Journal of Visualized Experiments

Validating whole genome Nanopore sequencing, using Usutu virus as an example --Manuscript Draft--

| Article Type: | Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Manuscript Number: | JoVE60906R2 | | |
| Full Title: | Validating whole genome Nanopore sequencing, using Usutu virus as an example | | |
| Section/Category: | JoVE Genetics | | |
| Keywords: | Nanopore sequencing; R10 flowcell; USUV; arboviruses; whole genome sequencing | | |
| Corresponding Author: | Bas Oude Oude Munnink Erasmus MC Rotterdam, Zuid-Holland NETHERLANDS | | |
| Corresponding Author's Institution: | Erasmus MC | | |
| Corresponding Author E-Mail: | b.oudemunnink@erasmusmc.nl | | |
| Order of Authors: | Bas B. Oude Munnink | | |
| | David F. Nieuwenhuijse | | |
| | Reina S. Sikkema | | |
| | Marion Koopmans | | |
| Additional Information: | | | |
| Question | Response | | |
| Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access. | Open Access (US\$4,200) | | |
| Please indicate the city, state/province, and country where this article will be filmed . Please do not use abbreviations. | Rotterdam, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands | | |

TITLE:

Validating Whole Genome Nanopore Sequencing, using Usutu Virus as an Example

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:

5 Bas B. Oude Munnink¹, David F. Nieuwenhuijse¹, Reina S. Sikkema¹ and Marion Koopmans¹

¹ErasmusMC, Department of Viroscience, WHO Collaborating Centre for Arbovirus and Viral Hemorrhagic Fever Reference and Research, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Email Addresses of Co-Authors:

11 David F. Nieuwenhuijse (d.nieuwenhuijsse@erasmusmc.nl)

12 Reina S. Sikkema (<u>r.sikkema@erasmusmc.nl)</u>
13 Marion Koopmans (<u>m.koopmans@erasmusmc.nl)</u>

Corresponding Author:

16 Bas B. Oude Munnink (b.oudemunnink@erasmusmc.nl)

KEYWORDS:

Nanopore, sequencing, R10 flowcell, USUV, arboviruses, whole genome sequencing

SUMMARY:

We previously validated a protocol for amplicon-based whole genome Usutu virus (USUV) sequencing on a nanopore sequencing platform. Here, we describe the methods used in more detail and determine the error rate of the nanopore R10 flow cell.

ABSTRACT:

Whole genome sequencing can be used to characterize and to trace viral outbreaks. Nanopore-based whole genome sequencing protocols have been described for several different viruses. These approaches utilize an overlapping amplicon-based approach which can be used to target a specific virus or group of genetically related viruses. In addition to confirmation of the virus presence, sequencing can be used for genomic epidemiology studies, to track viruses and unravel origins, reservoirs and modes of transmission. For such applications, it is crucial to understand possible effects of the error rate associated with the platform used. Routine application in clinical and public health settings require that this is documented with every important change in the protocol. Previously, a protocol for whole genome Usutu virus sequencing on the nanopore sequencing platform was validated (R9.4 flowcell) by direct comparison to Illumina sequencing. Here, we describe the method used to determine the required read coverage, using the comparison between the R10 flow cell and Illumina sequencing as an example.

INTRODUCTION:

Fast developments in third generation sequence technologies allows us to move forward towards close to real-time sequencing during viral outbreaks. This timely availability of genetic information can be useful to determine the origin and evolution of viral pathogens. Gold standards in the fields of next generation sequencing however, are still the second-generation

sequencers. These techniques rely on specific and time-consuming techniques like clonal amplification during an emulsion PCR or clonal bridge amplification. The third-generation sequencers are cheaper, hand-held and come with simplified library preparation methodologies. Especially the small size of the sequence device and the low purchase price makes it an interesting candidate for deployable, fieldable sequencing. This could for instance be seen during the Ebola virus outbreak in Sierra Leone and during the ongoing arbovirus outbreak investigations in Brazil^{1–3}. However, the reported high error rate⁴ might limit the applications for which nanopore sequencing can be used.

Nanopore sequencing is evolving quickly. New products are available in the market on a regular basis. Examples of this are for instance the 1D squared kits which enables sequencing of both strands of the DNA molecule, thereby boosting the accuracy of the called bases⁵ and the development of the R10 flow cell which measures the change in current at two different instances in the pore⁶. In addition, improved bio-informatic tools like improvements in basecalling will improve the accuracy of basecalling⁷. One of the most frequently used basecallers, (e.g., Albacore), has been updated at least 12 times in a 9-month time period⁵. Recently, the manufacturer also released a novel basecaller called flip-flop, which is implemented in the default nanopore software⁸. Together, all of these improvements will lead to more accurate sequences and will decrease the error rate of the nanopore sequencer.

