

# Journal of Visualized Experiments

## Observing the Transformation of Bodily Self-Consciousness in the Squeeze-Machine Experiment

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Article Type:</b>	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JoVE59263R2
<b>Full Title:</b>	Observing the Transformation of Bodily Self-Consciousness in the Squeeze-Machine Experiment
<b>Keywords:</b>	bodily self-consciousness; squeeze machine; intentionality; full body experience; peri-personal space; cross-modal task
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Mai Minoura Waseda Daigaku Tokyo, Japan JAPAN
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Waseda Daigaku
<b>Corresponding Author E-Mail:</b>	mai.minoura@aoni.waseda.jp
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Mai Minoura Iori Tani Takahiro Ishii Yukio Pegio Gunji
<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Standard Access (US\$2,400)
Please indicate the <b>city, state/province, and country</b> where this article will be <b>filmed</b> . Please do not use abbreviations.	Tokyo, Japan

**TITLE:**

Observing the Transformation of Bodily Self-Consciousness in the Squeeze-Machine Experiment

**AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:**

Mai Minoura<sup>1</sup>, Iori Tani<sup>2</sup>, Takahiro Ishii<sup>3</sup>, Yukio-Pegio Gunji<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Intermedia Art and Science, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Research Center for Kansei Value Creation, School of Science and Technology, Kwansei Gakuin University, Hyogo, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Occupational Therapy, Teikyo University of Science, Yamanashi, Japan

Corresponding Author:

Mai Minoura (mai.minoura@aoni.waseda.jp)

Email Addresses of Co-authors:

Iori Tani (iori.tani@kwansei.ac.jp)

Takahiro Ishii (t-ishii@ntu.ac.jp)

Yukio-Pegio Gunji (yukio@waseda.jp)

**KEYWORDS**

bodily self-consciousness, squeeze machine, intentionality, full body experience, peri-personal space, cross-modal task

**SUMMARY**

The squeeze machine, a V-shaped device that provides pressure along the whole body is used in therapy especially for people with autism. Here, we focus on its possible use in experiments to capture the typical transformations of bodily self-consciousness with peri-personal space measurement.

**ABSTRACT**

How is our bodily self-consciousness acquired, and how does it affect cognition? To investigate this, we conducted an experiment using the squeeze machine, a device that provides pressure along the length of the user's whole body. The squeeze machine is used to help autistic people relax. The inventor of the machine, Dr. Temple Grandin says that the squeeze machine, beyond bringing her relaxation, enables her to feel empathy for others. This claim is of considerable interest and raises the following two points; first, the problem of empathy in autism is an important issue and Squeeze Machine could be effective. Second, it suggests that the physical action of Squeeze Machine could provide an insight of mind-body problem to us. Here, we hypothesize that the squeeze machine focuses conscious to direct the bodily self, transforming bodily self-consciousness itself. Such intentionality could bring empathy to others. In this study, we tested whether bodily self-consciousness would be transformed through the squeeze-machine experience. In the first part of the protocol, we simplified the original design of Grandin's squeeze machine but ensured that it retained its relaxing effect. In the second part of the protocol, we adopted a preestablished method of peri-personal space (PPS) measurement to

estimate changes in extended bodily space. The results showed that the boundaries of PPS that appeared in the control experiment disappeared during the use of the squeeze machine. Indeed, collected subjective reports suggest that bodily self-consciousness continually drifted between the point of action of the external force (squeeze-machine pressure) and of an internal force (balance among body parts that are usually not consciously controlled), leading to the abandonment of the immobilization of individual PPS.

## INTRODUCTION

The squeeze (or hug) machine<sup>1</sup> was invented in the 1960s by Temple Grandin, a distinguished zoologist with autism, to help herself relax, as a modification to the design of her cattle crush<sup>2</sup> which is a machine that was designed to press cattle along their whole length to calm them. The machine is mainly composed of two panels assembled in a v shape, an actuator to pull the panels together and a lever to control the opening and closing of the panels. The user takes four-posture in it and receives pressure from both sides of the body by closing those panels as controlling the lever by the user's self. After she began to use it, she found that she became more able to tolerate being touched by others, and she reported its clinical effectiveness for such problems of autistic oversensitivity, which she herself had.<sup>3</sup> Later, the benefits of the machine were also recognized for autistic children by Edelson *et al.*, who showed that continual use of the machine significantly reduced tension and anxiety in autistic children,<sup>4</sup> and the use of the squeeze machine spread as an occupational therapy device. The calming effects of the machine may be due to such deep touch pressure it provides,<sup>3-4</sup> that is a mechanical deformation of the skin and is coupled with stimulation of the underlying fascia and periosteum.<sup>5</sup>

While other methods of providing deep touch, pressure have been developed and have achieved clinically observed effects in anxious, inattentive, and hyperactive children especially with autism, such as rolling in a gym mat,<sup>6</sup> using weighted blankets (or gravity blankets),<sup>7-8</sup> or weighted vests,<sup>9-10</sup> it appears that the squeeze machine is as effective as these other methods. To Grandin, the machine opens a door connected to the emotional world and tells her what or how to feel empathy for others is.<sup>2</sup> The squeeze machine thus not only creates a connection between deep touch pressure and relaxation but also between physical matter and one's state of mind with regard to an unknown external. Moreover, as it has been found that 62% of normal college students are relaxed by the squeeze machine,<sup>1</sup> it is possible that this body-mind linkage could be observed in neurotypical people as well; however, this argument has not been pursued.

