

TITLE: Simplified protocol for meso-scale particle image velocimetry studies of neurovascular flows *in vitro*

2 3 4

1

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS:

Ryan A. Peck^{1*}, Edver Bahena^{1*}, Reza Jahan², Guillermo Aguilar^{1,3,4}, Hideaki Tsutsui^{1,4}, Marko Princevac¹, Monica M. Wilhelmus¹, and Masaru P. Rao^{1,3,4}

7 8

- ¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA
- 9 ² Division of Interventional Neuroradiology, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA
- 10 ³ Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA
- ⁴ Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

12 13

*Contributed Equally

14

- 15 Authors:
- 16 Ryan A. Peck: <u>rpeck003@ucr.edu</u>
- 17 Edver Bahena: ebahe002@ucr.edu
- 18 Reza Jahan: <u>rjahan@mednet.ucla.edu</u>
- 19 Guillermo Aguilar: gaguilar@engr.ucr.edu
- 20 Hideaki Tsutsui: htsutsui@engr.ucr.edu
- 21 Marko Princevac: marko@engr.ucr.edu
- 22 Monica M. Wilhelmus: monicamo@engr.ucr.edu

23

- 24 Corresponding Author:
- 25 Masaru P. Rao
- 26 mprao@engr.ucr.edu

272829

KEYWORDS:

Particle Image Velocimetry, PDMS Tissue Phantom, 3D Printing, Fluid Mechanics, Signal Processing, Neurovascular.

32 33

34

35

SUMMARY:

Within this protocol we detail simplified methods for fabricating transparent neurovascular phantoms and characterizing flow therein. We highlight several important parameters and demonstrate their relationship to field accuracy.

36 37 38

ABSTRACT:

- Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) is used in a wide variety of fields, due to the opportunity it provides for precisely visualizing and quantifying flows across a large spatiotemporal range.
- 41 However, its implementation typically requires use of expensive and specialized instrumentation,
- 42 which limits its broader utility. Moreover, within the field of bioengineering, in vitro flow
- visualization studies are also often further limited by the high cost of commercially-sourced tissue
- 44 phantoms that recapitulate desired anatomical structures, particularly for those that span the

meso-scale regime (i.e., sub-mm to mm length-scales). Herein, we present a simplified experimental protocol developed to address these limitations, the key elements of which include: 1) a relatively low-cost method for fabricating meso-scale tissue phantoms using 3D printing and silicone casting; and 2) an open-source image analysis and processing framework that reduces demand upon the instrumentation for measuring meso-scale flows (i.e., velocities up to tens of mm/s). Collectively, this lowers the barrier to entry for non-experts by leveraging resources already at the disposal of many bioengineering researchers. We demonstrate the applicability of this protocol within the context of neurovascular flow characterization; however, it is expected to be relevant to a broader range of meso-scale applications in bioengineering and beyond.

INTRODUCTION:

Particle image velocimetry (PIV) is widely used in experimental fluid mechanics for flow visualization and quantitative investigations of fluid motion that vary in length-scale from atmospheric to microcirculatory flows^{1–3}. While the specifics of its implementation can vary as widely as its applications, one aspect common to nearly all PIV studies is the use of video imaging of tracer particles seeded within the working fluid, followed by pair-wise analysis of consecutive image frames to extract desired flow characteristics. Typically, this is accomplished by first subdividing each image frame into smaller regions termed interrogation windows. As a consequence of the random positions of the dispersed particles, each interrogation window contains a unique distribution of pixel intensities. If the window size and data acquisition rate are chosen appropriately, cross-correlation of the intensity signal in each window can be used to estimate the average displacement within that region. Finally, given that the magnification and frame rate are known experimental parameters, an instantaneous velocity vector field can be readily computed.

A major advantage of PIV over single-point measurement techniques is its ability to map vector fields across a two- or three-dimensional domain. Hemodynamic applications, in particular, have benefited from this capability, since it allows a thorough investigation of local flows, which are known to play a significant role in vascular disease or remodeling (e.g., atherosclerosis, angiogenesis)^{4–6}. This has also been true for the evaluation of neurovascular flows, and the interactions thereof with endovascular devices (e.g., flow diverters, stents, intra-saccular coils, etc.), since the relevant lengths-scales in such applications can often span one or more orders of magnitude (e.g., micrometer to mm-scale), and device geometry and placement can significantly impact the local fluid mechanics⁷.

