

Dr. Carlos Bas-Orth  
Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology  
Dept. of Medical Cell Biology  
University of Heidelberg  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 307  
69120 Heidelberg  
Germany

Nilanjana Saha, PhD  
Review Editor  
JoVE  
nilanjana.saha@jove.com

**RE: Manuscript JoVE63073**

Dear Dr. Saha,

We would like to thank you and the reviewers for the careful and very detailed review of our manuscript. We appreciate your insightful comments that we think helped to improve the manuscript. As per your and the reviewers' suggestions, we made several additions and changes to the text, figure legends and figures, to make the protocol easier to follow and implement by a wide range of interested readers. Please see below for a detailed point-by-point response to the individual comments.

We hope that the manuscript is now acceptable for publication in the Journal of Visualized Experiments and we look forward to recording the associated video part.

With kind regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CB Orth', written in a cursive style.

Carlos Bas Orth

## Point-by-point response to editorial and reviewers' comments:

### Editorial comments:

Changes to be made by the Author(s):

1. Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread the manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammar issues.

We carefully proofread the manuscript.

2. Please try to make the Title a little more concise. For example, “Live Imaging of the Mitochondrial Glutathione Redox State in Primary Rat Cortical Neurons using a Ratiometric Indicator”.

We changed the title accordingly.

3. Please rephrase the Summary to clearly describe the protocol and its applications in complete sentences between 10-50 words: “The present protocol describes. ...”. Here the word limit is exceeding.

We rephrased the summary to adhere to the word limit.

4. Please revise the text to avoid the use of any personal pronouns (e.g., "we", "you", "our" etc.).

We revised the text to avoid use of personal pronouns as much as possible.

5. Please ensure that abbreviations are defined at first usage.

We double-checked that abbreviations are defined at first usage.

6. JoVE cannot publish manuscripts containing commercial language. This includes trademark symbols (™), registered symbols (®), and company names before an instrument or reagent. Please remove all commercial language from your manuscript and use generic terms instead. All commercial products should be sufficiently referenced in the Table of Materials (including reagents, instruments, software, etc.). Please sort the Materials Table alphabetically by the name of the material.

For example, Sigma-Aldrich, Carl Roth, Parafilm, Falcon, Fiji, Leica, Nikon, Hamamatsu, TokaiHit, ImageJ, etc.

Company names before instruments have been removed. They are now mentioned in the materials list.

7. Introduction: Please support the statements of Paragraph 1 with more published References.

We now included additional references.

8. Please note that your protocol will be used to generate the script for the video and must contain everything that you would like shown in the video. Please ensure you answer the “how” question, i.e., how is the step performed? Alternatively, add references to published material specifying how to perform the protocol action. There should be enough detail in each step to supplement the actions seen in the video so that viewers can easily replicate the protocol.

We double-checked the protocol and we are confident that it includes sufficient detail to allow readers to easily replicate the protocol.

9. Please add more details to your protocol steps:

Line 119/131: How long these solutions can be stored?

Line 140: Please specify how the mixing is done.

Line 145: Please specify on what basis the sucrose concentration should be modulated.

We now added the requested details. We are confident that a scientist with a basic laboratory training will be able to calculate and measure osmolarity.

10. Please include one-line space between each protocol step and then highlight in yellow up to 3 pages of the Protocol (including headings and spacing) that identifies the essential steps of the protocol for the video, i.e., the steps that should be visualized to tell the most cohesive story of the Protocol. Remember that non-highlighted Protocol steps will remain in the manuscript, and therefore will still be available to the reader.

Individual steps of the protocol were separated by one-line spaces and the steps that should be visualized in the video had been highlighted in yellow in the submitted manuscript already. We are not exactly sure what we should change here. Please specify.

11. Please ensure that the highlighted steps form a cohesive narrative with a logical flow from one highlighted step to the next and is in line with the Title of the manuscript. Please highlight complete sentences (not parts of sentences). Please ensure that the highlighted part of the step includes at least one action that is written in the imperative tense.

The highlighted steps conform with these guidelines.