Here, we report experiments with the squeeze machine to tackle the mechanisms of bodily self-consciousness in neurotypical adults. While autism has been described with their steep and less flexible gradient from self to other<sup>11</sup>, the effect of the squeeze machine has come from the situation wherein the users feel themselves both squeezing and being squeezed. In other words, their bodily self-consciousness put into the selfness (to actively squeeze) and the otherness (to be passively squeeze), thus we considered that it may transform their bodily self-consciousness. For this reason, the squeeze-machine experiment is an effective approach to the long-discussed issue of bodily self-consciousness with the following three advantages. First, real bodies are used. While the use of a fake body or a virtual one can provide important insights into our bodily self-consciousness, as in the rubber hand illusion<sup>12</sup> or in out-of-body experiences using a head set,<sup>13-</sup>

<sup>14</sup> as well as our adaptive ability, the use of the real body in the squeeze machine can allow the intrinsic features of consciousness to be shown in their natural environment. Second, whole bodies are used, namely, the pressed surface is larger, and more dimensions are available to press than the other methods. Weighted vests only press part of the body, and weighted blankets or rolling with a gym mat bring mostly a vertical, downward pressure to bear. In the squeeze machine, users feel vertical pressure on their arms as a baseline, and then the pressure is applied along the body from the side, resulting in the pressure from various directions. Third, it combines both squeezing and being squeezed: the machine's controls are operated by the users themselves. This unique property evokes the self that exists between passive and active.

In this article, we introduce one preparation and two protocols. Preparation shows how to make a simplified and modified squeeze machine to be convenient for experiments. We show an alternative to the following mechanisms included in the original design and thought to increase the difficulty of production and use: an air cylinder actuator, curved pads for side panels, slide panels for neck and controller box. Protocol 1 shows that our modification of the design produces the same degree of relaxing efficiency as the original machine. Additionally, it also gives a possibility for the variation on our experiments with a brief example, to collect subjective feelings. Protocol 2 shows how the squeeze machine could affect bodily self-consciousness in a general sense. To verify this, we introduced the idea of taking peri-personal space (PPS) measurements which is expected that the result will change with or without the squeeze machine experience.

PPS, the thin space immediately surrounding our body, which roles as a mediator of every physical interaction between the body and the external world,<sup>15</sup> has its root in bodily self-consciousness. Interactions between tactile perception and visual or auditory perception are weakened when the presentation position of the visual or auditory stimulus moves away, the representation of PPS is interpreted as a range in which its interaction is preserved. Previously such connection between PPS representation and bodily self-consciousness has been demonstrated empirically. Since bodily self-consciousness could be emerged through the integration process multisensory bodily<sup>16</sup>, individual PPS boundaries shift to the virtually owned body wherein multisensory inputs integrated consciously<sup>17</sup> or even with the inputs under the threshold of conscious perception<sup>18</sup>. Additionally, PPS representations can be distinguished from body part-centered and full body-centered and both are not completely independent, the former is said to have aspects to refer to the latter<sup>19</sup>. From that point, it is thought that the benefit of the squeeze machine that affects the whole body is emphasized. Moreover, different methods have been developed to measure the boundaries of the PPS, using its properties and a cross-modal task.<sup>15, 20–23</sup> Here, we adopted a dynamic audio–tactile interaction task,<sup>15</sup> which has revealed the interaction between our body and environment (see also [24]), and can be easily incorporated into the squeeze-machine experiment. This could form part of a series of methods in which the effectiveness of the squeeze-machine experiment as self-consciousness research could be determined.

## PROTOCOL

All methods described here have been approved to follow the guidelines of the Academic Research Ethics Research Committee of Waseda University. Following these guidelines, this study



received an approval waiver in advance by self-check. No identifying information was collected from the participants, who were informed of the general experimental procedures and goals; each provided written consent.

## **1. Preparations: Make a squeeze machine**

### **1.1 Replication of the original design**

1.1.1 Construct a main body of squeeze machine with two side panels (42" x 28") (these and all other panels are 3/4-inch-thick wooden board) to press the user, one base panel (48" x 32"), one front panel (36" x 32"), one pressure mechanical panel (36" x 28") to mount the actuator, and an actuator to pull the side panels together (**Figure 1A,B**) along to the Grandin's description<sup>25, 26</sup>.

