Journal of Visualized Experiments Learning Modern Laryngeal Surgery in a Dissection Laboratory --Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video			
Manuscript Number:	JoVE60407R1			
Full Title:	Learning Modern Laryngeal Surgery in a Dissection Laboratory			
Section/Category:	JoVE Medicine			
Keywords:	laryngeal surgery, animal model, dissection laboratory, partial laryngectomy, laser surgery, laryngeal cancer			
Corresponding Author:	Davide Lancini Universita degli Studi di Brescia Aree Disciplinari Medicina e Chirurgia Brescia, ITALY			
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universita degli Studi di Brescia Aree Disciplinari Medicina e Chirurgia			
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	lancinidavide@gmail.com			
Order of Authors:	Erika Crosetti			
	Andrea Manca			
	Davide Lancini			
	Marco Fantini			
	Giovanni Succo			
Additional Information:				
Question	Response			
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Open Access (US\$4,200)			
Please indicate the city , state/province , and country where this article will be filmed . Please do not use abbreviations.	Candiolo, Torino, Italy			

Cover Letter

Dear Editor,

With the following we would like to submit a manuscript entitled "The Lary-Gym: An inexpensive

solution to learn modern laryngeal surgery in a dissection laboratory", to be considered for

publication in Journal of Visualized Experiments.

The aim of the present paper is to illustrate how to prepare and organize a reproducible laboratory

for laryngeal surgery on affordable and closely similar ex vivo animal laryngeal models. The authors'

experience was acquired during years of training on surgical simulations in a laboratory of

experimental laryngeal surgery called "Lary-Gym". It is herein described the materials required for

the preparation of the laboratory, the advantages of the animal model, the compared anatomy, and

a step by step guide of laryngeal surgical procedures.

All of the authors have read and approved the manuscript, and none have financial relationships to

disclose. English language has been edited by a professional, native English-speaking editor with 25

years' experience editing medical and science manuscripts. This manuscript, or any part of it, has

not been previously published, nor is it under consideration elsewhere.

We hope you will appreciate our work.

Davide Lancini,

Corresponding author.

TITLE:

Learning Modern Laryngeal Surgery in a Dissection Laboratory

Erika Crosetti¹, Andrea Manca¹, Davide Lancini², Marco Fantini¹ and Giovanni Succo^{1,3}

¹Head and Neck Oncology Unit, Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Candiolo (TO) 10060, Italy ²Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy ³Department of Oncology – University of Turin – Orbassano (TO) 10063, Italy

Corresponding Author:

11 Davide Lancini (lancinidavide@gmail.com)

Email Addresses of Co-authors:

Erika Crosetti (erika.crosetti@ircc.it)
 Andrea Manca (andrea.manca@ircc.it)
 Marco Fantini (marco.fantini@ircc.it)
 Giovanni Succo (giovanni.succo@ircc.it)

KEYWORDS:

20 laryngeal surgery, animal model, dissection laboratory, partial laryngectomy, laser surgery, 21 laryngeal cancer

SUMMARY:

The purpose of this paper is to illustrate how to organize a reproducible laboratory for laryngeal surgery on affordable and closely similar animal laryngeal models in order to improve anatomical and surgical knowledge and skills.

ABSTRACT:

Surgery for laryngeal malignancies requires millimetric accuracy from the different endoscopic and open techniques available. Practice of this surgery is almost completely reserved to a few referral centers that deal with a large proportion of this pathology. Practice on human specimens is not always possible for ethical, economic, or availability reasons. The aim of this study is to provide a reproducible method for the organization of a laryngeal laboratory on ex vivo animal models where it is possible to approach, learn, and refine laryngeal techniques. Porcine and ovine larynges are ideal, affordable, models to simulate laryngeal surgery given their similarity to the human larynx in their anatomical layout and tissue composition. Herein, the surgical steps of transoral laser surgery, open partial horizontal laryngectomy, and total laryngectomy are reported. The merging of endoscopic and exoscopic views guarantees an inside-out perspective, which is vital for the comprehension of the complex laryngeal anatomy. The method was successfully adopted during three sessions of a dissection course "Lary-Gym". Further perspectives on robotic surgical training are described.

INTRODUCTION:

In recent years, the field of laryngeal oncology has seen the introduction and spread of organ sparing protocols such as chemoradiotherapy (CRT), function sparing procedures like transoral laser microsurgery (TLM) and partial laryngectomies, and mainly open partial horizontal laryngectomies (OPHLs). Due to the current general propensity to give greater priority to a patient's quality of life after treatment, this strategy change was necessary in order to avoid, when possible, the

burdensome consequences of the total laryngectomy (TL) procedure, which still remains the standard treatment for locally advanced laryngeal cancer. However, despite surgical and technical innovations, TL remains the ideal treatment for advanced stage laryngeal cancer (LC) and for patients who cannot tolerate a conservative protocol because of age or important comorbidities. Therefore, TL has to be properly included in the armamentarium of a complete laryngeal surgeon.

A relevant problem in learning about LC treatment is the relatively rare incidence of the pathology (~13,000 new diagnoses per year in the USA), against the wide spectrum of possible alternatives^{1,2}. Moreover, as clearly stressed by Olsen in one of his editorials, the misinterpretation of studies that satisfy the standard of care leads to several unintended consequences³. One such consequence was the abandonment of TLM and OPHLs, because they were not included in those studies and in the cost-benefit evaluation, and therefore are no longer taught to residents and young surgeons³. As a result, there is a significant paucity of centers in which it is possible to actively learn a surgical technique demanding a high level of accuracy, where the difference between a conservative and an extirpative procedure is quantifiable in the order of millimeters.

