

Submission ID #: 68207

Scriptwriter Name: Sulakshana Karkala

Project Page Link: https://review.jove.com/account/file-uploader?src=20803183

Title: Generation of Monoclonal Cultures from *Wolbachia*-Infected *Drosophila melanogaster* JW18 Cell Line

Authors and Affiliations: Navina Mable Francis^{1,2}, Ewa Chrostek^{1,3}

¹Institute of Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Jagiellonian University
²Jagiellonian University, Doctoral School of Exact and Natural Sciences
³Department of Evolution, Ecology and Behaviour, Institute of Infection,
Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool

Corresponding Authors:

Ewa Chrostek ewa.chrostek@uj.edu.pl

Email Addresses for All Authors:

Navina Mable Francis navina.francis@doctoral.uj.edu.pl

Ewa Chrostek ewa.chrostek@uj.edu.pl



Author Questionnaire

1. Microscopy: Does your protocol require the use of a dissecting or stereomicroscope for performing a complex dissection, microinjection technique, or something similar? **Yes**

Videographer: Please capture the videos for the shots labelled SCOPE, using a SCOPE KIT

SCOPE: 2.5.3, 2.11.1

2. Software: Does the part of your protocol being filmed include step-by-step descriptions of software usage? **No**

3. Filming location: Will the filming need to take place in multiple locations? No

Current Protocol Length

Number of Steps: 14 Number of Shots: 28



Introduction

Videographer: Obtain headshots for all authors available at the filming location.

- 1.1. Navina Mable Francis: We aim to generate monoclonal JW18 cell lines to study Wolbachia phenotypes in homogeneous cell populations. These should have consistent characteristics and produce less experimental variability than mixed cell populations.
 - 1.1.1. INTERVIEW: Named Talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera. *Suggested B.roll:3.1*

What advantage does your protocol offer compared to other techniques?

- 1.2. <u>Navina Mable Francis:</u> Our protocol is comparatively low-cost, avoids specialized equipment, minimizes cell stress, and enables reproducible generation of clonal insect cell cultures.
 - 1.2.1. INTERVIEW: Named Talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

What new scientific questions have your results paved the way for?

- 1.3. <u>Ewa Chrostek:</u> We will be able to follow evolution of Wolbachia bacteria in monoclonal cell lines, and estimate the rate of Drosophila genome evolution in cell culture.
 - 1.3.1. INTERVIEW: Named Talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera.

Videographer: Obtain headshots for all authors available at the filming location.



Protocol

2. Preparation and Clonal Expansion of JW18 *Drosophila* Cells Using Conditioned Medium in a 96-Well Format

Demonstrator: Navina Mable Francis

NOTE: scope kit was not needed as the microscope in the laboratory was electronic and displayed an image on a screen. Extra shots are mostly close ups with no extra action

- **2.1.** To begin, prepare fresh culture medium by supplementing Shield and Sang Insect medium with 10 percent FBS [1].
 - 2.1.1. WIDE: Talent preparing medium by adding fetal bovine serum to a bottle of Shield and Sang Insect medium using a serological pipette. NOTE: 2.1.1 is splitted for two (WIDE and close up- 2.1.1.A)
- 2.2. Using a 25 square centimeter flask, grow JW18 cells in fresh FBS-supplemented Shield and Sang Insect medium at 25 degrees Celsius [1]. Then, scrape the cells from the flask, resuspend them in fresh medium, add cells to a new flask, and label it [2]. Incubate the flask until the cells reach confluency, approximately 1 week [3]. NOTE: The VO is edited for additional shots
 - 2.2.1. Talent pipetting culture medium into a flask and adding JW18 cells. Added shot: Talent scraping the cells off the flask, resuspending and adding cells to new flask and labelling the new flask. NOTE: Shots are slated as 2.2.1A, 2.2.1B and 2.2.1C
 - 2.2.2. Shot of the confluent culture flasks. NOTE: extra shot to put after 2.2.2. is: 2.2.2.A
- 2.3. Now, transfer the cell culture media from the flask to a 50-milliliter tube [1]. Filter the 1-week-old spent medium first through a 5-micrometer sterile filter [2] followed by a 0.2-micrometer sterile filter fitted to a 50-milliliter syringe to remove *Wolbachia*, cell debris, and other contaminants [3]. NOTE: The VO is edited for additional shots

Added shot: Talent moving cell culture media from flask to a 50 mL tube. NOTE: Slated as 2.3.1

- 2.3.1. Talent filtering the culture through 5 μm sterile filter attached to 50 mL syringe. NOTE: Slated as 2.3.1A
- 2.3.2. Shot of the filtrate being filtered through 0.2 μm sterile filter attached to 50 mL



syringe.

