

Journal of Visualized Experiments

An experimental protocol for femtosecond NIR/UV - XUV pump-probe experiments with free-electron lasers

--Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Manuscript Number:	JoVE57055R2
Full Title:	An experimental protocol for femtosecond NIR/UV - XUV pump-probe experiments with free-electron lasers
Keywords:	pump-probe experiments, femtosecond lasers, free-electron lasers, jitter correction, temporal and spatial overlap, gas-phase molecules, atomic and molecular physics, photochemistry
Corresponding Author:	Daniel Rolles, Ph.D. (Dr. rer. nat.) Kansas State University Manhattan, KS UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Kansas State University
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	rolles@phys.ksu.edu
First Author:	Daniel Rolles, Ph.D. (Dr. rer. nat.)
Other Authors:	Rebecca Boll Benjamin Erk Dimitrios Rompotis Bastian Manschwetus
Author Comments:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	



Journal of Visualized Experiments

Editorial Board

Daniel Rolles

Assistant Professor
J.R. Macdonald Laboratory
Department of Physics
116 Cardwell Hall
Manhattan, KS 66506 USA
785-532-1615
Fax: 785-532-6806
rolles@phys.ksu.edu

July 27, 2017

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "*Femtosecond NIR/UV – XUV pump-probe experiments with free-electron laser*" that we would like to be considered for publication in Journal of Visualized Experiments. This paper highlights a protocol for performing and analyzing experiments that combine a femtosecond optical laser with a free-electron laser in order to study ultrafast photochemical reactions. We consider of value publishing these data in Journal of Visualized Experiments, as they describe strategies that have not been comprehensively reported to date and that will be of interest to many users of free-electron laser facilities who perform pump-probe experiments. The techniques presented in this paper and demonstrated in video format will thus be highly useful for researchers working in the field of ultrafast X-ray science, e.g., in atomic and molecular physics, femtochemistry, and condensed matter physics.

The authors of this paper jointly designed the procedures described in the manuscript and performed the experiments and analyzed the data within a large collaboration, whose members are named in the acknowledgements. Finally, Daniel Rolles wrote the manuscript together with the other two authors.

During the preparation and submission of this manuscript, we have been kindly assisted by Benjamin Werth.

Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript. We look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Daniel Rolles". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Daniel Rolles

TITLE:

An Experimental Protocol for Femtosecond NIR/UV – XUV Pump-Probe Experiments with Free-Electron Lasers

AUTHORS & AFFILIATIONS:

Daniel Rolles¹, Rebecca Boll^{2,3}, Benjamin Erk², Dimitrios Rompotis², Bastian Manschwetus²

¹J.R. Macdonald Laboratory, Department of Physics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

²Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, 22607 Hamburg, Germany

³European XFEL GmbH, 22869 Schenefeld, Germany

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Daniel Rolles (rolles@phys.ksu.edu)

EMAIL ADDRESSES OF CO-AUTHORS:

Rebecca Boll (rebecca.boll@xfel.eu)

Benjamin Erk (benjamin.erk@desy.de)

Dimitrios Rompotis (dimitrios.rompotis@desy.de)

Bastian Manschwetus (bastian.manschwetus@desy.de)

KEYWORDS:

Pump-probe experiments, Femtosecond lasers, Free-electron lasers, Jitter correction, Temporal and spatial overlap, Gas-phase molecules, Atomic and molecular physics, Photochemistry

SHORT ABSTRACT:

This protocol describes the key steps for performing and analyzing pump-probe experiments combining a femtosecond optical laser with a free-electron laser in order to study ultrafast photochemical reactions in gas-phase molecules.

LONG ABSTRACT:

This protocol describes key steps in performing and analyzing femtosecond pump-probe experiments that combine a femtosecond optical laser with a free-electron laser. This includes methods to establish the spatial and temporal overlap between the optical and free-electron laser pulses during the experiment, as well as important aspects of the data analysis, such as corrections for arrival time jitter, which are necessary to obtain high-quality pump-probe data sets with the best possible temporal resolution. These methods are demonstrated for an exemplary experiment performed at the FLASH (Free-electron LASer Hamburg) free-electron laser in order to study ultrafast photochemistry in gas-phase molecules by means of velocity map ion imaging. However, most of the strategies are also applicable to similar pump-probe experiments using other targets or other experimental techniques.

INTRODUCTION:

The availability of short and intense extreme ultraviolet (XUV) and X-ray pulses from free-electron lasers (FELs)^{1,2} has opened up new opportunities for femtosecond pump-probe experiments exploiting the site- and element-specificity of the inner-shell photo-absorption process³⁻⁶. Such experiments can be used, *e.g.*, to investigate molecular dynamics and charge transfer processes in liquids⁷ and gas-phase molecules⁸⁻¹², and for real-time observations of catalytic reactions and ultrafast surface chemistry^{13,14} with a temporal resolution of 100 femtoseconds or below. If the pump-probe experiment is performed by combining a synchronized optical femtosecond laser with the FEL, which was the case in all of the examples mentioned above, the intrinsic arrival-time jitter between the optical laser and the FEL pulses has to be measured on a shot-by-shot basis and corrected for in the data analysis in order to achieve the best temporal resolution possible.

