

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Methods for human circadian phenotyping and diurnal performance testing in the real world

--Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Manuscript Number:	JoVE60448R3
Full Title:	Methods for human circadian phenotyping and diurnal performance testing in the real world
Section/Category:	JoVE Behavior
Keywords:	Circadian phenotype; actigraphy; dim light melatonin onset; cortisol awakening response; performance; diurnal; sleep; chronobiology; chronotype
Corresponding Author:	Elise Facer-Childs UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author's Institution:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	E.R.Facer-Childs@bham.ac.uk
Order of Authors:	Elise R. Facer-Childs Benita Middleton Andrew P. Bagshaw Debra J. Skene
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Open Access (US\$4,200)
Please indicate the city, state/province, and country where this article will be filmed . Please do not use abbreviations.	Guildford, Surrey, United Kingdom

TITLE:

Human Circadian Phenotyping and Diurnal Performance Testing in the Real World

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:

Elise R. Facer-Childs¹⁻³, Benita Middleton¹, Andrew P. Bagshaw², Debra J. Skene¹

¹Chronobiology, Faculty of Health & Medical Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

²Centre for Human Brain Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

³Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

Corresponding Author:

Elise R. Facer-Childs

Email: Elise.Facer-Childs@monash.edu

KEYWORDS:

circadian rhythms, sleep, circadian phenotype, individual differences, dim light melatonin onset, cortisol awakening response, actigraphy, chronotype, performance

SUMMARY:

Here, we present a method to investigate diurnal rhythms in performance following accurate categorization of participants into circadian phenotype groups based on the Munich ChronoType Questionnaire, gold standard circadian phase biomarkers and actigraphic measures.

ABSTRACT:

In our continuously developing ‘around the clock’ society, there is a need to increase our understanding of how changes in biology, physiology and psychology influence our health and performance. Embedded within this challenge, is the increasing need to account for individual differences in sleep and circadian rhythms, as well as to explore the impact of time of day on performance in the real world. There are a number of ways to measure sleep and circadian rhythms from subjective questionnaire-based methods to objective sleep/wake monitoring, actigraphy and analysis of biological samples. This paper proposes a protocol that combines multiple techniques to categorize individuals into Early, Intermediate or Late circadian phenotype groups (ECPs/ICPs/LCPs) and recommends how to conduct diurnal performance testing in the field. Representative results show large differences in rest-activity patterns derived from actigraphy, circadian phase (dim light melatonin onset and peak time of cortisol awakening response) between circadian phenotypes. In addition, significant differences in diurnal performance rhythms between ECPs and LCPs emphasizes the need to account for circadian phenotype. In summary, despite the difficulties in controlling influencing factors, this protocol allows a real-world assessment of the impact of circadian phenotype on performance. This paper presents a simple method to assess circadian phenotype in the field and supports the need to consider time of day when designing performance studies.

INTRODUCTION:

At the behavioral level, assessing individual rest/activity patterns can be done using subjective

questionnaire-based methods or objective monitoring through wrist actigraphy. Actigraphic data have been validated against polysomnography (PSG) for various sleep parameters including: total sleep time, sleep efficiency and wake after sleep onset¹. Although PSG is known as the gold standard for measuring sleep, it is challenging to use for prolonged periods outside of the sleep laboratory². Therefore, actigraphs are intended to provide a simple, more cost-effective alternative to PSG and allow monitoring of 24 h rest/activity pattern. Subjective self-report measures can define one's 'chronotype' using the Munich ChronoType Questionnaire (MCTQ)³, or diurnal preference using the Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire (MEQ)⁴. The groups at either end of this spectrum can be referred to as Early circadian phenotypes (ECPs) and Late circadian phenotypes (LCPs) with those in between as Intermediate circadian phenotypes (ICPs).

Although ECPs and LCPs are clearly distinguishable through their behavior (i.e., sleep/wake patterns), these individual differences are also partly driven by variations in physiology⁵ and genetic predisposition^{6,7}. Physiological biomarkers are often used to determine the circadian phase/timing of an individual. Two of the main hormones indicative of circadian timing are melatonin, which rises in the evening to reach a peak in the middle of the night, and cortisol, which peaks in the morning⁸. Using these circadian phase markers, individual differences in sleep-wake patterns are able to be identified. For example, dim light melatonin onset (DLMO)^{9,10} and the time of cortisol awakening response^{11,12} peak earlier in ECPs, which is mirrored by the circadian rhythm of core body temperature¹³. Saliva allows easy, safe and noninvasive collection from which these hormones can be analyzed by radioimmunoassay (RIA) or enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) without the need to extract any cellular material. RIA and ELISA are sensitive and specific assays that detect concentrations of antigens in biological samples (e.g., blood, plasma or saliva), through antigen-antibody reactions involving radiolabeled isotopes (e.g., iodine (¹²⁵I)) or enzyme-linked antibodies¹⁴.