 In response to this background and to meet the need for dissemination of these surgical procedures, the European Laryngological Society has worked to standardize and classify both TLM and OPHL techniques^{4–6}. The tremendous result of these classifications was to introduce the possibility of a modular treatment for LC, customized by the real tumor extent and always remaining inside the field of 'partial' surgery and function sparing treatment.

As emphasized in recent work, surgical ability (as a matter of fact, the success of a procedure requires millimetric accuracy) and strict patient selection are mandatory for good results^{7–9}. In good hands, and if applied to the proper patients and diseases, TLM and OPHL exhibit solid surgical and survival outcomes.

The practice and evolution of these surgical procedures took place almost exclusively in referral centers for pathology, due to the relatively high number of patients, which allowed the surgeons to develop the essential expertise to successfully treat even locally advanced LCs. Trying to summarize the current scenario, laryngeal surgery can be applied to a relatively small number of patients and consists of different procedures that are not available and viable in every center. To preserve laryngeal function and equally reach the oncological radicality, perfect comprehension of the geometrical anatomy, technical accuracy, and concern for the tissues, are mandatory. For all these reasons, simulations on models are nowadays necessary to successfully approach this type of surgery. Faithful, detailed simulations are required to consolidate the comprehension of the laryngeal framework, manage tissue manipulation with different techniques, and to learn the exact and precise sequence of movements required by a single procedure. Therefore, to learn TLM and OPHL techniques, it is appropriate to be able to practice in a dedicated laboratory. Where there is no possibility to train on human specimens, for ethical, economic, or availability reasons, it is necessary to find an alternative and affordable ex vivo model. Porcine and ovine larynges, waste animal products in the meat supply chain, are ideal and affordable models to simulate laryngeal surgery given their similarity to the human larynx in anatomical layout and tissue composition 10,11.

Several groups have reported their experiences with porcine larynx used as a model for TLM^{11–14}. Despite the different dimensions of the cartilaginous skeleton with larger arytenoids and the inability to distinguish between arytenoid, corniculate, and cuneiform cartilage, the glottic plane is very similar to its human counterpart: the arytenoid cartilage has an analogous articulation with the

cricoid and similar geometric proportions¹⁵. When compared to other animal species, the porcine larynx has a defined laryngeal ventricle with well represented false vocal cords, while the glottic plane is characterized by short arytenoid vocal processes, long vocal folds, and the absence of a proper vocal ligament¹⁴. Furthermore, from the histologic point of view, Hahn and colleagues have reported a comparable elastin distribution within the lamina propria between porcine and human glottic planes^{16–18}.

103104

105

106107

108

109

On the other hand, other studies have described the utilization of lamb larynx for both TLM and open surgeries^{10,19,20}. In detail, Nisa et al. confirmed the strong similarity between ovine and human larynges, with the exception of a differently shaped hyoid bone and arytenoid cartilage, a lower position of the anterior commissure (placed at the inferior border of the thyroid cartilage), and near-complete tracheal rings²¹. Despite these small differences, those authors outlined the great utility of this model for training and practice of laryngotracheal surgical procedures²¹. Moreover, the same model was also used to simulate the percutaneous tracheostomy procedure²².

110111112

113

114

115

116

The aim of the present study is to illustrate how to prepare and organize a reproducible laboratory for laryngeal surgery on affordable and closely similar ex vivo animal laryngeal models. The authors' experience in setting up such a laboratory was acquired during years of training on surgical simulation in a laboratory of experimental laryngeal surgery called "Lary-Gym" – at the FPO-IRCCS Cancer Institute of Candiolo, Turin, Italy.

117118

PROTOCOL:

119120

1. Collection of the specimens

121122

1.1. Take lamb and porcine innards from animals slaughtered for meat products.

123124

NOTE: Innards should be supplied by a reliable butcher who has complied with current health standards.

125126

1.2. Collect the larynx together with the base of the tongue and the first five tracheal rings, in order
 to give stability to the specimen. Leave the remaining innards with the butcher, especially the brain
 and spinal cord, to avoid infective tissue.

130131

1.3. Thoroughly wash the specimen and put it in a numbered box for tracking.

132133

1.4. Use the specimen right away or freeze it at -18 °C and defrost it at least 24 h before the dissection.

134135136

2. Preparation of the laboratory

137138

2.1. If possible, use a sector table with a proper sink, easily washable before and after use.

139

2.2. Procure a surgical light or a traditional lamp providing sufficient illumination.

140141

2.3. Put a barrier across the table at the halfway point to split it into two stations.

142143144

NOTE: This allows for more apprentices to work at the same time and protects them from the laser

145 beam.

2.4. Procure a special waste container where the specimen and used parts will eventually be
 discarded. Close the container, label it with the specific European Waste Catalogue (EWC) code, and
 dispose of it according to the institution's protocols.

2.5. Optionally, set the air conditioning to offset heat from all of the machinery working and maintain a steady temperature in the room.

