reading multiple \*\_data.csv and \*\_spectral.csv files using the data.table package. R\_start.mp4, 00:06-00:21 and R\_end.mp4, 00:20-00:24.
- 3.2. Read in the GNSS data, weather data, the .geojson (*geo-J-son*) plot maps, and the experimental design file [1].
  - 3.2.1. SCREEN: Show all the corresponding data files being imported into R, including visual confirmation of .geojson maps and experimental layout. R\_end.mp4, 00:27-00:48.
- 3.3. Extract the the quantum efficiency of the photosystem II (*2*) ratio from each recorded LIFT transient [1].
  - 3.3.1. SCREEN: Show the R script parsing LIFT transient data and extracting  $F_q'/F_m'$  from each record. R\_end.mp4, 00:50-01:20.
- 3.4. Then, determine the robot's heading based on consecutive GNSS positions [1]. Merge the GNSS data with the experimental design using the assigned plot identifiers to associate spatial locations with treatment and replication information [2].
  - 3.4.1. SCREEN: Display a GNSS dataset with sequential positions used to calculate robot heading. R\_end.mp4, 00:50-01:20.
  - 3.4.2. SCREEN: Show a merged dataset linking GNSS coordinates to plot identifiers, treatment types, and block designations. R\_end.mp4, 01:35-01:47.
- 3.5. Filter the white reference measurements from the spectral reflectance dataset [1] and merge these measurements with the PPFR data using timestamps to create a lookup table that links spectral reflectance to different incident light intensities [2].
  - 3.5.1. SCREEN: Display filtered rows from the spectral dataset showing only white reference entries. R\_end.mp4, 01:48-01:52.
  - 3.5.2. SCREEN: Show the creation of a timestamp-aligned lookup table combining spectral reflectance and PPFR data. R\_end.mp4, 01:54-01:59.
- 3.6. Now, apply corrections to the raw spectral reflectance data using the generated lookup table [1].

- 3.6.1. SCREEN: Display the spectral dataset before and after correction based on the lookup values. R\_end.mp4, 02:02-02:05.
- 3.7. Extract genotype-specific photosynthetic response trends from the outlier-filtered chlorophyll fluorescence dataset with the model [1].
- 3.7.1. TEXT ON PLAIN BACKGROUND:
- $$Fq'/Fm' = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{ Date} + \beta_2 (\text{Heading} \times \text{Hour}) + \beta_3 (\text{Genotype} \times \text{PPFR}) + \beta_4 \text{ MTCI} + \beta_5 \text{ PPFR} + \epsilon$$
- Where,  $\beta_3$  can be the slope or 'response of  $Fq'/Fm'$  to increasing incident PPFR
- 3.8. Estimate the slope of the genotype-by-PPFR interaction term using the emtrends (*E-M-trends*) function in R to quantify genotype-specific irradiance response [1]. The model includes MTCI to account for variations in chlorophyll content and canopy structure [2].
- 3.8.1. SCREEN: Show the R script running emtrends with a focus on the  $\beta_3$  slope term for Genotype  $\times$  PPFR. R\_end.mp4, 02:05-02:11.
- 3.8.2. SCREEN: Highlight the summary output of the model, with slope estimates linked to each genotype. R\_end.mp4, 02:14-02:25.

Videographer's NOTE: Additional soybean sequences during summer (the common time for executing this protocol)

PXL\_20250627\_093607575.TS\_screen.mp4

PXL\_20250723\_142759363.TS.mp4

PXL\_20250723\_095824228.TS.mp4

PXL\_20250723\_072241842.TS

PXL\_20250723\_112844824.TS

Videographer's NOTE: Additional soybean sequences during summer: Dr. Andreas Hund (Co-Author) must be credited in the video when using these sequences. <https://polybox.ethz.ch/index.php/s/7sRgAPEAMtMtoHa>

Parts of this material is openly available under: [https://oc-aem-dist-downloads.ethz.ch/mh\\_default\\_org/oaipmh-cq5/d15c9377-ca72-480d-91fe-18b6f3949ceb/104cee7b-ee0b-44db-9320-9c294e975df4/LIFTRoverBeat\\_V05\\_FIN.mp4](https://oc-aem-dist-downloads.ethz.ch/mh_default_org/oaipmh-cq5/d15c9377-ca72-480d-91fe-18b6f3949ceb/104cee7b-ee0b-44db-9320-9c294e975df4/LIFTRoverBeat_V05_FIN.mp4)