Usutu virus (USUV) is a mosquito-borne arbovirus of the family *Flaviviridae* and it has a positive-stranded RNA genome of around 11,000 nucleotides. USUV mainly affects great grey owls and blackbirds^{9, 10}, although other bird species are also susceptible to USUV infection¹¹. Recently, USUV was also identified in rodents and shrews although their potential role in transmission of the virus remains unknown¹². In humans, asymptomatic infections have been described in blood donors^{13–16} while USUV infections also have been reported to be associated with encephalitis or meningo-encephalitis^{17, 18}. In the Netherlands, USUV was first detected in wild birds in 2016¹⁰ and in asymptomatic blood donors in 2018¹⁴. Since the initial detection of USUV, outbreaks have been reported during the subsequent years and surveillance, including whole genome sequencing, is currently ongoing to monitor the emerge and spread of an arbovirus in a previously naïve population.

Similar to what has been described for other viruses, such as Ebola virus, Zika virus and yellow fever virus^{3, 19, 20}, we have developed a primer set to sequence full length USUV²¹. This polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based approach allows for the recovery of full length USUV genomes from highly host-contaminated sample types like brain samples in samples up to a Ct value of around 32. Benefits of an amplicon-based sequencing approach are a higher sensitivity compared to metagenomic sequencing and a higher specificity. Limitations of using an amplicon-based approach are that the sequences should be similar in order to design primers fitting all strains and that primers are designed on our current knowledge about the virus diversity.

 Given the constant developments and improvements in third generation sequencing, there is a need to evaluate the error rate of the sequencer on a regular basis. Here, we describe a method to evaluate the performance of nanopore directly against Illumina sequencing using USUV as an

example. This method is applied to sequences generated with the latest R10 flow cell and basecalling is performed with the latest version of the flip-flop basecaller.

PROTOCOL:

NOTE: List of software tools to be used: usearch v11.0.667; muscle v3.8.1551; porechop 0.2.4; cutadapt 2.5; minimap2 2.16-r922; samtools 1.9; trimmomatic 0.39; bbmap 38.33; spades v3.13.1; kma-1.2.8

1. Primer design

- 1.1. Start with downloading or retrieving a set of relevant reference whole genome sequences from public or private data collections. For instance, retrieve all full length USUV genomes (taxid64286) from the NCBI database²². USUV encodes a genome of around 11,000 nucleotides so only retrieve the sequences with a sequence length of 8,000–12,000 nucleotides. Do this using the following search entry:
- taxid64286[Organism:noexp] AND 8000[SLEN]:12000[SLEN].

1.1.1. Click on **Send to | Complete Record | File**; use Format = FASTA and create the File.

- 1.2. To downsize the set of reference sequences, remove duplicate sequences or sequences with over 99% nucleotide identity from the dataset. Do this using the cluster fast option from usearch²³. On the command line enter:
- usearch -cluster fast All USUV.fasta -id 0.99 -centroids All USUV dedup.fasta

- 1.3. To generate the primers, sequences need to be aligned. This is done using MUSCLE²⁴. On the command line enter:
- muscle -in All_USUV_dedup.fasta -out All_USUV_dedup_aligned.fasta -log log_muscle.txt

NOTE: It is essential to manually inspect the alignment to check for discrepancies. These can be manually corrected if needed and the ends can be trimmed according to the length of most whole genome sequences.

1.4. Primal is used to make a draft selection of the primers which can be used for full length amplicon sequencing¹⁹. Upload the alignment to the primal website (http://primal.zibraproject.org/) and select the preferred amplicon length and overlap length between the different amplicons. Go to primal.zibraproject.org, fill in the **Scheme name**, upload the aligned fasta file, select the amplicon length, overlap size, and generate the scheme.

- 1.5. Align the complete set of available complete USUV sequences (not the downsized or deduplicated set). On the command line enter:
- 130 muscle -in All_USUV.fasta -out All_USUV_aligned.fasta -log log_muscle.txt

NOTE: Map the generated primers against the complete alignment (do not use the deduplicated

alignment), manually correct errors and include a maximum of 5 degenerative primer positions.

