

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound exposures

--Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Manuscript Number:	JoVE58045R2
Full Title:	Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound exposures
Keywords:	High intensity focused ultrasound; Photoacoustics; plasmonic nanoparticles; cavitation detection; inertial cavitation; thermal ablation; diagnostic ultrasound.
Corresponding Author:	James Ross McLaughlan, PhD University of Leeds School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering Leeds, West Yorkshire UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Leeds School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	J.R.McLaughlan@leeds.ac.uk
Order of Authors:	James Ross McLaughlan, PhD
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Please indicate whether this article will be Standard Access or Open Access.	Open Access (US\$4,200)
Please indicate the city, state/province, and country where this article will be filmed . Please do not use abbreviations.	School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS29JT, UK

James McLaughlan
Research Fellow
Electronic and Electrical Engineering
University of Leeds
Leeds
LS2 9JT

22nd February 2018

To whom it may concern,

Please find submitted my invited manuscript entitled “*Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound exposures*” to be reviewed as an original contribution, for publication in JoVE. As corresponding author I can confirm that is manuscript, or parts of, have not and will not be submitted elsewhere for publication.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J McLaughlan', with a stylized flourish at the end.

James McLaughlan

TITLE:

Controllable Nucleation of Cavitation from Plasmonic Gold Nanoparticles for Enhancing High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Applications

AUTHOR & AFFILIATIONS:

James R. McLaughlan^{1,2}

¹School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Leeds Institute of Cancer and Pathology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

Corresponding Author:

James R. McLaughlan (j.r.mclaughlan@leeds.ac.uk)

Tel: +44(0)113 343 0956

KEYWORDS:

High intensity focused ultrasound, photoacoustics, plasmonic nanoparticles, cavitation detection, inertial cavitation, thermal ablation, diagnostic ultrasound.

SUMMARY:

This protocol demonstrates the controllable nucleation of cavitation in gel phantoms, through simultaneous exposure to both near-infrared pulsed laser light and high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU). The cavitation activity can then be used for enhancing imaging and/or therapeutic uses of HIFU.

ABSTRACT:

In this study, plasmonic gold nanoparticles were simultaneously exposed to pulsed near-infrared laser light and high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) for the controllable nucleation of cavitation in tissue-mimicking gel phantoms. This *in vitro* protocol was developed to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach, for both enhancement of imaging and therapeutic applications for cancer. The same apparatus can be used for both imaging and therapeutic applications by varying the exposure duration of the HIFU system. For short duration exposures (10 μ s), broadband acoustic emissions were generated through the controlled nucleation of inertial cavitation around the gold nanoparticles. These emissions provide direct localization of nanoparticles. For future applications, these particles may be functionalized with molecular-targeting antibodies (e.g. anti-HER2 for breast cancer) and can provide precise localization of cancerous regions, complementing routine diagnostic ultrasound imaging. For continuous wave (CW) exposures, the cavitation activity was used to increase the localized heating from the HIFU exposures resulting in larger thermal damage in the gel phantoms. The acoustic emissions generated from inertial cavitation activity during these CW exposures was monitored using a passive cavitation detection (PCD) system to provide feedback of cavitation activity. Increased localized heating was only achieved through the unique combination of nanoparticles, laser light and HIFU. Further validation of this technique in pre-clinical models of cancer is necessary.

INTRODUCTION:

High intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU), or focused ultrasound surgery (FUS), is a non-ionizing

45 and non-invasive technique that is used for the thermal ablation of subcutaneous tissue¹. The
46 main use of HIFU is in the treatment of soft tissue tumors², but it is starting to be used for other
47 applications, such as treating bone tumors³ or neurological conditions⁴. There are two main
48 factors that limit the widespread use of HIFU in the clinic: firstly, difficulties in treatment guidance
49 and secondly, long treatment times⁵. The combination of HIFU, pulsed laser illumination, and
50 plasmonic gold nanorods described by this method could provide a way to overcome the current
51 limitations for HIFU⁶.

52
53 During HIFU exposures, the dominant mechanism of tissue ablation is thermal damage.
54 However, cavitation activity can also play a role⁸. Cavitation activity that occurs during HIFU
55 exposures can consist of both mechanically and/or thermally mediated cavitation. Mechanically
56 mediated cavitation is generally referred to as acoustic cavitation⁷, which is further
57 subcategorized as bubbles undergoing either non-inertial or inertial⁹ behavior. Thermally
58 mediated cavitation is from the formation of gas pockets, through ex-solution or vaporization,
59 and is commonly referred to as 'boiling'¹⁰. Cavitation activity, most commonly inertial cavitation,
60 has been shown to enhance the thermal heating rates achievable through HIFU exposures¹¹ and
61 thus help address one of its key limitations. However, the formation and activity of cavitation
62 during HIFU exposures can be unpredictable and lead to negative effects such as over-treated
63 regions, or asymmetrical thermal ablation¹². In order to control cavitation activity during HIFU
64 exposures, the introduction of external nuclei has been investigated. These can take the form of
65 microbubbles¹³, phase-shift nanoemulsions¹⁴ or plasmonic nanoparticles¹⁵. Both microbubbles
66 and nanoemulsions have been shown to improve signal-to-noise for imaging and enhanced
67 thermal ablations. However, their transient nature means they have limited functionality over
68 repeated HIFU exposures. Monitoring of cavitation activity during HIFU exposures is done using
69 either active or passive cavitation detection (ACD or PCD, respectively). PCD is a favored
70 technique for cavitation detection, as it can be performed concurrently with HIFU exposures and
71 provides spectral content information. This spectral content can then be further analyzed to help
72 identify the type of cavitation activity occurring¹⁶. Broadband acoustic emissions are used, since
73 these emissions are unique to the presence of inertial cavitation¹⁰ and are linked to enhanced
74 HIFU heating¹¹.

