

# Journal of Visualized Experiments

## In Vitro Quantitative Imaging Assay for Phagocytosis of Dead Neuroblastoma Cells by iPSC-Macrophages

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Article Type:</b>	Invited Methods Collection - JoVE Produced Video
<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JoVE62217R1
<b>Full Title:</b>	In Vitro Quantitative Imaging Assay for Phagocytosis of Dead Neuroblastoma Cells by iPSC-Macrophages
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Hazel Hall-Roberts, Ph.D. University of Oxford Oxford, Oxfordshire UNITED KINGDOM
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	University of Oxford
<b>Corresponding Author E-Mail:</b>	hall-robertsh@cardiff.ac.uk;hazelroberts14@gmail.com
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Hazel Hall-Roberts, Ph.D. Elena Di Daniel William S. James John B. Davis Sally A. Cowley
<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
Please specify the section of the submitted manuscript.	Neuroscience
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Open Access (US\$4,200)
Please indicate the <b>city, state/province, and country</b> where this article will be <b>filmed</b> . Please do not use abbreviations.	Oxford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom
Please confirm that you have read and agree to the terms and conditions of the author license agreement that applies below:	I agree to the <a href="#">UK Author License Agreement</a> (for UK authors only)
Please provide any comments to the journal here.	

**TITLE:**

In Vitro Quantitative Imaging Assay for Phagocytosis of Dead Neuroblastoma Cells by iPSC-Macrophages

**AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:**

Hazel Hall-Roberts<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Elena Di Daniel<sup>2</sup>, William S. James<sup>1</sup>, John B. Davis<sup>2</sup>, Sally A. Cowley<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>James Martin Stem Cell Facility, Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, UK

<sup>2</sup>Alzheimer's Research UK Oxford Drug Discovery Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine Research Building, University of Oxford, UK

\*Co-corresponding authors:

Hazel Hall-Roberts ([hall-robertsh@cardiff.ac.uk](mailto:hall-robertsh@cardiff.ac.uk))

Sally A. Cowley ([sally.cowley@path.ox.ac.uk](mailto:sally.cowley@path.ox.ac.uk))

Emails of the co-authors:

Elena Di Daniel ([elena.di-daniel@astx.com](mailto:elena.di-daniel@astx.com))

William S. James ([william.james@path.ox.ac.uk](mailto:william.james@path.ox.ac.uk))

John B. Davis ([john.davis@cmd.ox.ac.uk](mailto:john.davis@cmd.ox.ac.uk))

**KEYWORDS:**

phagocytosis, efferocytosis, iPSC-macrophage, microglia, neuron, SH-SY5Y, high-content, live-cell, time-lapse, neurodegeneration, apoptotic

**SUMMARY:**

Neurodegenerative diseases are associated with dysregulated microglia functions. This article outlines an in vitro assay of phagocytosis of neuroblastoma cells by iPSC-macrophages. Quantitative microscopy readouts are described for both live-cell time-lapse imaging and fixed-cell high-content imaging.

**ABSTRACT:**

Microglia orchestrate neuroimmune responses in several neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson's disease and Alzheimer's disease. Microglia clear up dead and dying neurons through the process of efferocytosis, a specialized form of phagocytosis. The phagocytosis function can be disrupted by environmental or genetic risk factors that affect microglia. This paper presents a rapid and simple in vitro microscopy protocol for studying microglial efferocytosis in an induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) model of microglia, using a human neuroblastoma cell line (SH-SY5Y) labeled with a pH-sensitive dye for the phagocytic cargo. The procedure results in a high yield of dead neuroblastoma cells, which display surface phosphatidylserine, recognized as an "eat-me" signal by phagocytes. The 96-well plate assay is suitable for live-cell time-lapse imaging, or the plate can be successfully fixed prior to further processing and quantified by high-content microscopy. Fixed-cell high-content microscopy enables the assay to be scaled up for screening of small molecule inhibitors or assessing the phagocytic function of genetic variant iPSC lines.

While this assay was developed to study phagocytosis of whole dead neuroblastoma cells by iPSC-macrophages, the assay can be easily adapted for other cargoes relevant to neurodegenerative diseases, such as synaptosomes and myelin, and other phagocytic cell types.

## **INTRODUCTION:**

Microglia are brain tissue-resident macrophages, and their functions include immune surveillance, coordinating inflammatory responses to injury/infection, synaptic remodeling, and phagocytosis of dead cells, myelin, protein aggregates, and pathogens. Phagocytosis is the process by which microglia recognize cargo with surface receptors and reorganize their cytoskeleton to engulf the object into a phagosome, which then fuses with lysosomes for degradation of the cargo. Healthy microglia phagocytose apoptotic brain cells to remove them before they become necrotic<sup>1</sup>. The phagocytosis of apoptotic cells is also known as efferocytosis, and requires the display of a phosphatidylserine “eat-me” signal by the dying cell<sup>2</sup>. Numerous microglia receptors directly bind to phosphatidylserine, including TIM-4, BAI1, Stabilin-2, and TREM2. Microglial TAM receptors (e.g., MERTK) and integrins indirectly bind to phosphatidylserine, using accessory proteins GAS6 or MFG-E8, respectively. Other “eat-me” signals may be necessary for recognition of dying cells, these include changes to glycosylation or charge of surface proteins; expression of intracellular proteins ICAM3, calreticulin, annexin-I at the cell surface; oxidized LDL; or coating of the apoptotic cell by microglia-produced complement C1q<sup>1,2</sup>.

Neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson’s disease, Alzheimer’s disease, frontotemporal dementia, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis have been associated with impairment to microglia function, including an accumulation of brain waste products such as dead cells, myelin fragments, and protein aggregates, and exaggerated inflammatory responses to these stimuli<sup>3</sup>. Phagocytosis may be impaired in neurodegenerative diseases and contribute to the pathology, due to a combination of aging, inflammation, or specific genetic risk variants<sup>4,5</sup>. On the other hand, there is also evidence from animal models of neurodegenerative diseases that microglia may inappropriately phagocytose viable neurons or synapses<sup>6-8</sup>. The mechanism is likely to be instigated by phosphatidylserine display of damaged neurites, which is directly sensed by microglial phagocytosis receptors TREM2 or GPR56, or indirectly sensed by soluble complement C1q coating the phosphatidylserine-enriched membrane, leading to CR3-mediated phagocytosis<sup>9-11</sup>.

In vitro assays of phagocytosis function, e.g., to assess the phenotypic impact of a genetic risk variant in microglia, are frequently performed using non-physiological cargoes such as latex beads<sup>4</sup>. Fluorescently labeled bacteria and zymosan are also used, which are physiological but not relevant to neurodegenerative diseases. Non-physiological phagocytic cargoes can be used to detect defects in the basic machinery of phagocytic engulfment but fail to accurately model the first “recognition” step in phagocytosis of apoptotic neurons. The size, shape, stiffness, and type of cargo also dictate the intracellular signaling pathways that are activated, leading to different outcomes of microglia activation state. For example, *E.coli* bacteria are small and stiff, unlike human cells, and the lipopolysaccharides on their surface are recognized by Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) that activates phagocytosis and pro-inflammatory signaling pathways<sup>2,12</sup>.

In the context of neurodegenerative disease studies, a more relevant phagocytic cargo would have phosphatidylserine display on mammalian plasma membranes, and would ideally be human and neuronal, to include signals that microglia are likely to encounter. For this phagocytosis protocol, the human neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y was chosen as a neuron model that is easy to culture. Permanent surface phosphatidylserine display was artificially induced by paraformaldehyde, which has previously been shown to cause phosphatidylserine display of platelets<sup>13</sup>. For the microglia cell model human iPSC-macrophages were used, which mimic the ontogeny and transcriptional profile of human microglia, and are phagocytically competent<sup>14–17</sup>. iPSC-macrophages are not the most authentic microglia model available, e.g., they do not mimic microglia morphology; however, one can substitute it for a more authentic monoculture iPSC model of microglia if desired, such as Haenseler et al.<sup>15</sup>. Human iPSC models are preferable to primary rodent microglia for studying neurodegeneration, due to concerns about the limited overlap of the microglia transcriptional modules observed in human versus mouse neurodegenerative disease tissues<sup>18</sup>. The dead SH-SY5Ys are stained with an acid-sensitive dye that fluoresces weakly at neutral pH and more strongly inside the phagolysosomes of iPSC-macrophages after phagocytosis. Using an acid-sensitive dye improves the accuracy of detecting phagocytic events, with versatility for different readouts of live and fixed macrophages<sup>19</sup>. This protocol outlines both live-cell time-lapse imaging of phagocytosis, and a fixed high-content imaging assay for phagocytosis, with the same cell preparation steps prior to readout (**Figure 1**).

**[Place Figure 1 here]**

## **PROTOCOL:**

The protocol follows the guidelines for the use of human iPS cell lines derived at the University of Oxford, Oxford Parkinson's Disease Centre (Ethics Committee: National Health Service, Health Research Authority, NRES Committee South Central, Berkshire, UK (REC 10/H0505/71)). Human iPSCs are to be handled within a Class II safety cabinet to protect the worker from possible adventitious agents. Local, national, and EU health and safety regulations must be adhered to. Cell culture media compositions are detailed in **Table 1**, and all materials are listed in the supplementary **Table of Materials**.

### **1. Cell culture prior to experiment**

1.1. Culture iPSCs in iPSC media (**Table 1**) in 6-well plates pre-coated with a hESC-qualified basement membrane matrix, sub-confluent and at a low passage number.

1.2. Differentiate human iPSCs to iPSC-macrophage precursors: seed four million iPSC into a microwell low-adherence 24-well plate with 2 mL of embryoid body media (**Table 1**) to encourage embryoid body formation and perform 75% media changes daily for 5–6 days. Transfer embryoid bodies into T175 flasks, approximately 150 embryoid bodies per flask, containing 20 mL of factory media (**Table 1**). Feed weekly by addition of 10–20 mL of factory media.

NOTE: iPSC-macrophage precursors emerge into the supernatant after approximately 2–3 weeks and are produced continuously for several months. For this experiment, it is preferable to use cells from approximately 6 weeks after the differentiation factories are set up. Earlier harvested iPSC-macrophages can retain some proliferative capacity and are less adherent, preventing even seeding at a low cell density. An upper age limit for phagocytosis capability has not been determined.

1.3. Differentiate iPSC-macrophage precursors to iPSC-macrophages: harvest precursors by removing the required volume of supernatant; pass it through a 40  $\mu$ m cell strainer to remove clumps; centrifuge at 400 x *g* for 5 min to pellet cells and resuspend in Macrophage media (**Table 1**). Seed iPSC-macrophages at 20,000–30,000 cells per well in a 96-well tissue culture (TC)-treated microplate with black well walls and an optically clear bottom, in 100  $\mu$ L of macrophage media per well. Avoid the edge wells and fill these with PBS; this is important to reduce the effect of evaporation on the assay. Differentiate for 6–10 days by incubation at 37 °C/5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

NOTE: For this assay, the iPSC line BIONi010-C (ECACC ID: 66540023) was used; however, any iPSC line can be used.

1.4. Maintain SH-SY5Ys to sub-confluency in T75 flasks with 20 mL of SH-SY5Y media (**Table 1**), passaging every 3–4 days.

[Place **Table 1** here]

## 2. Preparation of dead SH-SY5Ys

2.1. In a class II biological safety cabinet, dissociate SH-SY5Ys, by addition of 4 mL of a cell dissociation buffer containing recombinant trypsin-like enzymes and 1.1 mM EDTA (see **Table of Materials**), which should be removed immediately so that less than 1 mL remains as a thin film coating the cells. Incubate for 2–3 min at 37 °C/ 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

2.2. Add 10 mL of HBSS to the T75 flask to rinse and pipette the SH-SY5Ys into a 15 mL conical centrifuge tube. Centrifuge at 400 x *g* for 5 min. Aspirate the supernatant and re-suspend the cells in 2 mL of phenol red-free HEPES-buffered media (see **Table of Materials**). Ensure to resuspend the pellet carefully, pipetting with a 100–1,000  $\mu$ L pipette to break up clumps before fixation.

