Submission ID #: 61089

**Scriptwriter Name: Bridget Colvin** 

**Project Page Link:** https://www.jove.com/account/file-uploader?src=18632193

Title: Loneliness Assuaged: Eye-Tracking an Audience Watching Barrage Videos

Authors and Affiliations: Guangyao Chen<sup>1</sup> and Shuhua Zhou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Journalism & Communication/National Media & Experimental Teaching Center, Jinan University

<sup>2</sup>School of Journalism, University of Missouri

## **Corresponding Author:**

Guangyao Chen <a href="mailto:ccggyy86@163.com">ccggyy86@163.com</a>

## **Co-authors:**

zhoushuh@missouri.edu

# **Author Questionnaire**

- Microscopy: Does your protocol involve video microscopy, such as filming a complex dissection or microinjection technique?

  N
- **2. Software:** Does the part of your protocol being filmed demonstrate software usage? **Y** *Video Editor: All screen capture files provided, do not film*
- **3. Filming location:** Will the filming need to take place in multiple locations (greater than walking distance)? **N**

## Introduction

### 1. Introductory Interview Statements

### **REQUIRED:**

- 1.1. <u>Guangyao Chen</u>: During barrage video studies, the focus is on the emotional needs of the audience. We can use eye tracking to objectively test the proposed match and satisfaction model [1].
  - 1.1.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera

### **REQUIRED:**

- 1.2. <u>Guanyao Chen</u>: Eye tracking documents watching behaviors in real time and allows the determination of where an audience is paying attention while watching rational and emotional and barrage vs non-barrage content [1].
  - 1.2.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera

#### Introduction of Demonstrator on Camera

- 1.3. <u>Guangyao Chen</u>: Demonstrating the procedure will be <u>Yao Shiwei</u>, <u>Liu Cong</u>, and <u>Chen Ruixue</u>, master students from my laboratory [1][2].
  - 1.3.1. INTERVIEW: Author saying the above
  - 1.3.2. The named demonstrator(s) looks up from workbench or desk or microscope and acknowledges the camera

#### **Ethics Title Card**

1.4. Procedures involving human subjects have been approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Jinan University.

## **Protocol**

## 2. Participant Screening and Stimulus Construction

- 2.1. Before beginning an experiment, use the third edition of the UCLA scale of loneliness [1-TXT] to allow categorization of the participants into "lonely" and "normal" groups according to their score [2].
  - 2.1.1. WIDE: Talent gesturing to computer for Participant to fill out questionnaire **TEXT: See text for full inclusion/exclusion criteria** *Videographer: Important step*
  - 2.1.2. Talent at computer, checking questionnaire or assigning participants to group(s), with monitor visible in frame
- 2.2. Select emotional and rational appeal, 1-minute, standalone video advertisements that do not require contextual information to comprehend [1].
  - 2.2.1. Talent at computer selecting/viewing ads, with monitor visible in frame
- 2.3. To ensure that the emotional and rational appeals are manipulated successfully, have non-participants view [1] and rate a pool of preselected ads based on these appeals [2].
  - 2.3.1. Talent(s) watching ad(s)
  - 2.3.2. Talent rating ad
- 2.4. To maximize manipulation, select videos with the highest scores in either category as the experimental stimuli [1].
  - 2.4.1. Shot of videos with scores, with highest score video circled or otherwise indicated
- 2.5. Use video editing software to convert the barrage into subtitles so that comments can be manually added to the video barrage area [1].
  - 2.5.1. SCREEN: 2.5.2: 00:02-00:44 Video Editor: please speed up
- 2.6. The ready-made video can then be called in the data-collection process [1].
  - 2.6.1. SCREEN: 2.6.2: 00:00-00:10

- 2.7. To randomize the presentational effects, produce four presentation orders for the experiment [1].
  - 2.7.1. SCREEN: 2.7.1: 00:00-00:08

## 3. Eye Tracking Protocol

- 3.1. After selecting a commercial eye tracker [1], set the default setting for the tracker gaze sample rate at 60 hertz/second [2] [3].
  - 3.1.1. WIDE: Talent pointing to Tobii tracker
  - 3.1.2. NOTE: Move 3.1.2 after 3.3.2.
- 3.2. Attach the eye tracker to the computer [1] and ask the Participant to read and sign an informed consent form [2].
  - 3.2.1. Talent attaching tracker to computer
  - 3.2.2. Talent giving consent form to Participant
- 3.3. When the Participant has given consent, have the Participant sit comfortably in front of the test computer [1] and check and adjust the chair height [2] as necessary so that the TV screen is at the Participant's eye level [3.1.2].
  - 3.3.1. Talent gesturing/Participant sitting in chair
  - 3.3.2. Talent checking/adjusting chair height
- 3.1.2. NOTE: 3.1.2 moved here. Talent placing computer screen 50 cm from Participants' eyes.
  - 3.4. Inform the Participant that a 5-point calibration is necessary to achieve the highest accuracy in data collection and to track the Participant's gaze within 2 degrees of accuracy [1] and instruct the Participant to sit still [2] while following a moving red dot on the computer screen with both eyes, fixating on the dot when it stops [3-TXT].
    - 3.4.1. Talent informing/Participant listening and/or nodding
    - 3.4.2. Participant sitting still while looking at screen, with monitor visible in frame as possible
    - 3.4.3. SCREEN: 3.4.3: 00:14-00:19 **TEXT: Repeat if participant looks away during** calibration
  - 3.5. After the calibration, check the tracker software to see if the Participant missed a calibration point [1-TXT] and have the Participant click the left mouse button to start a practice test [2].