75
76 Photoacoustic imaging (PAI) is an emerging clinical imaging technique¹⁷, which combines the
77 spectral selectivity of pulsed laser excitation with the high resolution of ultrasound imaging¹⁸. It
78 has previously been used to guide HIFU exposures¹⁹, but this imaging technique is limited by the
79 penetration depth of laser light. Plasmonic gold nanoparticles can be used to act as 'contrast
80 agents' increasing the local absorption of laser light and subsequently the amplitude of
81 photoacoustic emissions²⁰. For sufficiently high laser fluences, it is possible to cause the
82 generation of microscopic vapor bubbles that can be used for highly localized imaging²¹.
83 However, these exposure levels typically exceed the maximum permissible exposure limit for the
84 use of laser light in humans²², and thus have limited use. The method employed in this study has
85 previously shown that by simultaneously exposing the plasmonic nanoparticles to both laser
86 illumination and HIFU, the laser fluence and acoustic pressures needed to nucleate these small
87 vapor bubbles is dramatically reduced, and the signal-to-noise ratio for imaging is increased²³. A
88 method is described here for combining plasmonic nanoparticles with both laser and HIFU

exposures for a highly controllable technique for the nucleation and activity of vapor bubbles.

PROTOCOL:

1. Tissue Mimicking Phantom Manufacture

Note: An in-depth analysis of the acoustic properties of the optically transparent tissue-mimicking phantom used for all exposures in this study can be found in Choi, *et al.*²⁴

Note: Each phantom mold contains approximately 50 mL of solution, and for each batch a total of five molds are filled. Thus, a total of 250 mL of phantom solution is prepared.

1.1. Add 148.2 mL (60% v/v) of deionized, filtered and degassed water to a 500 mL glass beaker and leave to equilibrate to room temperature. Add 75 mL of 40% (weight/volume) Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide solution (30% v/v) to the glass beaker, followed by 25 mL of 1 M TRIS buffer, pH 8 (10% v/v), and 2.15 mL of 10% ammonium persulfate (APS; 0.86% v/v).

1.2. Place the glass beaker inside a vacuum chamber that is situated on a magnetic stirrer plate, and place a 40 mm long polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) magnetic stirring bar inside the beaker. With a medium stirring speed (*i.e.*, ensure good mixing without formation of vortex in the water), slowly add 22.5 g (9% w/v) of bovine serum albumin (BSA) powder.

1.3. Once all BSA has been added to the solution, close the vacuum chamber and turn on the vacuum pump. Maintain a vacuum of 80 mBar/H and continue stirring for a further 60 min, after which release the vacuum. At this point the solution should be clear with a slight yellow tint.

1.4. The above methodology is the same for phantoms made both with and without nanoparticles. If nanoparticles are required, add 10 μL (concentration of 1×10^8 np/mL) of nanorods that have a surface plasmon resonance (SPR) at 850 nm and a diameter of 40 nm.

1.5. Finally, add 125 μL of tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) to catalyze polymerization of the phantom. Wait a further 5 min to allow for mixing, then pour the phantom solution into 5 individual molds and wait 20 min to set. Once set, remove them from the holders and store in an airtight container until use. Use phantoms within 24 h of manufacture.

2. Calibration of HIFU Transducers Free Field Acoustic Pressure

Note: This section of the protocol is not necessary before every lesioning/imaging experiment. It is a calibration procedure to be performed at regular intervals to ensure acoustic output of the system is correct.

2.1. Fill an acrylic water tank (280x141x132 mm) with 4.5 L of deionized and degassed water. Mount the HIFU transducer on a fixed position post at one end of the tank, facing in. Parallel to this, mount a calibrated (performed by the National Physical Laboratories) membrane hydrophone onto a three-axis manual micrometer stage at the approximate focal point of the

HIFU transducer (63 mm).

2.2. Connect the HIFU transducer (geometric focus 63 mm) to the impedance matching circuit, then power amplifier (as shown in **Figure 1**). Then connect the membrane hydrophone directly to the data acquisition system, ensuring that a trigger signal is provided from the function generator connected to the power amplifier (**Figure 1**).

2.3. Set the output voltage of the function generator to 30 mV, with a 10 cycle 3.3 MHz sine wave at a pulse repetition frequency of 100 Hz.

2.4. Using the measurement software (see **Table of Materials**) to visualize the detected acoustic signal and the micrometer stage, position the detected acoustic pulse at the correct time of flight (42.5 μm). Using only a single radial direction at a time on the micrometer stage, maximize the detected acoustic signal. Once confident this has been achieved, close the software and leave the membrane hydrophone in its current position.