3. Preparation of the endoscopic station

3.1. Put the specimen on a proper support, positioning the laryngoscope at the end of the surgical table (Figure 1).

NOTE: The stand support is the one proposed by Delfo Casolino and Andrea Ricci Maccarini and is made of a metal folding structure with adjustable transverse bars²³. The stand support is equipped with a holder for a laryngoscope and a chassis for specimen positioning.

3.2. For the safety of the attendants, position an open wood box around the station in order to absorb potential improperly directed laser beams.

NOTE: Recently the literature has reported a new validated station, approved for CO₂ laser surgery, called LarynxBox. This transparent structure is entirely made by polycarbonate resin, which can safely absorb all the misdirected laser beams^{14,24} and could be a replacement for the wooden structure described above.

3.3. Insert the laryngoscope inside the specimen, expose the desired surgical target (i.e., supraglottic, arytenoid, glottic plane, etc.) and fix the laryngoscope to the support by tightening the proper screw.

NOTE: Be sure for safety and for the precision of the surgery to firmly fix both the laryngeal specimen and the laryngoscope to the metal structure. If not, use dedicated needles or tape to steadily secure the specimen to the station.

3.4. Choose the proper laryngoscope for the selected laryngeal region. For example, use a wide and curved laryngoscope for the supraglottic region (i.e., Lindholm operating laryngoscope), a straight and narrow one for the vocal folds (i.e., Dedo operating laryngoscope).

3.5. For exposure of the vocal folds in the porcine specimen, embed the tip of the laryngoscope anterior to the arytenoid cartilages, pushing these structures in a lateroposterior direction, in order to open and put tension on the vocal folds.

3.6. Place a suction system inside the specimen, from above or below, in order to extract the laser fumes.

3.7. Put and fix in position a wet gauze inside the inferior tracheal extremity of the larynx, in order to avoid the emission of CO_2 laser from the inferior part of the specimen. In the same way, place a wet gauze at the superior border of the larynx in order to protect the areas not involved in the

dissection. 3.8. Connect the operating microscope to the CO₂ laser, and place it on the right side of the table. 3.9. Ensure that the surgeon and all the participants wear safety goggles before turning on the CO₂ laser. 3.10. Put the endoscope or the exoscope in front of the laryngeal specimen in order to guarantee that the attendants get the same perspective as that of the first operator. NOTE: Make sure to put the endoscope or the exoscope above the CO₂ laser source in order to avoid a collision between the laser and the instrumentation. 3.11. Use an endoscope holder to maintain the optic system in place. Ensure that all the components are fixed and stable in their position for safety and for the surgical dissection. 3.12. Put the 4k or full high definition (FHD) monitor on the left side of the table, linked to the microscope or to the endoscopic camera. 3.13. Prepare a microlaryngoscopy set of surgical instruments on a table, beside the first surgeon. NOTE: The set should at least contain laryngeal forceps, scissors and spreader, telescope with light cable, ball end suction device, laryngeal hook, laryngeal needle, gauzes. 3.14. Start the dissection. 4. Preparation of the open surgery station 4.1. Place the specimen on the other end of the sector table, inside an open box. 4.2. Prepare the open laryngeal surgery set on a table beside the operating field. NOTE: The set should at least be composed of scissors, a pair of forceps (traumatic and atraumatic), dissector, scalpels, pin cutter, hook, needle holder, and stitches.

227
228 4.3. Adjust the surgical light so it is on the surgical field.

4.4. Optionally, set the CO₂ fiber laser device.

4.5. Optionally, put a conventional two-dimensional (2D) camera or a three-dimensional (3D) exoscope above the surgical field and connect it to a 2D/3D monitor.

NOTE: The tutor and the other surgeons can watch what the operator is doing and provide guidance while wearing polarized glasses.

5. (Optional) Broadcast the dissection

5.1. Set up an ambient camera, capable of filming all of the room.

241242

5.2. Link the two monitors, used in the dissections, to a workstation.

243244

5.3. Broadcast the signal to an external room to extend the procedure to the public, to make comments, or guide the dissection remotely.

245246

6. Endoscopic dissection

247248249

250

251

6.1. Start with a bilateral vestibulectomy in order to improve the view on the glottic plane. Turn on the CO_2 laser and use a 6–10 W power, SuperPulse or UltraPulse mode, a length of 0.8–1.5 mm and a depth of 1–2 points. Use the micromanipulator in order to move the laser pointer and micro forceps in order to grab the mucosa while performing the vestibulectomy.

252253254

6.2. Once the vestibulectomy is performed, inject 2 mL of a NaCl solution (0.9%) into Reinke's space in order to highlight the mucosa.

255256257

258

6.3. Perform the superior cordotomy: using the CO_2 laser or the microscissors incise the mucosa longitudinally along the superior and lateral aspect of the vocal cord. Grasp the mucosa with forceps and dissect Reinke's space in order to identify the underlying vocalis muscle.

259260261

6.4. Perform a bilateral cordectomy, from type I to V, based on the goal of the dissection, according to the European Laryngological Society (ELS) classification by Remarcle et al.²⁵.

262263264

265266

NOTE: If a porcine model is used for endoscopic dissection, it is not possible to perform a type II cordectomy, because the vocal ligament is absent. Cordectomies can be performed both by CO_2 laser (4–6 W, Super or Ultrapulse mode, a length of 0.8–1.5 mm, and a depth of 1–2 points) or by cold surgical instrumentations (endoscopic microforceps and microscissors).