Strictly controlled laboratory protocols such as constant routine (CR) and forced desynchrony (FD) are the gold standard in the field of chronobiology to study endogenous circadian rhythms¹⁵. However, there is an increasing need to study individuals in their home environment outside of artificial laboratory settings to gather contextual data and increase the external validity of results. Hence, we require better ways to categorize, measure and assess individual differences in the field. In addition, diurnal variations in various measures of physical (aerobic capacity, muscle strength) and cognitive (reaction time, sustained attention, executive function) performance have been uncovered with ECPs performing better earlier in the day and LCPs in the evening^{16,17}. This emphasizes that time of day should be a factor that is considered when carrying out performance testing in research studies.

The number of different measures and protocols used in laboratory studies allow highly controlled conditions to be implemented. Field studies tend to be more challenging due to the number of influencing factors. Therefore, using a more holistic approach by combining multiple techniques may provide more accuracy when monitoring an individual's behavior, psychology and performance in their home environment¹⁸. Here, we discuss a method that can easily be implemented in the field to identify individual differences in circadian phenotypes using the MCTQ, actigraphy and physiological biomarkers. We hypothesize that these variables will differ

significantly between circadian phenotype groups and will be significantly correlated with chronotype (= corrected mid-sleep on free days (MSF_{sc}) gathered from the MCTQ). Furthermore, we suggest ways to measure diurnal performance, highlighting the need to analyze data separately for each circadian phenotype group. We hypothesize that differences in diurnal performance rhythms will be obscured if data are only analyzed at the whole population level.

PROTOCOL:

All methods described here have been approved by the University of Birmingham Research Ethics Committee.

1. Participant screening and experimental design

1.1 Perform all methods following the appropriate ethical approvals, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and gain written informed consent from all participants before any involvement.

1.2 Recruit participants with no prior diagnoses of sleep, neurological or psychiatric disorders, and without taking any medications that affect sleep, melatonin or cortisol rhythms.

1.3 Ensure that no participants are shift workers, participants have not travelled more than two time zones within the past month and they are free to take part in the study for a at least a two-week period (i.e., able to commit to wearing the actiwatch, give saliva samples on a 'free day' and be present for performance testing at specific times (see section 2.1)).

1.4 Invite participants who pass inclusion criteria to attend an initial set up meeting to gain consent, collect questionnaire data, receive training in collecting saliva samples at home and be set up with an actigraphic device and sleep diary. At this meeting, familiarize the participants with the physiological sampling protocols to make sure they understand what is required (see section 3).

1.5 Ask the participants to complete the Munich ChronoType Questionnaire (MCTQ), which assesses individual differences in sleep/wake variables and light exposure on work and free days³. This allows the calculation of corrected mid-sleep times on free days (MSF_{sc}), used as a marker of chronotype.

2. Actigraphy and sleep diaries

2.1 For at least two-weeks¹⁹ (can be longer time periods), ask the participants to wear a wrist activity monitor or 'actigraph', to gather rest/activity patterns, and light (1-32,000 lux) data throughout the study period.

2.2 Give each participant details of how to use the actigraphs, including removing for bathing/showering (if not waterproof) and preventing sleeves covering them to allow light data to be gathered. Ensure actigraphs are worn on the non-dominant wrist.

2.3 In combination with actigraphy and to facilitate sleep/wake analysis derived from the actigraphic data, give each participant a sleep diary to complete on a daily basis. Ensure that questions asked include bedtimes, sleep times, night time awakenings, wake up times, sleep quality, naps and times when actigraphs were removed.

2.4 Collect actigraphy data for rest/activity analysis in a maximum of 1 min epochs (use 30 Hz sampling frequency in this study) using a medium sensitivity setting. Extract details of daily bedtime and get up times from sleep diaries and input to the manufacturer's software or alternative (e.g., open source validated code in order to obtain actigraphic variables relevant for the study).

3. Physiological sampling

3.1 Pre-prepare sampling packs by labelling polypropylene collection tubes or salivettes (use 7 mL plastic bijoux in this study). Label the tubes with participant ID number, morning or evening and individual sampling numbers. Include a 'spare' tube to use in case of mistakes.

3.2 Prepare a sample collection record sheet for both morning and evening protocols to allow the participants to time stamp when samples are taken (e.g., Morning Sample 1, Time taken = hh:mm, Morning Sample 2, Time taken = hh:mm). Include participant ID number, date for seasonal information and location for calculating photoperiod.

NOTE: It is crucial that military time is used to ensure that there are no issues with AM/PM. Different colored labels for morning vs. evening sampling tubes can also be used to distinguish between samples.