2.5. Vary the output voltage of the function generator from 20-400 mV in 20 mV increments. At each voltage level and using the MatLab acquisition software, record the hydrophone signals. Acquire 100 pulses at each level and convert from voltage data in pressure using the provided calibration data. Average the data and measure both the peak positive and negative values for all output voltage levels. This gives the calibration data for the free field peak negative pressure to be used for both the pulse and continuous wave studies.

3. Configuring Experimental Apparatus for Both Pulsed and Continuous Wave Studies

3.1. Fill an acrylic water tank (280x141x132 mm) with 4.5 L of deionized and degassed water. Mount the HIFU transducer and the co-aligned broadband hydrophone onto a three-axis manual micrometer stage. Then, fully submerge the transducer and hydrophone in the water tank. A schematic of this is shown in **Figure 1**.

3.2. Connect the HIFU transducer to an impedance matching circuit, to enable it to be driven at its third harmonic (3.3 MHz). This circuit is connected directly to the output of a RF power amplifier. A digital function generator is connected to the input of the power amplifier, and programmed remotely.

3.3. Prior to exposures in phantom material, use a calibrated differential membrane hydrophone to measure the peak negative pressure generated from this system for a given input voltage on the function generator as described in 2. Use these reference voltage values to set the required pressure level on the digital function generator.

3.4. Connect the broadband hydrophone (geometric focus 63 mm) that is housed in the central aperture of the HIFU transducer directly to a 5 MHz high pass filter. Then connect it to a 14-bit data acquisition card (DAQ) via a 40 dB preamplifier. Ensure that the high pass filter is connected with the correct bias.

Note: This card was installed in a desktop PC and is used to control all hardware (examples this software can be found as supplementary files) and save data for off-line processing during this study.

3.5. Connect a transistor–transistor logic (TTL) digital delay pulse generator with Bayonet Neill–Concelman (BNC) cables to both the pulsed laser system and function generator to ensure synchronization between these systems, which will ensure that the 7 ns laser pulse is coincident in the target region during the fourth rarefaction peak from the HIFU transducer.

3.6. Using the method described in 1, omit the BSA and nanoparticles to make an alignment phantom, which is standard phantom material that contains a 1 mm spherical metallic target (a ball bearing). In order to achieve this, pour 25 mL of phantom material into a mold and add 62.5 μ L TEMED catalyst, then wait approximately 20 min to set. Then place the metallic target centrally in the phantom and add a further 25 mL of phantom solution followed by the 62.5 μ L TEMED catalyst and a further 20 min wait.

3.7. Place the alignment phantom into the 3-D printed holder⁶, mount on an automated 3-D stage, and approximately position so that the metallic target is at the focal peak of the HIFU transducer.

3.8. Using the HIFU transducer to send out a short duration 10 cycle burst (3 μ s) and the hydrophone to receive (connected directly to the DAQ card), the position relative to the alignment target is optimized through pulse-echo location. The real time detected signal will be displayed on the computer. Adjust the time of flight and signal amplitude using the manual micrometer stage that the HIFU transducer and hydrophone is mounted on. Once the time of flight is set to 85 μ s (a single round trip) and the signal amplitude has been maximized in both radial directions, this system will be aligned.

3.9. Couple the optical energy from the optical parametric oscillator (OPO) pumped by the 532 nm nanosecond pulsed laser into the phantom using a 2 mm fiber bundle. Mount this fiber onto a second micrometer stage and position at an angle of 45° from the acoustic axis in front of the phantom (**Figure 1**). The wavelength of the laser light is set to 680 nm to be visible for alignment. Once visible, position the laser illumination with the micrometer stage such that the alignment target is central in a 15 mm diameter laser spot.

3.10. Position the 20-90x digital microscope (working distance 90 mm) and a white light source on opposite sides of the water tank perpendicular to the propagation plane of the HIFU transducer. The microscope is mounted on a small micrometer stage. Position it such that the metallic alignment targeted is central and in focus in its field of view (5x6 mm).

Note: After the above procedure is completed, all elements of this system (HIFU transducer, hydrophone, laser illumination and microscope) are now co-aligned to a specific location. The alignment phantom can now be replaced with the tissue-mimicking phantoms used for the study.

As the phantom is mounted in a holder attached to a 3-D positioning system, different regions can be targeted whilst maintaining alignment.

4. Cavitation Threshold Detection from Pulsed HIFU Exposures

Note: The following procedure is the same for phantoms with or without nanoparticles, and should be repeated three times.

4.1. Ensure that the PCD system is connected after being disconnected for the alignment procedure outlined in 3.8 and tune the laser wavelength to the SPR of the nanoparticles. Using a custom control program, set the function generator to produce a 10 cycle (3 μ s) HIFU burst, which is synchronized with the laser system. Also use this program to set a laser fluence of 0.4, 1.1, 2.1, or 3.4 mJ/cm² though changing the timing between the triggering of the flash lamp firing and Q-switch opening in the laser system.

4.2. Target the focal peak of the HIFU system 10 mm deep into the phantom, and at 13 unique locations, spaced by 5 mm, in the vertical direction. In each of these locations perform an exposure at a single peak negative HIFU pressure, with the four laser fluences stated in 4.2.