134135

2. Multiplex PCR

136

2.1. Perform the multiplex PCR using the designed primers and nanopore and Illumina sequencing. The multiplex PCR for USUV was performed as previous described 19, 21.

139

2.2. Perform basecalling with flip-flop version 3.0.6.6+9999d81.

141142

3. Data analysis to generate consensus sequences from nanopore data

143 144

145

146147

- 3.1. Several samples can be multiplexed on a single nanopore sequencing run. After performing the sequence run, demultiplex the nanopore data. Use Porechop²⁵ for this. To prevent contamination and enhance accuracy, use the *require_two_barcodes* flag. On the command line enter:
- porechop -i Run USUV.fastq -o Run USUV demultiplex --require two barcodes

148149150

151152

153

- 3.2. After demultiplexing, remove primer sequences (indicated in the file Primers_Usutu.fasta in both orientations) using cutadapt²⁶. In addition, remove sequences with a length shorter than 75 nucleotides. The primers have to be removed since they can introduce artificial biases in the consensus sequence. On the command line enter:
- cutadapt -b file:Primers USUV.fasta -o BC01 trimmed.fastq BC01.fastq -m 75

154155156

157

158159

160

161

- 3.3. Demultiplexed sequence reads can be mapped against a panel of distinct reference strains using minimap2²⁷ and a consensus sequence can be generated using samtools²⁸. Follow the example below which shows the procedure of a reference-based alignment and the consensus sequence generation of one sample: BC01. On the command line enter:
- minimap2 -ax map-ont Random_Refs_USUV.fasta BC01_trimmed.fastq > BC01.bam
 samtools sort BC01.bam > BC01 sorted.bam
- 162 bcftools mpileup -Ou -f Random_Refs_USUV.fasta BC01_sorted.bam | bcftools call -mv -163 Oz -o BC01.vcf.gz
- 164 bcftools index BC01.vcf.gz
 - cat Random_Refs_USUV.fasta | bcftools consensus BC01.vcf.gz > BC01_consensus.fasta

166167

168

169

170

165

- 3.4. For reference-based alignments it is essential that a closely related reference sequence is used. Therefore, perform a BlastN search with the generated consensus sequence to identify the closest reference strain. After that, repeat the reference-based alignment with the closest reference strain as reference (step 3.3 and 3.4). On the command line enter:
- 171 minimap2 -ax map-ont Ref_USUV_BC01.fasta BC01_trimmed.fastq > BC01_ref.bam
- samtools sort BC01_ref.bam > BC01_sorted_ref.bam
- bcftools mpileup -Ou -f Ref_USUV_BC01.fasta BC01_sorted_ref.bam | bcftools call -mv -
- 174 Oz -o BCO1_ref.vcf.gz
- 175 bcftools index BC01_ref.vcf.gz
- cat Ref USUV BC01.fasta | bcftools consensus BC01 ref.vcf.gz >

BC01 ref consensus.fasta

177 178 179

4. Analysis of the Illumina data

180

- 181 4.1. These sequences are automatically demultiplexed after sequencing. Reads can be quality controlled using trimmomatic²⁹. For paired-end Illumina sequences, use the commonly used cut-182 off median PHRED score of 33 and a minimal read length of 75 to get accurate, high quality reads. 183 184 On the command line enter:
 - trimmomatic PE -phred33 9 S9 L001 R1 001.fastq.qz 9 S9 L001 R2 001.fastq.qz 9 1P.fastq 9 1U.fastq 9 2P.fastq 9 2U.fastq LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:3:15 MINLEN:75

187 188 189

190

191

185

186

- 4.2. Remove primers (indicated in the file Primers Usutu.fasta in both orientations), since they can introduce artificial biases, using cutadapt²⁶. In addition, remove sequences with a length shorter than 75 nucleotides using the commands below. On the command line enter:
- 192 cutadapt -b file:Primers USUV.fasta -o 9 1P trimmed.fastq -p 9 2P trimmed.fastq 193 9 1P.fastq 9 2P.fastq -m 75

194 195

196

197

198 199

- 4.3. Before de novo assembly, the sequence reads can be normalized for an even coverage across the genome. This is essential since de novo assemblers like SPAdes take the read coverage into account when assembling sequence reads. Normalize reads to a read coverage of 50 using BBNorm from the BBMap package³⁰. On the command line enter:
- bbmap/bbnorm.sh target=50 in=9 1P trimmed.fastq in2=9 2P trimmed.fastq out=Sample9_FW_norm.fastq out2= Sample9_RE_norm.fastq