2.3. Fix cells by adding 2 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (final concentration 2%) to the tube. Incubate for 10 min at room temperature with occasional gentle agitation of the tube.

2.4. Add 10 mL of HBSS to the tube. Centrifuge at 1,200 x *g* for 7 min and re-suspend in 2 mL of phenol red-free HEPES-buffered media.

NOTE: After step 2.4, the fixed-SH-SY5Y preparation can be quality-controlled by staining with annexin V-FITC to show accessible phosphatidylserine and propidium iodide to measure cell permeability with a flow cytometry readout. Compare the fixed preparation to live SH-SY5Ys obtained from step 2.2. See section 7 and **Supplementary Figure S1**. Storage of the fixed SH-SY5Ys after step 2.4 is not recommended as this has not been assessed.

### 3. Labeling of dead SH-SY5Ys with pH-sensitive red fluorescent dye

3.1. After step 2.4, count the cells, and remove the total number of cells required into a 2 mL low protein-binding tube. For each 1 million SH-SY5Ys, make up the total volume in the 2 mL tube to 300–500  $\mu$ L with phenol red-free HEPES-buffered media. Warm the tube briefly in a 37  $^{\circ}$ C water bath.

3.2. Reconstitute the pH-sensitive red fluorescent dye STP ester (see **Table of Materials**) and add 12.5  $\mu$ g of dye per million SH-SY5Y to the warm 2 mL tube of cells. Mix gently by pipetting. Incubate the tube at room temperature for 30 min, protected from light.

NOTE: The STP ester species of the pH-sensitive dye reacts with primary amines and therefore the labeling buffer must not contain free amines. Due to potentially limited solubility in aqueous buffers, add the DMSO-dissolved dye only to warm aqueous buffer, mix immediately, and examine for signs of precipitate (dark particles under a light microscope).

3.3. Add 1 mL of HBSS and centrifuge at 1200 x *g* for 7 min at 4  $^{\circ}$ C. Discard the supernatant and wash with 2 mL HBSS. Repeat the centrifugation.

3.4. Discard the supernatant and re-suspend the cells in phenol red-free macrophage media (see **Table of Materials**), to a concentration of 200,000–1.2 million cells/mL so that 50  $\mu$ L is 10,000–60,000 cells (i.e., 0.5x–3x more SH-SY5Ys than iPSC-macrophages).

NOTE: Phenol red in media increases background fluorescence and therefore a phenol red-free media should be used if live-cell imaging is to be performed. Storage of the stained SH-SY5Ys for more than a few hours is not recommended as this has not been assessed. Keep stained SH-SY5Ys on ice and protect from light.

### 4. Staining of iPSC-macrophages

4.1. In a biological safety cabinet, prepare a solution in macrophage media of a deep red-fluorescent, cell-permeant, succinimidyl ester-reactive dye (see **Table of Materials**). Add Hoechst 33342 (see **Table of Materials**). Warm the working solution to 37 °C in a water bath.

4.2. Aspirate the iPSC-macrophage medium gently by pipetting the cell supernatant with a multichannel pipette into a sterile reservoir. Add 70 µL/well of the dye solution prepared in step 4.1 to iPSC-macrophages, using a multichannel pipette. Incubate for 1 h at 37 °C/5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

4.3. Prepare experimental treatments in phenol red-free macrophage media. Include 10 µM cytochalasin D as a negative control treatment. Post-incubation aspirate the iPSC-macrophage medium very gently with a multichannel pipette, and add 100 µL/well of Hank's buffered saline solution (HBSS) to wash. Immediately remove HBSS by gentle pipetting, then add 100 µL of media ± compounds. Incubate for 10 min–1 h at 37 °C/ 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

NOTE: Cytochalasin D is a potent actin inhibitor and blocks phagocytosis. For any experimental treatments that require longer incubation, e.g., 24–72 h, perform the experimental treatment before step 4.1 using 100 µL/well of treatment in full macrophage media. Follow steps 4.1–4.3 as per the protocol so that cell staining is performed and subsequently the treatment is reapplied in phenol red-free macrophage media for the remainder of the phagocytosis assay.

## 5. Imaging phagocytosis

Below are two different phagocytosis readout methods, choose sub-section 5.1 or 5.2.

### 5.1. Live-cell time-lapse imaging

5.1.1. Prior to phagocytosis, turn on the live-cell time-lapse imaging microscope (see **Table of Materials**), computer, environment chamber, and CO<sub>2</sub> gas. Open image capture software. Check that the DAPI, RFP, and CY5 light cubes are installed in the microscope. Click on **Time Lapse | Incubate | Enable Environment Chamber** and select warming to 37 °C with CO<sub>2</sub> gas, also ensure that humidity is de-selected. Allow 30 min for the microscope to warm to 37 °C.

5.1.2. During the compound incubation at step 4.3, load the iPSC-macrophage plate into the microscope.

5.1.3. Click on **Image | Capture | Vessel Expert**. Select **Well Plate** and choose a 96-well plate type.

5.1.4. In the **Image** tab, turn on the phase channel and adjust coarse and fine focusing using the vertical sliders, so that the cells are in focus. Adjust lighting levels with the horizontal slider. Click on the DAPI, RFP, and CY5 channels and adjust lighting levels for each channel.

5.1.5. In the **System** tab, click on **Calibrate Vessel Alignment** and follow the screen instructions.

5.1.6. Click on **Time Lapse | Routines | Create New Routine**. On the first screen of the **Time Lapse Wizard**, name the routine. Click on **Next**. On the second screen, select the 20x objective, select **Monochrome** capture, and select the DAPI, RFP, CY5, and Phase channels. Do not select the following options: Auto Find Sample, Auto fine focus, Z-Stack, Auto lighting. Click on **Next**.

5.1.7. On the next screen set up a beacon in the center of each well, which will allow the microscope to return to the same co-ordinates with the same lighting settings for every time-point. The focusing and lighting settings for each beacon are independent. To set a beacon: drag the blue circle to the location on the plate map, use the coarse and fine focus vertical slider, and when satisfied click on **Add Beacon**. Beacon settings can be updated later using the **Update Selected** button.

5.1.8. When ready to start the phagocytosis assay, remove the assay plate and place it in a biological safety cabinet. Use a multichannel pipette to add 50 µL of SH-SY5Ys per well, adding to the side of each well at the edge of the liquid.

5.1.9. Load the plate into the microscope and wait approximately 30 min for the thermal shift to equilibrate.

NOTE: During the first 30 min that the plate is in the microscope, the changing temperature of the assay plate will cause the focus to shift. If the plate is not allowed to equilibrate, the captured images will shift out of focus during the time-lapse.

5.1.10. Click on each beacon and update the focus setting. Click on **Next**. On the next screen of the **Time Lapse Wizard**, select the file format **TIFF**, enable the option to **Save Individual Channels**, and enable the option to **Create Video for Each Beacon**, and allow the options beneath **Include the following information as a watermark**. Click on **Next**.

5.1.11. Set the **Number of Scenes** to 1. Click on **Next**. Set the duration and intervals of the time-lapse, e.g., 3 h and imaging every 5 min. Do not select Capture one frame only. Click on **Next**.

5.1.12. Enable the environment chamber, with a temperature of 37 °C and CO<sub>2</sub> (humidity is optional for short experiments). Click on **Next** twice. Choose a path to save the data. Click on **Next**. Click on **Start** to start the time-lapse.

## **5.2. Fixed-cell high-content imaging**



5.2.1. Use a multichannel pipette to add 50  $\mu$ L of the labeled SH-SY5Ys per well, adding to the side of each well at the edge of the liquid. Incubate at 37 °C/ 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 3–5 h.

5.2.2. After the phagocytosis incubation, gently aspirate cell supernatants by pipetting with a multichannel pipette, and discard. Wash once with 100  $\mu$ L PBS.

5.2.3. Fix the plate by addition of 100  $\mu$ L of 2% paraformaldehyde, incubate for 15 min at room temperature.

5.2.4. Aspirate wells and add 100  $\mu$ L of PBS. Cover with plate sealer and foil; store at 4 °C until required.

NOTE: The assay plate can be stored like this for at least a week without significant signal degradation; longer storage has not been tested.

5.2.5. Turn on the high-content imaging microscope (see **Table of Materials**) and open the image capture software. Load the assay plate into the microscope by clicking on the **Load** icon at the top of the screen.

5.2.6. Select the **Setup** tab. In drop-down menus of the top left box: select the appropriate plate type, select the autofocus option **Two Peak (Default)**, select the objective **40x Water, NA1.1**, select **Confocal** mode, and select binning of **1**.

5.2.7. Flush the 40x water objective before use, via the **Settings** menu.

5.2.8. In the **Channel Selection** box, use the + icon to add the channels DAPI, Alexa 647, and Alexa 568. Set these to measure at a single plane of 1  $\mu$ m. Optimize **Time** and **Power** settings for the staining efficiency of the assay plate.

NOTE: As a guideline, set DAPI at 200 ms exposure and 100% power, Alexa 647 at 1500 ms exposure and 100% power, and Alexa 568 at 100 ms exposure and 40% power.

5.2.9. Ensure the channels are not measured simultaneously by clicking on **Channel Sequence** to separate out the channels.

5.2.10. Under **Navigation | Define Layout**, select the wells of measurement and select 9–12 fields per well.

5.2.11. During set-up, click on a representative field on the plate map, and check each measurement channel in turn, to ensure the staining is present and that the images are focused, by adjusting the channel offset.

5.2.12. For data to be uploaded to a server for remote analysis, click on the **Online Jobs** box and the relevant screen name; this will enable automatic uploading of the data to a server after imaging.

5.2.13. Save the assay protocol by clicking on the **Save** button.

5.2.14. Click on the **Run Experiment** tab at the top and name the experiment plate, then click on **Start**.

## 6. Data analysis

Below are two different data analysis methods, choose sub-section 6.1 if sub-section 5.1 was followed, or choose sub-section 6.2 if sub-section 5.2 was followed.

### 6.1. Analysis of phagocytosis images obtained by live-cell time-lapse microscope

6.1.1. Download and install the recommended open-source software (see **Table of Materials**). Open the software.

6.1.2. In the Input modules box, select **Images**.

6.1.3. From **Windows Explorer**, open the folder of data, containing sub-folders named Beacon-1, Beacon-2, etc. Select and drag all of the Beacon folders into the **File list** box.

6.1.4. In the **Input modules** box, select **Metadata**. For **Extract Metadata?**, select **Yes**. In the drop-down menu next to **Metadata Extraction Method**, choose **Extract From File/Folder Names**. For the **Metadata Source**, choose **Folder Name**. Click on the magnifying glass to the right of regular expression, and type `".*[\.]*(?P<Beacon>.*)$"` into the **Regex** textbox (excluding the quote marks). Click on **Submit**. For **Extract Metadata From**, choose **All Images**. Click on **Update** at the bottom of the screen. The images will now be grouped by beacon.

6.1.5. In the **Input Modules** box, select **NamesAndTypes**. The following process will allow images for each timepoint to be assigned to the correct fluorescence channel. Assign a name to **Images Matching Rules** (drop-down menu). Select the rule criteria match **All** (drop-down menu) of the following rules. **File** (drop-down menu), **Does** (drop-down menu), **Contain** (drop-down menu), **DAPI** (text box). Name to assign to these images **DAPI** (text box). Select the image type **Greyscale Image** (drop-down menu). Set intensity range from **Image Metadata** (drop-down menu).

6.1.6. At the bottom of the screen, click on **Add Another Image**, and repeat step 6.1.5. Replace **DAPI** with **RFP**, so that the RFP images are grouped.

6.1.7. Repeat step 6.1.6 for the CY5 channel images.

6.1.8. Click on **Update** at the bottom of the screen, the image files will now be listed in three columns labeled DAPI, RFP, and CY5.

6.1.9. In the **Input Modules** box, select **Groups**. For **Do you want to group your images?**, select **Yes**. In the drop-down menu for **Metadata Category**, choose **Beacon**.

6.1.10. In the **Analysis Modules** box, right-click on the white space to call up a list of all modules.

6.1.11. Click on **Add | Image Processing | EnhanceOrSuppressFeatures**. Choose **DAPI** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name the output image as DAPISpeckles. Select the operation type **Enhance** and feature type **Speckles**, with a feature size of **20** pixels. Choose the speed and accuracy option **Fast/Hexagonal**.