3.3 Give the participants the relevant protocols for physiological sampling and pre-made packs during training of how to take saliva samples in their home/work environment.

3.4 Inform the participant that samples must be collected on a free day when the participants are able to go to bed and wake up at preferred times (i.e., without the need for an alarm). To ensure reliable calculation of DLMO, participants should not perform the evening saliva sampling protocol the day before the performance testing due to the need to stay awake past habitual bedtime.

3.5 Ask the participants to allocate one morning and one evening (on the same day) during week two of the study when they are able to commit to giving the saliva samples. Advise the participants to collect morning samples followed by evening samples on the same day.

NOTE: The order of sampling (morning then evening) must be followed to ensure any changes in sleep timing do not impact the results (if evening samples are taken first requiring staying awake past habitual bedtime, this could influence the mornings samples if taken the following day).

3.6 Evening sampling protocol for dim light melatonin onset

3.6.1 Ensure that saliva samples are collected every 30 min from 3 to 4 h before habitual bedtime until 1 to 2 h after habitual bedtime (e.g., if habitual bedtime is 22:00 h, the participant would start at 18:00/19:00 h until 23:00/00:00 h). Collect saliva samples by spitting into the appropriately labelled vial (starting with No. 1 then 2, 3, etc.).

3.6.2 During this period, ensure that participants: abstain from caffeinated drinks (e.g., tea, coffee, coca cola) from 6 h prior to habitual bedtime (e.g., if habitual bedtime is 22:00 h, caffeine consumption should stop at 16:00 h on the day of collection).

3.6.3 Ensure that the participants remain seated indoors in dim light (< 10 lux, e.g., a single table lamp on the other side of the room, no overhead lights, no electronic screens, curtains closed). Ensure that the participants avoid drinking beverages containing alcohol or artificial coloring and refrain from cleaning teeth, with or without toothpaste, during the sampling period.

3.6.4 If the participants wish to eat something, go to the toilet or make a non-caffeinated drink, ensure that they do so immediately after collection of a sample and try to be seated again for 15 min before the next sample is due to be collected. If food is consumed between samples, ensure the participants wash their mouth out with water 15 min prior to collection of the next sample.

3.6.5 Once all samples have been provided, ensure that the participants store their samples in their freezer at -20 °C until collection by the research team.

3.7 Morning sampling protocol for cortisol awakening response

3.7.1 Ensure that saliva samples are collected at point of first wake up (whilst still in bed), every 15 min for the first hour and then every 30 min for the next 1 to 2 h. Collect saliva samples by spitting into the appropriately labelled vial (starting with No. 1, 2, 3, etc.).

3.7.2 During this period, ensure that the participants: abstain from alcoholic drinks, drinks containing artificial coloring and food for the period of testing and refrain from cleaning teeth, with or without toothpaste during the sampling period.

3.7.3 Once all samples have been provided, ensure that the participants store their samples in their freezer at -20 °C until collection by the research team.

NOTE: It is best to store the samples frozen if possible, but they will remain viable if stored in the fridge until collection the next day. Under the Human Tissue Act (HTA) 2004, samples must be collected and processed within seven days of collection to render them acellular, unless an HTA license is held by the Institution performing the analysis.

4. Radioimmunoassay

4.1 Perform RIA or ELISA of melatonin and cortisol in human saliva to determine relative concentrations at each time point.

NOTE: The procedure in these representative results used RIA with an Iodine (^{125}I) radioactive labelled tracer and solid phase separation. This protocol is employed routinely in the Chronobiology Laboratory, University of Surrey, UK²⁰.

4.2 Calculate individual DLMOs as the timepoint at which melatonin concentration exceeds two standard deviations of the three baseline measures (the first three samples).

NOTE: This method adjusts for individual baseline differences compared to using a flat rate concentration¹⁴. Other methods can be used depending on the time points used in the sampling (e.g., over a 24 h period for a full profile¹⁴).

4.3 Calculate the cortisol peak as the time of highest cortisol concentration recorded during the morning cortisol awakening response.

5. Diurnal performance testing

NOTE: The measures that were used in this protocol are the Psychomotor Vigilance Task (PVT)²¹, Stroop Color Word Task²² and the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS)²³. However, other tests could be used keeping the same design depending on the aim of the study (e.g., if the study was investigating the impact of circadian phenotype on working memory, a memory task would be required).

5.1 Ask the participants to perform at least one (depending on the task) practice trial during the week prior to testing to familiarize themselves with the set up.

NOTE: Practice trials can be done remotely if monitored. The number of practice tests should be tailored based on the task(s) being used in the study. For example, a more complex executive function task may require a number of practice tests to reach a plateau compared to a simpler task.