200 201 202

- 4.4. The normalized reads are de novo assembled using SPAdes³¹. Default settings are used for the assembly using all different kmers (21, 33, 55, 77, 99 and 127). On the command line enter:
- spades.py -k 21,33,55,77,99,127 -o Sample9 -1 Sample9.qc.f.fq -2 Sample9.qc.r.fq

204 205 206

207

203

4.5. Map the QC reads against the obtained consensus sequence using minimap2 and programs like Geneious, Bioedit or Ugene to curate the alignment. It is important to check the beginning and the end of the contig.

208 209

210 4.5.1. Align the QC reads against the obtained consensus sequencing using minimap2.

211

212 4.5.2. Import the alignment in Geneious/Bioedit/UGene. 213

214

4.5.3. Manually inspect, correct and curate especially the beginning and the end of the genome.

215

216 5. Determining the required read coverage to compensate for the error profile in nanopore sequencing using Illumina data as gold standard

217 218

219 5.1. Select sequence reads mapping to one amplicon, in this case amplicon 26. Subsequently, 220 map the nanopore reads against this amplicon using minimap2. Use Samtools to select only the

```
reads mapping to amplicon 26 and to convert the bam file into fastq. On the command line enter:
221
222
             minimap2 -ax map-ont -m 150 Amplicon26.fasta BC01 trimmed.fastq > BC01.bam
223
             samtools view -b -F 4 BC01.bam > BC01 mapped.bam
224
             samtools bam2fq BC01 mapped.bam | seqtk seq - -> BC01 mapped.fastq
```

5.2. Randomly select subsets of for instance 200 sequence reads one thousand times. For example, changing it to 10 will result in the random selection of one thousand times a subset of 10 sequence reads. The script is provided as **Supplementary File 1**. On the command line enter:

229 python Random selection.py

231 5.3. All randomly selected sequence reads are aligned to amplicon 26. Use KMA³² to map the sequence reads and to immediately generate a consensus sequence. Use optimized settings for 232 233 nanopore sequencing, indicated by the -bcNano flag. On the command line enter:

234 kma index -i Amplicon26.fasta for file in random sample*; do 235 236

sampleID=\${file%.fasta}

kma -i \${sampleID}.fastq -o \${sampleID} -t db Amplicon26.fasta -mem mode -mp 5 -mrs

238 0.0 -bcNano

239 done

240 241

237

225 226

227

228

230

- 5.4. Inspect the generated consensus sequences on the command line using:
- 242 cat *.fsa > All genomes.fsa
- 243 minimap2 -ax map-ont Amplicon26.fasta All genomes.fsa > All genomes.bam
- 244 samtools sort All genomes.bam > All genomes sorted.bam
- 245 samtools stats All genomes sorted.bam > stats.txt

246 247

248

- 5.4.1. The error rate is displayed in the stats.txt under the heading error rate #mismatches / bases mapped. Display it on the screen with the following command:
- 249 grep ^SN stats.txt | cut -f 2-

250 251

252

253

- 5.4.2. The amount of indels is displayed under the heading #Indels per cycle. Display it on the screen with the following command:
- grep ^IC stats.txt | cut -f 2-

254 255

256

257

258

259

260

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Recently, a new version of the flow cell version (R10) was released and offered improvements to the basecaller used to convert the electronic current signal to DNA sequences (so-called flip-flop basecaller). Therefore, we have re-sequenced USUV from brain tissue of an USUV-positive owl which was previously sequenced on a R9.4 flow cell and on an Illumina Miseg instrument²¹. Here, we described the method used to determine the required read coverage for reliable consensus calling by direct comparison to Illumina sequencing.

261 262 263

264

Using the newer flow cell in combination with the basecaller flip-flop we show that a read coverage of 40x results in identical results as compared to Illumina sequencing. A read coverage of 30x results in an error rate of 0.0002% which corresponds to one error in every 585,000 nucleotides sequenced, while a read coverage of 20x results in one error in every 63,529 nucleotides sequenced. A read coverage of 10x results in one error in every 3,312 nucleotides sequenced, meaning that over three nucleotides per full USUV genome are being called wrong. With a read coverage above 30x, no indels were observed. A read coverage of 20x resulted in the detection of one indel position while a read coverage of 10x resulted in indels in 29 positions. An overview of the error rate using different read coverage cut-offs is shown in **Table 1**.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Table 1. Overview of the error rate of nanopore sequencing. Each iteration represents one thousand random samples.