- 3.5.1. SCREEN: 3.5.1: 00:01-00:03 TEXT: Repeat if missed calibration point
- 3.5.2. Participant clicking mouse/starting test
- 3.6. After the practice test, start the main experiment [1] and inform the Participant that they will see a red plus sign in the middle of the screen for 500 milliseconds [2], indicating the start of the experiment [3].
  - 3.6.1. Talent starting experiment
  - 3.6.2. Talent indicating middle of screen
  - 3.6.3. SCREEN: 3.6.3: 00:02-00:12
- 3.7. Instruct the Participant to watch the first video while the eye tracking is on [1].
  - 3.7.1. Participant watching/eye being tracked
- 3.8. After the first video, have the Participant complete the questionnaire that will automatically pop up [1].
  - 3.8.1. SCREEN: 3.8.1.
- 3.9. After completing the questionnaire, have the Participant click the left mouse button [1] and complete a battery of evaluative measures on their satisfaction with the video [2].
  - 3.9.1. Talent clicking button
  - 3.9.2. SCREEN: 3.9.2: 00:00-00:15
- 3.10. After rating the first video, ask the Participant if they would prefer to take a break or to continue on to another video [1].
  - 3.10.1. Talent gesturing that Participant can get up from chair then gesturing toward computer and Participant either getting up or turning toward computer
- 3.11. Then repeat the advertisement viewing and rating procedure seven more times [1] before thanking, debriefing, and paying the Participant for their time [2].
  - 3.11.1. Talent starting video, with Participant watching
  - 3.11.2. Talent shaking Participant hand and/or paying Participant

## 4. Eye-Tracking and Self-Reported Data Analyses

4.1. To analyze the eye-tracking data, slice the entire recording into eight segments corresponding to each ad watching segment [1]. Each clip should contain the original ad and eye movement data [2].

- 4.1.1. WIDE: Talent at computer, slicing recording, with monitor visible in frame
- 4.1.2. SCREEN: 4.1.2: 00:00-00:10
- 4.2. In the sliced video, use the tracker software to draw an area of interest to distinguish between the eye movement data in the barrage [1] and non-barrage areas [2].
  - 4.2.1. SCREEN: 4.2.1: 00:00-00:33 Video Editor: please speed up
  - 4.2.2. SCREEN: 4.2.1: 00:38-01:04 Video Editor: please speed up
- 4.3. Then count the number of fixations for each video segment [1] and separate them into barrage and non-barrage area of interest fixations [2].
  - 4.3.1. Talent counting fixations, with monitor visible in frame
  - 4.3.2. SCREEN: 4.3.2: 00:05-00:42 Video Editor: please speed up
- 4.4. To calculate the eye fixation durations, compare the duration and number of fixations at the barrage area of interest relative to the entire scene [1] to allow inference of where the participants were focusing and of which elements were being paid attention to while watching the videos [2].
  - 4.4.1. SCREEN: 4.4.1: 00:50-01:10 *Video Editor: please speed up*
  - 4.4.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4
- 4.5. Then analyze the self-reported data to determine the participants' satisfaction toward each video [1].
  - 4.5.1. Talent at computer, analyzing participant satisfaction data

## **Protocol Script Questions**

**A.** Which steps from the protocol are the most important for viewers to see? 2.1.

**B.** What is the single most difficult aspect of this procedure and what do you do to ensure success?

n/a

## Results

- 5. Results: Representative Participant Fixation and Satisfaction in Response to Emotional and Rational Advertisement Viewing
  - 5.1. Repeated measure multivariate analyses of variance can be conducted using duration and fixation as dependent variables to indicate attention [1].
    - 5.1.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4
  - 5.2. As confirmed by these results, lonely participants' gazes stay on barrage areas longer [1] than on non-barrage areas when emotional ads are presented [2].
    - 5.2.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 *Video Editor: please emphasize loneliness E-BVa data bars*
    - 5.2.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 *Video Editor: please emphasize loneliness E-NBVa data bars*
  - 5.3. When rational ads are viewed, however, no such difference is observed [1].
    - 5.3.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 *Video Editor: please emphasize loneliness R-BVa data hars*
    - 5.3.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 *Video Editor: please emphasize loneliness R-NBVa data bars*
  - 5.4. This pattern was not replicated for low loneliness participants [1], whose attention remained statistically non-significant [2] when watching emotional or rational ads [3].
    - 5.4.1. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 Video Editor: please emphasize Normal data bars
    - 5.4.2. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 Video Editor: please outline Normal E-Bva and E-NBVa data bars
    - 5.4.3. LAB MEDIA: Figure 4 Video Editor: please outline Normal R-Bva and R-NBVa data bars
  - 5.5. In addition, participant satisfaction with the observed videos largely replicated the duration and fixation results [1], with lonely audiences reporting a level of satisfaction when watching emotional ads [2] over rational ads [3] and with no statistical differences reported for either interaction in non-lonely audiences [4].
    - 5.5.1. LAB MEDIA: Table 1

# FINAL SCRIPT: APPROVED FOR FILMING

- 5.5.2. LAB MEDIA: Table 1 Video Editor: please emphasize Loneliness Story-based ads data cells
- 5.5.3. LAB MEDIA: Table 1 Video Editor: please emphasize Loneliness Hard-selling ads data cells
- 5.5.4. LAB MEDIA: Table 1 Video Editor: please emphasize Normal data cells

## Conclusion

## 6. Conclusion Interview Statements

- 6.1. <u>Guanyao Chen</u>: The person who adds the barrage should be someone who watches the barrage videos, added content, and format to be sure to conform to the characteristics of the normal barrage [1].
  - 6.1.1. INTERVIEW: Named talent says the statement above in an interview-style shot, looking slightly off-camera (2.5.)