- **2.4.** Now with a serological pipette, pipette 6 milliliters of filtered spent medium and 24 milliliters of fresh medium to prepare conditioned medium [1-TXT].
 - 2.4.1. Talent pipetting spent and fresh medium into a labelled conical tube and mixing gently. **TXT: Total volume: 30 mL per well plate**
- 2.5. For the preparation of JW18 cell suspension, use a cell scraper to scrape the cells from a confluent, 1-week-old flask [1]. Resuspend them using a serological pipette [2]. Count the cells using a Neubauer chamber [3]. Dilute the suspension with conditioned medium to a final concentration of 2000 cells per milliliter [4].

<u>Videographer</u>: Please capture the videos for the shots labeled SCOPE, using a scope kit

- 2.5.1. Talent scraping cells from a flask.
- 2.5.2. Talent pipetting scraped culture into a tube. NOTE: extra shot to put after 2.5.2 named as 2.5.3.0
- 2.5.3. SCOPE: The cell suspension in a Neubauer chamber is being seen. NOTE: extra shots to put after 2.5.3 it is 2.5.3.A and 2.5.3.B
- 2.5.4. Talent preparing the diluted culture.
- 2.6. Transfer the conditioned medium to a reagent reservoir [1]. Then use a multichannel pipette to add 100 microliters of conditioned medium to each well of a 96-well plate, except well A1 [2].
 - 2.6.1. Talent pouring medium into a reagent reservoir. NOTE: extra shot to put after 2.6.1 named as 2.6.1.A
 - 2.6.2. Talent using multichannel pipette to dispense medium into the 96-well plate, skipping well A1.
- 2.7. Now pipette 200 microliters of the cell suspension to well A1 [1]. Using a single-channel pipette, transfer 100 microliters from well A1 to well B1 and mix gently to avoid bubbles [2]. Discard the final 100 microliters from the last well [3]. NOTE: The VO is edited for the deleted shot
 - 2.7.1. Talent pipetting cell suspension into well A1.
 - 2.7.2. Talent performing serial dilution with careful mixing. NOTE: 2.7.2 and 2.7.3 are combined in a single shot
 - 2.7.3. Shot of wells in Column 1 containing diluted cell suspension. NOTE: Not filmed
 - 2.7.4. Talent discarding final volume after last well.



- 2.8. Next, add 100 microliters of conditioned medium to each well in the first column to achieve a volume of 200 microliters and mix [1]. With a multichannel pipette, transfer 100 microliters from the first column to the second and mix gently [2].
 - 2.8.1. Talent adding 100 microliters of conditioned medium to wells of the first column and mixing.
 - 2.8.2. Talent transferring 100 microliters from column 1 to the 2nd column.
- 2.9. Repeat dilutions for columns 1 to 12 across the plate [1]. After mixing, discard 100 microliters from the last column so each well contains 100 microliters [2]. Add another 100 microliters of culture medium to bring the final volume to 200 microliters per well [2].
 - 2.9.1. Talent diluting samples across the plate.
 - 2.9.2. Talent discarding final volume from column 12.
 - 2.9.3. Talent topping up wells to final volume with culture medium.
- 2.10. Cover the plate, seal it with parafilm, and label it appropriately [1].
 - 2.10.1. Talent sealing plate with parafilm and applying label.
- 2.11. Next, observe the plate under a microscope, scanning each well and its edges to confirm the presence of single cells [1]. Record the well number of each single cell on a 96-well plate map on paper [2].
 - 2.11.1. SCOPE: Microscope view of single cells in a well, with zoom on edges. NOTE: extra shot to put after 2.11.1 named as 2.11.1.A
 - 2.11.2. Talent marking well locations on a printed plate map.
- 2.12. After the identification of single cells, place the plate in an incubator set to 25 degrees Celsius [1-TXT].
 - 2.12.1. Talent placing sealed plate in incubator. TXT: Monitor the wells every 2 days
- 2.13. Inspect the wells every 2 to 3 days for bacterial contamination and to ensure the medium has not dried out [1]. Top up with fresh medium if needed [2].
 - 2.13.1. Talent examining wells closely for contamination.
 - 2.13.2. Talent pipetting fresh medium into drying wells.
- 2.14. Once the cells reach confluence in the 96-well plate, transfer them to a 24-well plate