Within a large collaboration, several pump-probe experiments combining optical lasers with a free-electron laser have recently been performed⁹⁻¹², both at the FLASH XUV FEL^{15,16} and the LCLS X-ray FEL¹⁷ facilities, and an experimental protocol for performing and analyzing these experiments has been developed, which is presented in the following. The method is demonstrated for an exemplary experiment performed at the FLASH free-electron laser in order to study ultrafast photochemistry in gas-phase molecules by means of velocity map ion imaging^{11,12}. However, most of the strategies are also applicable to similar pump-probe experiments using other targets or other experimental techniques and can also be adapted to other FEL facilities. While some of the individual steps presented here or variations thereof have already been discussed in the literature¹⁸⁻²⁰, this protocol provides a comprehensive description of the key steps, including some that take advantage of the most recent technical improvements in the synchronization and in the timing diagnostics, which have considerably improved the stability and the temporal resolution for pump-probe experiments^{12,21}.

The following protocol assumes a pump-probe end-station, such as the CAMP instrument at FLASH²², equipped with an ion time-of-flight, an ion momentum imaging, or a velocity map imaging (VMI) ion spectrometer; an effusive or supersonic gas jet; and a synchronized near-infrared (NIR) or ultraviolet (UV) femtosecond laser, whose pulses can be overlapped collinearly or near-collinearly with the free-electron laser beam, as sketched schematically in **Figure 1**. Furthermore, an appropriate suite of diagnostics tools such as a removable beam viewing screen (*e.g.* a paddle coated with Ce:YAG powder or a thin Ce:YAG crystal) in the interaction region, a fast photodiode sensitive to both FEL and laser pulses, and a bunch arrival-time monitor (BAM)^{23,24} or “timing tool”²⁵⁻²⁷ are required, all of which are usually integrated in the pump-probe end-station or are provided by the FEL facility, if requested before the experiment. Finally, the shot-by-shot jitter correction assumes that the experimental data is recorded and accessible on a shot-by-shot basis and linked to the shot-by-shot measurements of the bunch arrival-time time jitter by using a unique “bunch ID” or by another equivalent scheme.

At FLASH, the specific systems that are crucial for pump-probe experiments are:

- The active, all-optical feedback and stabilization system of the pump-probe laser to the master laser oscillator, which includes a balanced optical cross-correlator that stabilizes the pump-probe laser’s oscillator output to the master laser oscillator, and a cross-

correlator (“drift correlator”) to correct for slow drifts of the laser amplifier with respect to the oscillator²¹.

- The bunch arrival-time monitors (BAMs) that measure the shot-to-shot variations in the electron bunch arrival time at various positions in the accelerator with respect to the master laser oscillator^{23,24}. They can be used for an active-feedback loop to stabilize the timing of the electron bunches with respect to the master laser oscillator, thus reducing slow drifts in the arrival time. Moreover, the BAM located closed to the experiment (BAM 4DBC3) can be used for a shot-to-shot jitter correction in the data analysis, which is in detail in step 5.1 of the experimental protocol.
- The pump-probe laser streak camera, which measures the relative timing between the pump-probe laser output and the dipole radiation generated by the electron bunch at the end of the accelerator before it is guided into the beam dump²⁸.
- The focus camera, which images the “virtual” laser focus by using the part of the laser beam that is leaking through the last turning mirror behind the focusing lens in order to parasitically monitor slow spatial drifts of the optical laser.

Similar systems are available at other FEL facilities and are crucial for performing a reliable pump-probe experiment.

PROTOCOL:

Caution: Before starting this procedure, it is very important to become familiar with all possible hazards connected to the experiment. The procedure below includes class-IV lasers, XUV or X-ray radiation, high-voltage sources, compressed gases, and harmful or toxic chemicals. Please consult all relevant material safety data sheets (MSDS) before use and follow all safety requirements mandated by the FEL and laser facility.

1. Preparation

Note: Before the start of the experiment, several choices have to be made, *e.g.*, regarding the optimal choice of pump and probe wavelengths and intensities for the target of interest and the appropriate type of spectrometer to measure the required observables (see, *e.g.*, Fang *et al.* 2014⁴ and Rudenko *et al.* 2015⁵). In the following, these technical aspects related to the specific processes and targets that shall be studied are not discussed, and it is assumed that the appropriate beam parameters for the FEL and the optical laser for the planned experiment have been determined and set up and that a suitable ion spectrometer is installed and operational.