12. Please provide reprint permissions for the reuse of the Figures.

The figures are from one of our own publications in the journal Antioxidants and Redox Signaling which grants authors the right to reuse figures and text without the need to obtain a specific permission, and from an article published with open access under a Creative Commons CC-BY license that allows reuse of the material without the requirement of obtaining permission from the publisher. Therefore, we cannot obtain reprint permission from the publishers. Instead, we uploaded screenshots from the respective permission request websites.

13. Discussion: Please support the statements of Paragraph 1 with more published References.

We now added more references to support our statements.

14. Figure 5C: Please provide the y-axis description.

The y-axis description is different for each of the three traces depicting three different modalities. For clarity and readability, we added a description to the figure caption, rather than adding three labels to the axis in the figure. Please let us know if you agree.

15. Please spell out the journal titles in the References.

As discussed, this will be done by the editorial office. Thank you for your support.

---

#### **Reviewers' comments:**

##### **Reviewer #1:**

Manuscript Summary:

Oxidative stress is implicated in normal aging and is a major contributor to cell damage and death in most neurodegenerative diseases. Oxidative stress can result from increased reactive oxygen species production, decreased antioxidant levels/activity or combinations of both. The

mechanisms by which reactive oxygen species imbalances occur are largely unknown, and they may vary with disease pathology. Thus, there is a tremendous need for methods that facilitate identification of oxidative stress sources and methods to moderate efficacy of antioxidant strategies. Mitochondria are major reactive oxygen species producers; accordingly, they have relatively high levels of antioxidants. They are also the gatekeepers of intrinsic apoptosis, which is triggered when oxidative stress becomes insurmountable. The authors present a powerful and elegant method for characterizing the contribution of mitochondrial glutathione activity, which can be observed along with mitochondrial morphology and membrane potential in living neurons. This method allows the researcher to track the progression of mitochondrial stress and the effects of intervention in real time. This protocol is suitable for characterizing steady-state glutathione redox status as well as for observations of drug application therapies for disease models. Thank you for your kind evaluation of our manuscript. We are glad to see that our protocol is considered highly relevant and useful by an independent expert.

#### Major Concerns:

Section 6.1.6. Manually adjusting the threshold will affect results. Is it possible for the experimenter to always use "Auto" or use a manually established threshold that can be applied to all images?

We agree that manually adjusting the threshold will in principle affect the results. In our hands, however, the 405:488 nm ratio is remarkably robust to moderate changes in lower and upper threshold settings used to select pixels for analysis. To reduce any potential bias introduced by the observer, we agree that using the same method for all images is important. Because using a manually established global threshold for all images can often be problematic (e.g. due to image-to-image variation in uneven illumination or background intensities), an automated threshold determination is highly preferred (see for example: <https://petebankhead.gitbooks.io/imagej-intro/content/chapters/thresholding/thresholding.html>)

We now included a note in step 6.1.6. to provide further guidance for the readers.

#### Minor Concerns:

- Confirm copyright use for previously published data.

We had confirmed permission before using published data in our manuscript and we now mention this in the figure legends. In fact, one published figure is from one of our own publications and can be re-used by us in line with our author copyright agreement. The data in Hansen 2004 was published as open access under a creative commons license which permits re-use as long as the original source is cited.

- It is unclear from where in Hansen et al., 2004 the data in figure 1B come. Specify the figure from which the data came, and explain how the original data were modified to create figure 1B. Are fluorescence emissions similar for roGFP1 (Hansen et al.) and roGFP2 (here)?

The data were drawn based on figure 1B in Hansen 2004. We now added this information to the figure legend. Figure 1B in Hansen 2004 shows roGFP2 emissions, whereas Figure 1A in Hansen 2004 shows roGFP1 emissions.

- Add citation for source that reports mitochondrial GSH concentrations (lines 59-60).

We now added the citation for the 1-5 mM GSH concentrations. In addition, we performed an additional literature search and found publications that provide estimates of up to 15 mM GSH in mitochondria. We now cite an additional publication and we changed the concentration range to 1-15 mM accordingly.

- Section 2. Indicate at what temperature this procedure should be carried out.

TMRE is added to the cells under a tissue culture laminar flow hood (we now added this information) and then are placed back into the incubator for dye loading. Therefore, loading occurs at 37°C, but we don't think it is necessary to mention that a cell culture incubator for primary neurons should be set to 37°C.