5.2 Arrange testing sessions according to the study hypotheses based on number of time points being investigated at specific clock times.

NOTE: Depending on the study design, performance testing can be conducted at home or in the laboratory. Due to the time sensitive nature of the protocol, if performance testing is done in the home environment, compliance must be monitored to ensure participants are conducting it themselves, as well as being time and date stamped.

5.3 Conduct testing on a relevant device (this study used a DQ67OW, i7-2600 processor, 4GB RAM, 32-bit desktop with a standard keyboard and mouse).

NOTE: If a laptop, iPad or other device is required for testing, ensure the same set up is used throughout the study for all participants and each testing session due to potential variability in responses from a mouse vs. track pad vs. touch screen.

6. Analysis

6.1 Categorize circadian phenotype groups based on their value for the five variables collected: MSF_{sc} , wake up time, peak time of cortisol awakening response, DLMO and sleep onset (cut offs are given in **Table 1**).

6.2 Allocate a score per variable for each participant. A variable is allocated 0 if it is in the ECP category, 1 if it is in the ICP category and 2 if it is in the LCP category. For example, if a participant is in the LCP category for all variables, they would accumulate a score of 10. Out of a total score from 0-10 identify participants as ECPs (0-3), ICPs (4-6) and LCPs (7-10).

NOTE: Statistical analysis should be determined based on the research questions for individual studies. Non-parametric tests should be used where data do not follow a normal distribution. Post hoc tests should be run to determine time of day effects. When measuring a number of parameters, further corrections of multiple comparisons should be done (e.g., FDR correction of p-values).

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

These results in ECPs and LCPs have previously been published by Facer-Childs, Campos, et al.²⁴. All permissions have been obtained from the publisher. For studies requiring an investigation of all three groups (Early, Intermediate and Late), the same methods and cut offs can be used.

Circadian Phenotyping (Table 1, Table 2 and Figure 1)

The first hypothesis presented in this paper is that the groups would differ significantly in sleep and circadian variables. All participants categorized as ECPs had a score between 0-1 and all LCPs between 8-10 (cuts off given in **Table 1**). To confirm these results, group averages were compared for each variable. MSF_{sc} was $02:24 \pm 00:10$ h for ECPs compared to $06:52 \pm 00:17$ h in LCPs ($t(36) = 12.2$, $p < 0.0001$). Physiological markers also differed significantly between the two groups. DLMO occurred at $20:27 \pm 00:16$ h in ECPs and at $23:55 \pm 00:26$ h in LCPs ($t(30) = 6.8$, $p < 0.0001$). Peak time of the cortisol awakening response occurred at $07:04 \pm 00:16$ h in ECPs and $11:13 \pm 00:23$ h in LCPs ($t(36) = 8.0$, $p < 0.0001$). The same relationships were observed with actigraphic variables for sleep onset and wake up timings with average sleep onset occurring at $22:57 \pm 00:10$ h in ECPs and $02:27 \pm 00:19$ h in LCPs ($t(34) = 8.9$, $p < 0.0001$) and wake up time occurring at $06:33 \pm 0.10$ h in ECPs and $10:13 \pm 00:18$ h in LCPs ($t(34) = 9.9$, $p < 0.0001$). Other sleep variables including duration, efficiency and latency did not differ significantly between the groups (**Table 2**).

The second hypothesis is that MSF_{sc} gathered from the MCTQ would be significantly correlated with the gold standard actigraphic and circadian phase biomarkers. **Figure 1** shows that MSF_{sc} was significantly correlated with DLMO ($R^2 = 0.65$, $p < 0.0001$), peak time of cortisol awakening

response ($R^2 = 0.75$, $p < 0.0001$), sleep onset ($R^2 = 0.80$, $p < 0.0001$) and wake up time ($R^2 = 0.86$, $p < 0.0001$).

These representative results show that the different circadian phenotype groups have clear differences in sleep onset/offset (i.e., wake up time), as well as in physiological variables (DLMO and peak time of morning cortisol).

Diurnal Performance (Figure 2)

It was hypothesized that by testing multiple times over the course of the day, diurnal rhythms in performance would be able to be identified in each group (ECPs/LCPs). In addition, it was hypothesized that if circadian phenotypes were not considered and data were analyzed at a whole group level only, then diurnal variations in performance would be misrepresented.

Significant diurnal variations were found at the whole group level for the PVT, Stroop task and KSS. Performance at the 08:00 h testing session was significantly slower than the 14:00 h test (PVT: $p = 0.027$ and Stroop: $p = 0.014$), as was subjective sleepiness ($p = 0.024$). Significantly slower PVT performance was also found between 08:00 h and 20:00 h ($p = 0.041$).