1.1. Alignment and pointing stability of FEL and laser beams

1.1.1. At the beginning of the experiment, monitor the shot-by-shot and the long-term pointing stability of both FEL and optical laser beams on the removable beam viewing screen in the interaction region and improve the stability of the laser setup and the FEL lasing process, if necessary.

Note: To perform a reliable pump-probe experiment, it is crucial that both FEL and optical laser beams are optimally aligned through the entire beamline/beam path and that pointing

instabilities of both beams are smaller than their spot size in the focus. The sizes of the focused optical laser and FEL beams are typically on the order of a few to a few tens of micrometers, so the spatial resolution of the beam viewing screen and the optics and the camera that are used to image this screen (e.g. a long-distance microscope) needs to be sufficiently high to accurately determine the position of both beams.

1.1.2. Avoid or minimize any clipping of the FEL beam between the experiment and the location where the FEL pulse energy is measured by centering the beam on all transport mirrors and apertures in the beamline. Any apertures that can clip the beam when the beam pointing changes on a shot-by-shot basis or by slow drifts during the course of a delay scan may compromise the ability to normalize the data on the FEL pulse energy.

1.1.3. Optimize the position of the gas jet and the spectrometer with respect to the position of the FEL focus and the focus of the optical laser in all three spatial dimensions. Depending on the details of the setup, this may be done by moving the vacuum chamber or by moving individual components, and/or by moving the focus position of the FEL and the optical laser beam.

1.2. Proper functioning of feedback systems and diagnostics tools

Ensure that all necessary feedback systems and diagnostics and monitoring tools are enabled, properly functioning, and – where necessary – that their data is recorded in the FEL machine data stream. At FLASH, these include the all-optical feedback and stabilization system of the pump-probe laser; the bunch arrival-time monitors (BAMs); pump-probe laser streak camera; and the virtual focus camera. See the Introduction for a more detailed description of these systems.

Note: It is strongly advisable to continuously monitor these systems while performing the pump-probe experiment in order to be aware of possible problems, e.g., with the laser synchronization system, as quickly as possible.

2. Establishing Spatial Overlap Between the FEL beam and the Optical Laser Beam

2.1. Overlapping the beams visually on a Ce:YAG beam viewing screen in the interaction region

2.1.1. Make sure the ion (and electron) detector and the high voltage on the ion spectrometer electrodes are turned off before proceeding.

2.1.2. Reduce the FEL pulse energy and the power of the optical laser using the filters and attenuators installed in the beamline to less than 1% transmission in order to avoid damage of the viewing screen by the focused beams.

2.1.3. Insert the beam viewing screen into the interaction region. If you are unable to detect the beam spots, slightly increase their intensities.

Note: Depending on the experimental geometry (fully collinear or near-collinear, *i.e.*, with the optical laser beam aligned at a small angle with respect to the FEL beam, *e.g.* to avoid losing too much power in the hole of the drilled incoupling mirror), it may be crucial that the screen is situated exactly at the position of the interaction region, since even a small displacement of a few millimeters can cause a misalignment of the beams in the case of near-collinear geometry.

2.1.4. Block the optical laser by closing the laser shutter and mark the position of the FEL beam on the viewing screen by creating a “region of interest (ROI)” using the camera data acquisition software.

2.1.5. Block the FEL beam by closing the FEL shutter and inspect the position of the optical laser beam on the viewing screen. Using the appropriate steering mirrors for the optical laser, align the laser beam to overlap with the marked position of the FEL spot.

Note: For most pump-probe experiments, it is beneficial to use a spot size of the pump beam that is larger than the spot size of the probe beam. This facilitates finding good spatial overlap and makes the experiment more robust to small pointing fluctuations, thus minimizing the probability of probing a region of space where the target has not been excited by the pump pulse. In general, a larger pump than probe spot also ensures more homogeneous excitation.

2.1.6. Repeat steps 2.1.4 and 2.1.5 to fine-tune the overlap and to verify that the overlap is stable.

2.1.7. Remove beam viewing screen. Then, turn on detectors and spectrometer high voltages.

Note: If the visual overlapping of the beams on the viewing screen in the interaction region does not give satisfactory results, *i.e.*, if a two-color signal cannot be found in the subsequent steps described in step 3.2, the spatial overlap between the beams may be defined more precisely using the ion signal, as described in step 2.2, if an ion imaging spectrometer is available. This procedure is also described in Johnsson *et al.* 2010¹⁹.

2.2. Overlapping the beams using the ion time-of-flight signal and the ion images

2.2.1. Overlap in the detector plane

2.2.1.1. Set the spectrometer voltages to “spatial imaging mode”, *i.e.*, such that the ion detector image is a direct, magnified image of the interaction region. The voltage settings for this mode depend on the specific spectrometer.