### **1.2 Modification from the original design**

1.2.1 In place of an air cylinder actuator in the original design, adopt a motor liner actuator (**Figure 1(A)**).

1.2.2 In place of curved pads constructed with a 1-inch-thick wooden board and attached inside each side panel in the original design, put bead-filled cushions (**Figure 1(A)**).

1.2.3 Omit the two padded sliding panels to grip the user's neck.

1.2.4 In place of the controller box to open and close the side panels by means of a lever implemented, connect two control buttons to the actuator.

1.2.5 Insert a microcomputer between the control buttons and the actuator, with two signal switching relays (**Supplementary figure 1**). Upload a program (**Supplementary code 1**) to modify the open and close commands as necessary.

## **2. Protocol 1: Free use of the squeeze machine**

### **2.1 Standard conditions**

2.1.1 Use more than 16 participants who are healthy adults (approximately age 18–30, regardless of gender) without the history of major illness and naïve to the experiment in order to refer to previous research<sup>15</sup> and speaking the same native language to collect subjective report. Conduct the experiment with each participant separately.

2.1.2 Upload an appropriate program (**Supplementary code 1**) to the microcomputer. Show the participant how to use the machine: demonstrate entering it and controlling it, without informing the participant of the purpose of the machine. Ask the participant to use it for several minutes. Inform the participant that reactions about the feeling produced by the machine are welcomed.

2.1.3 Aid the adjustment of the machine to enable easy entry and help fit the cushions to the participant's preference. Check the maximum closure and ensure it is neither too tight nor too loose. Adjust the space between the two side panels using the sliding side adjusters if it needs.

2.1.4 Let the participants use the machine for 5 min, recording their verbal responses. Observe to ensure nothing is going wrong with either the participant or the machine.

2.1.5 Ask the participants to rate their state of relaxation on two scales from 1 to 10: the poles of the first are "very excited" and "almost asleep,"<sup>3</sup> and the poles of the other are "not relaxed" and "relaxed." After the participant has completed the use of the machine, ask, "How did you feel while using it?" Collect the answers as subjective reports along with what was spoken during use.

2.2 Sporadically uncontrollable conditions: Upload an appropriate program (**Supplementary code 1**) to the microcomputer to flip the reaction of the actuator once every two pushing control buttons by the participants, without informing them. After this, perform steps from 2.1.3 to 2.1.5.

### **3. Protocol 2: PPS Measurement**

3.1 Set up the experimental system: In this experiment, participants are asked to immediately report when they feel a tactile stimulus from a vibration motor attached to the left index finger, which is presented with or without sound. The response times (RTs) are collected.

3.1.1 Place a speaker near the hand rest of the squeeze machine (near the speaker) and another approximately 100 cm away from the first (far the speaker). Additionally, prepare a vibration motor (approximately half an inch in length) and a push button placed near the right index finger to collect RTs (**Figure 2**).

3.1.2 Create two kinds of 3-s sound sources, one producing pink noise at 44.1 kHz, with an exponentially rising acoustic intensity from 55 to 70 dB Sound Pressure Level (IN-sound) and the other the opposite, with falling intensity (OUT-sound), as measuring the sound level with an audiometer at the head rest of the squeeze machine. Create the sounds as follows: make the 55-dB sound with the near speaker silent, the 70-dB sound with the far speaker silent, and intermediary sounds with a proportional mix between the speakers, to give the impression that the sound is approaching the participants from the in sound and is leaving from them to the out sound.

3.1.3. Create an experimental system that presents IN- or OUT- sounds and one tactile stimulus, as shown in **Figure 3**. Randomly arrange four trials for each condition (T0–T6 or no tactile stimulus with the IN- or OUT- sound, 64 trials in total) for one set.

3.1.4 Give to the participants the following three instructions: report the timing of the tactile stimulus using the button as soon as you feel it, try to ignore the sounds, and the trial will be

repeated multiple times for around six minutes. Conduct a training session with three trials to ensure that the participants understand the task.

### 3.2 Squeezed conditions

3.2.1 Let the participants use the machine freely for five minutes and adjust it to their comfort. Remove the control button and attach the vibration motor to the participants' left index fingers. Then place their right index finger on the response button on the hand rest of the squeeze machine (**Figure 2(A)**).

3.2.2 Begin one set of trials. After 3 min break, repeat step 3.2.1 and begin another.

### 3.3 Control conditions

3.3.1 Open the squeeze machine entirely and remove the cushions. Instruct the participants to lie in it as if they were using the squeeze machine within the empty machine. Place a vibration motor on the participant's left index finger, attaching it to a vibration motor, and place the right index finger on the button on the hand rest of the squeeze machine (**Figure 2(B)**).

3.3.2 Begin one set of trials. After a 3-min break, begin another.

### 3.3 Data analysis

3.3.1 Exclude any RTs not within 150–1,000 ms which are not expected to be valid cross-modal responses<sup>15, 23</sup>. Calculate means and standard errors of RTs for all conditions.