When each group was analyzed separately, significant diurnal variations were found for PVT and Stroop performance in LCPs but not in ECPs. LCPs were significantly worse at 08:00 h compared to 14:00 h for both PVT and Stroop tasks ($p = 0.0079$ and $p = 0.035$) and better at 20:00 h compared to 08:00 h in the PVT ($p = 0.0006$). Subjective sleepiness also showed significant diurnal variations within each group. ECPs reported higher sleepiness at 20:00 h compared to 08:00 h ($p = 0.0054$). The opposite was observed in LCPs who reported highest sleepiness at 08:00 h and lowest at 20:00 h. Sleepiness at 08:00 h was significantly higher than 14:00 h and 20:00 h in LCPs (both $p < 0.0001$).

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. Linear regression analysis to show relationships between sleep/wake variables using actigraphy and physiological biomarkers. Corrected mid-sleep on free days (MSF_{sc}) is displayed as time of day (h) on the x axis. Early circadian phenotypes (ECPs) are shown in the blue box, Late circadian phenotypes (LCPs) in the red box. (a) Peak time of cortisol awakening response (h), (b) Wake up time (h), (c) Dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) (h), (d) Sleep onset time (h). R^2 value is shown in the bottom right corner with significance level displayed at **** = $p < 0.0001$. This figure has been modified, with permission, from Facer-Childs, et al.²⁴.

Figure 2. Diurnal variations curves in Karolinska Sleepiness Scale, Psychomotor Vigilance Task (PVT) and Stroop Task performance. Time of day (h) is shown on the x axis. Whole group results are shown in the first column, Early circadian phenotypes (ECPs) in the second column and Late circadian phenotypes (LCPs) in the third column. (a) Subjective sleepiness (KSS) score, (b) Reaction time from PVT (s), (c) Reaction time of correct responses on the Stroop task (s). Second order polynomial non-linear regression curves have been fitted. Significance level is shown as ns (not significant), * ($p < 0.05$), ** ($p < 0.01$), *** ($p < 0.001$) and **** ($p < 0.0001$). This figure has been modified, with permission, from Facer-Childs, et al.²⁴.

Table 1. Categorization cut offs for circadian phenotyping into Early (ECP), Intermediate (ICP) and Late (LCP) groups. Each variable is allocated a score per participant depending on their result and total scores (0-10) allow categorization into each group.

Table 2. Study variables for circadian phenotype groups; Early (ECPs) and Late (LCPs). Values are shown as mean \pm SEM apart from age which is shown as mean \pm SD. Type of statistical tests used are shown in superscript; parametric tests^a, non-parametric tests^b and Fisher's exact test^c. Phase angle is determined by the difference (h) between dim light melatonin onset (DLMO) and sleep onset. All p values are FDR corrected²⁵. This Table has been modified, with permission, from Facer-Childs, et al.²⁴.

DISCUSSION:

Due to the complex interaction of circadian- and sleep-dependent influences on behavior, exploring the relative contributions of each is challenging. Laboratory based protocols are largely unrealistic and expensive, thus hold poorer external validity when relating results to everyday functioning²⁶. Therefore, there is increasing need to study individuals in their home environment to promote generalizability to real-world contexts. Although field studies do not allow for the control of exogenous influences, an integrated approach may help to shed light on how both biological and environmental factors affect health, physiology and performance^{24,27,28}. This protocol was designed specifically to be able to monitor individuals in their home environment whilst following their habitual routines. These saliva sampling protocols have been successfully undertaken in challenging settings such as the Amazon²⁹ and the Antarctic³⁰ supporting the ease of conducting this protocol.

Questionnaires are a useful tool in sleep and circadian studies as they allow a quick and simple way to gather a wide range of information. However, discrepancies between subjective and objective measures can create difficulties when attempting to study individual differences. Therefore, being able to collect multiple subjective and objective measures can strengthen the categorization of circadian phenotype groups. This combination of methods – MCTQ, actigraphy, physiological sampling and performance testing – has highlighted how results can be misinterpreted if individual differences in circadian phenotypes are not considered. Measuring all of these variables provides the most reliable categorization of circadian phenotype groups, however, there is potential for developing the method further to allow fewer requirements. For example, although the reliability remains to be investigated, to reduce the cost, researchers could remove the cortisol sampling step. It would be worth noting, however, that since DLMO is a current gold standard marker for circadian timing and actigraphy is a standard method for monitoring rest/activity patterns, these would be essential variables to include in assessments.