2.2.1.2. Choose the ion image corresponding to a non-fragmented molecular parent ion or use an atomic target and choose an ionic charge state that is produced by both the FEL and the optical laser alone, *e.g.* H_2O^+ ions from the residual gas inside the vacuum chamber. If necessary, reduce the FEL or laser intensity to produce such a charge state. Avoid using a target that is introduced by a supersonic beam, since the beam velocity may falsify the procedure.

2.2.1.3. Block the optical laser using the laser shutter and mark the hit position of the ions produced by the FEL beam.

2.2.1.4. Block the FEL beam using the FEL shutter and record the position of the same ion species produced by the optical laser beam. Using the appropriate steering mirrors for the optical laser, align the laser beam until the ion hit positions overlap as well as possible with the marked position of the ions produced by the FEL beam.

2.2.1.5. In order to overlap the foci of the two beams along the beam propagation direction, move the focusing lens of the laser until the laser focus is centered in the spectrometer.

2.2.1.6. Repeat steps 2.2.1.3 and 2.2.1.4 to fine-tune the overlap and to verify that the overlap is stable.

2.2.2. *Overlap in the time-of-flight direction*

2.2.2.1. Operate the spectrometer in a “time-of-flight mode”, *i.e.*, such that the ion detection timing signal (*i.e.*, the ion time-of-flight spectrum) can be monitored on a fast oscilloscope or digitizer, which is triggered by the FEL master trigger. Avoid operating the spectrometer in Wiley-McLaren conditions such that the time of flight is sensitive to the starting position along the spectrometer axis.

2.2.2.2. In the ion time-of-flight spectrum, identify and zoom in on the peak corresponding to the same ion used in 2.2.1.2.

2.2.2.3. Block the optical laser using the laser shutter and precisely mark the center of the time-of-flight peak produced by the FEL beam alone.

2.2.2.4. Block the FEL beam using the FEL shutter and find the center of the same time-of-flight peak produced by the optical laser beam alone. Using the appropriate steering mirrors for the optical laser, align the laser beam until the time-of-flight peak produced by the optical laser beam overlaps perfectly with the marked center of the peak produced by the FEL beam.

Note: This only works if the arrival times of the optical laser pulses and the FEL pulses are within roughly a nanosecond of each other. If in doubt, perform the “rough timing” step described in step 3.1 before doing the spatial overlap procedure.

2.2.2.5. Repeat steps 2.2.2.3 and 2.2.2.4 to fine-tune the overlap and to verify that the overlap is stable.

3. Establishing Temporal Overlap Between the FEL Pulses and the Optical Laser Pulses

3.1. “Rough” timing

Note: The rough timing between the FEL pulses and the optical laser pulses to a precision of a few tens of picoseconds can be determined using a fast photodiode connected, via a short SMA cable, to a “bias T” with a 9 V battery connected at “DC in” and a fast (≥ 10 GHz) oscilloscope, which is triggered by the FEL master trigger. Typically, the diode is not placed directly into the FEL and laser beams since this could destroy the diode. Instead, it is installed perpendicular to the FEL beam, and a movable mesh is used to send a small amount of scattered photons to the diode.

3.1.1. Reduce the FEL pulse energy and the power of the optical laser using the filters and attenuators installed in the beamline to the point where the signal from the scattered light will not destroy the photodiode. A safe starting point is typically a transmission value of 1% (*i.e.*, 99% attenuation).

3.1.2. Insert the scattering mesh into the beam. Optimize the position of the mesh and the FEL pulse energy and laser power such that each beam alone yields a clear signal and that both signals have the same height.

3.1.3. Block the optical laser using the laser shutter and, with the finest time base available, save a reference trace on the oscilloscope using about 100 averages.

3.1.4. Block the FEL beam using the FEL shutter and compare the resulting trace from the laser signal with the FEL reference. Using the appropriate delay stage for the optical laser, shift the arrival time of the laser pulse until the onset of the laser signal is precisely at the position of the onset of the FEL signal.

3.1.5. Repeat steps 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 to verify that the FEL and laser pulses are as close to each other in time as possible based on the resolution of the photodiode.

3.1.6. If, as a result of the above procedure, the laser pulse was shifted in time by more than 1 nanosecond, repeat step 2.2.2 (“overlap in the time-of-flight direction”) with the new laser timing.

3.2. “Fine” timing

Note: The precise time T_0 , when FEL and laser pulses are exactly overlapped in time, can be found using a two-color (FEL + laser) signal that exhibits a maximum or a “step function”-like increase or decrease, *e.g.*, in the ion yield or kinetic energy of a given ionic fragment. As the appropriate method depends on the FEL and laser wavelengths, several methods are described in the following.

3.2.1. T_0 determination for XUV + NIR pulses using xenon gas

Note: This method is suitable for 800 or 400-nm laser pulses and XUV pulses above the Xe(4d) ionization threshold at 67.5 eV.

3.2.1.1. Attenuate the FEL and the optical laser to avoid damaging the ion (and electron) detector(s) with an excessive count rate due to high absorption cross-sections of xenon.