Most groups conducting PIV-based hemodynamics studies have relied on experimental setups that closely mimic some of the earliest investigations of stent influence on vascular flow^{7, 8}. Typically, these include: a) pulsed lasers and high-speed cameras, to capture high velocity flows; b) synchronizers, to prevent aliasing between the pulse frequency of the laser and the camera acquisition frame rate; c) cylindrical optics, to form a light sheet, and thus, minimize background fluorescence from tracer particles above and below the interrogation plane; and d) in the case of commercial turn-key systems, proprietary software packages, to perform the cross-correlation analyses. However, while some applications require the performance and/or versatility collectively afforded by these components, many others do not. Moreover, the high cost of

commercially-sourced tissue phantoms that recapitulate desired vascular structures can also prove limiting for many *in vitro* studies, particularly for phantoms with features that bridge the meso-scale regime (> \$500/phantom). Herein, we report the development of a simplified protocol for implementing PIV for *in vitro* visualization of neurovascular flows, which typically lie both spatially and temporally within the meso-scale regime (i.e., length-scales ranging from submm to mm, and velocities up to tens of mm/s). The protocol seeks to leverage resources already at the disposal of many bioengineering researchers, thus lowering the barrier to entry for non-experts.

96 97 98

99

100

101

102

103104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

The first element of this protocol involves the use of an investment casting technique to enable in-house fabrication of transparent, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS)-based tissue phantoms from 3D-printed sacrificial molds. By leveraging the increasing availability of 3D printers in recent years, particularly those in shared/multi-user facilities (e.g., institutional facilities or public makerspaces), this methodology cuts cost significantly (e.g., < \$100/phantom in our case), while enabling rapid turnaround for fabrication of a wide variety of designs and geometries. In the current protocol, a fused deposition modeling system is used with acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) as the build material, and the printed part serves as a sacrificial mold for the subsequent phantom casting. Our experience has shown that ABS is well-suited for such use, since it is soluble in common solvents (e.g., acetone), and it has sufficient strength and rigidity to maintain mold integrity after removal of the support material (e.g., to prevent deformation or fracture of diminutive mold features). In the current protocol, mold integrity is further ensured through the use of solid printed models, although this comes at the expense of increased dissolution time. Use of hollow models may also possible in some cases, to enhance solvent access, and thus, reduce dissolution time. However, careful consideration should be given to the effect this may have on mold integrity. Finally, while the phantoms fabricated herein are based upon idealized representations of neurovascular structures generated using a common CAD software package, the protocol is expected to be amenable to the fabrication of more complex, patient-specific geometries as well (e.g., via use of model files generated by conversion of clinical imaging data into the .STL file format used by most 3D printers). Further details regarding the phantom fabrication process are provided in Section 2 of the Protocol.

118119120

121122

123

124

125

126

127

128

The second element of the protocol involves the use of an open-source plug-in for ImageJ to conduct the cross-correlation analyses⁹. This is coupled with the implementation of a simple statistical thresholding scheme (i.e. intensity capping)¹⁰ to improve image signal prior to cross-correlation, as well as a post-correlation vector validation scheme, the normalized median test (NMT), to eliminate spurious vectors through comparison of each to its nearest neighbors¹¹. Collectively, this allows imaging to be accomplished using equipment commonly found in many bioengineering laboratories, thus eliminating the need for acquisition of many of the costly components of typical PIV systems (e.g., pulsed laser, synchronizer, cylindrical optics, and proprietary software). Further details regarding the video collection, image processing, and data analysis are provided in Sections 5 and 6 of the Protocol.

129130131

132

Figure 1 illustrates the PIV setup used in this protocol, which relies upon a fluorescence microscope equipped with a high-speed camera for imaging, as well as an external, continuous

white-light source (i.e., metal halide lamp) for through-objective volumetric illumination. A variable-speed gear pump is used to impose recirculating flow of a transparent mock blood solution through the neurovascular tissue phantoms. The solution is composed of a 60:40 mixture of DI water and glycerol, which is a common substitute for blood in hemodynamics studies^{12–14}, due to: a) its similar density and viscosity, i.e. 1080 kg/m³ & 3.5 cP, vs. 1050 kg/m³ & 3.0 - 5.0 cP for blood ^{15, 16}; b) its transparency in the visible range; c) its similar refractive index as PDMS (1.38 vs. 1.42 for PDMS)^{17–20}, which minimizes optical distortion; and d) the ease with which non-Newtonian behavior can be introduced, if needed, via the addition of xanthane²¹. Finally, fluorescent polystyrene beads are used as tracer particles (10.3 µm diameter; 480 nm/501 nm excitation/emission). While neutrally-buoyant beads are desired, sourcing tracer particles with optimal fluid mechanical properties (e.g., density, size, composition) and emission wavelength can prove challenging. For example, the beads used herein are slightly less dense than the glycerol solution (1050 kg/m³ vs. 1080 kg/m³). However, the hydrodynamic effects thereof are negligible, given that the duration of a typical experiment is far shorter than the time scale associated with buoyancy effects (i.e., 5 min & 20 min, respectively). Further details regarding the mock blood solution formulation and in vitro circulatory system setup are provided in Sections 3 and 4 of the Protocol.