4.3. Use the range of peak negative pressures 0, 0.91, 1.19, 1.43, 1.69, 1.92, 2.13, 2.34, 2.53, 2.71, 2.83, 3.00 and 3.19 MPa for the following exposure conditions: laser on in a nanoparticle free phantom, laser off in a nanoparticle phantom, and laser on in a nanoparticle phantom. To simulate a 'sham' laser exposure, run the system as described, but shut the manual shutter on the output of the OPO. This approach will ensure that any RF noise generated will still be present to the PCD system.

4.4. Program all settings and exposure positions into the control program, then execute to perform these measurements. PCD data is digitized and stored directly using the data acquisition card for post-processing. For each exposure parameter, 500 repeat exposures are acquired⁶.

4.5. Process the broadband emissions detected by the PCD system from the short duration HIFU exposures into the phantoms using the technique detailed by McLaughlan *et al.* (2017)⁶.

5. Thermal Denaturation from Continuous Wave HIFU Exposures

Note: The following procedure is the same for phantoms with or without nanoparticles and were repeated three times.

5.1. Set the laser system to give a fluence of 3.4 mJ/cm² and the function generator to give a CW exposure (every 330,000-cycle burst is synced to a laser pulse). In 11 unique locations in the phantom, select a peak negative pressure of 0.20, 0.62, 0.91, 1.19, 1.43, 1.69, 1.92, 2.13, 2.34, 2.53 or 2.71 MPa.

5.2. Use a total exposure time of 17 s in order to acquire 1s of baseline before and after a 15 s

CW HIFU exposure in the phantom. During this total exposure time, the data acquisition system is recording the PCD data. The microscope is connected to the control PC and the image frames are recorded during this time to provide a direct visualization of thermal lesion formation.

5.3. Repeat the process in 4.3 for all the different exposure conditions outlined in 4.4.

5.4. Process all PCD data off-line to calculate the inertial cavitation dose²⁵ for each exposure.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Cavitation detection from pulsed HIFU exposures

The passive cavitation detection system recorded the voltage/time data for the range of HIFU and laser exposures in both phantoms with and without nanoparticles. **Figure 2** shows the representative results for a range of exposures. The time scales on these plots are truncated to highlight the regions where broadband acoustic emissions would be expected, due to the time of flight of these emissions. **Figure 2** demonstrates that it is only when there is a combination of nanoparticles, HIFU exposure and laser illumination that broadband emissions are detected. However, this is still a threshold phenomenon, as at the lower acoustic pressure for **Figure 2h** broadband emissions were not detected. The duration of these emissions typically correspond to the length of the HIFU exposure, which was around 10 μ s in this study.

Thermal denaturation from a CW HIFU exposure

Figure 3 shows a series of frames acquired from the universal serial bus (USB) camera during a single HIFU exposure with laser illumination, for the three different exposures types (with/without laser illumination and/or nanoparticles). This figure shows an example of the formation of thermal lesions in the gel phantoms for each of these conditions. In this view the HIFU exposure occurs from left to right. For the example shown in **Figure 3** the peak negative pressure was 2.53 MPa, which was the upper edge of what was used in this study.

Recording inertial cavitation dose (ICD) from CW HIFU exposures

Figure 4 shows representative results from the calculation of ICD recorded during CW HIFU exposures. This data was post processed from the emissions recorded by the PCD system during the exposure. **Figures 4a, 4c, and 4e** show that at a lower peak negative pressure, no broadband emissions were detected, where **Figures 4b, d, and f** show that ICD was recorded throughout the exposure. The highest ICD signals were observed during the exposure in a gel containing nanoparticles with both HIFU and laser exposures (**Figure 4f**).

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. A schematic representation of the experimental apparatus used in this study. For clarity, the USB microscope and light source are omitted, but the view region is illustrated by a blue dashed box. CNC - Computer numerical control, AuNR – Gold nanorods. Figure adapted from McLaughlan *et al.* (2017)⁶.

Figure 2. An example of the voltage traces recorded with the passive cavitation detection system during short HIFU exposures, with/without simultaneous laser illumination. When

used, the laser fluence was 2.1 mJ/cm² with a peak negative pressure of (a-c) 3.0, (d-f) 2.13 and (g-i) 1.43 MPa. LS – Laser, NR – nanoparticles.

Figure 3. Individual frames at times 0, 5, 10 and 15 s during a HIFU exposure recorded by the USB microscope. The laser fluence was 3.4 mJ/cm² and peak negative pressure of 2.53 MPa. Sequence (a) was with the laser exposure and in a phantom without nanoparticles, (b) is without laser exposure and in a phantom containing nanoparticles, and (c) has both laser illumination and a phantom containing nanoparticles.

Figure 4. Calculated inertial cavitation dose (ICD) recorded during exposures (a, b, e, & f) with and (c & d) without laser illumination. Peak negative pressure was either (a, c, & e) 0.91 or (b, d, & f) 2.53 MPa. The phantom used in (a & b) did not contain any nanoparticles.