- Section 3. Indicate temperature at which this step should be carried out.

Similar to electrophysiological recordings or calcium imaging, experiments with roGFP can be performed at room temperature or at 35-37°C. The sensor itself works under both conditions, but obviously cell biology (enzyme and signaling kinetics, mitochondrial motility and dynamics, neuronal activity) will differ. It is up to the user to decide between technically more simple imaging at room temperature or physiologically more relevant imaging at 37°C.

We now added a note to section 3 to point this out.

Should the user perform optimization steps before each experiment?

This is not necessary. Once the microscope settings have been optimized for an experimental setting involving a certain microscope and a certain method for expression, the same settings can typically be used for subsequent experiments. We now added this information to the text.

Should the user expect detectable differences in transfection efficiency between experiments?

In our opinion, this strongly depends on the method of gene transfer (lipofection, calcium phosphate transfection, viral gene transfer) and the experience of the researcher in handling primary neurons and performing gene transfer. In our hands, an experienced researcher using an established transfection or infection protocol typically obtains comparable efficiency between experiments. However, given the above mentioned considerations, we cannot provide a generalized statement here.

Would varying transfection efficiencies affect reproducibility?

As long as a sufficient number of cells is transfected and can be imaged per experiment, varying transfection efficiencies should not affect reproducibility. This might, however, depend on the specific context of the users' experiments. Therefore, we prefer to not give a general statement.

- Section 3.9. Explain how the user is to determine signal-to-noise ratio. If this information is not provided by the imaging system, can the user calculate it?

We now added instructions on how to calculate the signal-to-noise ratio.

Also, are the target signal intensities for each fluorophore average intensities for the entire image? Please clarify.

The target signal intensities are average intensities within single-cell or single-mitochondria ROIs. We now added this information to the text.

- Section 3.14. Indicate what values in the data table represent the 405:488 ratio.

We are not sure what is meant by data table in this context. As described in section 6, the user will create a 405:488 ratio image and measure the ratio in individual ROIs. These values represent the 405:488 ratio. The values will be exported to a spreadsheet software and it is up to the user how they organize their spreadsheet.

- Section 6.2.2. It appears that results should be exported before measuring ROIs in the thresholded 405 and 488 nm images. Data disappear when new measurements are taken. Whether data are deleted from the results window or new measurements are added below existing data depends on the settings selected in FIJI. Nevertheless, to make sure new users don't lose their data, we now added an "export data" step after each measurement.

- Section 6.2.3 and 4. Explain how the same ROIs can be added to the thresholded 405 and 488 nm images.

When following the protocol and using the procedure described in step 6.2.2 (ROI Manager | ctrl+A to select all ROIs | More | Multi Measure), the same ROIs from the ROI manager will automatically applied to the 405 and 488 nm images. We now added a note to specify this.

- Section 6.2.7. Provide step-by-step instructions on how to generate XY-graphs for 405 and 488 nm traces. Explain how to interpret the graphs to verify that there is no bleaching, etc.

The term XY-graph may be misleading (readers might think it involves plotting 405 vs 488 nm intensities). We apologize for this and now rephrased the instruction to: "Generate intensity vs time plots of the 405 and 488 nm traces."

We also added an example to explain what we mean with "traces move into opposite directions".

- It would be helpful if authors provided steps for measuring mitochondrial morphology using roGFP.

We now describe steps for measuring morphology in parallel to roGFP intensity (see new section 6.4).

- Figure 3B,C and line 413. The graphs do not convince the reader that there is a statistically significant difference between conditions.

We agree that the conditions are not statistically different, and in the manuscript we don't claim they are. Moreover, as detailed in the figure legend, the data are based on three replicate coverslips per condition from one preparation of primary neurons. To make any meaningful claims about statistics, the experiment would have to be repeated several times with cells from independent neuronal preparations. However, the aim of this figure and, in fact, the whole article, is not to present new scientific findings, but is instead to provide a protocol of how to perform a specific method and to present typical results that can be obtained in an experiment. As described in the text and legend, in this example of quantifying basal redox states under different conditions, we simply show that in this specific experiment after data normalization a subgroup of oxidized cells can be identified in one of the conditions.