DISCUSSION:

Nanopore sequencing is constantly evolving and therefore there is a need for methods to monitor the error rate. Here, we describe a workflow to monitor the error rate of the nanopore sequencer. This can be useful after the release of a new flow cell, or if new releases of the basecalling are released. However, this can also be useful for users who want to set-up and validate their own sequencing protocol.

Different software and alignment tools can yield different results³³. In this manuscript, we aimed to use freely available software packages which are commonly used, and which have clear documentation. In some cases, preference might be given to commercial tools, which generally have a more user-friendly interfaces but have to be paid for. In the future, this method can be applied to the same sample in case big modifications in sequence technology or basecalling software are introduced Preferentially this should be done after each update of the basecaller or flowcell, however given the speed of the current developments this can be also been done only after major updates.

The reduction in the error rate in sequencing allows for a higher number of samples to be multiplexed. Thereby, nanopore sequencing is getting closer to replacing conventional real time PCRs for diagnostic assays, which is already the case for influenza virus diagnostics. In addition, the reduction of the error rate increases the usability of this technique sequencing, for instance for the determination of minor variants and for high-throughput unbiased metagenomic sequencing.

A critical step in the protocol is that close, reliable reference sequences need to be available. The primers are based on the current knowledge about virus diversity and might need to be updated every once in a while. Another critical point when setting up an amplicon-based sequencing approach is the balancing of the primer concentration to get an even balance in amplicon depth. This enables the multiplexing of more samples on a sequence run and results in a significant cost reduction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation

310 programme under grant agreement No. 643476 (COMPARE).

311 312

DISCLOSURES:

313 The authors have nothing to disclose.

314

315 **REFERENCES**:

- 316 1. Faria, N. R. et al. Establishment and cryptic transmission of Zika virus in Brazil and the
- 317 Americas. *Nature*. **546** (7658), 406–410 (2017).
- 318 2. Bonaldo, M. C. et al. Genome analysis of yellow fever virus of the ongoing outbreak in
- 319 Brazil reveals polymorphisms. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz.* **112** (6), 447–451 (2017).
- 320 3. Faria, N. R. et al. Genomic and epidemiological monitoring of yellow fever virus
- transmission potential. bioRxiv. 299842 (2018).
- 322 4. Magi, A., Giusti, B., Tattini, L. Characterization of MinION nanopore data for resequencing
- analyses. Briefings in Bioinformatics. **18** (6), bbw077 (2016).
- 324 5. Rang, F. J., Kloosterman, W.P., de Ridder, J. From squiggle to basepair: computational
- approaches for improving nanopore sequencing read accuracy. Genome Biology. 19 (1), 90
- 326 (2018).
- 327 6. Nanopore Store, R10 flow cells.
- 328 7. Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Holt, K.E. Performance of neural network basecalling tools for
- Oxford Nanopore sequencing. *Genome Biology*. **20** (1), 129 (2019).
- 330 8. GitHub nanoporetech/flappie: Flip-flop basecaller for Oxford Nanopore reads.
- 331 9. Lühken, R. et al. Distribution of Usutu Virus in Germany and Its Effect on Breeding Bird
- 332 Populations. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. **23** (12), 1994–2001 (2017).
- 333 10. Cadar, D. et al. Widespread activity of multiple lineages of Usutu virus, Western Europe,
- 334 2016. Eurosurveillance. **22** (4) (2017).
- 335 11. Becker, N. et al. Epizootic emergence of Usutu virus in wild and captive birds in Germany.
- 336 PLoS ONE. 7 (2) (2012).
- 12. Diagne, M. et al. Usutu Virus Isolated from Rodents in Senegal. Viruses. 11 (2), 181 (2019).
- 338 13. Bakonyi, T. et al. Usutu virus infections among blood donors, Austria, July and August
- 339 2017 Raising awareness for diagnostic challenges. *Eurosurveillance*. **22** (41) (2017).
- 340 14. Zaaijer, H. L., Slot, E., Molier, M., Reusken, C.B.E.M., Koppelman, M.H.G.M. Usutu virus
- infection in Dutch blood donors. *Transfusion*. trf.15444 (2019).
- 342 15. Cadar, D. et al. Blood donor screening for West Nile virus (WNV) revealed acute Usutu
- virus (USUV) infection, Germany, September 2016. Eurosurveillance. 22 (14), 30501 (2017).
- 344 16. Pierro, A. et al. Detection of specific antibodies against West Nile and Usutu viruses in
- healthy blood donors in northern Italy, 2010–2011. Clinical Microbiology and Infection. 19 (10),
- 346 E451-E453 (2013).
- 17. Pecorari, M. et al. First human case of Usutu virus neuroinvasive infection, Italy, August-
- 348 September 2009. Euro surveillance : bulletin européen sur les maladies transmissibles = European
- 349 *Communicable Disease Bulletin.* **14** (50) (2009).
- 350 18. Simonin, Y. et al. Human Usutu Virus Infection with Atypical Neurologic Presentation,
- 351 Montpellier, France, 2016. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. **24** (5), 875–878 (2018).
- 352 19. Quick, J. et al. Multiplex PCR method for MinION and Illumina sequencing of Zika and