PROTOCOL:

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140141

142143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150151

152

153

154

155

156157

158159

160

161162

163164

165

166167

168

169

170171

172

173

174175

176

- 1. ABS-BASED SACRIFICIAL MOLD FABRICATION
 - 1.1. Design an inverse model of the desired tissue phantom using CAD software.
 - 1.2. Print the model using a 3D printer with ABS as the build material.
- 2. PDMS-BASED VASCULAR PHANTOM FABRICATION
 - 2.1. Mixing
 - 2.1.1. Mix the PDMS prepolymer base and curing agent in a 10:1 ratio (by weight). A 66 g mixture provides sufficient material for fabrication of phantoms with volumes up to 50 cm³.
 - 2.1.2. Place the mixture in a vacuum desiccator for 60 min to degas and minimize bubble entrapment. Use cyclic pressurization/depressurization to facilitate bubble rupture.
 - 2.2. Casting
 - 2.2.1. Mount the printed ABS mold on a glass slide using molding putty to seal the interface.
 - 2.2.2. Carefully pour the PDMS mixture into the mold while trying to minimize bubble entrapment. Lingering bubbles can be manually ruptured using a needle.
 - 2.2.3. Cure the cast phantom at room temperature (25°C) for at least 24 h. At higher temperatures this process can be accelerated²².
 - 2.3. Demolding
 - 2.3.1. Dissolve the ABS by submerging the phantom in acetone and sonicating for at least 15 min using powers up to 70 W.

CAUTION: Acetone has high vapor pressure at room temperature and low flash point. Consequently, always work under a fume hood and away from potential ignition sources. Wear proper personal protective equipment (e.g., goggles or face shield, lab coat, acetone-resistant gloves, etc.).

178
 2.3.2. Thoroughly rinse the phantom with isopropyl alcohol, and then DI water to remove
 179 solvent residues.

NOTE: PDMS swells upon exposure to acetone; however, swelling subsides once the phantom is rinsed and dried sufficiently²³.

2.4. Confirm phantom fidelity using optical microscopy

 2.4.1. Using an optical microscope with attached camera and image capture software, capture an image of a critical feature within the phantom under a magnification that maximizes the feature within the field of view.

2.4.2. Capture an image of an appropriate calibration reticle at the same magnification.

2.4.3. Load both images into ImageJ by dragging them onto the "Toolbar".

 2.4.4. Click on the calibration reticle image to make it active, and then select the "Line" tool. Using the mouse, draw a line along a feature of a known distance and select "Analyze" > "Set Scale..." from the ImageJ menu.

2.4.5. In the "Set Scale" window, the field labeled "Distance in pixels" should be prepopulated with the length of the drawn line in units of pixels. Enter the length of the feature in the field labeled "Known Distance", and its units in the field labeled "Unit of Length". Check the box labeled "Global" to apply this calibration factor to all open images.

2.4.6. Make the image of the phantom critical feature active and use the "Line" tool to draw a line along a feature of interest.

2.4.7. From the ImageJ menu, select "Analyze" > "Measure" (or press Ctrl + M) to measure the length of the line.

2.4.8. Compare the expected value against the value in the column marked "Length" in the "Results" window to confirm phantom fidelity.

3. MOCK BLOOD SOLUTION FORMULATION

3.1. Mix DI water and glycerol in a 60:40 ratio (by volume). A 100 mL volume is sufficient for the *in vitro* circulatory system described herein.

3.2. Add 1 mL of 2.5% w/v fluorescent polystyrene bead solution (i.e., tracer particles) to the mock blood solution.

3.3. Homogenize the mixture on a magnetic stir plate at 400 rpm for 10 min.

4. *IN VITRO* CIRCULATORY SYSTEM SETUP 4.1. Pump Setup

4.1.1. Use a wire stripper tool to cut off the DC-end plug from the AC to DC adapter power source.

4.1.2. Strip the coating off the power and ground wires and connect them to the input terminal of the pulse width modulation (PWM) voltage regulator.

4.1.3. Connect the power and ground wires from the pump's DC motor to the output terminal of the PWM voltage regulator. The PWM's 7-segment display outputs the duty cycle (0% - 100%) used to achieve a variable voltage to the DC motor.

- 4.2. Pump Calibration
 - 4.2.1. Prepare 200 mL of mock blood solution (see Section 3).

- 4.2.2. Place tubing from the pump inlet to the beaker holding the mock blood solution.
 - 4.2.3. Place tubing from the pump outlet to an empty beaker.
 - 4.2.4. Select a desired duty cycle set-point (0% 100%). Press the "On" button and start a timer.
 - 4.2.5. Stop the timer once the pump has transferred the entire volume of mock blood solution. Use this time to calculate the volumetric flow rate.
 - 4.2.6. Repeat steps 4.2.1 4.2.5 for at least 5 different duty cycle set-points to establish a least-squares regression curve. A minimum of three replicate points per duty cycle set-point is recommended. This relationship can be used to correlate the desired flow rate to the required PWM duty cycle.