- Lines 509-510. Are Grx1-roGFP2 constructs targeted to to presynaptic terminals or dendritic spines commercially available? If so, from where?

We are not aware of commercially available constructs. However, they can be generated by standard molecular biology techniques.

## **Reviewer #2:**

### **Manuscript Summary:**

Authors detail in this manuscript the ability and use of Grx1-roGFP2 to measure redox changes related to GSH/GSSG variations. Experimental and analysis details are provided, to facilitate both the performance of experimental acquisitions and the processing of images and data

analysis. It is relevant that authors do highlight several details to be considered, such as the influence of pH or the need to calibrate the sensor and check redox detection limits, a feature that is not always included in analyses performed with sensors as the ones. Below, some comments intend to implement several considerations:

Thank you for your kind evaluation of our manuscript. Please find below our answers to your specific queries.

#### Major Concerns:

1. Please elaborate on key features of Grx1-roGFP2 as a tool, i.e. dynamic range, bleaching, stability, etc. Other similar probes should be also better referred to measure general redox status and GSH/GSSG pair. Alternatives such as the close similar Grx1-roCherry (Shokhina et al., 2019) should be briefly referred and compared. It is relevant to discuss and highlight that procedures described in this manuscript may be extended with little changes to the use of other probes.

We agree that information on biophysical properties of a sensor are important for evaluating its performance and limitations. As we understand, however, the aim of this article is to specifically present a detailed but concise protocol on how to perform Grx1-roGFP2 live imaging in cultured neurons. It is not supposed to be a review about roGFP. For biophysical details of the sensor, the interested reader is referred to a number of excellent articles by the developers of the sensor that are cited in the manuscript. Likewise, we think the article should not be a general review of fluorescent redox sensors. Several excellent reviews on that topic are available in the literature and we do cite one in the revised manuscript. We do not have practical experience with Grx1-roCherry or rxYFP-Grx1p, and therefore are not in a position to evaluate the performance of these sensors. We agree, however, that it is helpful to make the readers aware of spectral variants. Therefore, we now mention them in the discussion and cite relevant articles for further reading.

2. As two-photon in vivo intra-vital microscopy is not always available to several labs, is it possible to use Grx1-roGFP2 to measure samples ex vivo; i.e. brain slices? What considerations should be taken in such case for manipulations and to avoid redox alterations?

It is possible to measure Grx1-roGFP ex vivo, e.g. in brain slices or muscle explants. We now explicitly mention this possibility in the discussion. Preparation of acute brain slices or tissue explants is a delicate procedure with several critical steps. An accurate description of these methods therefore is beyond the scope of this article. The interested reader may find relevant information in the cited references.

3. Since an active Grx1 is overexpressed in the cell, this may change the endogenous redox levels. Thus, resting levels may be biased by Grx1 overexpression and even transfection efficiency, even if ratiometric or normalized by max/min fluorescence. May Grx1-roGFP2 be more convenient to monitor acute changes once in equilibrium (fold increases/decreases), after stimulating ROS production with a certain challenge?.

We agree that, in general, introduction of a biosensor might affect the kinetics of the reactions to be measured. For example, it is well known that calcium indicators are also calcium buffers that will affect calcium kinetics above a certain concentration of indicator. In the case of Grx1, we don't think that it will change resting redox levels, because Grx1 itself is not quantitatively involved in reducing oxidized substrates. Instead, its function is to catalyze GSH-dependent reduction of substrates. Overexpression of Grx1 might therefore increase the speed of substrate/GSH equilibration, i.e. equilibrium might be reached faster, but it should not change the equilibrium itself. In fact, these increased kinetics are the major advantage of Grx1-roGFP2 and



also rxYFP-Grx1p as compared to roGFP2 or rxYFP, because it ensures that any cellular changes in the GSH/GSSG ratio are rapidly and reversibly mirrored by changes in the roGFP(reduced)/roGFP(oxidized) ratio and can thus be visualized in real time as they occur in the cells.

4. Settings and considerations should be extended to TMRE. Set up experiments must check a base line, with no drops in TMRE by bleaching, phototoxicity or altered mitochondrial function. Addition of cyclosporine H (usually 1  $\mu$ M) does not affect mitochondrial function and may be useful to avoid membrane potential-independent TMRE leakage. Even if indicated, please remark that TMRE must be always present and equilibrated. Addition of a mitochondrial uncoupler (i.e. 1-2  $\mu$ M FCCP) will help calibrating fluorescence at the end of experiments.