- other virus genomes directly from clinical samples. *Nature Protocols*. **12** (6), 1261–1276 (2017).
- 354 20. Quick, J. et al. Real-time, portable genome sequencing for Ebola surveillance. *Nature*. **530**
- 355 (7589), 228–232 (2016).
- 356 21. Oude Munnink, B. B. et al. Towards high quality real-time whole genome sequencing
- during outbreaks using Usutu virus as example. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 73, 49–54
- 358 (2019).
- 359 22. Benson, D. A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Sayers, E.W. GenBank. *Nucleic*
- 360 Acids Research. **38** (Database issue), D46-51 (2010).
- 361 23. Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*.
- **26** (19), 2460–2461 (2010).
- 363 24. Edgar, R. C. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
- 364 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*. **32** (5), 1792–1797 (2004).
- 365 25. R. R. Wick GitHub rrwick/Porechop: adapter trimmer for Oxford Nanopore reads.
- 366 26. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing
- 367 reads. *EMBnet.journal*. **17** (1), 10 (2011).
- 368 27. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics. 34 (18),
- 369 3094-3100 (2018).
- 370 28. Li, H. et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. **25** (16),
- 371 2078–2079 (2009).
- 372 29. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
- 373 data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*. **30** (15), 2114–20 (2014).
- 374 30. BBMap download | SourceForge.net.
- 375 31. Bankevich, A. et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to
- 376 single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol.* **19** (5), 455–477 (2012).
- 377 32. Clausen, P. T. L. C., Aarestrup, F.M., Lund, O. Rapid and precise alignment of raw reads
- against redundant databases with KMA. BMC Bioinformatics. 19 (1), 307 (2018).
- 379 33. Brinkmann, A. et al. Proficiency Testing of Virus Diagnostics Based on Bioinformatics
- 380 Analysis of Simulated In Silico High-Throughput Sequencing Data Sets. Journal of Clinical
- 381 *Microbiology*. **57** (8) (2019).

382

| Coverage | Errors iteration 1 | Error rate iteration 1 | Indels: | Errors iteration 2 | Error rate iteration 2 | Indels: |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 10× | 100 | 0.0274% | 4 | 116 | 0.0297% | 18 |
| 20× | 4 | 0.0010% | 0 | 6 | 0.0015% | 1 |
| 30x | 2 | 0.0005% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 40x | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 50× | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |

| Errors iteration 3 | Error rate | Indels: | |
|--------------------|------------|---------|--|
| 110 | 0.0282% | 7 | |
| 7 | 0.0282% | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | |

| Coverage | Errors iteration 1 | Error rate iteration 1 | Indels: | Errors iteration 2 | Error rate iteration 2 | Indels: |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|
| 10× | 100 | 0.0274% | 4 | 116 | 0.0297% | 18 |
| 20× | 4 | 0.0010% | 0 | 6 | 0.0015% | 1 |
| 30x | 2 | 0.0005% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 40x | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 50× | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 | 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |

| Errors | Error rate | Indels: |
|-------------|-------------|----------|
| iteration 3 | iteration 3 | illueis. |
| 110 | 0.0282% | 7 |
| 7 | 0.0018% | 0 |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |
| 0 | 0.0000% | 0 |