DISCUSSION:

This protocol is divided into four separate sections, describing the manufacture of the tissue-mimicking phantom through to the CW exposures in them to produce thermally generated denaturation. This denaturation of the phantoms simulates thermally generated coagulation necrosis experienced by soft tissue exposed to HIFU¹. In their manufacture, it is important to ensure that the ratio of APS and TEMED is such that the process does not catalyze too quickly. As this process is exothermic, the faster this rate, the higher the temperature reached²⁵ and thus could denature the BSA proteins prior to exposure. The ratio of APS to TEMED in this protocol has been set such that this should not occur, however the molds could be placed in ice water during the polymerizing of the gel to further minimize this possibility.

As this protocol focuses on the nucleation of cavitation through combining nanoparticles, laser illuminations and HIFU exposure, a critical step in the manufacture of the gel phantoms is to degas them under vacuum for a minimum of 30 min. Once exposed to HIFU (particularly CW exposures), even if a thermal lesion was not present, it is important to target a fresh location in the gel phantoms to avoid preexisting nuclei. When moving the phantom using the computer controlled translation system it is important to ensure that the depth of the HIFU focus (and thus aligned region) is kept consistent. This ensures that the HIFU pressure and laser fluence levels are uniform for each specific exposure parameter. For this protocol and after the initial placement of the phantom holder, it is then only translated in the vertical axis.

The temperature-sensitive tissue-mimicking gels are used widely by the HIFU research community²⁵, as they provide a visual mechanism for monitoring the formation of a thermal lesion. This study was the first example of combining them with nanoparticles and demonstrating the enhancement provided to lesion formation through controlled cavitation activity. However, although they are classified as tissue-mimicking for their response to temperature, both their optical and acoustic attenuation are not. Due to the need to visualize the lesion formation in the gels, the phantoms are near transparent, with a slight yellow tint. As the laser fluence is adjusted to account for this, it does mean that the laser light illuminating the target region is collimated rather than diffusive as would be for normal tissue. Thus to allow for clinical translation multiple illumination sources would be needed to ensure enough fluence on the surface. Currently this

work adheres to the guidelines²² for the safe use of lasers when exposed to skin. This would limit the maximum laser fluence achievable at depth; thus, this technique would initially be suited to treating superficial cancers such as breast, or head and neck. Furthermore, plasmonic nanoparticles targeted to surface receptors for these types of cancers could provide increased selectivity in treatments. However, even though this is a highly active area of research, no such particles are currently approved for clinical use.

The acoustic attenuation of the phantoms with nanoparticles was measured to be 0.7 ± 0.2 dB/cm⁶, and, compared with the value for soft tissue of 3-4 dB/cm, it is significantly lower. Thus, the heating from HIFU exposures in these gels would be lower than would be observed in soft tissue. It has been demonstrated that addition of glass beads to the gel increases the attenuation levels similar to soft tissue²⁵. However, in this application, this approach is not possible as these beads would act a nucleation sources for cavitation activity even in the absence of nanoparticles, and thus misrepresent the cavitation threshold. When comparing the heating efficiency for with the results from the study by Choi *et al.* (2013)²⁵, thermal lesions were generated at peak pressure ranges of 14 - 23 MPa (it is not stated if this was peak positive or negative pressure). As this was performed at 1.1 MHz, the attenuation in the phantoms was lower than used in this study. Nevertheless, the nanoparticle-nucleated approach in this study was able to generate thermal lesions in these phantoms at pressures ranging from 1.19 to 3.19 MPa, thus demonstrating an increased efficiency over current methodologies.

Future testing for this methodology should be undertaken in an *in vivo* model to incorporate tumor reduction, tissue perfusion, molecular targeting of nanoparticles and relevant acoustic attenuation parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This work was supported by EPSRC grant EP/J021156/1. The author would like to acknowledge support from an early career Leverhulme fellowship (ECF-2013-247).

DISCLOSURES:

The author has nothing to disclose.


REFERENCES:


1. Ter Haar G. Ultrasound focal beam surgery. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. Jan 1;21(9):1089-1100 (1995).
2. Kennedy JE. High-intensity focused ultrasound in the treatment of solid tumours. *Nature Reviews Cancer*. Apr;5(4):321-327 (2005).
3. Rodrigues DB, Stauffer PR, Vrba D, Hurwitz MD. Focused ultrasound for treatment of bone tumours. *International Journal of Hyperthermia*. Apr 3;31(3):260-271 (2015).
4. Wang TR, Dallapiazza R, Elias WJ. Neurological applications of transcranial high intensity focused ultrasound. *International Journal of Hyperthermia*. Apr 3;31(3):285-291 (2015).
5. Ebbini ES, Ter Haar G. Ultrasound-guided therapeutic focused ultrasound: current status and future directions. *International Journal of Hyperthermia*. Feb 17;31(2):77-89 (2015).
6. McLaughlan JR, Cowell DM, Freear S. Gold nanoparticle nucleated cavitation for enhanced