We agree that settings for TMRE imaging need to be optimized as well. The same holds true for any other indicator that will be used in combination with roGFP imaging. Because the focus of this article is on mitochondrial Grx1-roGFP and we can't know which other additional indicators will be used by the readers, we prefer not to provide detailed instructions on other specific indicators such as TMRE. We now, however, added a note to section 3 that points out the need for optimization of imaging parameters for additional indicators. We are confident that a trained researcher who masters roGFP imaging on their setup will be able to independently optimize settings for their additional indicators of choice, including TMRE. Moreover, a rich literature on TMRE imaging exists that will guide the users along that way.

Inclusion of TMRE in the imaging buffer and stimulation solutions was described in step 2.5. As per this reviewer's suggestion, we now rephrased the instructions to make an even stronger statement.

5. Under this reviewer's experience, it may not be necessary 60 min incubation to reach TMRE equilibrium, and this time could be reduced by half the time, always conditioned to confirmation of TMRE persistence in mitochondria during the experiment.

We agree that less than 60 minutes may be sufficient to reach TMRE equilibrium under some circumstances. However, we obtained more robust results (i.e., less coverslip-to-coverslip and cell-to-cell variability) when pre-incubating for at least 60 minutes as compared to 30 minutes. In addition, 60-minute equilibration time is recommended in several methods articles (see for example Brand and Nichols 2011 Biochem. J. 435). We therefore prefer to recommend 60 min incubation. It is of course up to the reader to test and validate different incubation times.

6. When processing in Fiji, could Autoscale or Threshold alter pixel intensity or normalization, thus affecting comparisons between images from different chambers (even if later normalized by calibrations)? Please discuss this in the main text.

Autoscale in Fiji affects how data is displayed on the computer screen, but it does not affect pixel intensity. Since images are recorded at 12 to 16 bit, but intensities are typically below 5000, without autoscaling the image would look very dark on the screen and it would be difficult to visualize cells. We now added a note to section 6.1.1 to inform readers about this function.

Threshold is used to select which pixels will be analyzed and which pixels are considered background. It does not alter pixel intensities. In general, automated threshold detection is preferred over manual selection of individual thresholds and is also preferred over the use of a global threshold (see for example: <https://petebankhead.gitbooks.io/imagej-intro/content/chapters/thresholding/thresholding.html>).



We now added more information about selection of automated threshold determination methods to step 6.1.6. to provide further guidance for the readers.

7. Changes in Fig. 1 are subtle. Do authors have evidence for major changes in redox status upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, NAC or other antioxidants, or GSH alterations (i.e. with L-BSO) that may implement the figures?

Fig.1 shows the excitation spectra of fully reduced and fully oxidized roGFP2. This reviewer is probably referring to a different figure. Please specify. In general, Grx1-roGFP2 has been used to detect redox changes upon H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> treatment in different cell types (including primary neurons in our previous publication by Depp et al. that is cited in the manuscript), in different publications from different research groups.

8. TMRE fluorescence and mt-GPx1-roGFP2 fluorescence is not fully paralleled in Fig. 5a, thus losing mitochondria in mt-GPx1-roGFP2 images. This may indicate that manipulations of fluorescence for normalization or ratio are excluding the visualization of some mitochondria. Since it is predicted that all mitochondria (even if depolarized) import the redox sensors, raw micrographs illustrating the real distribution of mt-GPx1-roGFP2 are critical to validate it as a global sensor for the whole mitochondrial network.

The reviewer is correct with the observation that not all TMRE-positive mitochondria do express mito-Grx1-roGFP2. This is, however, not due to image processing or normalization. It is due to the fact that the efficiency of AAV-mediated gene transfer is less than 100%, typically around 70-90%, sometimes less. Therefore, only a subpopulation of neurons contains roGFP-labelled mitochondria, whereas all neurons take up the small molecule dye TMRE and thus contain TMRE-labelled mitochondria. Within a single roGFP-positive neuron, typically all mitochondria are co-labelled with TMRE and roGFP. The distribution of roGFP-positive mitochondria in the ratio images is the same as in the individual 405 nm excitation and 488 nm excitation channels. To clarify this point, we added a note to the figure legend.