267268

6.5. Once the cordectomy is performed, extract the surgical specimen and place it on a working table. Try to define the anatomical landmarks (e.g., anterior, posterior, and deep aspects).

270271272

269

6.6. Approach the paraglottic spaces and dissect the region for any anatomical purpose, paying attention to the anatomical landmarks and limits.

273274275

6.7. Perform supraglottic laryngectomies from I to IVb according to the classification of Remacle et al.²⁶ and approach the pre-epiglottic space.

276277278

NOTE: It must be kept in mind that porcine larynges have larger arytenoids and a smaller epiglottis than in humans.

279280281

7. Open dissection I (OPHL)

282283

7.1. Dissect the strap muscles along the midline using scissors and forceps.

284285

7.2. Remove the prelaryngeal tissue.

286

287 7.3. For larynx skeletonization, rotate the larynx contralaterally and perform, using scissors or a scalpel, an incision of the inferior constrictor muscle bilaterally along the lateral aspect of the

- thyroid cartilage. This procedure can also be performed with a CO₂ fiber laser²⁷, if available. Protect the superior laryngeal pedicle by retracting the larynx medially and downwards, then section the thyrohyoid ligament. Bilaterally dissect the pyriform sinus from the thyroid cartilage and the paraglottic space, as far as the inferior cornu of the thyroid cartilage.
 - 7.4. Dissect the cricothyroid muscle and section the inferior cornu of the thyroid cartilage bilaterally to protect the recurrent laryngeal nerve.
 - 7.5. Manually fracture the thyroid cartilage along the midline. Push with the thumbs on the laryngeal prominence while pulling forward the lateral laminae of the cartilage.
 - 7.6. Using a scalpel, make the superior access along a line parallel to the superior border of the thyroid cartilage through the pre-epiglottic space. Remove the pre-epiglottic space. Modify the superior access according to the selected type of OPHL, following the ELS classification⁶.
 - 7.7. Using a scalpel, make the inferior access between the cricoid ring and the first tracheal ring. Modify the inferior access according to the selected type of OPHL, following the ELS classification⁶.
 - 7.8. Complete the dissection: using scissors or scalpel perform bilaterally the vertical incisions in order to connect the superior and the inferior accesses. Cut the ary-epiglottic folds, the false vocal cords, the true vocal cords, and the subglottic region. Modify the lines of incision according to the selected type of OPHL, following the ELS classification⁶.
 - 7.9. Perform the pexy: apply four polyglactin 910 stitches for OPHL types I and II, and six for OPHL type III, of which one median double, between the cricoid cartilage and the hyoid bone, passing through the base of the tongue. Ensure to make the passage of the lateral stitches adherent to the superior aspect of the hyoid bone in order to not damage the lingual artery.
 - NOTE: The inferior structure will vary based on the type of OPHL performed (thyroid cartilage for OPHL type I, cricoid cartilage for OPHL type II, first tracheal ring for OPHL type III).
 - 7.10. Optionally, check the result in the inside-out technique using a 0° endoscopic telescope.
 - 8. Open dissection II (total laryngectomy)

- 8.1. Remove the infrahyoid muscles using the scissors.
- 326 8.2. Divide the thyroid gland isthmus and move the lobes off the trachea, cricoid, and inferior constrictor muscles.
 - 8.3. Rotate the larynx contralaterally and incise the inferior constrictor muscle along the lateral aspect of the thyroid cartilage using scissors or scalpel. Expose the pyriform sinus bilaterally. Release the greater cornu of the thyroid cartilage on both sides.
 - 8.4. Dissect bilaterally the pyriform sinus from the thyroid cartilage and the paraglottic space.
- 8.5. Dissect the suprahyoid muscles from the hyoid bone following the superior border of the bone.

NOTE: Because in human patients the hypoglossal nerve and lingual artery are located at a depth below the greater cornu of the hyoid bone, simulate the maneuver by cutting the muscle insertion close to the medial aspect of the cornu.

8.6. Perform the pharyngotomy through the valleculae, the pyriform sinus, or the postcricoid area. The choice of entry point is based on the size of the tumor. Use the scissors or the scalpel for this step.

8.7. For inferior access, use the scalpel to incise the trachea between two tracheal rings and extend the tracheal incision posterolaterally.

8.8. To perform the laryngectomy in the craniocaudal direction, begin from the epiglottis and proceed through the pharyngotomy. Using the scissors, cut the aryepiglottic folds then proceed through the lateral wall of the pyriform sinus. Incise the postcricoid mucosa transversely, dissecting the plane between the trachea and the esophagus. Remove the larynx.

8.9. To perform the laryngectomy in a retrograde manner, use the scissors to transect the posterior membranous tracheal wall, dissecting above the trachea from the anterior esophageal wall. Incise the hypopharyngeal mucosa below the upper border of the cricoid lamina. Extend the incision to the pyriform sinus and remove the larynx.

8.10. Perform the primary closure of the pharynx using interrupted absorbable sutures or barbed sutures in the horizontal direction.

NOTE: The sutures should be located submucosally on the external surface in order to avoid granulation and possible fistulas. The primary closure of the defect can be achieved easily if at least 2 cm of pharyngeal mucosa is preserved, otherwise a flap has to be harvested.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

This protocol proved to be useful for setting up a surgical training laboratory focused on laryngeal surgery using basic instruments and animal waste innards from the meat supply chain. The goal is mostly instructive, but it could be used by less experienced surgeons to improve their anatomical knowledge and surgical skills.