5. VIDEO COLLECTION

222

223

224225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235236

237238

239240

241

242243

244

245

246

247

248249

250251

252

253

254

255

256

257258

259

260

261262

263

264

- 5.1. Image calibration
 - 5.1.1. Determine calibration ratio for the video imaging (see Section 2).
- 5.2. Apparatus set up
 - 5.2.1. Place the PDMS phantom on the stage of the fluorescence microscope.
 - 5.2.2. Connect the phantom to the gear pump and introduce the mock blood solution.

(Optional) Pre-fill the model with ethanol to facilitate full wetting, then flush and fill with mock blood solution. This may be particularly beneficial for models with smaller vessels and/or blind features.

- 5.2.3. Set the pump motor controller for the desired flow rate based on the pump calibration curve.
- 5.2.4. Run the pump for 1 5 min prior to the experiment to ensure steady-state conditions.
- 5.2.5. Turn on the external lamp to illuminate the field of view. Select an appropriate filter based upon the excitation wavelength of the fluorescent beads.
- 5.2.6. Adjust the imaging focal plane to the vessel mid-plane. This can be achieved by using a focal length that maximizes the imaged vessel cross-section (e.g., when using phantoms with circular vessel cross sections); and/or indexing off of a phantom feature designed to facilitate identification of the vessel mid-plane.
- 5.3. Video recording
 - 5.3.1. Select the video recording parameters to optimize signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Key parameters include exposure time, frame rate, and gain. In this protocol we use a frame rate of 2000 fps, and a gain of 1.0. However, these parameters may vary based on application (see Discussion section for further details).
 - 5.3.2. Collect video and save in AVI format.
- 5.4. Phantom clean up
 - 5.4.1. If bead-sticking is observed after an experiment, sonicate the phantom in an aqueous detergent solution using powers up to 70 W.
- 6. IMAGE PROCESSING AND DATA ANALYSIS
 - 6.1. Image pre-processing
 - 6.1.1. Drag the saved AVI file onto the ImageJ window to import it. Select the box marked "Convert to Grayscale".

265 266 267 standard deviation for the unprocessed image. 268 269 270 heavily toward zero (i.e., no signal). 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 statistics obtained in Section 6.1.2). 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 number of saturated pixels. 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 interest (ROI). 296 297 298 can decrease overall SNR. 299 6.2. PIV Calculation 300 301 302 303 accuracy. 304 305 306 307 308

6.1.2. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Analyze" > "Generate Histogram" (or press Ctrl + H) to generate a histogram of image pixel intensities. Take note of the mean and

NOTE: At high frame rates, it is not unusual for the distribution to be skewed

- 6.1.3. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Image" > "Adjust" > "Brightness and Contrast" (or press Shift + Ctrl + H) to apply a brightness/contrast filter.
- 6.1.4. On the "Brightness and Contrast" menu, press the "Set" button to define the image limits. Set the minimum value to be the mean value plus one standard deviation, and the maximum value to be the maximum intensity of the image (both based on

NOTE: This typically eliminates all but the top 10% of pixel intensities. The number of standard deviations may be varied depending on the desired distribution of pixel intensities. A custom macro script for performing the intensity capping operation is provided in the Supplemental Material.

6.1.5. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Process" > "Noise" > "Despeckle" to reduce the

NOTE: This operation is necessitated by the increased potential for pixel saturation that arises during optimization of brightness and contrast, which can produce spurious vectors during subsequent cross-correlation.

- 6.1.6. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Process" > "Filters" > "Gaussian Blur" with a radius of 1.5 to reduce artifacts arising from the occasional removal of illuminated pixels in a 3x3 neighborhood by the prior despeckling operation.
- 6.1.7. Click on the "Polygon" tool, and then click within the image to outline the region of
- 6.1.8. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Edit" > "Clear Outside" to remove sensor noise in locations where no signal is expected (e.g., areas beyond vessel wall boundary), which

NOTE: This portion of the protocol employs a third-party PIV plug-in for ImageJ, which relies upon Gaussian peak-fitting to enable estimation of displacement with sub-pixel

6.2.1. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Plugins" > "Macros" > "Run..." and navigate to the saved macro "Supplemental Code 2.ijjm" to cross-correlate successive image pairs.

NOTE: The macro proceeds as follows:1) Cross-correlation of the intensity field

within consecutive images is first performed to determine the local displacement of advected tracer particles, i.e. the first image pair consists of the first and second images, the second image pair consists of the second and third images, etc.; 2) a two-step multi-pass evaluation is then performed with initial and final interrogation window sizes of 256 x 256 pixels and 128 x 128 pixels, respectively; and finally, 3) the macro performs a temporal average to further reduce the appearance of spurious vectors.