9. Treatments other than NMDA could induce acidification, as referred. How could mt-GPx1-roGFP2 measurements be solved under excessive acidification?

As discussed in the article, while the 405/488 nm ratio is not affected by acidification, the generally weak 405 nm-excited signal can drop below detection limit upon acidification. We are not aware of any measures to solve this problem. This is why we mention this issue as a major limitation of the sensor.

#### Minor Concerns:

1. Line 58: Should it be instead?: '... and ultimately mitochondrial and cell viability'

The sentence was meant to say that redox potential affects mitochondrial viability, which ultimately affects cellular viability. We now rephrased the sentence to avoid misunderstanding.

2. Line 80: Please detail what mixed 1/2 serotype is referred.

We now described the serotype more accurately and provided an additional reference.

3. Even if they can be purchased, amounts to prepare sodium pyruvate, HEPES and glucose master solutions should be included in Table 1.

We now added this information to Table 1 and included the source for the respective powder reagents in the Materials list.

4. Is it relevant the volume of aliquots for long-term storage? In case relevant, please indicate why the shown volumes are recommended (i.e. maximum amount needed per X wells or similar). The volumes of aliquots were based on the volumes needed in our typical experiments. This is likely to be different for different sets of experiments (depending on how many coverslips are imaged per day, which drugs are being added, etc.). We therefore now removed the recommended volumes.

5. Even more important than sealing with parafilm, TMRE should be protected from light. Thank you for pointing out this important aspect. We fully agree and now added this information to the protocol.

6. Please indicate in Table 2 the volume of H<sub>2</sub>O to be added and the final volume of the imaging solution. pH of the final solution should be indicated, as it may be highly relevant besides osmolarity.

The final volume of imaging buffer is specified in step 1.3.1 that describes how to prepare the buffer. For clarity, we now also added this information to Table 2. We also added the desired pH of the final solution.

7. It may be somehow confusing that NMDA stock solutions could be stored and then it is indicated that fresh solutions should be prepared. May it be more convenient to refer this note to imaging buffer instead (and then add NMDA or other frozen aliquots)?

Thank you for pointing this out. The sentence might indeed be misleading. It was meant to say that stimulation solutions containing diluted drugs should be prepared fresh by adding the concentrated drugs just before the experiment. We now rephrased the sentence accordingly.

8. DTT is unstable in solution and may be oxidized after 3 months at -20°C, thus losing activity. Due to its relevance for calibration, may it be more convenient to prepare fresh solutions or remark stability.

We agree with this important point and we now point out limited stability in the protocol. Since an excess of DTT is added to the cells, a minor loss of activity during few weeks' storage at -20°C does not affect calibration in our hands. We therefore do not think it is necessary to prepare fresh DTT for every experiment in this case.

9. When read before the main text, in epigraph 1.4 is hard to interpret the addition of solutions and final volumes or concentrations ... A solution could be to place 1.4.3 at the place of 1.4.2. Indicate that additions are sequential as follows, and state that DTT is added in the final step, after retiring the whole volume in the chamber.

Thank you for pointing out this limitation. We now added a note to section 1.4 (right before 1.4.1) to make the reader aware of the basic stimulation scheme. We also added the information that DTT is added after aspirating the buffer from the chamber.

10. To be consistent, volumes of imaging buffer should be 6.986 mL for epigraph 1.4.2 and 6.972 mL for 1.4.3.

You are right. We changed the text accordingly.

11. For the naïve readers, please briefly explain what TMRE in non-quench mode is.

Because this protocol focuses on roGFP imaging, and because more than 60 editorial and

reviewer queries need to be included during this revision, we prefer not to further increase the volume of this article with additional explanations of basic concepts. Instead, we cite an excellent reference that very elegantly describes the theoretical and technical aspects of mitochondrial membrane potential imaging for the interested reader.

12. Epigraph 2.5: 'add 20 nM TMRE to imaging buffer' should be 'add TMRE to get final 20 nM in imaging buffer'

We agree that this instruction was not fully accurate. We now rephrased the sentence.