- high intensity focused ultrasound therapy. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*. Dec 14;63(1):015004 (2017).
7. Neppiras EA. Acoustic cavitation series: part one: Acoustic cavitation: an introduction. *Ultrasonics*. Jan 1;22(1):25-8 (1984).
 8. Shaw A, Martin E, Haller J, ter Haar G. Equipment, measurement and dose—a survey for therapeutic ultrasound. *Journal of Therapeutic Ultrasound*. Dec;4(1):7 (2016).
 9. Leighton T. *The Acoustic Bubble*. Academic Press; Dec 2 (2012).
 10. McLaughlan J, Rivens I, Leighton T, Ter Haar G. A study of bubble activity generated in *ex vivo* tissue by high intensity focused ultrasound. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. Aug 1;36(8):1327-1344 (2010).
 11. Holt RG, Roy RA. Measurements of bubble-enhanced heating from focused, MHz-frequency ultrasound in a tissue-mimicking material. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. Oct 1;27(10):1399-1412 (2001).
 12. Meaney PM, Cahill MD, ter Haar GR. The intensity dependence of lesion position shift during focused ultrasound surgery. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. Mar 1;26(3):441-450 (2000).
 13. Blum NT, Yildirim A, Chattaraj R, Goodwin AP. Nanoparticles formed by acoustic destruction of microbubbles and their utilization for imaging and effects on therapy by high intensity focused ultrasound. *Theranostics*. 7(3):694-702 (2017).
 14. Zhao LY, Zou JZ, Chen ZG, Liu S, Jiao J, Wu F. Acoustic cavitation enhances focused ultrasound ablation with phase-shift inorganic perfluorohexane nanoemulsions: an *in vitro* study using a clinical device. *BioMed Research International*. 2016:7936902 (2016).
 15. Devarakonda SB, Myers MR, Lanier M, Dumoulin C, Banerjee RK. Assessment of gold nanoparticle-mediated-enhanced hyperthermia using mr-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation procedure. *Nano Letters*. Mar 16;17(4):2532-2538 (2017).
 16. Coussios C, Farny CH, Ter Haar G, Roy RA. Role of acoustic cavitation in the delivery and monitoring of cancer treatment by high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU). *International Journal of Hyperthermia*. Jan 1;23(2):105-120 (2007).
 17. McNally LR, Mezera M, Morgan DE, Frederick PJ, Yang ES, Eltoum IE, Grizzle WE. Current and emerging clinical applications of multispectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT) in oncology. *Clinical Cancer Research*. Jul 15;22(14):3432-3439 (2016).
 18. Wang LV, Hu S. Photoacoustic tomography: *in vivo* imaging from organelles to organs. *Science*. Mar 23;335(6075):1458-1462 (2012).
 19. Cui H, Yang X. *In vivo* imaging and treatment of solid tumor using integrated photoacoustic imaging and high intensity focused ultrasound system. *Medical Physics*. Sep 1;37(9):4777-4781 (2010).
 20. Li W, Chen X. Gold nanoparticles for photoacoustic imaging. *Nanomedicine*. Jan;10(2):299-320 (2015).
 21. Lukianova-Hleb EY, Kim YS, Belatsarkouski I, Gillenwater AM, O'Neill BE, Lapotko DO. Intraoperative diagnostics and elimination of residual microtumours with plasmonic nanobubbles. *Nature Nanotechnology*. Jun 1;11(6):525-532 (2016).
 22. ANSI. Z136. 1. *American national standard for the safe use of lasers*. American National Standards Institute, Inc., New York (2007).
 23. McLaughlan JR, Roy RA, Ju H, Murray TW. Ultrasonic enhancement of photoacoustic


441 emissions by nanoparticle-targeted cavitation. *Optics Letters*. Jul 1;35(13):2127-2129 (2010).
442 24. Choi MJ, Guntur SR, Lee KI, Paeng DG, Coleman A. A tissue mimicking polyacrylamide
443 hydrogel phantom for visualizing thermal lesions generated by high intensity focused ultrasound.
444 *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. Mar 1;39(3):439-448 (2013).
445 25. Chen WS, Brayman AA, Matula TJ, Crum LA. Inertial cavitation dose and hemolysis
446 produced *in vitro* with or without Optison. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. May 1;29(5):725-
447 737 (2003).
448

[Click here to download Figure Figure1.pdf](#) 









Name of Material/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Single Element HIFU transducer	Sonic Concepts	H-102	
55dB Power Amplifier	E&I	A300	
Function Generator	Keysight Technologies	33250A	
Differential Membrane Hydrophone	Precision Acoustics Ltd		
TTL Pulse Generator	Quantum Composers	9524	
Nd:YAG Pulse Laser	Continuum	Surelite I-10	
OPO Plus	Continuum	Surelite	
Fibre Bundle	Thorlabs Inc	BF20LSMA01	
Energy Sensor	Thorlabs Inc	ES145C	
Nanorods	Nanopartz	A12-40-850	
Broadband detector	Sonic Concepts	Y-102	
5 MHz high pass filter	Allen Avionics		
40dB preamplifier	Spectrum GmbH	SPA.1411	
14-bit data acquisition card	Spectrum GmbH	M4i.4420x8	
Deionised Filtered Water	MilliQ		