6.1.12. Create a new module. **Add | Object processing | IdentifyPrimaryObjects**. Choose **DAPISpeckles** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name the primary objects "Nuclei". Input the typical diameter of objects as **10 to 35** pixel units; this parameter can be optimized. Choose the threshold strategy **Global**, the thresholding method **RidlerCalvard**, the smoothing method **Automatic**, and give the threshold correction factor as **12** with lower and upper bounds 0–1. Change the method to distinguish clumped objects to **Shape** but leave other parameters at their default settings.

NOTE: The iPSC-macrophage nuclei have been roughly segmented in step 6.1.12, following an image processing step that reduces diameter and increases contrast of the nuclei. It is important that only the brightest nuclei are selected since the SH-SY5Ys will show up as fainter nuclei and be mistaken as iPSC-macrophages otherwise. To adjust the proportion of nuclei that are selected, increase or decrease the threshold correction factor. During the testing phase, compare the resulting nuclei selection to a phase image of the beacon, where it is easy to distinguish between iPSC-macrophage and SH-SY5Y using cell morphology.

6.1.13. Create a new module. **Add | Image processing | CorrectIlluminationCalculate**. Choose **CY5** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name the output image "IllumCY5". For **Select How the Illumination**, choose **Background** from the drop-down menu. Leave the other parameters at their default settings.

6.1.14. Create a new module. Click on **Add | Image processing | CorrectIlluminationApply**. Choose **CY5** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name the output image

“CorrCY5”. For **Select the Illumination**, choose **IllumCY5** from the drop-down menu. For **Select How the Illumination**, choose **Divide** from the drop-down menu.

NOTE: The purpose of steps 6.1.13–6.1.14 is to correct variation in background lighting of the CY5 images, which would otherwise interfere with correct cell segmentation.

6.1.15. Create a new module. Click on **Add | Object processing | IdentifySecondaryObjects**. Choose **CorrCY5** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Choose **Nuclei** as the input objects. Name the secondary objects “Mac”. Choose the method of identification as **Distance - B**. Select threshold strategy **Global**, thresholding method **RidlerCalvard**, the smoothing method **No smoothing**, and give the threshold correction factor as **1** with lower and upper bounds 0–1. Leave other parameters at their default settings.

NOTE: This cell segmentation step may require optimization, by adjusting the threshold correction factor to grow or shrink cell boundaries. Segmentation efficiency can also be improved by increasing the strength of the iPSC-macrophage staining, or the illumination of the CY5 light cube during imaging.

6.1.16. Create a new module. Click on **Add | Image processing | EnhanceOrSuppressFeatures**. Choose **RFP** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name the output image as “FilteredRFP”. Select the operation type **Enhance** and feature type **Speckles**, with a feature size of **15** pixels. The feature size can be optimized. Choose the speed and accuracy option **Fast/Hexagonal**.

6.1.17. Create a new module. Click on **Add | Object processing | IdentifyPrimaryObjects**. Choose **FilteredRFP** from the first drop-down box as the input image. Name primary objects “pHr”. Input the typical diameter of objects as 5–20 pixel units. Select the threshold strategy **Manual**, and type a threshold manually, e.g., 0.005. Change the method to distinguish clumped objects to **Shape** but leave the other parameters at their default settings.

NOTE: The SH-SY5Ys have been segmented in step 6.1.17, following an image processing step that reduces diameter and increases contrast of the puncta. It is critical to perform manual thresholding, since the intensity of the pH-sensitive dye increases over time in phagocytosed particles, and other thresholding strategies will artificially inflate the number of pH-sensitive dye puncta in early time points. Manual thresholding must be adjusted for each subsequent experimental repeat, using the test mode.

6.1.18. Create a new module. Click on **Add | Object processing | RelateObjects**. Select the input child objects “pHr” from the drop-down menu. Select the input parent objects Mac from the drop-down menu. For **Calculate Per-Parent Means for All Child Measurements?**, select **Yes**. Do not calculate child-parent distances (**None**).

NOTE: Step 6.1.18 relates the pH-sensitive dye signal to the iPSC-macrophages, allowing measurement of the average number of phagocytosed objects per iPSC-macrophage.

6.1.19. Create a new module. Click on **Add | File processing | ExportToSpreadsheet**. Select the column delimiter as **Tab** and add a prefix for file names to indicate the beacon number. Choose specific measurements for export, as indicated below (steps 6.1.19.1 – 6.1.19.4); leaving other parameters at their default settings.

6.1.19.1. **Image | Count** | Select pHr and Mac

6.1.19.2. **Image | FileName**

6.1.19.3. **Image | Group**

6.1.19.4. **Mac | Children | pHr**

6.1.20. In the **Output** box, click on **View Output Settings**. Create a new folder on the desktop for this experiment and set this as the default output folder.

6.1.21. Save the pipeline **File | SaveProject As**.

6.1.22. Test and optimize the pipeline on a representative image by clicking on **Start Test Mode** in the bottom-left corner. The program automatically selects the first image for testing and each step of the pipeline can be viewed by clicking on the eye symbols, which makes the output visible, and then clicking on **Run**. To change the beacon used for testing, at the top menu bar click on **Test | Choose Image Group**. To change the image (timepoint) within a beacon, at the top menu bar click on **Test | Choose Image Set**. Parameters that should be optimized are stated in the previous steps.

6.1.23. When satisfied with the pipeline, click on **Exit Test Mode** and click on the open eye symbols to close them. Save the pipeline. Click on **Analyze Images** to start the full image analysis.

6.1.24. The text files that are generated can be opened as a spreadsheet with appropriate spreadsheet software, and the file labeled Image will contain a row for each image timepoint, with the columns representing parameters.

NOTE: Count\_Mac and Count\_pHr represent the number of iPSC-macrophages and the number of identified pH-sensitive objects in an image. Do not use Count\_pHr data, as the count includes dimly fluorescent SH-SY5Ys that have not been phagocytosed. The column Mean\_Mac\_Children\_pHr\_Count takes the average number of phagocytosed pH objects per Mac

(step 6.1.18 RelateObjects) for an individual image, i.e., individual timepoint of a beacon.

6.1.25. Arrange the data so that each beacon is a separate column on the spreadsheet, the images arranged as rows of chronological order, with different parameters occupying different sheets of the spreadsheet workbook.

6.1.26. Multiply the measurements Mean\_Mac\_Children\_pHr\_Count by Count\_Mac, to generate the parameter Number of spots per image. Calculate the mean Count\_Mac for each Beacon. Divide the Number of spots per image by the mean Count\_Mac for that beacon, generating the parameter Number of spots per cell.

NOTE: Step 6.1.26 corrects any erroneous fluctuations that can occur in the iPSC-macrophage count (Count\_Mac), by normalizing the data to the average iPSC-macrophage count across all of the timepoints of a Beacon.

6.1.27. Assign the time since phagocytosis commenced (in min) to each image row.

6.1.28. Generate means and standard deviation for replicate wells/beacons. Graph the Number of spots per cell (y-axis) against time (x-axis) to visualize the rate of phagocytosis.

## **6.2. Analysis of phagocytosis images obtained with high-content microscope**

6.2.1. Log in to the recommended image-processing software (see **Table of Materials**).

6.2.2. Select the screen name folder, and the imaging run sub-folder from the left-hand menu. Click on the **Image Analysis** icon (a screen with a magnifying glass). Select a representative well on the plate layout for setting up the analysis pipeline.

6.2.3. The first analysis building block is input image. Leave the default settings for stack processing (**Individual Planes**) and flatfield correction (**None**). Click on the + sign at the top right corner of the block, to add the next building block, and select **Find Nuclei**.

6.2.4. In **Find Nuclei**, set the channel as **DAPI**, the ROI population as **None**, the method of segmentation as **C**. The method box contains a drop-down menu that allows the parameter to be optimized, with settings for the common threshold (i.e., 0.40) and area (i.e., >30  $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). Name the output population Nuclei. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Find Cytoplasm**.

6.2.5. In **Find Cytoplasm**, set the channel as **Alexa647** and the method as **B**. The method box contains a drop-down menu that allows the parameter to be optimized, with settings for the

common threshold (i.e., 0.45) and the individual threshold (i.e., 0.20). Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Select Population**.

NOTE: It is critical to properly optimize the cytoplasm segmentation so that it excludes any adjacent SH-SY5Ys that have not been phagocytosed but does not exclude phagocytosed cargo (see **Figure 2**).

[Place Figure 2 here]

6.2.6. In **Select Population**, keep the default settings, which will be population **Nuclei**, method **Common Filters**, a tick for **Remove Border Objects**, and the output population named **Nuclei Selected**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Calculate Morphology Properties**.

6.2.7. In **Calculate Morphology Properties**, set the population to **Nuclei Selected**, the region to **Cell**, the method to **Standard**. In the drop-down menu, ensure that area and roundness are selected ( $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). Name the output population **Morphology Cell**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Select Population**.

6.2.8. In **Select Population (2)**, choose the population **Nuclei Selected**, and the method **Filter by Properties**. In the drop-down box under **Filter F1**, select **Morphology Cell Area [ $\mu\text{m}^2$ ]**. Choose > from the drop-down box to the right, and type **160** in the box to the right of that. Name the output population **Nuclei Selected 2**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Find Spots**.

NOTE: This step excludes any improperly segmented cells, and any dead cells from further analysis. It may be necessary to optimize by increasing or decreasing the cut-off size.

6.2.9. In **Find Spots**, select the channel **Alexa 568**, the ROI population **Nuclei Selected 2**, the ROI region **Cell**, method **B**, and name the output population **Spots**. The method can be optimized, if necessary, using the drop-down menu, with settings for detection sensitivity (i.e., 0.20) and splitting sensitivity (i.e., 0.400). Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Calculate Morphology Properties**.

6.2.10. In **Calculate Morphology Properties (2)**, select the population **Spots**, region **Spot**, and method **Standard**. In the drop-down menu, ensure that area and roundness are selected ( $\mu\text{m}^2$ ). Name the output properties **Morphology Spot**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Select Population**.

6.2.11. In **Select Population (3)**, select the population **Spots** and the method **Filter by Properties**. In the drop-down boxes under **Filter F1**, select **Spot Area [ $\text{px}^2$ ]**, >, **20**. In the drop-

down boxes under **Filter F2**, select **Spot Area [px<sup>2</sup>]**, **<, 2500**. In the drop-down boxes under **Filter F3**, select **Morphology Spot Roundness**, **>, 0.6**. In the drop-down boxes under **Filter F4**, select **Spot to Region Intensity**, **>, 2.5**. Name the output population **Spots Selected**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Select Population**.

NOTE: The automated spot selection will have segmented many tiny fluorescent specks that result from autofluorescent bodies within the iPSC-macrophages. This step aims to filter out autofluorescent bodies by applying stringent cut-offs to the area, roundness, and intensity of the spots, and may require some optimization.

6.2.12. In **Select Population (4)**, select the population **Nuclei Selected 2** and the method **Filter by Properties**. In the drop-down boxes under **Filter F1**, select **Number of Spots**, **>, 0.5**. Name the output population **Spot Positive Cells**. Add the next building block by clicking on the + symbol and select **Define Results**.

6.2.13. In **Define Results**, select the first method as **List of Outputs**. The default setting is for the number of objects to be calculated for each population. Click on the drop-down menu for **Population: Nuclei Selected 2** and ensure that **Number of Objects** is ticked, and in the **Apply to All** drop-down menu select **ALL**. For the population **Spot Positive Cell**, ensure that **Number of Objects** is ticked. For the other populations, it is not necessary to report any parameters. Select the second method as **Formula Output**, and type the formula **(a/b)\*100**. Choose as variable A **Spot Positive Cell- Number of Objects**, and as variable B choose **Nuclei Selected 2- Number of Objects**. Name the output as **Spot Positive Cells (%)**.