| Name of Material/ Equipment | Company | Catalog Number | Comments/Description |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Agencourt AMPure XP beads | Beckman Coulter | A63881 | |
| dNTPs | Qiagen | 201900 | |
| FLO-MIN106 R10 flowcell | Nanopore | R10 flowcell | |
| KAPA Hyperplus libarary preparation kit | Roche | 7962436001 | |
| Library Loading Bead Kit | Nanopore | EXP-LLB001 | |
| Ligation Sequencing Kit 1D | Nanopore | SQK-LSK109 | |
| Native Barcoding Kit 1D 1-12 | Nanopore | EXP-NBD103 | |
| Native Barcoding Kit 1D 13-24 | Nanopore | EXP-NBD104 | |
| NEB Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix | NEB | M0367S | |
| NEB Next Quick Ligation Module | NEB | E6056 | |
| NEB Next Ultra II End Repair / dA-Tailing Module | NFR | E7546S | |
| Protoscript II Reverse Transcriptase | NEB | M0368X | |
| Q5 High-Fidelity polymerase | NEB | M0491 | |
| Qubit dsDNA HS Assay kit | Thermo Fisher | Q32851 | |
| Random Primers | Promega | C1181 | |
| RNAsin Ribonuclease Inhibitor | Promega | N2111 | |

Dear editor,

I have addressed the comments in the resubmitted file. However, the commercial language cannot completely be removed. The manuscript describes the comparison of two different sequence platform which has to be called by name in order to make it understandable for the reader.

Best regards,

Bas Oude Munnink

(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86\$ porechop -i Run_USUV.fastq -o Run_USUV_demultiplex --require_two_barcodes

Loading reads

Run_USUV.fastq 198,081 reads loaded

Looking for known adapter sets

550 / 10,000 (5.5%)

(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86\$ cutadapt -b file:Primers_USUV.fasta -o BC01_trimmed.fastq BC01.fastq -m 75
This is cutadapt 2.5 with Python 3.7.3
Command line parameters: -b file:Primers_USUV.fasta -o BC01_trimmed.fastq BC01.fastq -m 75
Processing reads on 1 core in single-end mode ...

```
<u>*</u>
```

```
(base) bas:JOVE bom86$ minimap2 -ax map-ont Random_Refs_USUV.fasta BC01_trimmed.fastq > BC01.bam
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.004*1.70] collected minimizers
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.007*2.22] sorted minimizers
[M::main::0.007*2.22] loaded/built the index for 7 target sequence(s)
[M::mm_mapopt_update::0.008*2.15] mid_occ = 11
[M::mm_idx_stat] kmer size: 15; skip: 10; is_hpc: 0; #seq: 7
[M::mm_idx_stat::0.008*2.11] distinct minimizers: 6651 (62.25% are singletons); average occurrences: 2.151; average spacing: 5.368
```

```
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86$ minimap2 -ax map-ont Ref_USUV_BC81.fasta BC81.qc.fq > BC81_ref.bam
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.001+2.82] collected minimizers
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.003*2.85] sorted minimizers
[M::main::0.003*2.82] loaded/built the index for 1 target sequence(s)
[M::mm_mapopt_update::0.003*2.74] mid_occ = 3
[M::nm_idx_stat] kmer size: 15; skip: 10; is_hpc: 0; #seq: 1
[Mi:mm_idx_stat::0.003+2.66] distinct minimizers: 2047 (99.85% are singletons); average occurrences: 1.001; average spacing: 5.398
ERROR: failed to open file 'BC@1.qc.fg'
[M::main] Version: 2.16-r922
[M::main] CMD: minimap2 -ax map-ont Ref_USUV_BC81.fasta BC81.gc.fq
[M::main] Real time: 8.884 sec; CPU: 8.889 sec; Peak RSS: 8.882 GB
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86$ samtools sort BC01_ref.bam > BC01_sorted_ref.bam
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bomB6$ bcftbols spileup -Ou -f Ref_USUV_BC81.fasta BC81_sprted_ref.bam | bcftbols call -mv -Oz -o BC81_ref.vcf.gz
Note: none of --samples-file, --ploidy or --ploidy-file given, assuming all sites are diploid
[mpileup] 1 samples in 1 input files
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86$ bcftools index BC01_ref.vcf.gr
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bom86$ cat Ref_USUV_BC81.fasta | boftools consensus BC81_ref.vcf.gz > BC81_ref_consensus.fasta
Note: the --sample option not given, applying all records regardless of the genotype
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bon865
(base) bas:Test_JOVE bon86$
```

```
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$ minimap2 -ax map-ont -m 150 Amplicon26.fasta BC01_trimmed.fastq > BC01.bam
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.001*4.68] collected minimizers
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.001*3.72] sorted minimizers
[M::main::0.001*3.63] loaded/built the index for 1 target sequence(s)
[M::mm_mapopt_update::0.001*3.54] mid_occ = 2
[M::mm_idx_stat] kmer size: 15; skip: 10; is_hpc: 0; #seq: 1
[M::mm_idx_stat::0.001*3.46] distinct minimizers: 74 (100.00% are singletons); average occurrences: 1.000; average spacing: 5.270
[M::worker_pipeline::4.448*1.81] mapped 531466 sequences
[M::main] Version: 2.16-r922
[M::main] CMD: minimap2 -ax map-ont -m 150 Amplicon26.fasta BC01_trimmed.fastq
[M::main] Real time: 4.450 sec; CPU: 8.060 sec; Peak RSS: 0.562 GB
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$ samtools view -b -F 4 BC01.bam > BC01_mapped.bam
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$ samtools bam2fq BC01_mapped.bam | seqtk seq - -> BC01_mapped.fastq
[M::bam2fq_mainloop] discarded 0 singletons
[M::bam2fq_mainloop] processed 1834 reads
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$
```