6.3. Normalized median test (NMT)

6.3.1. From the "ImageJ" menu, select "Plugins" > "Macros" > "Run..." and navigate to the saved macro "Supplemental Code 3.ijjm" to validate the velocity fields via the normalized median test.

NOTE: The macro proceeds as follows: 1) each vector in an instantaneous vector field is first compared to its eight nearest neighbors to compute the median value; 2) the array of residual errors is then calculated as the difference between each neighboring vector and the calculated median; 3) the difference between the vector under investigation and the median neighboring vector value is then normalized by the median of the residuals; 4) this is then compared to a threshold value (typically 0.2 pixels), which can be varied based on *a priori* knowledge of noise during image acquisition; and finally, 5) a temporal average of all validated instantaneous vector fields is performed to produce a composite field, as this has been shown to increase the vector field quality²⁴.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Figure 2 illustrates the PDMS tissue phantom fabrication process. The phantoms designed herein are intended for the study of flow in idealized wide-necked, saccular, intracranial aneurysms, as well as proximal branching perforator arteries. Important additional design features include: 1) a common reservoir that all vessels drain into, to ensure unencumbered fluid egress from the phantom, otherwise droplet formation may occur at the smaller vessel outlets; 2) a bubble trap, to facilitate bubble removal; 3) an outer cavity wall, to ensure parallelism of the vessel with the horizontal plane, as well as precise definition of the final phantom slab height, length and width; and 4) use of a 21 gauge hypodermic needle shank (820 μ m nominal outer diameter) for molding of the perforator artery, due to our printer's inability to define such features with sufficient fidelity. Faithful reproduction of all design features is observed throughout.

Representative results for PIV-based flow characterization performed using the current protocol are presented in Figures 3 and 4. These studies were performed using phantom inlet flow rates of 100 mL/min, data acquisition rates of 2000 fps, and temporal averaging over spans of 0.05 s. Figure 3 shows representative image frames within the perforator artery, before and after intensity capping as well as corresponding surface plots of the 8-bit pixel intensity values. Both demonstrate that intensity capping significantly increases peak definition above the noise floor (i.e., increases SNR), which is critical to ensuring accuracy when performing subsequent cross-correlation. Figure 4 shows the effects of intensity capping and NMT operations on the velocity

vector field. Marked improvement in field uniformity is observed, thus further underscoring the importance of maximizing SNR to minimize data dropout.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Particle image velocimetry setup. Reliance upon an open-source image analysis and pre/post-processing framework reduces demand upon the instrumentation for measuring meso-scale flows, thus eliminating the need for many of the costly components of typical PIV systems (e.g., pulsed laser, synchronizer, cylindrical optics, &/or proprietary software).

Figure 2: PDMS-based tissue phantom fabrication process: a) CAD model of neurovascular phantom mold; b) Printed ABS mold, after removal of support material; c) Casting and curing of PDMS within the ABS mold; d) Partial dissolution of ABS mold material; and e) Completed PDMS phantom, with inset showing final dimensions of critical features, as well as the region of interest (ROI) in the perforator artery where the PIV measurements were made.

Figure 3: Effect of intensity capping operation on image SNR. Representative image frame and corresponding pixel intensity surface plots within the perforator artery, before (a, b) and after applying the intensity capping operation (c, d).

Figure 4: Effects of intensity capping and NMT operations on velocity vector fields: Representative instantaneous velocity vector field within the perforator artery derived from: a) unprocessed image data; b) intensity capped data; and c) intensity capped data + NMT post-processing.

Figure 5: Effect of interrogation window sizing on correlation quality. Optimal window sizing occurs when the value of the zero-normalized correlation coefficient is maximized, and the standard deviation is minimized.

DISCUSSION:

The protocol described herein outlines a simplified method for performing PIV studies to visualize neurovascular flows at physiologically-relevant dimensions and flow conditions *in vitro*. In doing so, it serves to complement protocols reported by others that have also focused on simplifying the quantification of vector fields, but within very different contexts that require consideration of far larger length-scales²⁵ or lower flow rates^{26, 27}(e.g. atmospheric or microcirculatory flows), and thus, reliance upon schemes that are incompatible with the current application.

The most important considerations for successful implementation of PIV lie in the minimization of flow field artifacts and maximization of image quality. Several steps in the tissue phantom fabrication process are critical to both of these criteria. For example, thorough degassing is crucial since air entrained within the PDMS during mixing can lead to bubble formation within the final phantom, which can adversely affect both feature fidelity and optical clarity. Additionally, minimization of surface roughness of the ABS mold is desired, since the PDMS casting process faithfully reproduces even the most minute imperfections (e.g., build lines, surface pores,

scratches, etc.), thus resulting in surface roughness in the final phantom that can decrease optical clarity and increase potential for bead accumulation. While the protocol described herein has proven sufficient for the current application, there are numerous reports in the literature of means for reducing such roughness, should there be need (e.g., acetone vapor smoothing²⁸, or optimization of layer thickness and part orientation with respect to build direction)²⁹.