13. It is recommended to emphasize that ROIs should include only regions with fluorescence and avoid including the surroundings of the cell or nuclei. It is also to be considered that ROIs cannot remain empty upon cellular displacement.

Because background pixels are defined as Not a Number (NaN) during threshold application (step 6.1.6), they are not considered during measurement of pixel intensities within a ROI. Therefore, including surrounding background pixels in the ROI does not affect the measurement. If cells move during time lapse imaging due to drift of the chamber (this is probably meant by cellular displacement), we recommend to perform image registration before analysis.

14. Please assign oxidation and reduction in the color-coded scales.

We now added this information to the figure legends.

A consistency in the labelling of y-axis is missing; Fig. 4B labeling is right and may be extended to other graphs (suggestion: you can use '405/408 nm ratio fluorescence' should not normalized). We agree that labelling differs between figures, but we respectfully disagree that only 4B is right. In fact, there are different valid ways to label the graphs. '405/408 nm ratio fluorescence', in contrast, is not correct. This should rather be '405/488 nm fluorescence ratio'. To improve consistency, we re-labelled axes in Fig. 3 to '405/488 ratio' and 'normalized 405/488 ratio'.

15. The main text should better explain differences between Fig. 3b and c analysis and results, as well be specifically referred in the main text.

We agree that it would be helpful to better point out the different analyses and results, which will underscore why normalization is an important step. We now discuss this in the main text in more detail.

State in the text whether differences reached statistical significance.

As mentioned in the figure legend, the data in this experiment are from three replicate coverslips per condition from one primary neuron preparation. To make any meaningful claims about statistics, this experiment would need to be repeated several times with neurons from independent preparations. The figure is, however, not meant to present novel scientific findings but rather illustrates a typical experimental outcome of the method and illustrates the difference between raw and normalized ratios.

16. While it is explained in Fig. 4C legend, the text does not clearly refer how pH and redox signaling were dissected. Please extend it briefly in the main text.

In the results section, we now explain in more detail the principle of this control experiment.

17. Include other ratiometric, genetically-encoded probes in the discussion, as suggested in the main comments to this manuscript.

We now included other ratiometric genetically-encoded redox probes in the discussion.

18. Line 524: Are there evidence for the accuracy and validation of mt-GPx1-roGFP2 quantification in isolated mitochondria? Along with the usage of mitochondrial specific inhibitors, a good alternative to mitochondrial isolation (which may damage mitochondria and alter redox balance and GSH equilibrium) would be the permeabilization of cells (i.e. with digitonin) to facilitate a direct access to mitochondria still in the cell.

Please refer to the cited publication for information on validation of roGFP2 quantification in isolated mitochondria. Again, this article is not meant to be a comprehensive review about imaging of roGFP and potentially many other indicators in a variety of cells and organisms. It is required (by the journal guidelines) to be a concise description of a specific method, which in our case is mitochondrial roGFP imaging in primary neurons.

We also are certainly aware of the possibility to use digitonin for the study of mitochondria in permeabilized cells. Again, an extensive discussion of these aspects is, unfortunately, beyond the scope of this article.

### **Reviewer #3:**

#### **Manuscript Summary:**

The authors provide systematic description of the methodology to detect glutathione redox state for live cell imaging and compatability with other methodologies using a glutathione sensitive fluorescent construct. This is an important tool as changes in metabolic and redox states have been shown to be a major contributor in many neuro-degenerative disease states, such as Alzheimer's disease, Parkinsons, etc. The manuscript is well written and easy to follow.

Thank you for your kind evaluation of our manuscript. We are glad that the protocol is easy to follow by an independent reader.

#### **Minor Concerns:**

Line 445 - the concentration of NMDA was increased to 60 uM for the experiments with TMRE, when previous experiments only used 30 uM. The rationale for this is unclear.

We and others typically use NMDA concentrations between 20 and 100 uM to induce excitotoxicity in primary neurons. Sensitivity to NMDA partially depends on cell type (cortical vs hippocampal), culture conditions (especially media composition), basal activity level, and age of the neurons. The example experiment shown in figure 5 was part of an ongoing series of experiments in which we were using 60 uM NMDA in rat cortical neurons, while the experiments in figure 4 are from a previous study (Depp et al. 2018) with mouse hippocampal neurons in which we used 30 uM NMDA. In general, we would expect similar results in the TMRE experiments when using 30 uM NMDA, but due to limited time and availability of primary neurons, we decided not to perform additional experiments for this figure but instead use recently generated data from the lab.