6.2.14. Save the pipeline: click on the icon **Save Analysis to Disk** (a floppy disk with down arrow).

6.2.15. Click on the icon **Batch Analysis** (a funnel and cogs symbol along the top of the screen). From the experimental folders on the left, select the raw data file, which should update the number of selected measurements to **1**. In the **Analysis options** region, click on the drop-down menu for **Method**, and select **Existing Analysis**. Click on the ... symbol next to Script File, and browse for the saved analysis file (suffixed .aas). Then, click on the green arrow next to Start Analysis. The analysis progress can be monitored by clicking on **Job Status** (in the upper-right-hand corner of the screen).

6.2.16. Once the analysis is complete, click on the tab **Export**, choose the experiment folder, and select a destination folder. Leave the default settings, which export data but not TIFF images, and start the export.

6.2.17. Open the downloaded file as a spreadsheet in an appropriate spreadsheet software. The wells are arranged in rows and the parameters in columns. Select the data in columns labeled



Spot Positive Cells (%), Nuclei Selected 2 - Number of Spots - Mean per Well, and Nuclei Selected 2 - Total Spot Area - Mean per Well, and copy these to fresh spreadsheets for each parameter. Calculate the parameter mean for replicate wells of each condition, and graph as appropriate.

## **7. Quality control assay for homogeneity of fixed SH-SY5Ys**

7.1. Collect an aliquot of live SH-SY5Ys from step 2.2 and resuspend in the annexin binding buffer from a kit for annexin V-FITC staining (see **Table of Materials**) at a concentration of approximately 200,000 cells per mL.

7.2. Collect an aliquot of fixed SH-SY5Ys from step 2.4 and resuspend in annexin binding buffer at a concentration of approximately 200,000 cells per mL.

7.3. Prepare two test tubes with 5  $\mu$ L of annexin V-FITC and 5  $\mu$ L of propidium iodide (see **Table of Materials**). Add 500  $\mu$ L of live SH-SY5Ys to one tube, and 500  $\mu$ L of fixed SH-SY5Ys to the other.

7.4. Prepare three control tubes: one with 5  $\mu$ L of annexin V-FITC, one with 5  $\mu$ L of propidium iodide, and one tube empty. Mix together a 1:1 ratio of live and fixed SH-SY5Ys and add 500  $\mu$ L of this to each control tube.

7.5. Mix tubes gently by pipetting. Incubate at room temperature for 10 min, protected from the light.

7.6. Immediately measure on a flow cytometer (Ex = 488 nm; Em = 530 nm) using FITC signal detector (usually FL1) for annexin V-FITC, and the phycoerythrin emission signal detector (usually FL2) for propidium iodide.

7.7. Use any flow cytometry analysis software to display dot plots of FITC vs PI signal and use a rectangular gating tool to select the double-negative population. Within the double-negative population, display FSC vs SSC and use a polygonal gating tool to create an exclusion gate around the population with very low FSC and SSC, which is classified as debris and therefore excluded from further analysis. Display the remaining events as FITC vs PI signal and use the single-stained and unstained controls to set a quadrant gate for FITC-/PI-, FITC+/PI-, FITC -/PI+, and FITC+/PI+ events.

NOTE: Avoid rough handling, vortexing, or long incubations with live SH-SY5Ys, which could artificially induce phosphatidylserine display. Proceed to flow cytometry without delay. A desirable outcome is that the proportion of FITC-/PI- events is <5% in the fixed SH-SY5Ys. Representative results are shown in **Supplementary Figure S1**.

## REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Live-cell time-lapse imaging was performed using the previously outlined protocol, with wild-type iPSC-macrophages seeded at 20,000 cells per well. Different amounts of SH-SY5Ys were applied (10,000–30,000 per well as estimated from the cell count in step 3.1), and the phagocytosis inhibitor cytochalasin D was pre-incubated (1 h) with some wells, acting as a control to inhibit phagocytosis for each amount of SH-SY5Ys. Imaging commenced 40 min after the addition of SH-SY5Ys and images were captured at 5 min intervals for the next 3 h (data includes the initial 40 min delay). A representative time-lapse video is included in the **Supplementary Data**, and analyzed quantitative data shown in **Figure 3**. With the amount of 10,000 SH-SY5Ys per well, the number of phagocytosed particles (spots) per cell increased linearly with time, and was inhibited by approximately 50% by cytochalasin D. The inhibition by cytochalasin D was weaker than anticipated, most likely caused by insufficient technical or biological replicates, as only one well per condition was imaged with three image fields. With higher amounts of SH-SY5Ys per well (20,000 and 30,000), phagocytosis exhibited poor linearity, likely due to poor segmentation of iPSC-macrophages and SH-SY5Ys in a more crowded field of view.

Fixed-cell high-content imaging was performed using the previously-outlined protocol, with wild-type iPSC-macrophages at 20,000 cells per well, several different amounts of SH-SY5Ys (10,000–80,000 per well), and the assay plate was fixed and imaged after 5 h. A representative image of phagocytosis is presented in **Figure 4A**, and the analyzed data shown in **Figure 4B**<sup>17</sup>. Increasing the amount of SH-SY5Ys resulted in a higher number of phagocytosed particles (spots) per cell; however, a doubling of SH-SY5Y quantity only leads to a 1.5x increase in number of spots per cell. This indicates that the amounts tested are not rate-limiting to phagocytosis. Subsequently the high-content imaging phagocytosis assay was validated using several inhibitors of phagocytosis (**Figure 4C**)<sup>17</sup>. The actin polymerization inhibitors cytochalasin D and jasplakinolide significantly inhibited phagocytosis by 91% and 90%, respectively, when pre-incubated for 1 h prior to phagocytosis. The robust Z' of the assay when cytochalasin D or jasplakinolide are used as negative controls is calculated as 0.7 and 0.8, respectively<sup>20</sup>. The lysosome acidification inhibitor bafilomycin A1 significantly reduced phagocytosis by 31%, when incubated 1 h prior to phagocytosis. The weaker effect of the lysosome acidification inhibitor versus actin inhibitors suggest that detection of the internalized cargo may not require full acidification of the phagosome. Recombinant annexin V was used as a control to specifically block phosphatidylserine exposed on the surface of SH-SY5Ys, preventing phagocytic receptors from accessing the ligand, an important “eat-me” signal. Addition of recombinant annexin V significantly reduced phagocytosis by 30%, when added to wells immediately before SH-SY5Y addition. Fixed SH-SY5Ys were confirmed to expose phosphatidylserine, using a fluorescent annexin V probe, whereas live SH-SY5Ys were negative for annexin V staining (**Figure 4D**).

The microglial phagocytosis receptor TREM2 has previously been shown to be important for phagocytosis of apoptotic neurons<sup>21</sup>. The R47H mutation of TREM2 is a risk gene for late-onset of Alzheimer’s disease, and is hypothesized to reduce ligand binding of TREM2<sup>23</sup>. With the aim of assessing phagocytic function of R47H TREM2 and TREM2 KO, the fixed-cell high-content phagocytosis assay was performed using isogenic iPSC-macrophage lines with WT/R47H/KO

TREM2<sup>17</sup>. Several lengths of phagocytosis duration from 1 to 5 h were tested, using a staggered addition of phagocytic cargo (40,000 SH-SY5Ys). The resulting signal increases linearly to 4 h, leveling off slightly at 5 h (**Figure 5**)<sup>17</sup>. Reduced phagocytosis rate and capacity (% spot positive cells) was evident in the TREM2 KO compared to WT, whereas the R47H TREM2 mutant did not show altered phagocytosis. The phagocytic defect in TREM2 KO cells is not phenocopied by the R47H TREM2 mutation, seemingly because the TREM2 function is sufficient to support normal phagocytosis.

#### FIGURE LEGENDS:

**Figure 1: Schematic diagram of methodology.** Outline of the phagocytosis assay, where preparation of the SH-SY5Ys and staining of the iPSC-macrophages is performed in parallel, and then the SH-SY5Ys are pipetted onto the iPSC-macrophages. Either live-cell time-lapse imaging is performed immediately, or the cells are incubated at 37 °C/5% CO<sub>2</sub> for the required duration and fixed before performing high-content microscopy. PFA: paraformaldehyde, HBM: phenol red-free HEPES-buffered media, pHr: pH-sensitive red fluorescent dye STP Ester solution, PRFMM: phenol red-free macrophage media.

**Figure 2: Cell segmentation in the high-content phagocytosis analysis.** Illustration to demonstrate good versus poor segmentation of an iPSC-macrophage in close proximity to a non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Y, with a second SH-SY5Y fully phagocytosed. With both cell types shown in grey, the iPSC-macrophage cell border delineated by the computer analysis is outlined (blue). SH-SY5Ys that are counted as phagocytosis events are outlined green or outlined red if excluded from the analysis. The image in the middle shows poor segmentation; the iPSC-macrophage has sub-optimal delineation that includes the non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Y within the cell border, which will be counted as a phagocytosis event. The image on the right shows good segmentation due to more stringent parameters defining the iPSC-macrophage cell border, which led to the non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Y being correctly excluded from the analysis.

**Figure 3: Example data for live-cell time-lapse phagocytosis assay.** Uptake of dead SH-SY5Ys by wild-type iPSC-macrophages BIONi010-C (ECACC ID: 66540023) imaged at 5 min intervals for 3 h. Times displayed on the graph are from initiation of phagocytosis, including the first 40 min without measurement. The average number of spots per cell from three replicate wells are plotted. Phagocytosis of 10,000 SH-SY5Ys is inhibited with 10 µM cytochalasin D with 1 h pre-treatment, whereas higher amounts of SH-SY5Ys (20,000 and 30,000) have suboptimal quantification of phagocytosis. Mean ± standard deviation (SD), N = 1 experiment.

**Figure 4: Optimization and validation of fixed-cell high-content phagocytosis assay. (A)** Representative high-content microscopy image of SH-SY5Ys phagocytosed by wild-type iPSC-macrophages BIONi010-C (ECACC ID: 66540023). A 3 h time-point with 40,000 SH-SY5Ys is shown. Fluorescence channels are merged, with iPSC-macrophage stain shown as red, nuclei as blue, and SH-SY5Ys as yellow. The inset panel is a section of the image magnified 3x. **(B)** The number of spots per cell of phagocytosed dead SH-SY5Ys after 5 h, using different amounts of cargo addition to wild-type iPSC-macrophages. Mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM), for N = 3 harvests. **(C)**

Phagocytosis (3 h) is inhibited with 10  $\mu$ M cytochalasin D (Cyt), 1  $\mu$ M bafilomycin A1 (Baf), 1  $\mu$ M jasplakinolide (with 1 h pre-treatment; Jas), and 13  $\mu$ g/mL recombinant annexin V (added simultaneously to the dead SH-SY5Ys; Ann). iPSC-macrophages with no SH-SY5Ys added were used as a negative control (-ve), and the positive (+ve) control is untreated iPSC-macrophages with SH-SY5Ys added. Data was normalized to the mean for the experiment repeat. Means  $\pm$  SEM, for N = 3–6 harvests and with two wild-type cell lines (SFC840-03-03, the characterization of this line is described in (Fernandes et al.<sup>21</sup> and BIONi010-C). 1-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test, comparisons to untreated cells. \*p < 0.05, \*\*\*p < 0.001. (D) Freshly fixed SH-SY5Ys stain uniformly for phosphatidylserine display (annexin V-FITC) and have limited cell permeability (propidium iodide). Live SH-SY5Ys do not stain for annexin V-FITC or propidium iodide, except for focal staining present on the few dead cells in culture. Figures are reproduced with permission from Alzheimer's Research & Therapy<sup>17</sup>.

**Figure 5: Phagocytosis is reduced in TREM2 KO but not in R47H TREM2 iPSC-macrophages.** High-content phagocytosis assay performed with 40,000 SH-SY5Ys per well with staggered additions. Means were quantified for the parameters: number of spots per cell, sum of spot areas ( $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) per cell, and percentage of cells containing phagocytosed particles per field. Data was normalized to mean for each genotype per experiment. Mean  $\pm$  SEM, for N = 3 harvests. Repeated-measures 2-way ANOVA, Dunnett's post-hoc test, pairwise comparisons to the WT for each time: \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001. Figures are reproduced with permission from Alzheimer's Research & Therapy<sup>17</sup>.