3.3.2 Express the best-fitting sigmoidal functions with mean RTs of T1–T5 for each of the four conditions ([squeezed or control condition] x [IN- or OUT- sound]). Use a sigmoidal function described by the following equation<sup>15, 27</sup>:

$$y(x) = \frac{y_{min} + y_{max} \cdot e^{(x-x_c)/b}}{1 + e^{(x-x_c)/b}}$$

where  $x$  represents the independent variable (timing of T1–T5),  $y$  the dependent variable (RTs),  $y_{min}$  and  $y_{max}$  the lower and upper saturation levels of the sigmoid,  $x_c$  the value of the abscissa at the central point of the sigmoid and  $b$  provides the slope of the sigmoid at  $x_c$ <sup>15, 27</sup>.

## REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS

The participants were 17 healthy students attending Waseda University (nine males; mean age 22.1 years, range 18–27; mean height 164.4 cm, range 150–185). All participants were naïve to the experimental tasks and native Japanese speakers.

Subjective reports collected through Protocol 1 lead following three observations. First, the same degree of the relaxation effect as the original design<sup>25, 26</sup> with the modified machine was observed. As shown in **Figure 4(A)**, under the standard conditions, 13 participants (76.5%) gave a score of greater than or equal to 6, more toward the side of “almost sleeping” and away from “very excited” (mean score = 6.47, SD = 1.65), and 14 participants (82.4%) gave a score of 6 or greater, closer to “relaxed” than “not relaxed” (mean score = 6.53, SD = 1.72). Thus, among our participants, the majority were relaxed, and the percentage of relaxed respondents was greater than that found in a previous study (62%).<sup>1</sup> This suggests that our modification of Grandin’s original design is appropriate at least for neurotypical adults.

Second, various reactions to the uncontrollable conditions. Under the sporadically uncontrollable conditions, eight participants (47.1%) gave a score of greater than or equal to 6, closer to “almost sleeping” than “very excited” (mean score = 5.94, SD = 2.10), and seven participants (41.2%) gave a score of 6 or greater, closer to “relaxed” than “not relaxed” (mean score = 5.77, SD = 1.73; **Figure 4(B)**). Therefore, the number of participants who received a relaxing effect was lower than that under the standard conditions. Moreover, there were significant differences between those two conditions in both scales (both  $p > 0.05$ , t-test). However, as shown in **Figure 4(C)**, a comparison of the scores for the two conditions per subject showed a difference in scores that was widely distributed, from -6 to +6 (“almost sleeping” vs “very excited” scale: mean score = 0.53, SD = 3.05; “relaxed” vs “not relaxed” scale: mean score = 0.77, SD = 2.18). This indicates that the controllability of the machine influences relaxation, but the conditions with a certain degree of uncontrollable can also be relaxing for some.

Third, the bodily self-consciousness between the two releases. The following two kinds of release experiences in the squeeze machine were reported by the participants. In the first, there was a release of force from the outside of the body. This appeared in certain reports that stated, “I felt the most relaxation when the panel opened.” In other words, when the machine opened, they noticed that their body was being freed from the force that it had been receiving from the machine. The second involves the release of force from the inside of the body. We received other reports, “being on all fours is usually painful, but because the side of my body was supported by the machine, it was not painful but comfortable” or “I felt like I was floating.” That is, when the machine was closed, their bodies felt free from the internal forces that usually arise from the mutual support of the parts. For this reason, users can experience these two releases (implicitly or explicitly) by opening and closing the machine. It is notable that this will lead to expressing intentionality of consciousness through the bodily self as a point of action of forces.

Additionally, PPS measurement in Protocol 2 revealed the general tendency of the transformation of bodily self-consciousness. Initially, we excluded one participant’s data because of an equipment malfunction and 14 individual RTs because they were not within the range 150–1,000 ms<sup>15, 23</sup>, including no response. In the control conditions with the IN-sound, mean RTs fell sharply after T3 (**Figure 5(A)**, red line). Among RTs of neighboring points while the sound was playing (T1–T5), there was only a significant difference between T2 and T3 ( $p = 0.0038$ , t-test). Moreover, the RTs in that interval fit a sigmoidal function well (AIC = 23.4). Under the control conditions with the OUT-sound, although there was also a significant difference between T2 and

T3 ( $p = 0.019$ , t-test), those RTs were flat overall and did not fit a sigmoidal function well (**Figure 5(A)**, blue line). This result, a sigmoidal behavior is appeared only with IN-sound, coincides with that of a previous study<sup>15</sup> that we reviewed. Therefore, as in previous studies, this boundary can be interpreted as a representation of the boundary of each person's PPS. By contrast, in the squeezed condition, the RTs showed no sharp decrease for the in or out sound (**Figure 5(B)**). There was no significant difference between the RTs of each neighboring point ( $p > 0.05$ , t-test). Furthermore, for each of T0–T6, when comparing the mean RTs of the two conditions with the IN-sound, significant differences were detected in T3 to T6 (T3:  $p = 0.0083$ , T4:  $p = 0.0047$ , T5:  $p = 0.0052$ , T6:  $p = 0.0036$ , t-test). While no sound T0, T6 has been interpreted as baselines<sup>19, 24</sup> of responses, there was no significant difference between them, that suggests that squeezing did not degrade their performance. From this also, it can be confirmed that the squeeze experience eliminates the increase in the response speed to the approaching sound. Hence the squeezed condition extinguished the representable boundary of PPS, namely, the extended bodily space. These results demonstrate that the squeeze machine could contribute to the transformation of bodily self-consciousness.

#### FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

**Figure 1. The modified squeeze machine.** (A) Use of the squeeze machine. The user enters it on all fours and squeezes his or her own body by using the open and close buttons. (B) Appearance with no cushion. (C) The bead that fills the cushions. The 1 mm expanded polystyrene beads that fill the cushion allow it to change shape easily and fit anyone's body or bodily position.

**Figure 2. Experimental setup for measurement of peri-personal space** (A) for squeeze conditions with cushions and pressing, (B) for control conditions without cushions or pressing, and (C) for both, to present tactile stimuli (a vibration motor) and to collect the response times (a push button).

**Figure 3. The time sequence of the trial of measurement of peri-personal space.** After 1,000 ms of silence, three seconds of the IN- or the OUT- sound is given. No tactile stimulus or one is presented at a timing shown as T0–T6 per each trial. Immediately after 2,000 ms of silence following the sound, the next trial begins.

**Figure 4. Histograms of subjective ratings.** (A) In the standard conditions, 76.4% responded that they felt a sleepy feeling (left, scores 6–10, filled boxes; score 6: 4, score 7: 2, score 8: 7 and totally 13 people), and 82.4% answered that they had a relaxed feeling (right, scores 6–10, filled boxes; score 6: 3, score 7: 6, score 8: 4, score 9: 1 and totally 14 people), suggesting that the machine had a high relaxation effect for normal adults. (B) Under the sporadically uncontrollable conditions, 47.1% responded that they had a sleepy feeling (left, scores 6–10, filled boxes; score 6: 1, score 7: 2, score 8: 2, score 9: 3 and totally 8 people), and 41.2% responded that they had a relaxed feeling (right, scores 6–10, filled boxes; score 7: 3, score 8: 3, score 9: 1 and totally 7 people). However, (C) the difference between the scores from the standard condition (A) and those of the sporadically uncontrollable conditions (B) indicates that those who had a much greater relaxation effect when the reaction of the machine was controllable (score more than 0,

filled boxes; score 1: 4, score 2: 2, score 3: 2, score 5: 1, score 6: 1 and totally 10 people) were not a large majority for either or both scales (sleepy feeling, left: 58.8%; relaxed feeling, right: 52.9%; score 1: 1, score 2: 4, score 3: 3, score 4: 1 and totally 9 people).

**Figure 5. Mean response times (RTs) of measurement of peri-personal space.** (A) Under the control conditions, there were significant differences between the mean RTs for T2 and T3 with IN-sound ( $p = 0.0038$ ) and with OUT-sound ( $p = 0.019$ ). Moreover, only during IN-sound (for T1–T5) was good fitness to a sigmoidal function (Akaike information criterion = 23.4) found. Meanwhile, (B) in the squeezed condition, there were no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) between the mean RT for a certain point and the following. Error bars denote standard error of the mean.

**Supplementary figure 1. Circuit diagram around the microcomputer.** In this system in order to manipulate the control signals, at first the signals from the control buttons are input to pin 7 and 8 of the microcomputer. Then operated signals are output from pin 12 and 13 to the actuator.

**Supplementary code 1. Sample code for Protocol 1.** Set the constant value named with “type” (line 2) to 1 for Step 2.1 and to 2 for Step 2.2. When the it is set to 1, the open/close button always opens/closes the side panels. And when it is set to 2, flip the reaction of the side panels once every two pushing control buttons. This code is valid when the microcomputer is connected as shown in **Supplementary figure 1**.

## DISCUSSION:

Until today, the squeeze machine had remained a relaxation device, especially in the field of occupational therapy. However, this study shows the potential of the squeeze machine to transfer bodily self-consciousness in normal adults. For this purpose, as preparation, we showed improvement of the design which is easy to make and enables various experiments. Protocol 1 is provided to make sure that the original relaxing effect is maintained and to introduce an example of experimental diversification. Finally, Protocol 2 demonstrates users altered bodily self-consciousness using a behavioral study, combining the squeeze machine with PPS measurement. The results indicated that the boundary of each person's PPS was observably eliminated in the free use of a squeeze machine for five minutes. Thus, step 3.2 in which squeeze machine experience and PPS measurement are combined could be regarded as the critical step in this study.