The protocol was adopted in three sessions of the authors' dissection course organized in the 'Lary-Gym' and in the second session of the Head and Neck Surgery Course named "Better than live", where the laboratory dissections were accompanied by teaching sessions by skilled surgeons in this field, and it was greeted enthusiastically by the participants. Overall, 228 colleagues took part in both courses. Twenty-eight attended the Lary-Gym course, and 200 attended the 'Better than live' course. In the Lary-Gym course's last two sessions, the satisfaction of 14 participants was determined through a dedicated questionnaire where participants responded to questions about their experience in the course. The questionnaire and the results are reported in **Table 1**. The animal models chosen proved to be very similar to the human counterpart, with a comparable tissue composition. The possibility to use both the endoscopic and open procedures guaranteed comprehensive understanding of the anatomical layout and surgical techniques. In fact, this insideout vision could clarify the complex laryngeal anatomy and the implications of the surgical maneuvers in terms of extirpative and reconstructive procedures (e.g., the anastomosis technique in OPHL). In the last session of the course, human specimens and a surgical robot were successfully

used to show various transoral robotic surgery (TORS) procedures. The setting of the room was similar to that described, showing that this protocol has good flexibility and can be adapted to equipment and space available in a particular institution.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Endoscopic dissection. A young surgeon working in our endoscopic station on an animal specimen.

Table 1: The Lary-Gym course: satisfaction questionnaire and responses. The score ranges from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied). Percentages are reported in brackets.

DISCUSSION:

This paper aims to describe the organization of a laboratory dedicated to laryngeal surgery and the choice of equivalent ex vivo animal models that can be used to simulate several surgical procedures in an economical but faithful manner. When human specimens are not available, it is necessary to find an accurate animal model to be used as a substitute. If there is no anatomy department that can provide specimens from body donations, the average price for a human model is about \$1,300-1,500. On the other hand, for an animal slaughtered for meat products, the equivalent ex vivo animal models are about \$8 or less. Here, the experiences of setting up the dedicated space, individual training sessions, and the organization of surgical dissection courses are reported. Based on the literature, it was decided to use porcine and ovine laryngeal models, mainly for laser and open surgery, respectively 10,14,15,19-21. Both the animal models described are easily available and affordable since they are animal waste products in the meat supply chain. Moreover, these ex vivo models are easily managed and stored, with no risk for the operators. Even if slightly different from the human larynx and removed from the normal context of the neck, the anatomical proportions and tissue composition of the animal substitutes are very similar, allowing a step-by-step reproduction of TLM, OPHL, and TL techniques. The large number of specimens available for a very reasonable price guarantees the possibility to repeat the procedure many times. In this way, surgeons can not only improve their precision and accuracy in surgical procedures, but they can also increase their speed of execution, principally during the less important surgical steps of the procedures.

The contemporary use of microscopes/endoscopes for the endolaryngeal view, together with the external view, enhanced in this case by the 3D exoscope, allows an inside-out perspective to be gained, which can help surgeons to fully understand the complex laryngeal anatomy and the importance of each surgical step. Moreover, the use of a camera and screen to share the dissection allows the tutor and the other surgeons to monitor the same field of view as the first operator, increasing the training potential of the system. In this way the tutor can guide the procedure, correct mistakes, and answer any questions or comments.

This type of set-up can be easily replicated, as it is modular and flexible based on the instruments and devices available. Naturally, possible limitations of the animal models can be found in the intrinsic differences between the model and the human larynx and in working on a single prepared organ in the absence of the normal relationships with the surrounding anatomical structures. In detail, the porcine larynx has different arytenoids conformation, which requires a good glottic exposure. Moreover, the absence of the vocal ligament in the porcine specimen prevents a completely realistic type II cordectomy. On the other hand, these differences are somewhat

overshadowed by the availability and cost of the animal models, which are very similar substitutes

434 in tissue consistency and structure. Once the surgeon has acquired sufficient ability, the natural step

forward is to switch to simulation to the more expensive human specimens.

435 436

438

439

437 A laryngeal training center with the features described is an ideal set-up for training in this precision

surgery, for technical refinement, and for teaching purposes. Moreover, the same laboratory can

- be used to test novel head and neck surgical techniques. For example, the growing diffusion of
- 440 transoral robotic surgery for oropharyngeal and supraglottic tumors requires time for individual
- training on the robotic console and to experience tissue manipulation and movements. All of these
- exercises can be easily simulated and repeated inexpensively in a training laboratory organized as
- described, without moving surgical facilities and instruments.

444 445

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The authors would like to acknowledge the Administration of the FPO-IRCCS of Candiolo (Turin) for the contribution and the constant support to our work.

447 448 449

446

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

450 451 452

453

REFERENCES:

- 1. Forastiere, A. A. et al. Use of larynx-preservation strategies in the treatment of laryngeal cancer:
- 454 American Society of Clinical Oncology Clinical Practice Guideline Update. *Journal of Clinical Oncogy*.
- 455 **36** (11), 1143–1169 (2018).
- 456 2. Patel, T. D. et al. Supraglottic squamous cell carcinoma: A population-based study of 22,675 cases.
- 457 *Laryngoscope*. **129** (8), 1822–1827 (2018).