Line 555 - please provide reference for the experiments on retinal flatmounts with roGFP in the discussion

Since we were not able to obtain reliable measurements of roGFP ratios in live retina, we did not publish any data from these experiments. We now added 'Depp and Bas-Orth, unpublished observation' as a reference.

Images of neurons are not very clear - a DIC image to show neuronal structure would have provided better clarity. The location of the fluorescence within the neuron is not specified, whether cell body, dendrite, axon, etc, since mitochondria in neurons are concentrated in the terminals. Also are there regional differences in redox state within the neuron based on this construct?

Whereas synaptic terminals certainly contain a high density of mitochondria, synaptic terminals are small structures and, therefore, do not contain the majority of neuronal mitochondria. In cultured primary neurons, the soma is densely packed with mitochondria that can hardly be optically separated even at high resolution, whereas dendrites and axons contain numerous, but more spaced out and more elongated mitochondria. Accordingly, under low magnification, mito-roGFP signal is typically detected in soma and large proximal dendrites, while at high magnification dendritic and axonal mitochondria can easily be detected.

We now added more information to figure legends 4 and 5, describing the location of roGFP signal.

In our previous publication (Depp et al. 2018, Figure 3E) we found a higher basal oxidation of dendritic mitochondria vs somatic mitochondria.

Please address stability of construct/expression for in vivo analyses, and long-term experiments, if this is a limitation for the usage of the construct.

As mentioned in the introduction, roGFP expression in primary neurons upon AAV-mediated gene transfer is stable for at least two weeks. In our hands it is stable for the entire lifetime of the primary neurons. AAV-mediated expression of fluorescent proteins in vivo is well-known to be stable for months. Besides, most in vivo studies use transgenic animals with a genomic integration of the expression construct, so we are not exactly sure, why stability of expression should be a limitation.

#### **Reviewer #4:**

Manuscript Summary:

This is a well written methods paper describing the measurement of the mitochondrial redox status by analysing the oxidation/reduction state of the glutathione system using a modified GFP as a sensor and simultaneously measuring the mitochondrial membrane potential by a small fluorescent molecule.

Thank you for your kind evaluation of our manuscript.

#### **Major Concerns:**

The novelty of this review is the simultaneous measurement of the mitochondrial redox status and the membrane potential, and the method may become even more interesting when ex vivo studies can be done on brain slices instead of cultivated cells. Measurements of the mitoRO-GFP signal and also the measurement of the mitochondrial membrane potential using TMRE have been described before in JoVE.

We agree that ex vivo imaging would be interesting to many readers. We personally do not have extensive experience with slice imaging of roGFP and, therefore, are not in a position to provide expert advice on that particular application. We do, however, cite publications that use ex vivo imaging, so that the interested reader may find further guidance.

We also are aware that roGFP and TMRE imaging have been described before in JoVE. To our knowledge, a detailed protocol for use of mito-Grx1-roGFP2 is not yet available. By adding

specific instructions for performing these experiments in neurons, on how to optimize microscope settings, on how to perform min/max normalization of the sensor, and on how to combine this with morphological quantification (added during revision), we hope to provide useful information that is not contained in previous JoVE articles.

#### Minor Concerns:

Some minor comments:

- In the title, it should say "primary neurons", since both cortical and hippocampal neurons are used later.

Thank you for pointing this out. We changed the title accordingly.

- P. 6, line 113, 117 and 121, 127: Please describe shortly already here why you use these substances, i.e. what are they doing ?

We now added this information, so it will hopefully be clearer to readers at first glance.

- P. 24, line 5 - 9: Here, a recent study by Ricke et al., J Neurosci 26 (2020) should also be quoted.

We are not exactly sure to which section the reviewer is referring, because in the version available to us, p.24 l. 5-9 is about the advantages of roGFP over chemical dyes. We can imagine that the comment refers to the possibility of roGFP2 2P imaging in tissue explants. We now cite this reference at the corresponding position in the revised manuscript.