Moreover, we made five modifications from the original design and that provide the following benefits for experimental uses respectively: First, a motor liner actuator is easier to obtain and almost maintenance-free. Second, bead-filled cushions can enlarge the contact surface area, independent of the user's shape or bodily position. Third, the omission of the two padded sliding panels enable to save some processes of work and the weight. Fourth, In the original design, there is a controller box that is opened and closed by means of a lever implemented, forcing the user to reach out to manipulate the lever. In place of this, two push buttons are added, freeing the user's hands. Finally, further use of a microcomputer, as introduced into the squeeze machine in this study, would allow us to design additional variant experiments. As a brief example, this

study showed that sporadically uncontrollable conditions gave rise to diverse experiences of the controllable conditions.

As a future application, this protocol could be arranged to focus on the role of such unexpected stimuli with regard to users, which has been studied previously. For example, Krauss made a device with two air mattresses pressing up against a participant from the participant's chest to calves, where pulling a rope would allow the participant to lay themselves into a supine position. He compared this case to one where pulling and pressure were linked and to one where they were not linked. He found that the self-controlled pressures reduced the user's anxiety, but the alternative did not<sup>5</sup>. Additionally, the self-tickling machine investigated by Blackmore and her colleagues made users ticklish only when the reaction from the machine was activated, such as when an unexpected temporal delay was added. They concluded that tickling sensation relative to tactile stimuli would change depending on whether the stimuli was felt as produced by oneself or by another.<sup>28</sup> Placed in the context of these findings, in our case, where the reaction was flipped once every two times, it may be thought that the participants would not uniformly judge the reactions to be self-produced or other-produced. However, it would be the same as a system that has completely random reactions or that is automatically in motion. Furthermore, it is to be expected that the state of conscious intentionality differs among cases with self-produced stimuli, cases with other-produced stimuli, and cases with fuzzy boundaries among self-produced and other-produced stimuli. Here, it can be thought that the conflicts between self-produced and other-produced are altered by confusing active and passive. The squeeze machine is an appropriate device to make such alteration and fuzziness due to the nature that squeezing (active) and being squeezed (passive) is compatible. One of the possible ways to induce such active and passive confusion is including autonomic nervous system such as heart beat in the squeeze machine. The study of these relationships can help us approach the mechanism of our bodily self-consciousness; this will be possible with the varied and novel uses of squeeze machines in experiments.

Additionally, here we propose more possible modifications of our protocol both in collecting subjective and objective data. First, for subjective data, this study tested two scales, one from "very excited" to "almost asleep" and the other from "not relaxed" to "relaxed." The first was set for consistent discussion with the original study<sup>1, 3</sup>; however, certain participants reported both relaxation and excitement because the experience was interesting. Thus, it might be preferable to use only the second scale. We also found that subjective reports could be used for the observation of the transformation of participants' bodily self-consciousness. For example, one reported, "I felt as if the cushions were fused with my own body, and the body swelled or shrank in conjunction with opening and closing." Thus, by using a method of collecting subjective reports, it will be possible to observe explicit changes in bodily self-consciousness in greater detail. Second, for objective data from PPS measurement, in this study the tactile stimulus provided to the participants' finger. This body part has been used in the original<sup>15</sup> and follow-on numbers of PPS measurement studies, especially in the context of the self-other boundary<sup>27, 29</sup>. However, there are other methods with stimuli on the forehead, back or trunk in order to much focus on the whole-body PPS representation. This protocol with the squeeze machine is easy to modify to attach the vibrator on the forehead or back. Meanwhile, giving stimuli on the front trunk is not

impossible but the influence of compression from the cushion to the mounting part must be taken into consideration. Additionally, modification to other bodily positions is also considered since the affection of vestibular signals to PPS boundaries has been found<sup>30</sup>. Note that the two conditions in Protocol 2 were aligned to face down so that the influence of vestibule signals could be thought to be eliminated.

As mentioned above, the squeeze machine can be used by both neurotypical people and autistic people. This indicates that some properties of bodily self-consciousness are shared by a wide segment of the population. Here, we propose to discuss this issue on the basis of the intentionality of consciousness. One psychiatric theory<sup>21</sup> points that neurotypical people intuit others' mind in places having intentionality, and they recognize others as a unity centered on intentionality. Additionally, missing of notice of others' intentionality is related to the findings of developmental disorder of autism. They also have problems with "intentional empathy"<sup>31</sup>, which is distinguished from another kind of empathy, namely "instinctive empathy" or sympathy<sup>32</sup>. These insights could be connected to the Grandin's experience with squeeze machine, that is, she was taught to feel empathy from the machine via forming intentionality. Meanwhile, the disappearance of the boundary of PPS observed in this study can be thought to be a state where the intention to bodily self is directed outside the usual boundary. In this way, individual state of intentionality and how one recognizes the bodily-self, others or the external, are linking each other for all. Detailed observation of such linkage may entail the investigation of collecting one's reactions to the squeeze machine and one's individual character traits.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K18346 and Waseda University.

#### **DISCLOSURES:**

The authors have nothing to disclose.