Parameter selection for video capture is also critical to ensure a high-fidelity vector field. Optimal SNR is typically achieved at the highest achievable frame rate that still allows sufficient bead exposure (maximum frame rate being limited by minimum exposure time). Gain can be used to amplify the signal, but this also increases sensor noise. If the maximum velocity can be estimated from other flow parameters (e.g., inlet volumetric flow rate), then a lower bound on the required frame rate can be estimated using the following relation³⁰:

$$f_{sampling} > \frac{v_{max} \cdot c_{calibration}}{h_{interrogation \ window}}$$
 (1)

where $f_{sampling}$ is the camera acquisition rate (Hz), v_{max} is the maximum expected velocity (mm/s), ccalibration is the calibration constant (pixels/mm), and hinterrogation window is the size of the interrogation window (pixels). However, more optimal values can be determined using so-called correlation quality estimation techniques, such as the zero-normalized correlation coefficient¹¹. In this technique, the averages of complementary signals from each frame-pair are first subtracted, and then normalized by the standard deviation of their intensities 11. If a displacement of the original signal exists, such that all peaks and valleys match, the time-shifted value of this signal will be equal to one. Conversely, if there is no displacement that can align these signals, the value will be zero. This information is included in the ImageJ PIV output for each vector, and it can be plotted as its own field to verify whether there are spatial effects contributing to poor correlation (e.g., uneven lighting). The correlation coefficient can also be averaged over a field as an overall estimate of its quality. Finally, this quantity may also be plotted against varying frame rates or interrogation window sizes to determine an optimum. Figure 5 illustrates the results from such an analysis using a Monte-Carlo synthesized particle field with displacements consistent with our experimentally-measured flows (a typical technique for characterizing correlation quality¹¹). The results show that the interrogation window size and frame rate should be chosen such that a particle field is displaced by $\leq 20\%$ of the interrogation window size per frame-pair to maximize the correlation coefficient, while minimizing its variability.

Although the protocol described herein has proven sufficient for meeting the needs of the current application, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. For example, while contrast enhancement via intensity capping offers ease of implementation, transformations of the entire distribution of pixel intensities may improve SNR further³¹. Similarly, although correlation-based tracking is well-established and provides sufficient resolution for reliably estimating first-order flow characteristics relevant to hemodynamics (e.g., intra-aneurysmal velocity), other techniques may offer higher spatial resolution (e.g., hybrid PIV/PTV, least squares matching)^{32, 33}, and thus greater accuracy when considering characteristics that are more sensitive to velocity field resolution (e.g., wall shear stress, in-plane vorticity). Likewise, while the NMT provides a means

440 for improving the velocity vector field after cross-correlation, it is important to emphasize that this is just one of many vector validation techniques that could be used^{24, 34}, each with their own unique advantages and disadvantages that may make their use more suitable for applications beyond those described here. Lastly, while our experimental setup seeks to mimic physiologically-relevant flow rates and length scales for the neurovasculature, it does not currently allow the analysis of pulsatile flows. This has not been a limitation for our current application, since the range of Womersely numbers in much of the neurovasculature tends to be ≤ 1 (i.e., there is minimal additive effect of multiple cardiac cycles)³⁵, which suggests that steadystate conditions are sufficient to recapitulate discrete time points along the cardiac waveform in which the flow rate is comparable. However, for applications where the Womersely number is larger (e.g., vasculature closer to the heart), we envision potential for introducing pulsatility through the use of an Arduino, which could be used to send the pump a time-varying PWM voltage waveform that enables mimicking of a cardiac flow profile^{36–38}.

453 454 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

441

442

443

444

445

446

447 448

449

450

451

452

455

456

457 458

459

460 461

462

463

464

The authors acknowledge partial support for this project provided by a Collaborative Seed Grant from the Office of Research and Economic Development at UC Riverside.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