3.2.1.2. Introduce Xe gas into the chamber either through the gas jet or by leaking it into the vacuum through a needle valve. In the latter case, adjust the pressure to be between 1×10^{-7} and 1×10^{-6} mbar.

3.2.1.3. Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum. Block the laser using the laser shutter and adjust the FEL pulse energy such that the ion time-of-flight spectrum is dominated by single-photon processes, *i.e.*, such that the Xe^{2+} and Xe^{3+} peaks are the strongest Xe charge states in the time-of-flight spectrum and higher charge states are (almost) absent. If necessary, adjust the Xe pressure such that both peaks are well within the dynamic range of the detector and the data acquisition system.

3.2.1.4. Block the FEL using the FEL shutter and unblock the laser. Adjust the laser power such that the laser pulses produce mostly Xe^+ and only a small amount of Xe^{2+} .

3.2.1.5. Unblock the FEL and set the timing between the FEL and the laser such that the laser pulses arrive about 200 ps *before* the FEL pulses (based on the approximate reading of T_0 obtained from the “rough” timing method described in step 3.1). Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum and determine the ratio of Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} from the area of the corresponding peaks in the time-of-flight spectrum.

3.2.1.6. Set the timing between the FEL and the laser such that the laser pulses arrive about 200 ps *after* the FEL pulses based on the T_0 obtained from the “rough” timing method. Record the Xe ion time-of-flight spectrum and determine the ratio of Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} . If the spatial overlap between FEL and laser pulses is good, it will change significantly from the ratio obtained in step 3.2.1.5, with the Xe^{3+} signal now being stronger than in step 3.2.1.5, as shown in **Figure 2**.

3.2.1.7. Set the laser timing halfway in between the values in step 3.2.1.5 and 3.2.1.6.

3.2.1.8. Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum and determine the ratio of Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} . If the ratio is similar to the one in step 3.2.1.5, the laser pulses still arrive *before* the FEL pulses. If the ratio is similar to the one in step 3.2.1.6, the laser pulses still arrive *after* the FEL pulses.

3.2.1.9. If the laser pulses are still arriving before the FEL pulses (*i.e.*, ratio similar to step 3.2.1.5), set the timing half way in between the current value and the value in step 3.2.1.6), otherwise set it half way in between the current value and the value in step 3.2.1.5).

3.2.1.10. Repeat 3.2.1.8 and 3.2.1.9 until the position of T_0 has been narrowed down to a precision of better than 500 fs.

3.2.1.11. Set up a delay scan over a region of ± 1 ps around the approximate position of T_0 in steps of 50 fs (or smaller, depending on the NIR and FEL pulse duration). Record the time-of-flight spectrum and determine the ratio of Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} for every step. The center of the “step function” in the signal will yield the exact position of T_0 .

3.2.2. T_0 determination for XUV + NIR or UV pulses using CH_3I

Note: This method is suitable for XUV pulses above the $\text{I}(4d)$ ionization threshold at ~ 57 eV and for either 266-nm or 800-nm laser pulses (400 nm is untested, but probably also possible). It can also be performed using CF_3I instead of CH_3I .

3.2.2.1. Attenuate the FEL and the optical laser to avoid damaging the detector with an excessive count rate.

3.2.2.2. Introduce CH_3I molecules into the chamber either through the gas jet or by leaking it into the vacuum through a needle valve. In the latter case, adjust the pressure to be between 1×10^{-7} and 1×10^{-6} mbar. If the vapor pressure of the CH_3I sample is not sufficient to form a molecular beam, use He as a carrier gas.

3.2.2.3. Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum. Block the laser using the laser shutter and adjust the FEL pulse energy to the highest available pulse energy.

3.2.2.4. Block the FEL using the FEL shutter. When using 266-nm pulses, adjust the laser power such that the laser produces CH_3I^+ ions and a small amount of I^+ and CH_3^+ . When using 800-nm pulses, adjust the laser power such that the laser produces a significant amount of CH_3I^+ , I^+ , and CH_3^+ ions, but only few more highly charged ions.

3.2.2.5. Set the timing between the FEL and the laser such that the laser pulses arrive about 200 ps before the FEL pulses (based on the approximate reading of T_0 obtained from the “rough” timing method described in step 3.1). Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum or, when using a velocity map imaging (VMI) spectrometer, the ion image for the I^{4+} fragment (for photon energies below 600 eV, the I^{3+} fragment can also be used). Adjust the spectrometer voltages such that the time-of-flight peaks corresponding to the singly and multiply charged iodine fragments are broad (because of their large kinetic energy) or, when using a VMI spectrometer, such that the I^{4+} ion image covers most of the detector.