**Supplementary Figure S1: Example QC for SH-SY5Ys preparation.** Dissociated SH-SY5Ys were fixed for 10 min with 0% (live cells), 1%, and 2% of paraformaldehyde (PFA), then washed. Cells were stained with annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) and immediately measured by flow cytometry. Color density dot plots were created in flow cytometry analysis software, using the single-stained and unstained controls to place a quadrant gate. Quadrants are annotated with the percentage of events within that quadrant. Live cells are mainly in Q4, and fixed cells are mainly in Q2. Q1 = annexin V-/PI-, Q2 = annexin V+/PI+, Q3 = annexin V+/PI-, Q4 = annexin V-/PI- (live cells).

**Supplementary Video: Live-cell time-lapse phagocytosis.** Representative time-lapse video of SH-SY5Ys phagocytosed by wild-type iPSC-macrophages BIONi010-C (ECACC ID: 66540023). Images were taken every 5 min for 3 h. Video is cropped and runs at 3 frames per second, showing the last 1.5 h of the assay. The acid-sensitive dye-stained SH-SY5Ys are shown in red, signal intensity increasing with phagosome acidification. Cell nuclei stained with Hoechst 33342 are shown in blue.

#### **Table 1: Media recipes.**

Constituents of cell culture media used in the protocol. Further details of the media components can be found in the **Table of Materials**.

## DISCUSSION:

Microglia have important functions that affect the initiation and progression of neurodegenerative diseases, including phagocytosis of apoptotic neurons. Impaired microglial phagocytosis and inappropriate phagocytosis of synapses have both been associated with neurodegenerative diseases, although the underlying mechanisms and causation are not well-understood<sup>4,23</sup>. This paper outlines a phagocytosis assay to measure phagocytosis of apoptotic cells by iPSC-macrophages, with either a live-cell time-lapse imaging readout or fixed-cell high-content microscopy, or a combination of both on a single assay. This versatility means that the assay can be used to study individual phagocytic events over time in a few wells or used for high-content screening with multiple conditions or treatments. Since the high-content assay is fixed at a single timepoint, multiple assay plates could be prepared simultaneously. The high-content assay has potential utility for characterizing macrophages/microglia with disease-associated genetic variants or screening small molecule inhibitors for changes to phagocytosis. The assay can also be easily adapted to study phagocytosis of other microglia models, or potentially astrocytes. The phagocytosis assay can potentially be multiplexed with live-cell imaging stains, e.g., mitochondria, calcium, or ROS indicators, and post-fixation immunofluorescent staining for proteins-of-interest can be performed. Compared with existing phagocytosis assays that utilize apoptotic neuronal cells, the main advantages that this protocol confers is that preparation of the phagocytic cargo is relatively simple and rapid, and results in a uniform product. Other assays induce apoptosis of neurons or SH-SY5Ys with S-nitroso-L-cysteine for 2 h<sup>25</sup>, okadaic acid for 3 h<sup>22</sup>, staurosporine for 4–16 h<sup>26–29</sup> or UV-irradiation for 24 h<sup>30</sup>, and can result in cells at different stages of apoptosis. Furthermore, the live-cell imaging and high-content imaging readouts have not previously been described, as far as the authors are aware. The main limitation of using paraformaldehyde-fixation to prepare the phagocytic cargo is that it does not fully recapitulate the process of apoptosis, since fixation prevents the cells from splitting into apoptotic bodies, which are likely to be phagocytosed more rapidly due to their smaller size. It is not known what effect fixation has upon the secretion of nucleotide “find me” signals (e.g., ATP, UDP) from the target cell that attract phagocytes. Similar to apoptotic cells, the fixed SH-SY5Ys exhibit some membrane permeability to propidium iodide. Membrane permeability is associated with the release of “find me” signals; however, this has not been studied in the fixed SH-SY5Ys, and if the nucleotides are released too quickly, they would be washed away before SH-SY5Ys are added to the iPSC-macrophages.

The first critical step in the protocol is staining of dead SH-SY5Ys with an STP ester of a pH-sensitive red fluorescent dye. This dye rapidly and covalently reacts with free primary amines on the surface of the dead SH-SY5Ys. The duration of staining does not need to be optimized; however, care must be taken with handling the dye before labeling. The labeling reaction must not be performed in buffers containing free amines. Furthermore, there is a risk of precipitation if the DMSO stock is diluted in cold aqueous buffer or at high final concentration. Precipitates will appear as dense dark objects under the microscope. Additionally, the pH-sensitive dye solution sticks to regular plastic centrifuge tubes and washes off slowly; therefore, low-binding tubes are recommended for the labeling step. Use of a pH-sensitive dye, instead of a permanently fluorescent dye, aids the identification of engulfed particles, versus particles that neighbor the plasma membrane. Since there is some fluorescence at neutral pH, the density of phagocytic

cargo and iPSC-macrophages need to be kept low enough for accurate segmentation, although high enough that numerous phagocytic events are captured. High-content microscopy was capable of accurately identifying phagocytosis with a medium density of cargo in the well (more than 2 SH-SY5Ys per iPSC-macrophage). Conversely, due to weaker sensitivity of the microscope in the deep red spectrum, segmentation of iPSC-macrophages in the live-cell time-lapse imaging data was less confident and it was necessary to use a very low density of cargo to reduce the likelihood of false positives (1 SH-SY5Y for every two iPSC-macrophages). Validation of proper segmentation and cargo density should be performed with comparisons between untreated and cytochalasin D-treated wells. In a well-optimized assay, cytochalasin D should reduce the average number of spots per cell by 90% relative to untreated samples.

Another critical step in the protocol is the iPSC-macrophage staining, which allows the cell to be identified and segmented in image analysis so that any external SH-SY5Ys are excluded from the count. The recommended dye is cell-permeant, converted to an insoluble fluorescent product within the cytoplasm, fixable, and non-toxic (see **Table of Materials**). The staining step was optimized for use of iPSC-macrophages with the high-content imaging phagocytosis assay, and we suggest that it should be re-optimized if other cell types are used. The duration of cell staining can be increased to improve deposition of the insoluble fluorescent product within cells. If dye concentration is optimized, care should be taken to avoid toxic levels of the organic solvent vehicle.

The third critical factor to the success of the assay is the data analysis. The analysis pipelines provided are intended to be of guidance rather than prescriptive, as differences to staining intensity or cell morphology can reduce the effectiveness of segmentation of the pipelines as written. Some optimizations will therefore be required, with testing of the pipeline on appropriate positive and negative controls, and the parameters that should be optimized are indicated in the protocol text. Negative controls should include a condition where iPSC-macrophages are pre-treated with a potent phagocytosis inhibitor such as cytochalasin D before addition of SH-SY5Ys. Another possible negative control is addition of the SH-SY5Ys to previously untreated wells of iPSC-macrophages at the end of the assay, 10 min before fixation, which allows some settling of the cargo but is too short for an appreciable quantity of phagocytosis to occur. A phagocytosis event is defined as a red-fluorescent object within the borders of an iPSC-macrophage, defined by the software algorithm using the deep red fluorescence channel. If segmentation of the cells is poor (**Figure 2**), many non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Ys in close proximity to iPSC-macrophages may be erroneously included in the analysis, i.e., false positives. The most important factor in achieving good segmentation is stringent delineation of the iPSC-macrophages. Segmentation for both analyses is automated, so it is not possible to obtain perfect segmentation for every cell; however, a few parameters can be adjusted to make the segmentation more optimal, using a few test images as reference. The cytochalasin D control is important for assessing optimal segmentation because a high number of phagocytic events detected in this condition indicates that the segmentation is sub-optimal. Optimization of the data analysis pipeline should ideally be repeated until the number of phagocytic events per cell is 80%–90% lower in the cytochalasin D condition versus no inhibitor.

The problems with the phagocytosis assay that are most likely to occur are: (1) weak pH-sensitive fluorescence in positive controls, (2) sparse or uneven distribution of macrophages at the end of the assay, or (3) high numbers of false-positives in the analysis from non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Ys. Troubleshooting of weak pH-sensitive fluorescence should firstly check that staining of the SH-SY5Ys resulted in a cell pellet with a strong magenta color. If the color is weak, ensure that a fresh dye stock is used, ensure that the labeling buffer is amine-free, add an extra wash to the SH-SY5Ys before staining, check whether the correct number of SH-SY5Ys were stained, ensure that no dye precipitates are in evidence, and optimize the labeling concentration of the dye. If the SH-SY5Ys are strongly stained, check whether the concentration added to the assay plate is correct, and ensure that the iPSC-macrophages are healthy and not too old. The second type of issue, uneven macrophage distribution, can result from loss of cells during pipetting and steps should be taken to reduce the pipetting forces experienced by the cells, avoiding narrow-bore tips. If the problem remains, reduce the incubation time of loading the iPSC-macrophages with cell-permeant dye. The third problem, regarding erroneous inclusion of non-phagocytosed particles in the analysis, indicates that more optimization of the analysis pipeline is required. Troubleshooting should focus firstly on cell segmentation and whether the software is including adjacent objects. Specific parameters that can be adjusted are suggested in the notes below the relevant steps (steps 6.1.11–6.1.15 for the live-cell time-lapse analysis and steps 6.2.4–6.2.8 for the high-content analysis). If cell segmentation cannot be further improved, the high-content analysis has an extra step (step 6.2.8) that excludes improperly segmented iPSC-macrophages. Furthermore, the module that filters accepted spots of pH-sensitive fluorescence within iPSC-macrophages can be optimized, increasing the threshold intensity of accepted objects, which should help to exclude non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Ys (step 6.1.17 for the live-cell time-lapse analysis, and step 6.2.11 for the high-content analysis).

We developed two types of microscopy readout for the phagocytosis assay that each have advantages and limitations. Live-cell time-lapse imaging has the merits of providing extra information about phagocytosis kinetics and is more widely available than high-content imaging platforms. The recommended open-source software is agnostic to the microscope source and could be used with any good-quality fluorescent microscope, with or without live-cell time-lapse capability. The main limitation of the live-cell imaging is limited sensitivity and optics, which make it more challenging to detect and perform good segmentation of iPSC-macrophages. This limitation could be mitigated either by increasing the duration of iPSC-macrophage staining, or by switching to a more sensitive microscope, if available. The high-content imaging phagocytosis assay is the recommended readout if a high-content imaging system is available. High-content imaging systems enable higher throughput and more reliable data, enabling this assay to be used for screening, in which a robust  $Z'$  of  $\geq 0.7$  would be expected for the “number of spots per cell” output<sup>20</sup>. Compared with the live-cell time-lapse method, the high-content microscopy readout has higher sensitivity, a higher degree of automation and speed, more wells and imaging fields can be processed, and high-resolution confocal images are produced. Cell segmentation is more effective with good images, and segmentation is additionally aided by the high-content imaging analysis software providing more cell segmentation methods suitable for highly irregularly shaped cells. The high-content imaging analysis software also calculated more parameters of phagocytosis, compared with the open-source software, such as the percentage of phagocytic

cells. The main limitation of the high-content phagocytosis assay is one of cost and accessibility of the imaging system and analysis software.

In conclusion, the quantitative phagocytosis assay presented in this paper is a useful tool for modeling microglia phagocytosis of dead neurons in vitro. The microglia are modeled by iPSC-macrophages and the dead neurons are modeled by paraformaldehyde-fixed SH-SY5Ys. Although not the most authentic microglia and dead/apoptotic neuron models published, these are easy to prepare and scalable. The assay itself is highly versatile, with two types of imaging readout detailed, and it has potential to be adapted for use with different microglia/macrophage monoculture models, or a different cell type to act as the phagocytic cargo. The high-content imaging readout is advantageous for obtaining quantitative data and can be scaled up to assay small molecule modulators of phagocytosis, or screen genetic variants in the iPSC-macrophages. However, since high-content imaging systems are expensive and data-heavy, an alternative imaging readout has been included in the protocol using a live-cell time-lapse microscope, which could be substituted for any good-quality conventional fluorescence microscope, if needed.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The authors thank Dr. Val Millar and Dr. Sohaib Nizami for their assistance with high-content microscopy, and Dr. Daniel Ebner for access to the high-content microscopes. Furthermore, the authors thank Dr. Emma Mead for assay development advice, and Mrs. Cathy Browne for iPSC support. This work was supported by the Alzheimer's Research UK Oxford Drug Discovery Institute (ARUK ODDI, grant reference ARUK-2020DDI-OX), with additional support to the James Martin Stem Cell Facility Oxford (S.A.C.) from the Oxford Martin School LC0910-004; Monument Trust Discovery Award from Parkinson's UK (J-1403); the MRC Dementias Platform UK Stem Cell Network Capital Equipment MC\_EX\_MR/N50192X/1, Partnership MR/N013255/1, and Momentum MC\_PC\_16034 Awards.

#### DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Hochreiter-Hufford, A., Ravichandran, K. S. Clearing the dead: apoptotic cell sensing, recognition, engulfment, and digestion. *Cold Spring Harbour Perspectives in Biology*. **5**, a008748 (2013).
2. Freeman, S. A., Grinstein, S. Phagocytosis: receptors, signal integration, and the cytoskeleton. *Immunological Reviews*. **262**, 193–215 (2014).
3. Hickman, S., Izzy, S., Sen, P., Morsett, L., El Khoury, J. Microglia in neurodegeneration. *Nature Neuroscience*. **21** (10), 1359–1369 (2018).
4. Galloway, D. A., Phillips, A. E. M., Owen, D. R. J., Moore, C. S. Phagocytosis in the brain: Homeostasis and disease. *Frontiers in Immunology*. **10**, 790 (2019).
5. Nizami, S., Hall-Roberts, H., Warriar, S., Cowley, S. A., Di Daniel, E. Microglial inflammation and phagocytosis in Alzheimer's disease: potential therapeutic targets. *British Journal of Pharmacology*. **176** (18), 3515–3532 (2019).
6. Hong, S. et al. Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse



models. *Science*. **352** (6286), 712–716 (2016).

7. Neher, J. J. et al. Inhibition of microglial phagocytosis is sufficient to prevent inflammatory neuronal death. *The Journal of Immunology*. **186** (8), 4973–4983 (2011).

8. Brown, G. C., Neher, J. J. Microglial phagocytosis of live neurons. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. **15** (4), 209–216 (2014).

9. Scott-Hewitt, N. et al. Local externalization of phosphatidylserine mediates developmental synaptic pruning by microglia. *The EMBO Journal*. **39** (16), e105380 (2020).

10. Li, T. et al. A splicing isoform of GPR56 mediates microglial synaptic refinement via phosphatidylserine binding. *The EMBO Journal*. **39** (16), e104136 (2020).

11. Sapar, M. L. et al. Phosphatidylserine externalization results from and causes neurite degeneration in *Drosophila*. *Cell Reports*. **24** (9), 2273–2286 (2018).

12. Skjesol, A. et al. The TLR4 adaptor TRAM controls the phagocytosis of Gram-negative bacteria by interacting with the Rab11-family interacting protein 2. *PLOS Pathogens*. **15** (3), e1007684 (2019).

13. Wong, K., Li, X., Ma, Y. Paraformaldehyde induces elevation of intracellular calcium and phosphatidylserine externalization in platelets. *Thrombosis Research*. **117** (5), 537–542 (2006).

14. van Wilgenburg, B., Browne, C., Vowles, J., Cowley, S. A. Efficient, long term production of monocyte-derived macrophages from human pluripotent stem cells under partly-defined and fully-defined conditions. *PLoS One*. **8** (8) (2013).

15. Haenseler, W. et al. A highly efficient human pluripotent stem cell microglia model displays a neuronal-co-culture-specific expression profile and inflammatory response. *Stem Cell Reports*. **8** (6), 1727–1742 (2017).

16. Buchrieser, J., James, W., Moore, M. D. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived macrophages share ontogeny with MYB-independent tissue-resident macrophages. *Stem Cell Reports*. **8** (2), 334–345 (2017).

17. Hall-Roberts, H. et al. TREM2 Alzheimer’s variant R47H causes similar transcriptional dysregulation to knockout, yet only subtle functional phenotypes in human iPSC-derived macrophages. *Alzheimer’s Research & Therapy*. **12**, 151 (2020).

18. Friedman, B. A. et al. Diverse brain myeloid expression profiles reveal distinct microglial activation states and aspects of Alzheimer’s disease not evident in mouse models. *Cell Reports*. **22** (3), 832–847 (2018).


19. Aziz, M., Yang, W. L., Wang, P. Measurement of phagocytic engulfment of apoptotic cells by macrophages using pHrodo succinimidyl ester. *Current Protocols in Immunology*. **100**, 14.31.1–14.31.8 (2013).


20. Atmaramani, R., Pancrazio, J. J., Black, B. J. Adaptation of robust Z’ factor for assay quality assessment in microelectrode array based screening using adult dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*. **339**, 108699 (2020).


21. Fernandes, H.J.R., et al. ER Stress and Autophagic Perturbations Lead to Elevated Extracellular  $\alpha$ -Synuclein in GBA-N370S Parkinson’s iPSC-Derived Dopamine Neurons. *Stem Cell Reports*. **6**(3), 342–356 (2016).


22. Takahashi, K., Rochford, C. D. P., Neumann, H. Clearance of apoptotic neurons without inflammation by microglial triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-2. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*. **201** (4), 647–657 (2005).


- 1011 23. Kober, D. L., Brett, T. J. TREM2-ligand interactions in health and disease. *Journal of*  
1012 *Molecular Biology*. **429** (11), 1607–1629 (2017).
- 1013 24. Hong, S. et al. Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse  
1014 models. *Science*. **352** (6286), 712–716 (2016).
- 1015 25. Witting, A., Müller, P., Herrmann, A., Kettenmann, H., Nolte, C. Phagocytic clearance of  
1016 apoptotic neurons by microglia/brain macrophages in vitro: Involvement of lectin-,  
1017 integrin-, and phosphatidylserine-mediated recognition. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. **75** (3),  
1018 1060–1070 (2000).
- 1019 26. Hsieh, C. L. et al. A role for TREM2 ligands in the phagocytosis of apoptotic neuronal cells  
1020 by microglia. *Journal of Neurochemistry*. **109** (4), 1144–1156 (2009).
- 1021 27. Beccari, S., Diaz-Aparicio, I., Sierra, A. Quantifying microglial phagocytosis of apoptotic  
1022 cells in the brain in health and disease. *Current Protocols in Immunology*. **122** (1), e49  
1023 (2018).
- 1024 28. Diaz-Aparicio, I. et al. Microglia actively remodel adult hippocampal neurogenesis through  
1025 the phagocytosis secretome. *Journal of Neuroscience*. **40** (7), 1453–1482 (2020).
- 1026 29. Shirotani, K. et al. Aminophospholipids are signal-transducing TREM2 ligands on apoptotic  
1027 cells. *Scientific Reports*. **9** (1), 1–9 (2019).
- 1028 30. Garcia-Reitboeck, P. et al. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived microglia-like cells  
1029 harboring TREM2 missense mutations show specific deficits in phagocytosis. *Cell Reports*.  
1030 **24** (9), 2300–2311 (2018).
- 1031
- 1032














Click here to access/download  
**Video or Animated Figure**  
Supplementary Video.avi



Name	Base media	Additive, final concentration
iPSC media	mTeSR1	-
Embryoid Body media	mTeSR1	BMP4, 50 ng/mL
		VEGF, 50 ng/mL
		SCF, 20 ng/mL
Factory media	XVIVO15	GlutaMAX, 2 mM
		Penicillin, 100 units/mL
		Streptomycin, 100 µg/mL
		2-Mercaptoethanol, 50 µM
		IL-3, 25 ng/mL
		M-CSF, 100 ng/mL
Macrophage media	XVIVO15	GlutaMAX, 2 mM
		Penicillin, 100 units/mL
		Streptomycin, 100 µg/mL
		M-CSF, 100 ng/mL
SH-SY5Y media	DMEM/F12	Fetal bovine serum, 10%
		Penicillin, 100 units/mL
		Streptomycin, 100 µg/mL

Name of Material/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number
15 mL conical centrifuge tube	Falcon	352096
2-20 µL, 20-200 µL, 100-1000 µL single-channel micropipettes		
2-mercaptoethanol 50 mM	Gibco	31350010
4% paraformaldehyde in PBS	Alfa Aesar	J61899
6-well plate, tissue culture treated		
AggreWell-800 24-well plate	STEMCELL Technologies	34815
Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Staining / Detection Kit	Abcam	ab14085
Automated cell counter		
Benchtop centrifuge		
Benchtop microcentrifuge		
CellCarrier-96 Ultra Microplates, tissue culture treated, black, 96-well with lid	Perkin Elmer	6055302
CellProfiler software		
CellTracker Deep Red dye	Thermo Fisher	C34565
Class 2 laminar air flow safety cabinet		
CO <sub>2</sub> gas bottle		
CO <sub>2</sub> incubator, set to 37°C and 5 % CO <sub>2</sub>		
Columbus Image Data Storage and Analysis System	Perkin Elmer	Columbus
Cytochalasin D	Cayman	11330
DMEM/F12	Gibco	11320074
DMSO	Sigma	D8418
EVOS FL Auto Imaging System	Thermo Fisher	AMF4300
EVOS Light Cube CY5	Thermo Fisher	AMEP4656
EVOS Light Cube DAPI	Thermo Fisher	AMEP4650
EVOS Light Cube RFP	Thermo Fisher	AMEP4652
EVOS Onstage Incubator	Thermo Fisher	AMC1000

Fetal Bovine Serum	Sigma	F4135
Flow cytometer		
Flow cytometry analysis software		
Geltrex LDEV-Free, hESC-Qualified, Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Matrix	Invitrogen	A1413302
GlutaMAX Supplement	Gibco	35050-038
HBSS	Lonza	BE 10-547F
Human recombinant BMP4	Gibco	PHC9534
Human recombinant IL-3	Gibco	PHC0033
Human recombinant SCF	Miltenyi Biotech	130-096-695
Human recombinant VEGF	Gibco	PHC9394
Live Cell Imaging Solution	Thermo Fisher	A14291DJ
Low protein binding 2 mL tubes	Eppendorf	30108.132
M-CSF	Thermo Fisher	PHC9501
	STEMCELL	
mTeSR1 Medium	Technologies	85850
Multichannel 20-200 uL pipette		
NucBlue Live ReadyProbes Reagent	Thermo Fisher	R37605
Opera Phenix High-Content Screening System	Perkin Elmer	HH14000000
Penicillin-Streptomycin	Gibco	15140-122
pHrodo iFL Red STP-Ester	Thermo Fisher	P36011
Serological pipette filler		
T175 flask, tissue culture treated		
T75 flask		
Transparent plate sealers	Greiner Bio-One	676001
TrypLE Express (1X), no phenol red	Gibco	12604013
Water bath, set to 37°C		
X-VIVO 15 Medium with L-glutamine, gentamicin, and phenol red	Lonza	BE04-418F
X-VIVO 15 Medium with L-glutamine; without gentamicin or phenol red	Lonza	04-744Q

## Comments/Description

For centrifugation of cells

Component of Factory media

For fixation of cells

Microwell low-adherence 24-well plate for formation of embryoid bodies

Kit for annexin V-FITC staining , as an assay for quality control of fixed SH-SY5Ys. Kit contains annexin binding buffer, annexin V-FITC, and propidium iodide.

96-well tissue culture (TC)-treated microplate with black well walls and an optically-clear bottom, for phagocytosis assay

Open-source software for analysis of phagocytosis images obtained by live-cell time-lapse microscope. Download for free from website (<http://cellprofiler.org/>), this protocol used version 2.2.0.

Deep red-fluorescent, cell-permeant, succinimidyl ester-reactive dye for staining cytoplasm of iPS-macrophages. Dissolve CellTracker Deep Red dye in DMSO to 2 mM (1.4 mg/mL). Use at 1  $\mu$ M, by dilution of DMSO stock with Macrophage media.

Accessory for EVOS FL Auto

Data storage and analysis platform for Opera Phenix. Supports all major high content screening instruments.

Negative control treatment for phagocytosis assay. Reconstitute in DMSO to 10 mM and store aliquots at -20°C, avoid further freeze-thaw cycles. Use at final concentration 10  $\mu$ M.