#### **REFERENCES:**


1. Levy, S., Grandin, T. The Effect of Early Intervention on the Diagnosis of Autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. **13** (2) (1983).
2. Sacks, O. An anthropologist on Mars: Seven paradoxical tales. London: Picador (1995).
3. Grandin, T. Calming effects of deep towels pressure in patients with autistic disorder, college students, and animals. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Psychopharmacology*. **2**, 63-72 (1992).
4. Edelson, S.M., Goldberg, M., Edelson, M.G., Kerr, D.C., Grandin, T. Behavioral and physiological effects of deep pressure on children with autism: A pilot study evaluating the efficacy of Grandin's Hug Machine. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*. **53**, 145-152 (1999).
5. Krauss, K. E. The effects of deep pressure touch on anxiety. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, **41**, 366-373 (1987).
6. Ayres, A.J. Sensory integration and the child. Los Angeles. *Western Psychological Services*. (1979).
7. Champagne, T., Mullen, B. The Weighted Blanket. *Use and Research in Psychiatry*. MAOT (2005).




8. Mullen, B.S., Champagne, T., Krishnamurty, S., Dickson, D., Gao, R.X. Exploring the safety and therapeutic effects of deep pressure stimulation using a weighted blanket. *Occupational Therapy in Mental Health*, **24**, 65-89 (2008).
9. VandenBerg, N.L. The use of a weighted vest to increase on-task behavior in children with attention difficulties. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, **55**, 621-628 (2001).
10. Morrison, A.P. A review of research on the use of weighted vests with children on the autism spectrum. *Education*, **127**, 323-327. (2007).
11. Noel, J.P., Cascio, C., Wallace, M.T., Park, S. The spatial self in schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder. *Schizophrenia Research*. **179**, 8–12. (2017).
12. Botvinick, M., Cohen, J. Rubber hands ‘feel’ touch that eyes see. *Nature*. **391**, 756 (1998) doi: 10.1038/35784.
13. Lenggenhager, B., Tadi, T., Metzinger, T., Blanke, O. Video ergo sum: manipulating bodily self-consciousness. *Science*. **317**, 1096-1099. (2007).
14. Ehrsson, H.H. The experimental induction of out-of-body experiences. *Science*. **317**, 1048 (2007).
15. Canzoneri, E., Magosso, E., Serino, A. (2012) Dynamic sounds capture the boundaries of peripersonal space representation in humans, *PLoS ONE*. **7**, p. e44306 (2012).
16. Blanke, O., Slater, M., and Serino, A. Behavioral, neural, and computational principles of bodily self-consciousness. *Neuron*. **88**, 145–166. (2015).
17. Noel, J.P., Pfeiffer, C., Blanke, O., Serino, A. Peripersonal space as the space of the bodily self. *Cognition*. **144**, 49-57. (2015).
18. Salomon R., et al. Unconscious integration of multisensory bodily input in the peripersonal space shapes bodily self consciousness. *Cognition*. **166**, 174–183. (2017).
19. Serino, A., et al. Body part-centered and full body-centered peripersonal space representations. *Scientific Report*. **5**, 18603 (2015).
20. Spence C., Pavani F., Driver J. Crossmodal links between vision and touch in covert endogenous spatial attention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*. **26**, 1298-1319 (2000).
21. Bassolino M., Serino A., Ubaldi S., Ladavas E. Everyday use of the computer mouse extends peripersonal space representation. *Neuropsychologia*. **48**, 803-811 (2010).
22. Aspell, J.E., Lavanchy, T., Lenggenhager, B., Blanke, O. Seeing the body modulates audiotactile integration. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. **31**, 1868-1873 (2010).
23. Murray, M.M., et al. Grabbing your ear: Auditory–somatosensory multisensory interactions in early sensory cortices are not constrained by stimulus., alignment. *Cerebral Cortex*. **15**, 963-974 (2005).
24. Serino, A., et al. Peripersonal space: an index of multisensory body–environment interactions in real, virtual, and mixed realities. *Frontiers in ICT*. **4**, 31 (2018).
25. Grandin, T., My experiences as an autistic child. *Journal of Orthomolecular Psychiatry*. **13**, 144-174 (1984).
26. Grandin T., Scariano, M.M. *Emergence Labeled Autistic*. Novato, CA, Arena Press (1986).
27. Teneggi, C., Canzoneri, E., Pellegrino, E., Serino, A. Social modulation of peripersonal space boundaries. *Current Biology*. **23**, 406-411 (2013).
28. Blakemore, S.J., Frith, C.D., Wolpert, D.W. Spatiotemporal prediction modulates the perception of self-produced stimuli. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. **11**, 551-559 (1999).

- 525 29. Maister, L., et al., Your place or mine: Shared sensory experiences elicit a remapping of  
526 peripersonal space. *Neuropsychologia*. **70**, 455-461 (2014).
- 527 30. Pfeiffer, C., Noel, J.P., Serino, A., Blanke, O. Vestibular modulation of peri-personal space  
528 boundaries. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. **47**, 800–811 (2018).
- 529 31. Utsumi, T. Igaku-Shoin Ltd. ISBN-10: 4260024086 (2015).
- 530 32. Frith, U. Autism: Explaining the Enigma. Basil Blackwell, Oxford (1989).