3.2.2.5.1. In the ion time-of-flight spectrum, the peak corresponding to the I^{4+} fragment (as well as the peaks corresponding to higher iodine charge states) will have a narrow spike in the middle (see **Figure 3A**). When using a VMI spectrometer, one or two (depending on the spectrometer resolution and the direction of the laser polarization) small bright spots will appear close to the center of the I^{4+} ion image (see **Figure 3B**). If these features do not appear, either timing or spatial overlap are not correct.

3.2.2.6. Set the timing between the FEL and the laser such that the laser pulses arrive about 200 ps after the FEL pulses based on the T_0 obtained from the “rough” timing method. Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum or the ion image for the I^{4+} fragment. The spike in the middle of the TOF peaks and the bright spot(s) in the center of the VMI images will disappear.

3.2.2.7. Set the laser timing half way in between the values in step 3.2.2.5 and 3.2.2.6.

3.2.2.8. Record the ion time-of-flight spectrum or the I^{4+} ion image and determine if the spikes or the spot(s) are present or not. If they are present, the laser pulses still arrive *before* the FEL pulses. If they are not, the laser pulses still arrive *after* the FEL pulses.

3.2.2.9. If the laser pulses are still arriving before the FEL pulses, set the timing half way in between the current value and the value in step 3.2.2.6, otherwise set it half way in between the current value and the value in step 3.2.2.5.

3.2.2.10. Repeat 3.2.2.8 and 3.2.2.9 until the position of T_0 has been narrowed down to a precision of better than 500 fs.

3.2.2.11. Set up a delay scan over a region of ± 1 ps around the approximate position of T_0 in steps of 50 fs. Record the time-of-flight spectrum or the ion image for the I^{4+} fragment for every step. Plot the yield of the spike or bright spots as a function of delay. The center of the “step function” in the signal is at a delay of $\sim 120 - 150$ fs with respect to $T_0^{9,10}$.

4. Fine-Tuning the Spatial Overlap on a Two-Color Signal

Note: While the procedure to establish the spatial overlap described in steps 2.1 and 2.2 is usually precise enough to be able to observe the two-color signal described in the procedure for establishing the temporal overlap (step 3), it is often advisable to fine-tune the spatial overlap on this two-color signal before starting the actual pump-probe experiment.

4.1. In order to fine-tune the spatial overlap, carefully adjust the mirrors that determine the spatial overlap and thereby maximize the Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} ratio when the laser pulses arrive approximately 1 ps *after* the FEL pulses.

4.2. Alternatively, if the temporal overlap procedure is performed with CH_3I , maximize the yield of the low-energy component in the I^{4+} fragments when the laser pulses arrive approximately 1 ps *before* the FEL pulses.

Note: Ideally, this fine-tuning procedure is repeated using a two-color signal in the actual target molecule, once such a signal has been found.

5. Arrival-Time Jitter-Correction in the Data Analysis

Note: In order to achieve the best temporal resolution possible, the single-shot data has to be corrected for the shot-to-shot arrival-time fluctuations as measured by the bunch arrival-time monitor (BAM) or timing tool, as described, *e.g.*, in Savelyev *et al.* 2017¹².

5.1. Arrival-time jitter correction based on BAM data

Note: In order to determine a unique and universal value for T_0 , the same procedure for the arrival-time jitter correction has to be performed both on the data from which T_0 is determined (*e.g.* the data obtained in step 3.2) and for the actual experimental data of interest. For the sake of the following description, it is assumed that T_0 is determined by measuring Xe ion time-of-flight traces. The protocol can be applied equivalently in the other cases.

5.1.1. Plot the streak camera values, the laser timing jitter, and the BAM values as a function of shot number for the entire range of the pump-probe scans of interest. If there are large, sudden jumps of more than 1 ps, this may be an indication of a loss of laser lock or another technical problem during this particular scan. Some of the data in that region may not be amenable for the correction described in the following and may have to be discarded.

5.1.2. Plot a histogram of the BAM values for the BAM located closed to the experiment (BAM 4DBC3) for each shot of the delay scan taken in step 3.2.1.11.

5.1.3. Chose a value close to the center of the distribution and define it as the reference value BAM_0 .

5.1.4. For each shot of the delay scan, calculate the corrected delay D_n , where n is the shot number, as

$$D_n = P_n + (BAM_n - BAM_0) \quad (1)$$

where P_n is the delay stage position and BAM_n is the BAM value for the n th shot. Note that more *positive* BAM values mean a *larger* delay between the laser and the FEL pulse, *i.e.*, the FEL arriving *later*.

5.1.5. Sort the single-shot time-of-flight traces in suitable delay bins based on their corrected delay value and determine the center position of the step function in the Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} ratio, which yields the corrected position of T_0 .

5.1.6. Using the *same* value for BAM_0 as in step 5.1.4), calculate the corrected delay D_n for each shot of the delay scan with the actual pump-probe data of interest using eq. (1).