Component of SH-SY5Y media

Solvent for CellTracker and pHrodo dyes

Live-cell time-lapse imaging microscope

Accessory for EVOS FL Auto

Accessory for EVOS FL Auto

Accessory for EVOS FL Auto

Accessory for EVOS FL Auto

Component of SH-SY5Y media

hESC-qualified basement membrane matrix for iPSC culture

Component of both Factory and Macrophage media

Hank's balanced salt solution for washing steps

Component of Embryoid Body media

Component of both Factory and Macrophage media

Component of Embryoid Body media

Component of Embryoid Body media

Phenol red-free HEPES-buffered media for labelling dead SH-SY5Ys

For staining SH-SY5Ys

Component of both Factory and Macrophage media

iPSC media

For liquid handling of 96-well plate

Hoechst 33342 formulation in a dropper bottle for staining nuclei of iPS-macrophages, use 0.5 drops/mL in Macrophage media.

High-content imaging microscope, used with Harmony software version 4.9.

Component of Factory, Macrophage, and SH-SY5Y media

pH-sensitive red fluorescent dye for labelling dead SH-SY5Ys. Reconstitute pHrodo iFL Red STP Ester powder in DMSO to a 5 mg/mL concentration. For each 1 million SH-SY5Ys, add 2.5  $\mu$ L (12.5  $\mu$ g) of pHrodo iFL Red STP Ester stock to pre-warmed cells suspended in Live Cell Imaging Solution.

Vessel for differentiations of iPSC-macrophage precursors, known as "Factories"

Vessel for SH-SY5Y culture

For assay plate storage and transportation

Cell dissociation buffer containing recombinant trypsin-like enzymes and 1.1 mM EDTA, use neat.

Component of Factory and Macrophage media

Phenol red-free macrophage media, for use in phagocytosis without additives or growth factors

## JoVE62217 In Vitro Quantitative Imaging Assay for Phagocytic Engulfment of Dead Neuroblastoma Cells by iPSC-Macrophages

Thank you to the editor and reviewers for their constructive comments, I appreciate the time and care that was taken in reviewing this manuscript. I am pleased the both reviewers describe the protocol as “useful”, and Reviewer #2 also describes it as “well written... clearly described and well detailed”. Reviewer #1 had many suggestions for improving the clarity of the protocol steps and for outlining in more detail the strengths and weaknesses of the protocol, and I have taken every effort to implement these suggestions to the best of my ability. My comments are in green text, below. There was one suggestion from Reviewer #1 that was impractical to implement in full, the suggestion: “for the data analysis section, the reader should be guided on how to use the softwares described including illustrations in main tricky points”. I produced an extra illustration (Figure 2) to explain the most important image analysis point, which is the proper segmentation of the iPSC-macrophages, but to do this for all of the optimizable points of the data analysis would add many extra figures and take a lot of time to produce. I hope that overall the revisions are to the satisfaction of the editor (and reviewers, if invited again to review).

### **Editorial comments:**

1. Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread the manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammar issues.

I have proofread the manuscript again, after making the changes suggested by the editor and reviewers.

2. Please revise the following lines to avoid previously published work: 115-126.

The previously published work was referenced to avoid having to describe the iPSC-macrophage differentiation. I have removed the reference, and replaced it with a more detailed description of iPSC culture and the differentiation procedure (steps 1.1 and 1.2).

3. Please provide an institutional email address for each author.

These have been provided.

4. Please revise the text to avoid the use of any personal pronouns (e.g., “we”, “you”, “our” etc.).

I have edited lines throughout the text to remove “we”, “your” and “our”.

5. Please include an ethics statement before the numbered protocol steps, indicating that the protocol follows the guidelines of your institution’s human research ethics committee.

At lines 114-116 I have added the following ethics statement: “The protocol follows the guidelines for the use of human iPS cell lines derived at the University of Oxford, Oxford Parkinson’s Disease Centre (Ethics Committee: National Health Service, Health Research Authority, NRES Committee South Central, Berkshire, UK (REC 10/H0505/71)).”

6. JoVE cannot publish manuscripts containing commercial language. Please remove all commercial language from your manuscript and use generic terms instead. All commercial products should be sufficiently referenced in the Table of Materials: e.g., XVIVO15, Glutamax, Aggrewell-800, Peprotech, Miltenyi Biotec, pMacpre, Cell carrier 96-well plate, TrypLE, Live Cell Imaging Solution, pHrodo, CellTracker Deep Red dye, NucBlue Live Ready Probes, Columbus Image Data Storage and Analysis

System, etc. We must maintain our scientific integrity and prevent the subsequent video from becoming a commercial advertisement.

All commercial language has been removed from the manuscript and replaced with generic descriptions, citing the Table of Materials. The Table of Materials has been updated so that the same descriptions are used in the 'Comments' column, and any steps that involve preparing solutions of commercial dyes have been moved from the main text to the Table of Materials. Software versions have been moved to the Table of Materials. The protocol sub-section regarding preparation of cell culture media has been removed, and the information displayed in Table 1, due to the necessity of naming commercial base media. The term "pHrodo-SH-SY5Ys" has been substituted with "SH-SY5Ys", adding extra clarification where necessary. Figure 1 was also edited to remove commercial language.

7. Please include a one line space between each protocol step and highlight up to 3 pages of protocol text for inclusion in the protocol section of the video. This will clarify what needs to be filmed.

One-line spaces have been inserted between the protocol steps. The protocol text for inclusion in the video are highlighted in yellow.

8. Please do not use any abbreviations for journal and book titles in the References. Article titles should start with a capital letter and end with a period and should appear exactly as they were published in the original work, without any abbreviations or truncations.

The referencing style has been altered to avoid abbreviation of journal titles, and I have checked article titles for fidelity.

9. Figure 3: Please ensure that the font used for defining the X and Y -axis are consistent for all the images (Figure 3B and C). Please define the scale bar and the magnification used in the Figure Legends (Figure 3D).

Figure 3 is now Figure 4, and this has been edited to ensure font consistency. The text on the scale bar was omitted in error, and has been re-instated on Figure 4D.

10. Figure 4: For time units, please use abbreviated forms in the X-axis. Example: Time of phagocytosis (h).

Figure 4 is now Figure 5, and this has been edited changing 'hours' to 'h' in the x-axis title.

11. Supplementary Figure S1: Please define the numbers (i.e., - 0, 0.36, 92.9, 6.77 in the first graph in the panel) represented in all the graphs of the panel. Please mention whether they indicate "percentage" in the Figure or Figure Legends.

The numbers in the quadrants are indeed percentages, I have added the following to the Fig S1 legend: "Quadrants are annotated with the percentage of events within that quadrant."

12. Please sort the Table of Materials in alphabetical order. Please remove trademark (™) and registered (®) symbols from the Table of Equipment and Materials.

I have sorted the Table of Materials and removed the trademark symbol.

---

**Reviewers' comments:**

## Reviewer #1:

Title:

I suggest the authors modifying "phagocytic engulfment" by "phagocytosis". It is not clear to me whether this analysis (as it is described) can distinguish between the engulfment and degradation of phagocytic cargo.

This is a fair point, the assay does not robustly distinguish acidified versus non-acidified phagosomes, it quantifies only whether material is internalised. I have changed the title as per the reviewer's recommendations.

Abstract:

OK.

Manuscript:

Overall, the described protocol seems to be useful.

Although the authors do briefly discuss the weaknesses of other protocols using non-physiological phagocytic cargoes or physiological cargoes with other types of cell-death inducing protocols (okadaic acid, staurosporine..), they do not mention sufficiently weak points that their protocol may have. For example, fixing the SHSY neuroblastoma cells with paraformaldehyde for phosphatidylserine exposure may have some limitations, including:

- The cargo is fixed (so this could have consequences on phagocytosis; not physiological).
- According to their annexin-V and PI staining:
  - o FACS analysis indicates that most of cells are annexinV-PI positive: indicating membrane permeabilization and primary/secondary necrosis.
  - o On image analysis, they instead show that PI staining was not significant and claim that their dead cells have limited cell permeability.
- Therefore, the nature of their dead cells and possible consequences on phagocytosis should be discussed more thoroughly.

I thank the reviewer for their assessment of the protocol, and I agree that limitations of the paraformaldehyde-fixed phagocytic cargo should be included in the discussion. As I see it, there is one key limitation, which I have inserted to line 811: "The main limitation of using paraformaldehyde-fixation to prepare the phagocytic cargo is that this does not fully recapitulate the process of apoptosis, since fixation prevents the cells from splitting into apoptotic bodies, which are likely to be phagocytosed more rapidly due to their smaller size." This essentially covers the point that it is "not physiological", as the reviewer states. The second point that the reviewer makes here is that the FACS analysis of annexin V/PI staining appears to contradict the *in situ* microscopy staining, due to the high PI staining in the FACS analysis and very weak staining seen by microscopy. I have observed that the fixed SH-SY5Ys exhibit minimal PI staining immediately after fixation, but that with storage the PI staining increases. Therefore the higher PI staining of the FACS readout may be a result of the longer delay in time between fixation and readout. I have added some comments about the permeability of SH-SY5Ys (lines 816-820), in the context that this could affect the release of "find me" signals that attract phagocytes, and if permeability is achieved too quickly the "find me" signals are likely to be washed away during staining of the SH-SY5Ys, thus not detected by iPSC-macrophages in the assay.

Protocol description:

In the protocol described, what I miss most is the final concentrations of the different constituents of media and other solutions/reactives used.

For example:

- "500 ml bottle of DMEM/F12 base media with 50 ml of fetal bovine serum and 5 ml penicillin-streptomycin".
- This would be DMEM/F12 base media with 10%FBS and 1% antibiotics. I think this information should be obligatorily indicated first and then include the specific volumes/concentrations added at each step to ease the practical application of the protocol.



Thank you for the advice, I have moved the media recipes into Table 1, and in this table the final concentrations of the media additives are stated. I agree that the final concentrations are more important than volumes, enabling alternative products to be easily substituted.

Lines 129-131: "preferable to use cell approximately 6-8 weeks after the differentiation factories are set up. Earlier harvested iPSC-macrophages can retain some proliferative capacity and are less adherent...". Is there also an upper limit in time for the use of these macrophages?

This is an interesting question, I find that our oldest cultures of iPSC-macrophages are capable of phagocytosis if healthy, but we do not maintain factories beyond approximately 12 weeks due to poor cell yield. I have added to line 137 (immediately after the section quoted above) "An upper age limit for phagocytosis capability has not been determined."

\*Also, it is not clear to me whether these iPSC-macrophages could be considered microglia or not. The introduction and discussion are focused on microglia, however the whole protocol is described based on iPSC-macrophages. I suggest the authors providing more general information on the features, phenotypes of these iPSC-macrophages in the introduction/discussion sections.

There are many differentiation protocols for iPSC models of microglia in the literature, of varying complexity, but all of them are "models" and all should be described as "microglia-like" rather than "microglia". We are cautious not to refer to our cells as "microglia", however the intention of the assay was to study an activity performed by microglia using iPSC-macrophages as a model. We consider the iPSC-macrophages to be a very basic model, with utility in studying basic processes such as phagocytosis, and have cited literature in which the functional capabilities of the cells are explored. They could be certainly be substituted for a more microglia-like cell model, for example the iPSC-microglia-like cells described in Haenseler et al (2017) that undergo the same differentiation protocol but with a different final media composition. I have modified lines 97-101 in the introduction to clarify this point: "For the microglia cell model human iPSC-macrophages were used, which mimic the ontogeny and transcriptional profile of human microglia, and are phagocytically-competent. iPSC-macrophages are not the most authentic microglia model available, e.g. they do not mimic microglia morphology, however one can substitute for a more authentic monoculture iPSC model of microglia if desired, such as Haenseler et al (2017)."

Lines 139-141: TrypLE Express dissociation. 4 ml of this solution are added to the flask for dissociation but then a thin film of TrypLE Express is used for incubation. Please, clarify a little bit this point.

I have modified step 2.1 to improve clarity of this point, and also to comply with the journal policy regarding commercial language. "In a class II biological safety cabinet, dissociate SH-SY5Ys, by addition of 4 mL of a cell dissociation buffer containing recombinant trypsin-like enzymes and 1.1 mM EDTA (see Table of Materials), which should be removed immediately so that less than 1 mL remains as a thin film coating the cells. Incubate for 2-3 min at 37 °C/ 5% CO<sub>2</sub>."