Scheduling performance tests based on clock times instead of basing timings relative to the individual (internal biological time) increases the feasibility and allows the protocol to be applied in real world settings. A limitation of this design, however, is the inability to determine the influence of the circadian system vs. homeostatic influences. This becomes a challenge as there is no way of confirming specific mechanisms contributing to the results. However, since the purpose of this protocol is to investigate these groups in a real-world scenario, reducing the sleep

dependent mechanisms would minimize the external validity of the results. It could be argued, therefore, that using an integrated method is more applicable and more feasible for field studies.

Direct measures of performance are highly relevant to society, but it seems that without taking into consideration the multiple influencing factors, especially the need to group individuals according to their circadian phenotype and sleep pressure, studies could be missing key results. As discussed, the PVT and Stroop tasks have been widely used in many fields of research. The simplicity of the PVT and flexibility in task duration makes it an attractive test to use in circadian and sleep restriction studies requiring multiple testing times, and has been shown to be a sensitive marker of sleep deprivation^{31,32}. Although test accuracy and overall reaction times increase with task duration, the 2 min, 5 min and 10 min PVT tasks all show similar time of day relationships³³.

Our protocol design could be implemented using a range of different performance tasks and at more frequent time points if required. Previous studies have shown time of day effects in both physical and cognitive performance metrics such as aerobic capacity¹⁶ and executive function²⁷. Implementing this protocol and accounting for individual differences will increase understanding of how to study the mechanisms contributing to performance, especially in more niche settings such as elite sports. In summary, this protocol allows a real-world assessment of circadian phenotype and provides an insight into how to measure the impact of time of day on performance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This work was supported by funding from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC, BB/J014532/1) and the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC, EP/J002909/1). E.R.F.C was supported by a Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund (ISSF) Scheme accelerator fellowship (Wellcome 204846/Z/16/Z) and a Monash University Innovation Connections grant (ICG000899/19/0602). Our sincere thanks are to all participants and Stockgrand Ltd for assay reagents.

DISCLOSURES:

B.M. and D.J.S. are co-directors of Stockgrand Ltd. The authors declare no other competing financial interests.

REFERENCES:

- 1 de Souza, L. *et al.* Further Validation of Actigraphy for Sleep Studies. *Sleep*. **26** (1), 81-85, doi:10.1093/sleep/26.1.81, (2003).
- 2 Kushida, C. A. *et al.* Comparison of actigraphic, polysomnographic, and subjective assessment of sleep parameters in sleep-disordered patients. *Sleep Medicine*. **2** (5), 389-396 (2001).
- 3 Roenneberg, T., Wirz-Justice, A. & Mrosovsky, M. Life between clocks: daily temporal patterns of human chronotypes. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*. **18** (1), 80-90 (2003).
- 4 Horne, J. A. & Reyner, O. A self-assessment questionnaire to determine morningness-eveningness in human circadian rhythms. *International Journal of Chronobiology*. **4** (2),

97-110 (1976).

5 Brown, S. A. *et al.* Molecular insights into human daily behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. **105** (5), 1602-1607 (2008).

6 Allebrandt, K. & Roenneberg, T. The search for circadian clock components in humans: new perspectives for association studies. *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*. **41** (8), 716-721 (2008).

7 Lane, J. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel loci for chronotype in 100,420 individuals from the UK Biobank. *Nature Communications*. **7** 10889, doi:10.1038/ncomms10889, (2016).

8 Gunn, P. J., Middleton, B., Davies, S. K., Revell, V. L. & Skene, D. J. Sex differences in the circadian profiles of melatonin and cortisol in plasma and urine matrices under constant routine conditions. *Chronobiology International*. **33** (1), 39-50, doi:10.3109/07420528.2015.1112396, (2016).

9 Burgess, H. J. & Fogg, L. F. Individual differences in the amount and timing of salivary melatonin secretion. *PLoS One*. **3** (8), e3055 (2008).

10 Voultsios, A., Kennaway, D. J. & Dawson, D. Salivary melatonin as a circadian phase marker: validation and comparison to plasma melatonin. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*. **12** (5), 457-466 (1997).

11 Bailey, S. L. & Heitkemper, M. M. Circadian rhythmicity of cortisol and body temperature: morningness-eveningness effects. *Chronobiology International*. **18** (2), 249-261 (2001).

12 Kudielka, B. M., Federenko, I. S., Hellhammer, D. H. & Wüst, S. Morningness and eveningness: the free cortisol rise after awakening in "early birds" and "night owls". *Biological psychology*. **72** (2), 141-146, doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.08.003, (2006).

13 Baehr, E. K., Revelle, W. & Eastman, C. I. Individual differences in the phase and amplitude of the human circadian temperature rhythm: with an emphasis on morningness-eveningness. *Journal of sleep research*. **9** (2), 117-127 (2000).

14 Benloucif, S. *et al.* Measuring melatonin in humans. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*. **4** (1), 66-69 (2008).