(A)



(B)




(C)






**(A)** Squeezed conditions



**(C)**



**(B)** Control conditions




Figure 3







Figure 4



**(A)** Control conditions**(B)** Squeezed conditions

Name of Material/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
beads-filling cushion	Takikou sewing Ltd.	Hito wo dame ni suru cushion	for the Squeeze machine, size: 135 × 70 × 20 cm
linear actuator	LINAK K. K.	2391102000200B4	for the Squeeze machine, trust: 1200N
microcomputer	ARDUINO	Arduino Uno	for the Squeeze machine
speaker	FOSTEX	PM0.4CBJPN	for PPS measurement
signal switching relay	OMRON Corp.	G6E-134	for the Squeeze machine
vibration motor	Tokyo Parts Industrial Co., Ltd.	FM34F	for PPS measurement, size: φ12mm, standard speed: 13000rpm





1 Alewife Center #200  
Cambridge, MA 02140  
tel. 617.945.9051  
[www.jove.com](http://www.jove.com)

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article:

*Observing the Transformation of Bodily Self-consciousness in Squeeze-machine Experiment*

Author(s):

*Mdi Minoura, Iori Tani, Takahiro Ishii, Yoko Pagio, Cong*

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at <http://www.jove.com/author>) via: ☒ Standard Access ☐ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

### ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: “**Agreement**” means this Article and Video License Agreement; “**Article**” means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; “**Author**” means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; “**Collective Work**” means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; “**CRC License**” means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; “**Derivative Work**” means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; “**Institution**” means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; “**JoVE**” means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; “**Materials**” means the Article and / or the Video; “**Parties**” means the Author and JoVE; “**Video**” means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the “Open Access” box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.



## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. Retention of Rights in Article. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have



## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's

expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name: Mai Minoura

Department: Department of Intermedia Art and Science,

Institution: Waseda University

Article Title: Observing the Transformation of Bodily Self-consciousness in Squeeze-Machine Experiment

Signature: Mai Minoura Date: 18. Oct. 2018

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email [submissions@jove.com](mailto:submissions@jove.com) or call +1.617.945.9051

20 Dec 2018

Dear Dr. Vineeta Bajaj, Ph.D.,

Thank you very much for your careful reading and comments. We ensured both two reviewers were accepted our manuscript, and revised all specific comments marked in the manuscript you sent. Here, we'd like to comment to some of them as a supplement.

We show the line numbers before resubmission as "original l. xx" and after resubmission as "new l. xx" as citing your comments in blue letters with ">" at the beginning.

In addition, we corrected grammatical or lexical errors through the whole manuscript, but here we focus on the revisions relating to your comments.

> (original l.166) What kind of program? Are these set of codes? If yes, please upload the same as supplementary files. Is this a software? Please reword accordingly.

These are set of codes, thus we provided the same as Supplementary code 1. Additionally, this code is valid when the microcomputer is connected in a certain way, thus we showed the circuit diagram in Supplementary figure 1.

> (original l.274) Not sure how is this depicted in the figure. No error bars for each condition.


We accepted your comment and added the details in the figure caption (new l. 338-351), because it was a little long to add in the Representative Result section. As described in that, figure 4 shows histograms about the number of the participants (y axis), error bars are not needed.


> (the Discussion section) As we are a methods journal, please revise the Discussion to explicitly cover the following in detail in 3-6 paragraphs with citations:

- a) Critical steps within the protocol
- b) Any modifications and troubleshooting of the technique
- c) Any limitations of the technique
- d) The significance with respect to existing methods
- e) Any future applications of the technique.

As accepting your comment, we revised some sentences and here we show the correspondence between each item and statement in our manuscript:

- a) Critical steps within the protocol
  - ➔ "Thus, the step 3.2 in which squeeze machine experience and PPS measurement are combined could be regard as the critical step in this study." (new l. 380-381)
- b) Any modifications and troubleshooting of the technique
  - ➔ The fourth paragraph began with the sentence "Additionally, here we propose more possible modifications of our protocol both in collecting subjective and objective data." (new l. 420-440)
- c) Any limitations of the technique
  - ➔ "Meanwhile, giving stimuli on front trunk is not impossible" (new l. 435-436)
- d) The significance with respect to existing methods
  - ➔ The second paragraph began with the sentence "Moreover, we made five modifications from the original design and that provide following benefits for experimental uses respectively." (new l. 383-393)
- e) Any future applications of the technique.
  - ➔ The third paragraph began with the sentence "As a future application, this protocol could be arranged to focus on the role of such unexpected stimuli with regard to users, which has been studied previously." (new l. 395-418)





[Click here to access/download](#)

## **Supplemental Coding Files**

[Sample\\_code\\_for\\_the\\_Protocol\\_1.ino](#)