Lines 170-172: XVIVO15 media without gentamicin; please, explain which is the reason behind.

This media was used for steps 3.4 and 4.3, it is now referred to in the text as "phenol red-free macrophage media". The purpose of the media is to be used during the phagocytosis assay itself- it has the same base composition as the macrophage media, to avoid upsetting the cells, however the phenol red component is absent, to reduce background fluorescence. The phenol red-free XVIVO15 also happens to have gentamycin removed, but this is not important for the assay, it is the only type of phenol red-free XVIVO15 currently available.

Lines 183-190: the solution indicated in these sections contains not only cell tracker but also NucBlue, right?

The reviewer is referring to section 4 “Staining of iPSC-macrophages”. To clarify, the NucBlue is present in the CellTracker staining solution, steps 4.1 and 4.2, but it is not present in subsequent treatments in step 4.3. I have added to step 4.2 a reference to “the dye solution prepared in step 4.1”, to avoid ambiguity.

Lines 192-193: please, clarify this point with more information. Which compounds? What for?

The reviewer is referring to the note that follows section 4 “Staining of iPSC-macrophages”, regarding the addition of long-duration experimental treatments to the phagocytosis assay. I have edited “compounds” to read “experimental treatments”. Additionally I have added clarification that the experimental treatment should be reapplied in step 4.3, after the staining steps are performed.

Lines 228-230: there is a 30 min lag before phagocytosis assay is monitored. After that, phagocytosis is analyzed during the next 3 hours. Please, specify somewhere in the text that final times plotted in the graphs include the 30 min lag + 3 hours of analysis. If this is not the case, I don't understand why the time axis is longer than 3 hours. Indeed there is no effect of cytochalasinD until 3h.

The reviewer is correct that the unmonitored period was included in the times plotted, the times are from initiation of phagocytosis. To clarify this point in the text I have edited lines 664-666 in the Representative Results section: “Imaging commenced 40 min after the addition of SH-SY5Ys and images were captured at 5 min intervals for the next 3 h (data includes the initial 40 min delay).” I have additionally added to the figure legend for Fig 2 “Times displayed are from initiation of phagocytosis, including the first 40 minutes without measurement.”

\*Another issue related to this is that compounds like cytochalasin D (and others like bafilomycin) were not included in the initial protocol but later they are used in graph validations of the model. I think that this information, at least cytochalasin D treatment, should be included in the initial protocol because the authors later discuss that cytochalasin D treatment is necessary to control for proper quantification of phagocytosis.

I agree that cytochalasin D is a vital control when setting up this phagocytosis assay, and can see that it would be helpful to mention in the main section of the protocol. I have now added “Include 10  $\mu$ M cytochalasin D as a negative control treatment” to step 4.3 (line 218), and additionally explained in the accompanying note (line 225) that cytochalasin D blocks phagocytosis. I have also added cytochalasin D to the Table of Materials.

In my opinion, the data analysis section is very difficult to evaluate for a reviewer since the authors do not include illustrations for what they are describing. I think that the method would be improved if the authors would add some reference illustrations on what is good vs poor segmentation for macrophages and dead cells (it seems to me specially tricky to segment properly the phagocytic cargo, the pHRedo particles in SHSY cells, because their intensity changes depending on pH and on whether they are engulfed and processed or not). So what should be analyzed as a positive event and what not?

Also, it is not clear to me what is quantified as a positive phagocytic event. As far as I understood, if pHRedo+ particle is touching a macrophage is quantified as a positive event, however, from the information that I can see in the supplemental video, touching does not mean engulfing. More information should be provided on what is the analysis that is performed (data analysis section) and which are the strong and weak points of this analysis (discussion section).

This may be included in the video but I am just going to mention it to take it into consideration. Overall, for the data analysis section, the reader should be guided on how to use the softwares described including illustrations in main tricky points. If not, the outcome of the analysis could be variable and not related to the reality of the experiment. Since this is a method paper, the method should be sufficiently described for replication elsewhere.

A “positive event” is defined as a round pHrodo-positive object within the borders of an iPSC-macrophage, defined by the software algorithm, and does not include any objects “touching” the outside of the iPSC-macrophage, as long as the macrophage border has been correctly delineated. Essentially the delineation of the iPSC-macrophages is the most important factor in achieving “good segmentation”. I have moved institutions and do not have access to raw image data to use as example images, but I have produced a sketch to illustrate good vs poor segmentation as a new Figure 2, and I reference it in the protocol at line 539, in the note under step 6.2.5. The sketch shows that if more stringent parameters are placed on delineating the macrophage cytoplasm, this can ensure that neighbouring non-phagocytosed SH-SY5Ys are excluded from the analysis. If the macrophage cytoplasm is delineated too generously (i.e. sized too large), the software will include adjacent material with sufficient fluorescence in the deep red channel, and this can lead to neighbouring SH-SY5Ys being erroneously included in the analysis. Note that the segmentation for both the CellProfiler and Columbus analysis is calculated by the computer software, so it is not possible to obtain perfect segmentation for every cell, one can only adjust broad parameters to make the segmentation more optimal (not too many false positives or false negatives), which means making a judgement based on a few ‘test’ images as to whether the segmentation is acceptable. The cytochalasin D control is important for this assessment, since the cytochalasin D-treated iPSC-macrophages will be attracted to the SH-SY5Ys but will largely fail to engulf them, therefore if a very high number of phagocytic events are detected in this condition it indicates that segmentation is sub-optimal. I have added a few lines about this in the discussion (lines 863-875).

Regarding the reviewer’s comment “the reader should be guided on how to use the softwares described including illustrations in main tricky points”, unfortunately at this stage it is not practical to produce an illustration for every tricky point of the data analysis. However the “NOTE” sections in the protocol do explicitly highlight all of the analysis steps that can be optimized, and explain what we are trying to achieve. For each parameter a trial-and-error approach is needed to find the optimal value, i.e. plug in a higher number or lower number, and then see whether segmentation has become better or worse in the test image. I am confident that a novice with good experimental data would be able to follow the protocol and optimize the highlighted steps sufficiently, given enough time.

Line 512: section 8: optional quality control assay for homogeneity of fixed SHSY cells: I suggest the authors removing optional from this section. Each lab should test at least in their first experiments that indeed the method is working properly by the addition of this control.

In response to this I have removed the word “optional” from the section heading (section 7), and similarly removed the word “optional” from the note at line 173.

Representative results section:

Phagocytosis is inhibited in around 50% with cytochalasinD and around 30% with bafilomycin. Please, discuss more in depth these data taking into account the strength and weaknesses of the method described.

The cytochalasin D data that showed only 50% inhibition was the live-cell time-lapse imaging experiment in the Representative Results. This data is from only a single repeat of the experiment, and with only 1 well and 3 fields imaged per condition, so it is only meant to be “representative”. I have added a sentence to the Representative Results (line 669) to highlight these shortcomings, so that the reader will know to take that data with a pinch of salt.

The 30% inhibition by bafilomycin A1 is a different matter, as this was obtained in three independent repeats alongside other phagocytosis inhibitors that showed much higher inhibition. This suggests that the bafilomycin A1 treatment was genuinely less effective at inhibiting signal in this assay. One possible explanation is that the assay does not discriminate between acidified vs non-acidified phagosomes, and I have added a comment to the Representative Results (line 688) to explain this.

For representative results, a different HT cell analyzer was used with similar capabilities. Please, just mention briefly the reason.

The reviewer is referring to the second paragraph in the Representative Results section, where it was mentioned that the data was obtained with an IN Cell 6000 whereas the protocol was developed with the Opera Phenix. The reason for this is that the Opera Phenix was purchased after the representative data was obtained, and it has many advantages over the IN Cell 6000. The data analysis pipeline did not need to be significantly altered, because Columbus software supports both microscopes. I have now removed all text referring to the Opera Phenix and IN Cell 6000 from the manuscript, upon the Editor's request, including the sentence that the reviewer has commented on.

The HT fixed phagocytosis analysis can be analyzed adding more dead cells. Any technical or analysis considerations to explain this?

I believe that the reviewer is referring to lines 834-839 in the Discussion, where it is explained that the high-content assay retains accuracy with higher cargo densities than the live-cell time-lapse assay. The main reason is that iPSC-macrophages stain only weakly with the cytoplasmic dye, and while the high-content microscope is sufficiently sensitive to detect the edges of iPSC-macrophage cells, the live-cell time-lapse microscope is less sensitive and gave images of iPSC-macrophages with blurred and indistinct edges that the CellProfiler software struggled to delineate. Therefore with less confident segmentation it is necessary to reduce the quantity of phagocytic cargo so that there are fewer false-positives. I have modified the wording of this section to improve clarity: "High-content microscopy was capable of accurately identifying phagocytosis with a medium density of cargo in the well (more than 2 SH-SY5Ys per iPSC-macrophage). Conversely, due to weaker sensitivity of the microscope in the deep red spectrum, segmentation of iPSC-macrophages in the live-cell time-lapse imaging data was less confident and it was necessary to use a very low density of cargo to reduce the likelihood of false positives (1 SH-SY5Y for every two iPSC-macrophages)."

Legend figure 3: "and normalized to the mean of each genotype per experiment". Are there genotypes in this experiment? "images were taken with EVOS...". Was this not the HT analysis?

Figure 3 is now Figure 4. The first section of quoted text is an error on our part, I have corrected this to: "Data was normalized to the mean for the experiment repeat". The second section of text quoted is factually correct- the images of annexin V and PI staining in live and fixed SH-SY5Ys were taken using an EVOS FL Auto microscope. However I have now removed this reference to the EVOS FL Auto to comply with the journal's policy on commercial language.

For the supplementary video, the colour code should be included in the legend, meaning that the staining that is observed should be described.

Thank you for the helpful suggestion, the supplementary video legend has now been edited to include the colours shown in the video.

It would be helpful to add a final short paragraph with the main strength/weaknesses and applicability/importance of the method presented as conclusions.

A short conclusions paragraph has been added to the end of the article (lines 925-936) to address this.

## **Reviewer #2:**

Manuscript Summary:

The manuscript by Hall-Roberts et al. describes a protocol for quantification of phagocytosis of apoptotic neurons by iPSC-macrophages. This method can be very useful to study in vitro microglia

dysfunction in neurodegenerative disease and can be adapted to other in vitro models for phagocytosis.

The manuscript is well written and procedures are clearly described and well detailed.

Minor Concerns:

Section 3: Please indicate if it is possible to store fixed SH-SY5Y

I have not assessed whether fixed SH-SY5Ys can be stored before or after staining with the pH-sensitive dye. The longest that the stained fixed SH-SY5Ys have been stored before addition to the iPSC-macrophages is approximately 6 hours. To the note at the end of section 2 regarding fixation of SH-SY5Ys (lines 174-178) I have added the statement: "Storage of the fixed SH-SY5Ys after step 2.4 is not recommended as this has not been assessed." There is a similar statement after section 3 regarding the pHrodo red-stained SH-SY5Ys (lines 202-205).

Step 5.2 and 5.3: does "aspirate the iPSC-macrophages" mean "aspirate the iPSC-macrophage medium"?

Thank you for highlighting this error, the reviewer is correct. I have edited the text at step 4.2 and step 4.3 to correct this mistake.

The note after section 5 should be more detailed: if a longer treatment is required, are iPSC-macrophages stained after treatment? Is the CellTracker solution added to media±compounds or the treatment is supposed to finish before staining?

The reviewer is referring to the note (line 225) that follows section 4 "Staining of iPSC-macrophages", regarding the addition of long-duration experimental treatments to the phagocytosis assay. I have edited the note to clarify that the iPSC-macrophages are stained after the treatment, and then the treatment re-applied following staining for the duration of phagocytosis: "NOTE: For any desired experimental treatment that require longer incubation, e.g. 24 - 72 h, perform the experimental treatment before step 4.1, using 100 µL/well of treatment in full macrophage media. Follow steps 4.1 – 4.3 as per the protocol, so that cell staining is performed and subsequently the treatment is reapplied in phenol red-free macrophage media for the remainder of the phagocytosis assay."