- Grant, I. Particle image velocimetry: A review. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science. 211 (1), 55-76, doi: 10.1243/0954406971521665 (1997).
- 465 Lindken, R., Rossi, M., Große, S., Westerweel, J. Micro-Particle Image Velocimetry (µPIV): 2. Recent developments, applications, and guidelines. Lab on a Chip. 9 (17), 2551, doi: 466 467 10.1039/b906558j (2009).
- 3. Hove, J.R., Köster, R.W., Forouhar, A.S., Acevedo-Bolton, G., Fraser, S.E., Gharib, M. 468 469 Intracardiac fluid forces are an essential epigenetic factor for embryonic cardiogenesis. 470 Nature. 421, 172, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature01282 (2003).
- 471 Ando, J., Yamamoto, K. Vascular Mechanobiology. Circulation Journal. 73 (11), 1983–1992, 4. 472 doi: 10.1253/circj.CJ-09-0583 (2009).
- 473 Conway, D.E., Breckenridge, M.T., Hinde, E., Gratton, E., Chen, C.S., Schwartz, M.A. Fluid 5. 474 Shear Stress on Endothelial Cells Modulates Mechanical Tension across VE-Cadherin and 475 PECAM-1. Current Biology. 23 (11),at 476 http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0960982213004909. (2013).
- 477 6. Kuhlencordt, P.J. et al. Accelerated Atherosclerosis, Aortic Aneurysm Formation, and 478 Ischemic Heart Disease in Apolipoprotein E/Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase Double-479 Knockout Mice. Circulation. 104 (4), 448-454, doi: 10.1161/hc2901.091399 (2001).
- 480 7. Lieber, B.B., Stancampiano, A.P., Wakhloo, A.K. Alteration of hemodynamics in aneurysm 481 models by stenting: Influence of stent porosity. Annals of Biomedical Engineering. 25 (3), 482 460-469, doi: 10.1007/BF02684187 (1997).
- 483 Bulusu, K. V., Plesniak, M.W. Experimental Investigation of Secondary Flow Structures 8.

- Downstream of a Model Type IV Stent Failure in a 180° Curved Artery Test Section. *Journal* of Visualized Experiments. (113), e51288–e51288, doi: 10.3791/51288 (2016).
- Tseng, Q. et al. Spatial organization of the extracellular matrix regulates cell-cell junction positioning. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **109** (5), 1506–11, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1106377109 (2012).
- 489 10. Shavit, U., Lowe, R.J., Steinbuck, J. V Intensity Capping: a simple method to improve cross-490 correlation PIV results. *Experiments in Fluids*. **42** (2), 225–240, doi: 10.1007/s00348-006-491 0233-7 (2007).
- 492 11. M. Raffel, C. Willert, S. Werely, J.K. *Particle Image Velocimetry: a Practical Guide*. Springer. 493 New York. (2007).
- 494 12. Kerl, H.U. *et al.* Implantation of Pipeline Flow-Diverting Stents Reduces Aneurysm Inflow Without Relevantly Affecting Static Intra-aneurysmal Pressure. *Neurosurgery*. **74** (3), 321–496 334, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1227/NEU.000000000000000253 (2014).
- 497 13. Lieber, B.B., Livescu, V., Hopkins, L.N., Wakhloo, A.K. Particle Image Velocimetry 498 Assessment of Stent Design Influence on Intra-Aneurysmal Flow. *Annals of Biomedical* 499 *Engineering*. **30** (6), 768–777, doi: 10.1114/1.1495867 (2002).
- 500 14. Charonko, J., Karri, S., Schmieg, J., Prabhu, S., Vlachos, P. *In vitro*, time-resolved PIV comparison of the effect of stent design on wall shear stress. *Annals of biomedical engineering*. **37** (7), 1310–21, doi: 10.1007/s10439-009-9697-y (2009).
- 503 15. Rand, P.W., Lacombe, E., Hunt, H.E., Austin, W.H. Viscosity of normal human blood under 504 normothermic and hypothermic conditions. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. **19** (1), 117– 505 122, doi: 10.1152/jappl.1964.19.1.117 (1964).
- 506 16. Kenner, T., Leopold, H., Hinghofer-Szalkay, H. The continuous high-precision measurement 507 of the density of flowing blood. *Pflügers Archiv European Journal of Physiology*. **370** (1), 508 25–29, doi: 10.1007/BF00707941 (1977).
- 509 17. Hoyt, L.F. New Table of the Refractive Index of Pure Glycerol at 20°C. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry*. **26** (3), 329–332, doi: 10.1021/ie50291a023 (1934).
- 511 18. Cai, Z., Qiu, W., Shao, G., Wang, W. A new fabrication method for all-PDMS waveguides. 512 Sensors and Actuators A: Physical. **204**, 44–47, doi: 513 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2013.09.019 (2013).
- 514 19. Bouillot, P., Brina, O., Ouared, R., Lovblad, K.-O., Farhat, M., Pereira, V.M. Particle imaging velocimetry evaluation of intracranial stents in sidewall aneurysm: hemodynamic transition related to the stent design. *PloS one*. **9** (12), e113762, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113762 (2014).
- Trager, A.L., Sadasivan, C., Lieber, B.B. Comparison of the *in vitro* hemodynamic performance of new flow diverters for bypass of brain aneurysms. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*. **134** (8), 084505, doi: 10.1115/1.4006454 (2012).
- 521 21. Clauser, J. et al. A Novel Plasma-Based Fluid for Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV): In-Vitro 522 Feasibility Study of Flow Diverter Effects in Aneurysm Model. Annals of Biomedical 523 Engineering. 46 (6), 841–848, doi: 10.1007/s10439-018-2002-1 (2018).
- Johnston, I.D., McCluskey, D.K., Tan, C.K.L., Tracey, M.C. Mechanical characterization of bulk Sylgard 184 for microfluidics and microengineering. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*. **24** (3), 035017, doi: 10.1088/0960-1317/24/3/035017 (2014).
- 527 23. Lee, J.N., Park, C., Whitesides, G.M. Solvent Compatibility of Poly(dimethylsiloxane)-Based