15 Blatter, K. & Cajochen, C. Circadian rhythms in cognitive performance: Methodological constraints, protocols, theoretical underpinnings. *Physiology & behavior*. **90** (2-3), 196-208, doi:DOI 10.1016/j.physbeh.2006.09.009, (2007).

16 Facer-Childs, E. & Brandstaetter, R. The Impact of Circadian Phenotype and Time since Awakening on Diurnal Performance in Athletes. *Current Biology*. **25** (4), 518-522, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.036, (2015).

17 Schmidt, C. *et al.* Circadian preference modulates the neural substrate of conflict processing across the day. *PLoS One*. **7** (1), e29658, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029658, (2012).

18 Hofstra, W. A. & de Weerd, A. W. How to assess circadian rhythm in humans: a review of literature. *Epilepsy & Behavior*. **13** (3), 438-444 (2008).


19 Van Someren, E. J. Improving actigraphic sleep estimates in insomnia and dementia: how many nights? *Journal of sleep research*. **16** (3), 269-275 (2007).


20 Moreno, C. *et al.* Sleep patterns in Amazon rubber tappers with and without electric light at home. *Scientific Reports*. **5** 14074 (2015).

21 Dinges, D. F. & Powell, J. W. Microcomputer analyses of performance on a portable,

- simple visual RT task during sustained operations. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*. **17** (6), 652-655, doi:10.3758/bf03200977, (1985).
- 22 Stroop, J. R. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*. **18** 643-662, doi:10.1037/H0054651, (1935).
- 23 Åkerstedt, T. & Gillberg, M. Subjective and objective sleepiness in the active individual. *International Journal of Neuroscience*. **52** (1-2), 29-37 (1990).
- 24 Facer-Childs, E. R., Campos, B. M., Middleton, B., Skene, D. J. & Bagshaw, A. P. Circadian phenotype impacts the brain's resting-state functional connectivity, attentional performance, and sleepiness. *Sleep*. **42** (5), doi:10.1093/sleep/zsz033, (2019).
- 25 Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the royal statistical society. Series B (Methodological)*. 289-300 (1995).
- 26 Zee, P. C. *et al.* Strategic Opportunities in Sleep and Circadian Research: Report of the Joint Task Force of the Sleep Research Society and American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *Sleep*. **37** (2), 219-227, doi:10.5665/sleep.3384, (2014).
- 27 Facer-Childs, E. R., Boiling, S. & Balanos, G. M. The effects of time of day and chronotype on cognitive and physical performance in healthy volunteers. *Sports Medicine Open*. **4** (1), 47, doi:10.1186/s40798-018-0162-z, (2018).
- 28 Facer-Childs, E. R., Middleton, B., Skene, D. J. & Bagshaw, A. P. Resetting the late timing of 'night owls' has a positive impact on mental health and performance. *Sleep Medicine*. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2019.05.001, (2019).
- 29 Moreno, C. R. *et al.* Sleep patterns in Amazon rubber tappers with and without electric light at home. *Scientific Reports*. **5** 14074, doi:10.1038/srep14074, (2015).
- 30 Arendt, J. & Middleton, B. Human seasonal and circadian studies in Antarctica (Halley, 75 degrees S). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. **258** 250-258, doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2017.05.010, (2018).
- 31 Basner, M. & Dinges, D. F. Maximizing sensitivity of the psychomotor vigilance test (PVT) to sleep loss. *Sleep*. **34** (5), 581-591 (2011).
- 32 Basner, M., Mollicone, D. & Dinges, D. F. Validity and Sensitivity of a Brief Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT-B) to Total and Partial Sleep Deprivation. *Acta Astronautica*. **69** (11-12), 949-959, doi:10.1016/j.actaastro.2011.07.015, (2011).
- 33 Loh, S., Lamond, N., Dorrian, J., Roach, G. & Dawson, D. The validity of psychomotor vigilance tasks of less than 10-minute duration. *Behaviour research methods instruments and computers*. **36** (2), 339-346 (2004).

Figure 1





Variable measured	ECP Category	ICP Category	LCP Category
Actigraphic wake up time	< 07:30 h	07:31 - 08:29 h	> 08:30 h
Peak time of morning cortisol	< 08:00	08:01 - 08:59 h	> 09:00 h
Dim light melatonin onset (DLMO)	< 21:30 h	21:31 - 22:29 h	> 22:30 h
Actigraphic sleep onset	< 23:30 h	23:31 - 00:29 h	> 00:30 h
Corrected mid-sleep on free days (MSF _{sc})	< 04:00 h	04:01 - 04:59 h	> 05:00 h
Score per variable	0	1	2
TOTAL SCORE	0 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 10