458 3. Olsen, K. D. Reexamining the treatment of advanced laryngeal cancer. Head & Neck 32 (1), 1–7

- 459 (2010).
- 460 4. Remacle, M. et al. Endoscopic cordectomy. A proposal for a classification by the Working
- 461 Committee, European Laryngological Society. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. 257 (4),
- 462 227–231 (2000).
- 5. Remacle, M. et al. Endoscopic supraglottic laryngectomy: a proposal for a classification by the
- 464 working committee on nomenclature, European Laryngological Society. European Archives of Oto-
- 465 *Rhino-Laryngology*. **266** (7), 993–998 (2009).
- 466 6. Succo, G. et al. Open partial horizontal laryngectomies: A proposal for classification by the
- 467 working committee on nomenclature of the European Laryngological Society. *European Archives of*
- 468 *Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*. **271** (9), 2489–2496 (2014).
- 469 7. Succo, G. et al. Treatment for T3 to T4a laryngeal cancer by open partial horizontal
- 470 laryngectomies: Prognostic impact of different pathologic tumor subcategories. Head & Neck. 40
- 471 (9), 1897–1908 (2018).
- 472 8. Del Bon, F. et al. Open partial horizontal laryngectomies for T3–T4 laryngeal cancer: prognostic
- impact of anterior vs. posterior laryngeal compartmentalization. *Cancers (Basel).* **11** (3), 289 (2019).
- 474 9. Holsinger, F. C., Laccourreye, O., Weinstein, G. S., Diaz, E. M., McWhorter, A. J. Technical
- 475 refinements in the supracricoid partial laryngectomy to optimize functional outcomes. *Journal of*
- 476 *The American College of Surgeons.* **201** (5), 809–820 (2005).
- 477 10. Ianacone, D. C., Gnadt, B. J., Isaacson, G. Ex vivo ovine model for head and neck surgical
- 478 simulation. *American Journal of Otolaryngology*. **37** (3), 272–278 (2016).
- 479 11. Nasser Kotby, M., Wahba, H. A., Kamal, E., El-Makhzangy, A. M. N., Bahaa, N. Animal model for
- 480 training and improvement of the surgical skills in endolaryngeal microsurgery. Journal of Voice. 26

- 481 (3), 351–357 (2012).
- 482 12. Chan, C. Y., Lau, D. P. C. Simulators and models for laryngeal laser surgery and laser
- 483 myringotomy. *Laryngoscope*. **126** (9) (2016).
- 484 13. Awad, Z., Patel, B., Hayden, L., Sandhu, G. S., Tolley, N. S. Simulation in laryngology training;
- what should we invest in? Our experience with 64 porcine larynges and a literature review. Clinical
- 486 *Otolaryngology*. **40** (3), 269–273 (2015).
- 487 14. Ghirelli, M. et al. Ex vivo porcine larynx model for microlaryngoscopy laryngeal surgery: Proposal
- 488 for a structured surgical training. *Journal of Voice*. 10.1016/j.jvoice.2019.02.007 (2019).
- 489 15. Gao, N. et al. Comparative anatomy of pig arytenoid cartilage and human arytenoid cartilage.
- 490 *Journal of Voice*. 10.1016/j.jvoice.2018.02.015 (2018).
- 491 16. Hahn, M. S., Kobler, J. B., Starcher, B. C., Zeitels, S. M., Langer, R. Quantitative and comparative
- 492 studies of the vocal fold extracellular matrix I: Elastic fibers and hyaluronic acid. Annals of Otology,
- 493 *Rhinology, and Laryngology.* **115** (2), 156–164 (2006).
- 494 17. Hahn, M. S., Kobler, J. B., Zeitels, S. M., Langer, R. Quantitative and comparative studies of the
- vocal fold extracellular matrix II: Collagen. Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology. 115 (3),
- 496 225-232 (2006).
- 497 18. Hahn, M. S., Kobler, J. B., Zeitels, S. M., Langer, R. Midmembranous vocal fold lamina propria
- 498 proteoglycans across selected species. Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology. 114 (6), 451-
- 499 462 (2005).
- 500 19. Gorostidi, F., Vinckenbosch, P., Lambercy, K., Sandu, K. Lamb larynx model for training in
- 501 endoscopic and CO₂ laser-assisted surgeries for benign laryngotracheal obstructions. European
- 502 *Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology*. **275** (8), 2061–2069 (2018).
- 503 20. Kim, M. J., Hunter, E. J., Titze, I. R. Comparison of human, canine, and ovine laryngeal
- dimensions. *Annals of Otology, Rhinology, and Laryngology*. **113** (1), 60–68 (2004).
- 505 21. Nisa, L. et al. Refashioned lamb tissue as an animal model for training complex techniques of
- laryngotracheal stenosis surgery. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. **274** (12) (2017).
- 507 22. Terragni, P. et al. A new training approach in endoscopic percutaneous tracheostomy using a
- simulation model based on biological tissue. *Minerva Anestesiologica*. **82** (2), 196–201 (2016).
- 509 23. Ricci Maccarini, A., Casolino, D., Video Larynx, 1997, Voice Center, Cesena, Italy.
- 510 24. Mattioli, F., Presutti, L., Caversaccio, M., Bonali, M., Anschuetz, L. Novel dissection station for
- endolaryngeal microsurgery and laser surgery: development and dissection course experience.
- 512 Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery. **156**(6), 1136–1141 (2017).
- 513 25 Remarcle, M. et al. Endoscopic cordectomy. A proposal for a classification by the Working
- 514 Committee, European Laryngological Society. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology. 257(4),
- 515 227-231 (2000).