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

If the FEL and the optical laser pulses are spatially overlapped in the interaction region of the ion spectrometer, the temporal overlap, *i.e.*, the delay value T_0 , at which FEL and laser pulses arrive exactly at the same time, can be found by varying the delay between FEL and NIR pulses

and by analyzing the ratio of the Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} ion yield as a function of delay, as explained above in section 3.2.1. When the NIR pulse arrives *after* the FEL pulse (which needs to have a photon energy of 67.5 eV or higher), the Xe^{3+} ion yield is increased due to post-ionization of excited, metastable Xe^{2+} ion that are created during the Auger decay process following the $\text{Xe}(4d)$ inner-shell ionization¹⁸, as shown in **Figure 2**. Plotting the ratio of the Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} ion yield as a function of delay thus yields a step function, which can be fitted to extract the exact value of T_0 .

A similar step function can be obtained by varying the delay between FEL and laser pulses and by analyzing the ion time-of-flight traces or ion momentum images of highly charged iodine ions, such as I^{3+} or I^{4+} , created in the ionization of CH_3I , as explained above in step 3.2.2). In this case, a low-energy contribution will appear as an additional peak at center of the highly charged iodine peaks in the time-of-flight spectrum or as a bright spot at the center of the corresponding momentum images, as shown in **Figure 3**. The low-energy ions are created when the CH_3I molecules are first dissociated by the laser pulse and the ion fragment is then post-ionized by the FEL pulse^{9,10}. This method can be used if either NIR or UV pulses are used for the pump-probe experiment, as long as the FEL photon energy is higher than 57 eV, which is the iodine 4d inner-shell ionization threshold in CH_3I .

In order to correct for the jitter in the relative arrival time of the FEL pulses with respect to the laser pulses, the shot-by-shot data recorded by the bunch arrival-time monitor (BAM), shown in **Figure 4**, can be used to sort the recorded pump-probe data in the post-analysis, as explained above in section 5. This typically improves the temporal resolution and overall quality of the pump-probe data considerably, as shown in **Figure 4** and, in more detail, in Savelyev *et al.* 2017¹².

FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Experimental Setup. Sketch of the experimental setup for a UV-pump XUV-probe experiment on gas-phase molecules. The UV (266 nm) laser beam is produced as the third harmonic of an 800-nm Titanium:Sapphire (Ti:Sa) beam using Beta Barium Borate (BBO) crystals and compressed using a prism compressor. It is collinearly overlapped with the XUV FEL beam using a drilled mirror and focused inside a supersonic gas beam at the center of a double-sided velocity map imaging spectrometer^{22,29}. Ion and electron momentum distributions are recorded at opposite ends of the spectrometer using a MCP/phosphor screen assembly followed by a CCD camera.

Figure 2: Delay-dependence of the Xe ion yield. Xe ion time-of-flight spectrum (decoupled MCP signal recorded by a fast digitizer) at 83 eV photon energy and with the NIR laser pulses arriving 1 μs before (top, black trace) and after (bottom, red trace) the FEL pulses. The change in the Xe^{2+} to Xe^{3+} ratio is clearly visible.

Figure 3: Delay-dependence of the iodine ion yield and momentum. (A) Zoom-in on the I^{4+} peak in the ion time-of-flight spectrum of CH_3I recorded at 727 eV photon energy and with the UV laser pulses arriving before (red line) and after (black line) the FEL pulses. The blue and green line, respectively, show the time-of-flight spectrum for FEL and UV laser pulse alone. This

figure has been modified from Boll *et al.* 2016¹⁰. **(B)** Ion momentum image of I^{3+} ions from CH_3I recorded at 107 eV photon energy and with the UV laser pulses arriving before the FEL pulses. **(C)** Same as **(B)**, but with the UV pulses arriving after the FEL pulses. The color scale in **(B)** and **(C)** shows the ion yield in arbitrary units.

Figure 4: Relative arrival time jitter of the FEL pulses with respect to the optical laser pulses.

(A) Shot-by-shot bunch arrival-time monitor (BAM) data for all FEL shots recorded during an exemplary delay scan. The reference value BAM_0 was set to the mean BAM value for this scan. **(B)** Ion yield of low kinetic-energy I^{3+} ions produced in a UV-XUV pump-probe experiment on difluoroiodobenzene before correction of the shot-to-shot arrival jitter. The red line shows a least-squares fit of a cumulative distribution function (Gauss error function) to the experimental data. The fit parameter σ is a measure of the total temporal resolution of the pump-probe experiment. **(C)** Same as in **(B)** but with the single-shot images resorted into new delay bins using the BAM data. The error bars represent one standard deviation. Figure adapted from Savelyev *et al.* 2017¹².

DISCUSSION:

Due to the complexity of the experimental setups, pump-probe experiments with free-electron lasers require a high level of expertise and experience and need very careful preparation and detailed discussions with the scientific teams that operate the free-electron laser, the optical laser, and the end-station, both before and during the experiment. While performing the actual experiment, precise determination of spatial and temporal overlap and close monitoring of all diagnostics and timing systems, as described in this protocol, are essential.