- [1]. Subsequently, transfer the cells to a 6-well plate and finally to a flask [2].
- 2.14.1. Talent pipetting confluent cells into a 24-well plate. NOTE: extra CLOSE UP shots to mix with wider but describing this same procedure 2.14.1 named as 2.14.1.A
- 2.14.2. Talent transferring cells to a 6-well plate. NOTE: series of extra CLOSE UPs shots to mix with wider but describing this same procedure 2.14.2 named as 2.14.2.A, 2.14.2.B and 2.14.2.C



Results

3. Results

- **3.1.** The first signs of cell division were observed on day 3, with wells containing approximately four cells each [1]. By day 14, clonal growth was observed either as a monolayer [2], or as a sphere in JW18-G4 (*J-W-Eighteen-G-Four*) [3].
 - 3.1.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the day 3 row
 - 3.1.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the day 14 images for JW18-B9, JW18-C7, and JW18-E5
 - 3.1.3. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the day 14 image for JW18-G4
- 3.2. On day 28, visible differences in growth rates were most apparent among the clones [1]. The JW18-C7 (*J-W-Eighteen-C-Seven*) clone reached confluence by day 45 [2], while the remaining clones achieved continuous growth only by day 77 [3].
 - 3.2.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the day 28 row
 - 3.2.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the JW18-C7 image in the day 45 row
 - 3.2.3. LAB MEDIA: Figure 2. Video editor: Highlight the images of JW18-B9, JW18-E5, and JW18-G4 in the day 77 row
- 3.3. By the 30th passage, the four established clones displayed distinct cell morphologies [1].
 - 3.3.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 3. Video editor: Sequentially highlight each image panel
- 3.4. PCR analysis detected *Wolbachia wsp (W-S-P)* gene in all clones except JW18-C7, indicating its loss of infection [1]. FISH *(Fish)* analysis confirmed Wolbachia presence in JW18-B9, JW18-E5, and JW18-G4, but not in JW18-C7 [2].
 - 3.4.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4. Video editor: Highlight the top panel and focus on the absence of the band for JW18-C7 compared to other lanes.
 - 3.4.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 5. Video editor: In the "Merged" column, highlight the magenta signals corresponding to Wolbachia in rows JW18-B9, JW18-E5, and JW18-G4.

1. serological

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/serological



IPA: /ˌsɛrəˈladʒɪkəl/

Phonetic Spelling: seh-ruh-LAH-juh-kul

2. Neubauer

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Neubauer

IPA: /ˈnɔɪˌbaʊər/

Phonetic Spelling: nohy-BOW-er

3. confluent

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/confluent IPA: /ˈkɒnfluənt/ (or in American accent /ˈkɒnfluənt/)

Phonetic Spelling: KON-flu-ent

4. parafilm

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Parafilm

IPA: /ˈpærəˌfɪlm/

Phonetic Spelling: PAIR-uh-film

5. Wolbachia

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/Wolbachia

IPA: / wolbæk'iə/ (American: / wɔl'bæk.i.ə/)

Phonetic Spelling: wol-BAK-ee-uh

6. fetal

Pronunciation link:

https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/fetal

IPA: /ˈfitəl/

Phonetic Spelling: FEE-tul

7. JW18

No confirmed link found (this is a specific clone/strain identifier, not a standard dictionary entry)

IPA: /dʒeɪ-dʌbəl.juː-eɪˈtiːn/

Phonetic Spelling: jey-DOUB-yoo-ay-EEN