Variable Measured	ECPs	LCPs
Sample Size	N = 16	N = 22
Number of Scans/Testing Sessions	N = 48	N = 66
Number of Males/Females	M = 7	M = 7
	F = 9	F = 15
Age (years)	24.69 ± 4.60	21.32 ± 3.27 years
Height (cm)	171.30 ± 1.97	171.10 ± 2.38
Weight (kg)	66.44 ± 2.78	67.05 ± 2.10
MCTQ Score (hh:mm)	02:24 ± 00:10	06:52 ± 00:17
Sleep Onset (hh:mm)	22:57 ± 00:10	02:27 ± 00:19
Wake Up Time (hh:mm)	06:33 ± 0.10	10:13 ± 00:18
Sleep Duration (h)	7.59 ± 0.18	7.70 ± 0.14
Sleep Efficiency (%)	79.29 ± 1.96	77.23 ± 1.14
Sleep Onset Latency (hh:mm)	00:25 ± 00:06	00:25 ± 00:03
Phase Angle (hh:mm)	02:28 ± 00:16	02:34 ± 00:18
Dim Light Melatonin Onset (hh:mm)	20:27 ± 00:16	23:55 ± 00:26
Cortisol Peak Time (hh:mm)	07:04 ± 00:16	11:13 ± 00:23

Significance
n/a
n/a
$p = 0.51^c$
$p = 0.028^a$
$p = 0.97^a$
$p = 0.88^a$
$p < 0.0001^a$
$p < 0.0001^a$
$p < 0.0001^a$
$p = 0.72^a$
$p = 0.46^a$
$p = 0.30^b$
$p = 0.84^a$
$p < 0.0001^a$
$p < 0.0001^a$

Name of Material/Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Actiwatch Light	Cambridge Neurotech Ltd		Various different validated actigraph devices car
Sleep Analysis 7 Software	Cambridge Neurotech Ltd		Various different validated software can be used
7 ml plastic bijoux			Various different tubes or salivettes can be used
DQ67OW, Intel Core i7-2600 processor, 4GB RAM, 32-bit Windows 7			Various different devices can be used depending

1 be used depending on what is required

l depending on what is required

depending on what is required

; on what is required



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT - UK

Title of Article:

Methods for human circadian phenotyping and diurnal performance testing in the real world

Author(s):

Elise R. Facer-Childs, Benita Middleton, Andrew P. Bagshaw, Debra J. Skene

Item 1: The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at <http://www.jove.com/publish>) via:

☐

Standard Access

☒

Open Access

Item 2: Please select one of the following items:

☒

The Author is **NOT** a United States government employee.

☐

The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

☐

The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: "**Agreement**" means this Article and Video License Agreement; "**Article**" means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; "**Author**" means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; "**Collective Work**" means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; "**CRC License**" means the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Agreement (also known as CC-BY), the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/us/legalcode>; "**Derivative Work**" means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; "**Institution**" means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; "**JoVE**" means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of The Journal of Visualized Experiments; "**Materials**" means the Article and / or the Video; "**Parties**" means the Author and JoVE; "**Video**" means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion

of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

4. **Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. **Grant of Rights in Video - Standard Access.** This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. **Grant of Rights in Video - Open Access.** This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats.

7. **Government Employees.** If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be

deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. **Protection of the work.** The Author(s) authorize JoVE to take steps in the Author(s) name and on their behalf if JoVE believes some third party could be infringing or might infringe the copyright of either the Author's Article and/or Video.

9. **Likeness, Privacy, Personality.** The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

10. **Author Warranties.** The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

11. **JoVE Discretion.** If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT - UK

discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

12. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or


decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

13. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

14. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement is required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Name:	Elise R. Facer-Childs	
Department:	Centre for Human Brain Health, School of Psychology	
Institution:	University of Birmingham	
Title:	Dr	
Signature:		Date: 20.06.2019

Please submit a **signed** and **dated** copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

1. Upload an electronic version on the JoVE submission site
2. Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236
3. Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02140

Editorial comments:

The manuscript has been modified and the updated manuscript, 60448_R2.docx, is attached and located in your Editorial Manager account. Please use the updated version to make your revisions.

1. Please ensure that all protocol steps are numbered correctly. Line 174: Should this step be numbered as step 3.6? Line 198: Should this step be numbered as line 3.7?

[This has been changed.](#)

2. Step 5.1: Please write this step in the imperative tense.

[This has now been amended.](#)

Other comments to Editor:

[I am unsure why the title keeps getting changed when the updated manuscript is sent back? We have titled this 'Methods for human circadian phenotyping and diurnal performance testing in the real world' due to that face that this is one method but there may be others. The words 'Methods for..' has been removed in each updated manuscript that has been sent back.](#)

[If there is a problem with this please let us know.](#)