521

- 26. Remarcle, M. et al. Endoscopic supraglottic laryngectomy: a proposal for a classification by the
- 517 working committee on nomenclature, European Laryngological Society. European Archives of Oto-
- 518 *Rhino-Laryngology*. **266**(7), 993–998 (2009).
- 519 27. Crosetti, E., Fantini, M., Maldi, E., Balmativola, D., Succo, G. Open partial horizontal
- 520 laryngectomy using CO₂ fiber laser. *Head & Neck*. hed.25797, 10.1002/hed.25797 (2019).



TABLE 1

Question	1	2
How do you value the relevance of the treated topic in respect of your need to update your	\lceil	
surgical skills?	Ľ	Ľ
How do you value the educational quality of this course?	0	0
How do you value the utility of this course?		0
Absence of conflict of interest.	0	0

3	4	5
0	1 (7%)	13 (93%)
0	1 (7%)	13 (93%)
0	1 (7%)	13 (93%)
0	0	14 (100%)

Name of Material/Equipment	Company	Catalog Number
3D camera	STORZ	VITOM 3D TH200
4k camera	STORZ	TH120
4K/3D 32" monitor	STORZ	TM350
Autostatic arm for VITOM 3D	STORZ	28272 HSP
Bone Rongeur, Luer	MEDICON	30.30.35
		Ultrapulse/Surgit
CO2 fiber laser	LUMENIS	ouch
CO2 laser	LUMENIS	AcuPulse 40WG
Dedo operating larygoscope	STORZ	8890 A
Delicate tissue forceps, Adson	MEDICON	06.21.12
Hemostatic forceps curved	MEDICON	15.45.12
Hemostatic forceps straight	MEDICON	15.44.12
Hook	MEDICON	20.48.05
Hopkins II forward-oblique telescope 30°	STORZ	8712 BA
Hopkins II forward-oblique telescope 70°	STORZ	8712 CA
Hopkins II straight forward telescope 0°	STORZ	8712 AA
Image 1 pilot	STORZ	TC014
Kleinsasser handle	STORZ	8597
Kleinsasser hook 90°	STORZ	8596 C
Kleinsasser injection needle straight	STORZ	8598 B
Kleinsasser scissors curved to left	STORZ	8594 D
Kleinsasser scissors curved to right	STORZ	8594 C
Kleinsasser scissors straight	STORZ	8594 A
Light source	STORZ	TL300
Lindholm distending forceps	STORZ	8654 B
Lindholm operating laryngoscope	STORZ	8587 A
Mayo standard scissors	MEDICON	03.50.14
Microscope	LEICA	F40
		Image 1 D3-link
Module for 3D image	STORZ	TC302
		Image 1 s 4U-Link
Module for 4K image	STORZ	TC304
Needle Holder	MEDICON	10.18.65
Operating scissors standard curved	MEDICON	03.03.13
Raspatory, Freer	MEDICON	26.35.02
Retractor, double-ended, Roux	MEDICON	22.16.13
Retractor, Volkmann	MEDICON	22.34.03
Retractory, double-ended, langenbeck Scalpel #11	MEDICON	22.18.21

Scalpel #15

•		
Steiner Coagulation suction tube	STORZ	8606 D
Steiner Grasping forceps curved to left	STORZ	8663 CH
Steiner Grasping forceps curved to right	STORZ	8663 BH
Steiner Laryngoforce II grasping forceps	STORZ	8662 E
Steiner operating laryngoscope	STORZ	8661 CN
Suction tube to remove vapor	STORZ	8574 LN
Tissue grasping forceps	MEDICON	07.01.10
Tissue Grasping forceps, Allis	MEDICON	50.02.15
Towel clamp	MEDICON	17.55.13
Vascular forceps, DeBakey	MEDICON	06.50.15

Image 1S connect

Video processor STORZ II TC201

Yankauer suction tube

Comments/Description



ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

litle of Article:	The Lary-Gym: An inexpensive solution to learn modern laryngeal surgery in a dissection laboratory									
Author(s):	Erika Crosetti, Andrea Manca, Davide Lancini, Marco Fantini, Giovanni Succo									
Item 1: The h			e the	Materials	be	made	available	(as	described	at
Standard	Access				✓ o	pen Acc	cess			
Item 2: Please sel	ect one of the	following i	tems:							
✓ The Auth	or is NOT a Un	ited States	gover	nment empl	oyee.					
	or is a United his or her duti	_						ere p	repared in	the
	or is a United S his or her duti	_						NOT	prepared in	the

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Defined Terms. As used in this Article and Video 1. License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: "Agreement" means this Article and Video License Agreement; "Article" means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; "Author" means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; "Collective Work" means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; "CRC License" means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-

nd/3.0/legalcode; "Derivative Work" means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other preexisting works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; "Institution" means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; "JoVE" means MyJove Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of The Journal of Visualized Experiments: "Materials" means the Article and / or the Video; "Parties" means the Author and JoVE; "Video" means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

- 2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.
- Grant of Rights in Article. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to Sections 4 and 7 below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and(c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.



ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

- 4. **Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.
- 5. Grant of Rights in Video Standard Access. This Section 5 applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above or if no box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to Section 7 below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.
- 6. Grant of Rights in Video - Open Access. This Section 6 applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to Section 7 below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this **Section 6** is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.
- 7. **Government Employees.** If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum

- rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.
- 8. **Protection of the Work.** The Author(s) authorize JoVE to take steps in the Author(s) name and on their behalf if JoVE believes some third party could be infringing or might infringe the copyright of either the Author's Article and/or Video.
- 9. **Likeness, Privacy, Personality.** The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.
- Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.
- 11. **JoVE Discretion.** If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole



ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

Indemnification. The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

- 13. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.
- 14. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to me one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement is required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

• •							
Name:	Davide Lancini						
Department:	Department of Otorhinolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery						
Institution:	University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy						
Title:	Medical Doctor						
1		ı					
Signature:	Davish Bomeim	Date:	10/06/19				

Please submit a **signed** and **dated** copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1. Upload an electronic version on the JoVE submission site
- 2. Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236
- 3. Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02140

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

thank You for Your corrections and advices. We have modified the paper as required. Following Your suggestions, we think we have improved the quality of our paper. Please find herein the answers addressing each of Your comment.

We sincerely hope You'll find our work adequate for the publication in Your Journal.

Best regards

Dr. Davide Lancini (Corresponding Author)

Editorial Comments

- 1. The language has been edited by a professional, native English-speaking editor with 25 years' experience editing medical and science manuscripts.
- 2. The title has been revised following Your indication.
- 3. An email address for each author has been added.
- 4. We confirm You that an ethics statement for using lamb and porcine innards from animals already slaughtered for meat products is not required.
- 5. The reference has been modified the as required.
- 6. The surgical tools used throughout the Protocol have been specified.
- 7. The Protocol has been modified as required.
- 8. The Protocol has been modified as required, adding more steps and making it more exhaustive.
- 9. The part of the Protocol for the video has been underlined as required.
- 10. The part of the Protocol for the video has been underlined as required.
- 11. Now a table of materials was completed with all the surgical tools used throughout the Protocol.
- 12. The references have been modified as required.

Reviewer 1

- Thank You for Your kind comment. We have added information and directions to the preparation of the endoscopic station. We hope in this way to make a clearer description of this part of the Protocol.
- 2. Now a table of materials was completed with all the surgical tools used throughout the Protocol.

- 3. As You suggested, we have emphasized in the introduction the need of simulation on models for the practice of laryngeal surgery.
- 4. Thank You for Your comment. As reported, the main purpose of our work was to illustrate how to prepare a laryngeal laboratory on animal models. Naturally, a so-organized structure, as You correctly underlined, can be the occasion for practice on different laryngeal surgeries: airway surgery, re-innervation technique, robotic surgery, etc. We have briefly described the procedure we usually perform in our daily activity, underlying the wide range of possibilities in agreement with the literature findings.

Reviewer 2

- 1. Thank You for Your note. Following Your suggestion, we have better described how to obtain a good exposure of the glottic plane also in a porcine specimen.
- 2. Thank You for Your kind appreciation. As You suggested, we underlined this important different feature of the swine larynx in the text, pointing out that this characteristic does not allow to perform a perfect type II cordectomy. However, for several reasons reported in the introduction, we think porcine larynx still remains a perfect model for practicing in other types of laser cordectomies and procedures, to approach laser surgery, and to learn the management of laryngeal tissues. In our lab we used the Maccarini Station due to its easy maneuverability, but we totally agree with the not complete safety of the instrument, due to the absence of a protection from the possible laser beam escape. Therefore, for the first times, we used a part of box of wood as a wall around the station in order protect the participants from possible accidental damage from a uncorrectly directed laser beam. Nowadays the literature reports the possibility to use a dissection station called Larynxbox. This station is far safer, because it is completely made by polycarbonate, which can absorb the laser beam; moreover, it is approved and validated for the use with CO2 laser. We hope to enrich our lab with this instrument and we have added the reference of the station in the text.
- 3. Thank You for Your comment. On the base of Your observation, we have reinforced in the text the difficulty of the OPHL procedure in a specimen removed from the neck. Moreover, we better described the pexy technique in the protocol. Since the anatomical features of the swine larynx You underlined, we usually prefer to perform open procedures on ovine specimens (which have other differences). As You pointed out, the exercise was meant exactly to describe the stitches position and how the trainers could set the larynx to obtain a good scrolling of the structures. Moreover, we think this is an effective way to learn and memorize the surgical steps of these modular and standardized procedures.
- 4. Thank You for Your kind appreciation.
- 5. The picture inserted in the paragraph of the endoscopic station preparation was meant to be just a simple and effective illustration of the setup of the lab in prevision of the more exhaustive video. The purpose is only to show the support for the specimen, the positioning of the laryngoscope and the operative microscope.

6. Thank You for Your appropriate comment. We agree with You in finding this questionnaire less complete in respect to other available in literature. However, the organizers promote this very fast survey to easily collect the approval rating and the tips of the participants. We decided to report these data to have an objective satisfaction rate, and to show the good results of the experience. In the future we will definitely use more appropriate questionnaire to verify other material aspects of the laboratory.