<u>*</u>

(base) bas:JOVE bom86\$ python Random_selection.py (base) bas:JOVE bom86\$ |

```
(base) bas:JOVE bom86$ for file in random_sample*; do
> sampleID=${fileN.fastq}
> kma -i ${sampleID}.fastq -o ${sampleID} -t_db Amplicon26.fasta -mem_mode -mp 5 -mrs 0.0 -bcNano
```

```
(base) bas:JOVE bom865 cat *.fsa > All_genomes.fsa
(base) bas:JOVE bom865 minimap2 -ax map-ont Amplicon26.fasta All_genomes.fsa > All_genomes.bam
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.001*2.41] collected minimizers
[M::mm_idx_gen::0.002*2.57] sorted minimizers
[M::main::0.002*2.22] loaded/built the index for 1 target sequence(s)
[M::mm_mapopt_update::0.003*2.22] mid_occ = 2
[M::mm_idx_stat] kmer size: 15; skip: 10; is_hpc: 0; #seq: 1
[M::mm_idx_stat] kmer size: 15; skip: 10; is_hpc: 0; #seq: 1
[M::mm_idx_stat::0.003*2.18] distinct minimizers: 74 (1000.00%) average occurrences: 1.000; average spacing: 5.270
[M::msin] version: 2.16-r922
[M::msin] version: 2.16-r922
[M::msin] CMD: minimap2 -ax map-ont Amplicon26.fasta All_genomes.fsa
[M::msin] Real time: 0.037 sec; CPU: 0.000 sec; Peak RSS: 0.000 QB
[base) bas:JOVE bom865 samtools sort All_genomes_sorted.bam
[base) bas:JOVE bom865 samtools stats All_genomes_sorted.bam > stats.txt
[base) bas:JOVE bom865
```

```
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$ grep "SN stats.txt | cut -f 2-
raw total sequences:
                     1866
filtered sequences:
sequences:
is sorted:
               1
1st fragments: 1880
last fragments: 8
reads mapped: 1808
reads mapped and paired:
                                      # paired-end technology bit set + both mates mapped
reads unmapped: 8
reads properly paired: 0
                              # proper-pair bit set
reads paired: 8
                       # paired-end technology bit set
reads duplicated:
                              # PCR or optical duplicate bit set
reads MQ8:
                       # mapped and MQ=8
               .
reads QC failed:
non-primary alignments: θ
total length: 398246 # ignores clipping
total first fragment length: 398246 # ignores clipping
                                      # ignores clipping
total last fragment length:
                              0
bases mapped: 390246 # ignores clipping
bases mapped (cigar): 398246 # more accurate
bases trimmed: 0
bases duplicated:
              18241 # from NM fields
mismatches:
error rate:
               2.624242e-82 # mismatches / bases mapped (cigar)
average length: 398
average first fragment length: 398
average last fragment length: 0
maximum length: 391
maximum first fragment length: 0
maximum last fragment length: 0
average quality:
                      255.0
insert size average: 0.8
insert size standard deviation: 0.8
inward oriented pairs: 0
outward priented pairs: 0
pairs with other orientation: 0
pairs on different chromosomes: 8
percentage of properly paired reads (N):
                                              0.0
(base) bas: JOVE bom86$ grell
```

<u>*</u>

Suppl File 1-Script for the random selection

Click here to access/download **Supplemental Coding Files**Random_selection.py