- 528 Microfluidic Devices. *Analytical Chemistry*. **75** (23), 6544–6554, doi: 10.1021/ac0346712 (2003).
- 530 24. Meinhart, C.D., Wereley, S.T., Santiago, J.G. A PIV Algorithm for Estimating Time-Averaged Velocity Fields. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*. **122** (2), 285, doi: 10.1115/1.483256 (2000).
- 532 25. Bosbach, J., Kühn, M., Wagner, C., Raffel, M., Resagk, C. Large-Scale Particle Image 533 Velocimetry of Natural and Mixed Convection. *13th Int Symp on Applications of Laser* 534 *Techniques to Fluid Mechanics* (2006).
- 535 26. Meinhart, C.D., Wereley, S.T., Santiago, J.G. PIV measurements of a microchannel flow. 536 *Experiments in Fluids*. **27** (5), 414–419, doi: 10.1007/s003480050366 (1999).
- 537 27. Lima, R. *et al. In vitro* blood flow in a rectangular PDMS microchannel: experimental observations using a confocal micro-PIV system. *Biomedical Microdevices*. **10** (2), 153–167, doi: 10.1007/s10544-007-9121-z (2008).
- 540 28. Kuo, C.-C., Mao, R.-C. Development of a Precision Surface Polishing System for Parts 541 Fabricated by Fused Deposition Modeling. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*. **31** (8), 542 1113–1118, doi: 10.1080/10426914.2015.1090594 (2016).
- 543 29. Kang, K., Oh, S., Yi, H., Han, S., Hwang, Y. Fabrication of truly 3D microfluidic channel using 3D-printed soluble mold. *Biomicrofluidics*. **12** (1), 014105, doi: 10.1063/1.5012548 (2018).
- 545 30. Prasad, A.K. Particle Image Velocimetry. *Current Science*. **79** (1), 51–60 (2000).
- 546 31. Dellenback, P.A., Macharivilakathu, J., Pierce, S.R. Contrast-enhancement techniques for 547 particle-image velocimetry. *Applied Optics*. **39** (32), 5978–5990, doi: 548 10.1364/AO.39.005978 (2000).
- 549 32. Cowen, E.A., Monismith, S.G. A hybrid digital particle tracking velocimetry technique. 550 *Experiments in fluids*. **22** (3), 199–211 (1997).
- 551 33. Gruen, A.W. Adaptive least squares correlation: a powerful image matching technique.

 552 South African Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Cartography. 14 (3), 175–

 553 187,

 at
- 554 http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary;jsessionid=8478C7133B070BA354E5DED
 555 2EFF60262?doi=10.1.1.93.6891\nhttp://www.idb.arch.ethz.ch/files/alsm_awgruen.pdf>
 556 (1985).
- 557 34. Nogueira, J., Lecuona, A., Rodríguez, P.A. Data validation, false vectors correction and derived magnitudes calculation on PIV data. *Measurement Science and Technology*. **8** (12), 1493–1501, doi: 10.1088/0957-0233/8/12/012 (1997).
- 560 35. Loudon, C., Tordesillas, A. The Use of the Dimensionless Womersley Number to Characterize the Unsteady Nature of Internal Flow. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. **191** (1), 63–78, doi: https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.1997.0564 (1998).
- 563 36. Drost, S., De Kruif, B.J., Newport, D. Arduino control of a pulsatile flow rig. *Medical Engineering and Physics*. **51**, 67–71, doi: 10.1016/j.medengphy.2017.10.006 (2017).
- Tsai, W., Savaş, Ö. Flow pumping system for physiological waveforms. *Medical & Biological Engineering & Computing*. **48** (2), 197–201, doi: 10.1007/s11517-009-0573-6 (2010).
- 567 38. Kato, T., Indo, T., Yoshida, E., Iwasaki, Y., Sone, M., Sobue, G. Contrast-enhanced 2D cine 568 phase MR angiography for measurement of basilar artery blood flow in posterior 569 circulation ischemia. *AJNR. American journal of neuroradiology.* **23** (8), 1346–51, at 570 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12223376 (2002).

571