Acrylamide/Bis-acrylamide solution	Sigma Aldrich	A9927	
1 mol/L TRIS Buffer	Sigma Aldrich	T2694	
Ammonium Persulfate	Sigma Aldrich	A3678	
Bovine serum albumin	Sigma Aldrich	A7906	
TEMED	Sigma Aldrich	T9281	
3D printer	CEL-UK	Robox	
3-axis positioning system	Zolix		
Digital Microscope	Dino-lite	AM4113TL	
Water Tank	Muji	Acrylic Tank	
Optical Components	Thorlabs Inc	Various	
Optomechanical Components	Thorlabs Inc	Various	
BNC Cables	RS		
Desktop PC	Custom Made		
Hotplate Stirrer	Fisher		
SBench6	Spectrum GmbH		Measurement software

Title of Article:

Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound exposures

Author(s):

James McLaughlan

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

<http://www.jove.com/author>) via: ☐ Standard Access ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: “**Agreement**” means this Article and Video License Agreement; “**Article**” means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; “**Author**” means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; “**Collective Work**” means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; “**CRC License**” means the Creative Commons

Attribution 3.0 Agreement (also known as CC-BY), the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/us/legalcode>;

“**Derivative Work**” means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; “**Institution**” means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; “**JoVE**” means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*;

“**Materials**” means the Article and / or the Video; “**Parties**” means the Author and JoVE; “**Video**” means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and

(c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the “Open Access” box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

4. **Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the

Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the

Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats.

7. Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict

shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including,

without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or

damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to me one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

AUTHOR:

Name: James McLaughlan
Department: School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering
Institution: University of Leeds
Article Title: Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound exposures

Signature:



Date:

22/02/2018

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy as a PDF to the JoVE submission site upon manuscript submission (preferred);
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236; or
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Atn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center Suite 200 / Cambridge, MA 02140

For questions, please email editorial@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051.

MS # (internal use):

I thank the editorial team and reviewers for their thoughtful and useful comments, my response to these are in italics below. Revisions in the manuscript are highlighted in green. A separate 'clean' version was also uploaded to show the yellow highlight to provide instructions for filming production.

Editorial comments:

Changes to be made by the Author(s):

1. Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread the manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammar issues. The JoVE editor will not copy-edit your manuscript and any errors in the submitted revision may be present in the published version.

This has been done.

2. Please define all abbreviations before use. For example: PTFE, TEMED, DAQ, TTL, OPO, HIFU, CNC, AuNR, CNC, LS, etc.

These definitions have been added.

3. Please use SI abbreviations for all units throughout: mL, μ L, min, s, etc.

This has been done.

4. Please remove all commercial language from your manuscript and use generic terms instead. All commercial products should be sufficiently referenced in the Table of Materials and Reagents.

For example: H102, Sonic Concepts, PT3/M, Thorlabs Inc, A300, E&I, 33250A, Keysight Technologies, Precision Acoustics Ltd, MatLab, etc.

This has been done.

5. Please ensure that all text in the protocol section is written in the imperative tense as if telling someone how to do the technique (e.g., "Do this," "Ensure that," etc.).

This has been done.

The actions should be described in the imperative tense in complete sentences wherever possible. Avoid usage of phrases such as "could be," "should be," and "would be" throughout the Protocol. Any text that cannot be written in the imperative tense may be added as a "Note." However, notes should be concise and used sparingly. Please include all safety procedures and use of hoods, etc.

6. 1.1/1.2: Please label them as notes.

This has been done, L92, 95.

7. 1.3: Please add more details to how water is filtered (size of filter) and degassed. What does 60% v/v mean? Is this just water?

The term v/v or w/v are standard nomenclature indicating concentration via volume/volume percent or weight/volume percent. I have corrected this in the manuscript.

8. 1.4: Please describe the composition of these solutions or how they are prepared.

These are stock solutions from commercial suppliers. This info has been added to the manuscript, P3:L12.

9. 1.6: What is a medium stirring speed?

This is difficult to quantify with the system used, but a qualitative description has been added, L109-110.

10. 1.7: After this step, is vacuum pump turned off? In the following steps, other chemicals are added. Is stirrer kept on or off?

This has been clarified, L113-114.

11. 2.3: Please describe how this is actually done.

[Please note that 2.3 is now 3.3 in the revised manuscript]

This has been added, L125-155.

12. 2.5: Please explain how the correct connection is confirmed.

[Please note that 2.5 is now 3.5 in the revised manuscript]

This has been clarified, L179-180.

13. 2.6: How is catalyzed phantom material prepared? How long is the wait?

[Please note that 2.6 is now 3.6 in the revised manuscript]

This has been clarified, L187-189.

14. 3.2: Can the custom MatLab control program be provided as a supplemental file?

[Please note that 3.2 is now 4.2 in the revised manuscript]

Yes, it will be uploaded with the revised manuscript.

15. 3.4: Please specify the pressures used.

[Please note that 3.4 is now 4.4 in the revised manuscript]

This has been added, L236-237.

16. Please ensure that only protocol steps with actionable items are highlighted for inclusion in the video. Please ensure that all highlighted steps can clearly be visualized. For example, steps 3.6 and 4.5 should not be highlighted for inclusion in the video.

[Please note that 3.6 and 4.5 are now 4.4 and 5.5 in the revised manuscript]

This has been revised.

17. Please ensure that the highlighted steps form a cohesive narrative with a logical flow from one highlighted step to the next. Please highlight complete sentences (not parts of sentences). Please ensure that the highlighted part of the step includes at least one action that is written in imperative tense.