# Results

---

## 4. Results

- 4.1. The georeferenced dataset revealed strong spatial patterns in both quantum efficiency of photosystem II (2) [1] and normalized difference vegetation index across the experimental fields due to different genotypes grown in the plots and likely additional soil and field heterogeneity [2].
  - 4.1.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. *Video editor: Zoom in on the top panel showing  $F_q'/F_m'$  values*
  - 4.1.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. *Video editor: Zoom in on the bottom panel showing NDVI*
- 4.2. Row-wise spatial patterns were partially explained by the heading direction of the field robot causing shading of the target leaves at a specific time of the day [1].
  - 4.2.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 3.
- 4.3. Linear mixed-effects modeling of the season-long responses of quantum efficiency of photosystem II to increasing incident photosynthetic photon flux rate or PPFR revealed pronounced field-level heterogeneity, explaining a substantial portion of the variance in the dataset.[1].
  - 4.3.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4A.
- 4.4. The extracted genotype-specific slopes showed clear differences among breeding lines [1], with several lines exhibiting steeper or flatter response curves of quantum efficiency of photosystem II to increasing light intensities compared to the panel average [2].
  - 4.4.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4B. *Video editor: Highlight the colored dots in both top and bottom panels*
  - 4.4.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4C. *Video editor: Show the colored lines in the middle (the lines indicate the slope)*
- 4.5. Three-dimensional canopy reconstructions using the MAST3R (mas-T-3-R) algorithm showed the potential of integrating physiological and structural phenotyping [1].
  - 4.5.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 5. *Video editor: Highlight B and C*

1. Photosynthetic  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/photosynthetic>  
IPA: /ˌfoʊ.doʊ.sɪnˈθetɪk/  
Phonetic Spelling: foh·doh·sin·thet·ik
2. Chlorophyll  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/chlorophyll>  
IPA: /ˈklɒr.ə.fil/  
Phonetic Spelling: klor·uh·fil
3. Fluorescence  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/fluorescence>  
IPA: /ˌflɒrˈɛs.əns/  
Phonetic Spelling: floor·ess·uhns
4. Quantum  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/quantum>  
IPA: /ˈkwɑːn.təm/  
Phonetic Spelling: kwahn·tuhm
5. Photosystem  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/photosystem>  
IPA: /ˌfoʊ.toʊ.sɪs.təm/  
Phonetic Spelling: foh·toh·sis·tuhm
6. Spectrometer  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/spectrometer>  
IPA: /spekˈtrɑː.mə.tər/  
Phonetic Spelling: spek·trah·muh·ter
7. Autonomous  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/autonomous>  
IPA: /ɔːˈtɑː.nə.məs/  
Phonetic Spelling: aw·tah·nuh·muhs
8. Excitation  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/excitation>  
IPA: /ˌɛk.sarˈteɪ.ʃən/  
Phonetic Spelling: ek·sai·tay·shuhn
9. Microseconds  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/microsecond>  
IPA: /ˈmaɪ.kroʊ.sɛk.ənd/  
Phonetic Spelling: my·kroh·sek·uhnd
10. GeoJSON  
Pronunciation link: No confirmed link found  
IPA: /ˈdʒiː.oʊ.dʒeɪ.sən/  
Phonetic Spelling: jee·oh·jay·sahn
11. Genotype  
Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/genotype>  
IPA: /ˈdʒiː.nə.taɪp/  
Phonetic Spelling: jee·nuh·type

12. Irradiance

Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/irradiance>

IPA: /ɪˈreɪ.di.əns/

Phonetic Spelling: ih·ray·dee·uhns

13. Heterogeneity

Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/heterogeneity>

IPA: /ˌhet.ə.rə.dʒəˈniː.ə.ti/

Phonetic Spelling: het·uh·ruh·jee·nee·uh·tee

14. Phenotyping

Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/phenotyping>

IPA: /ˈfiː.noʊˌtaɪ.pɪŋ/

Phonetic Spelling: fee·noh·type·ing

15. Algorithm

Pronunciation link: <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/algorithm>

IPA: /ˈæl.gəˌrɪð.əm/

Phonetic Spelling: al·guh·rih·thuhm