This has been checked.

18. Representative Results: Please do not number each sentence.

This has been revised.

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Manuscript Summary:

The manuscript describes a combination of nanoparticles, pulsed laser and HIFU for promoting cavitation occurrence in a tissue mimicking phantom. It is essentially a carbon-copy of the Phys Med Biol paper by the same author (ref 6). I imagine a JOVE format publication could be useful for other researchers in the field.

Major Concerns:

None

Minor Concerns:

I think the manuscript would benefit from further discussion around the challenges/limitations of translating the technique toward clinical application (laser penetration into tissue, nanoparticle delivery etc)

A short section in the discussion has been added to address this, L344-350.

Reviewer #2:

Manuscript Summary:

The manuscript provides benchtop protocols that describe methods to fabricate tissue-mimicking phantoms and to quantitatively investigate enhancement in inertial cavitation and associated heating during hyperthermic HIFU exposures. Overall, this manuscript is well written and could potentially provide users interested in noninvasive hyperthermic treatments with an interesting set of bench-top protocols for optimizing light-absorbing nanoparticles as well as the acoustical/optical parameters for enhancing ultrasound-mediated heating.

Major Concerns:

But the manuscript is lacking meaningful comparisons in heating or thermal damage between regular HIFU application and HIFU with laser-aided nucleation of inertial cavitation. This is a critical omission that significantly diminishes the enthusiasm for this manuscript. Furthermore, the purpose of the protocol is not well motivated. I guess it could be that when presented with various light-absorbing nanoparticles, one might be interested in determining the optimal acoustical and optical parameters to maximize inertial cavitation and cavitation-enhanced ultrasonic heating, or one might want to use this benchtop protocol to identify the most efficacious nanoparticle agent for enhance HIFU therapy, but this is not clearly stated in the manuscript.

Thank you for your suggestion. A short discussion regarding the thermal damage has been added to the manuscript L357-363. As with the previous reviewers' comments, further motivation regarding clinical translation has been added L344-350.

Minor Concerns:

Title: Controllable nucleation of cavitation for enhancing ...

The title does not seem representative of the research objective. The intent is to enhance ultrasound-mediated heating, not enhance HIFU exposures

This is been corrected, the new title reads 'Controllable nucleation of cavitation from plasmonic gold nanoparticles for enhancing high intensity focused ultrasound applications'

Line 53-57: please rephrase; this is confusing and effective

This has been revised, L54-58.

Line 61: typo - these can take for the ...

This has been corrected, L64.

Line 69: rephrase, poorly structured sentence

This has been revised, L69-72.

Line 85: The protocols presented do not demonstrate improved treatment guidance or increase in thermal ablation. They merely describe methodology for demonstrating an increase in inertial cavitation - and maybe, the feasibility of laser-enhanced ultrasonic heating, where the underlying physical mechanism is laser-induced nucleation for increasing the propensity for inertial cavitation.

This has been revised.

Line 107: medium stirring speed is very vague.

This has been clarified, L108-109.

Line 110: What vacuum pressure is adequate?

The vacuum pressure has been added, L112.

Please provide specific geometric parameters for the two transducers. This will help explain the 85 microsecond value.

This has been added.

Line 139: Is the broadband hydrophone referred to in this text the same as the PCD? *In this study PCD, is defined as passive cavitation detection, and thus mostly used in context of PCD system, which the hydrophone is a part of. I ask acknowledge that PCD is often also called passive cavitation detector. However, in this protocol the broadband hydrophone is a component of the PCD system.*

Line 167: step 2.9: The laser alignment procedure seems very imprecise. Why not color the ball bearing black and use its photoacoustic signal to precisely align the PCD with the ball bearing?

This is a good suggestion. However, due to space limitations and need to keep the fibre optic outside of the acoustic field, the peak photoacoustic emission (the ball bearing alone generates sufficient emission to detect), does not correspond to the same location as peak acoustic reflection. Furthermore, precise targeting of the laser beam is not needed as the beamwidth is 15 mm, which is larger than the focal peak of the HIFU system. For in vivo or clinical applications the light would become diffusive after about 1 m penetration into tissue, so it would not be possible to precisely target a region.

Line 174: What is the field of view and working distance for the microscope at the magnification used for monitoring the thermal lesions?

This has been added to section 3.10.

Line 189: Why is the PCD disconnected? This is not clear?

This comes back to the early definition of PCD. For this part of the alignment procedure the hydrophone was connected directly to the DAQ card to visualise the pulse-echo signals for alignment. The high pass filter would have removed the fundamental 3.3 MHz, which was being used for alignment. The 40 dB pre-amp is also not needed as sufficient backscatter off the metallic target was necessary for detection.

Please include labels on the figure that show the peak negative pressure and fluence used in each panel for facilitating comparison.

These have been added to Figure 4.

Figure 3 does not seem to contribute much here. If they had shown differences in lesion with and without laser-aided nucleation, that would have been more insightful.

Thank you for the suggestion. This figure has now been revised to include a time series for with/without the laser and nanoparticles.



Click here to access/download
Supplemental Coding Files
CWcontrol.m





Click here to access/download
Supplemental Coding Files
PWcontrol.m