Note that most of the methods described here are only applicable for a specific photon energy range of the FEL since they rely on effects that strongly depend on the photon energy. For example, the determination of the “rough” temporal overlap using scattered light directed on a photodiode was found to work well for photon energies up to ~250 eV. At higher photon energies, the signal generated by the FEL pulses becomes so small that it is hard to detect. In that case, an open-ended SMA cable that can be brought very close (less than a millimeter) to or even into the FEL beam was found to produce a more reliable signal to perform the procedure described in step 3.1) of the protocol. Similarly, the best target for determining the “fine” timing, described in step 3.2), is strongly dependent on the photon energy. For FEL pulses in the XUV and soft X-ray region above 65.7 eV and ~57 eV photon energy (corresponding to the 4d ionization thresholds in xenon and CH_3I , respectively), Xe and CH_3I were found to be suitable targets for the procedure described in step 3.2. The method using CH_3I was found to work for photon energies up to 2 keV (above which it has not yet been tested), while the method using Xe has been tested up to 250 eV. For photon energies below 50 eV, the bond softening process in H_2 can be used¹⁹. At photon energies above 400 eV, a similar process in N_2 is also suitable²⁰. Alternative approaches involve the change in reflectivity of a solid sample^{25,26,30} or the formation of side bands in the photoelectron spectrum^{31,32}.

In order to achieve the best temporal resolution, it is necessary to sort the experimental data on a shot-by-shot basis in the data analysis to compensate for the arrival time jitter between

the FEL and the optical laser pulses, as described in step 5. However, the quality of the pump-probe data and, in particular, the achievable temporal resolution, strongly depends on the performance of the FEL during the experiment and on the pulse durations of the optical laser pulses and the FEL pulses that can be provided during that time. For the exemplary data shown here, the pulse duration of the UV pulses was estimated to be 150 fs (FWHM) and the FEL pulse duration was estimated to be 120 fs (FWHM). Although the total arrival time-jitter of approximately 90 fs (rms) before jitter-correction could be reduced to approximately 27 fs (rms) using the procedure described here¹², the resulting improvement of the total temporal resolution of the experiment was rather small because of the relatively long pulse durations of the FEL and the optical laser. Both can, however, be reduced substantially, in which case the impact of the jitter correction scheme will be more significant. For example, a new optical laser is currently being installed at FLASH, which will have a pulse duration (in the near-infrared) below 15 fs, while new FEL operation modes are also being tested that can produce FEL pulses with pulse durations of a few femtoseconds or even below. These developments will soon enable pump-probe experiments combining FEL and optical laser pulses with an overall temporal resolution of only a few tens of femtoseconds.

While the increased availability of short and intense XUV and X-ray pulses produced by FELs has spawned a number of NIR/UV – XUV pump-probe experiments such as the one described here, similar pump-probe experiments can also be performed with high harmonic generation (HHG) sources³³⁻³⁵. The main limitation of the FEL-based experiments is typically the achievable temporal resolution, which is fundamentally limited by the synchronization between the FEL and the optical laser or by the precision with which the relative timing between the pump and the probe pulses can be measured. This is not the case for a HHG-based pump-probe experiment, where the XUV and NIR pulses are intrinsically synchronized with sub-cycle precision and which can therefore, in general, have a much higher temporal resolution. The major advantage of the FEL-based experiments, on the other hand, is the several orders of magnitude higher photon fluence, which enables experiments, *e.g.*, on dilute targets that are not be feasible with current HHG sources, especially at higher photon energies in the soft X-ray regime. For the foreseeable future, pump-probe experiments with FELs and HHG will therefore remain complementary, with some overlap in the XUV region where both can be used for similar investigations. Some of the steps to perform these experiments are also similar, and some of the methods described here can therefore also be applied for HHG-based pump-probe experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The authors thank Evgeny Savelyev, Cédric Bomme, Nora Schirmel, Harald Redlin, Stefan Düsterer, Erland Müller, Hauke Höppner, Sven Toleikis, Jost Müller, Marie Kristin Czwalinna, Rolf Treusch, Thomas Kierspel, Terence Mullins, Sebastian Trippel, Joss Wiese, Jochen Küpper, Felix Brauße, Faruk Krecinic, Arnaud Rouzée, Piotr Rudawski, Per Johnsson, Kasra Amini, Alexandra Lauer, Michael Burt, Mark Brouard, Lauge Christensen, Jan Thøgersen, Henrik Stapelfeldt, Nora Berrah, Maria Müller, Anatoli Ulmer, Simone Techert, and Artem Rudenko, who participated in the FLASH beamtime during which the specific data shown and discussed here were acquired and who contributed to the analysis and interpretation. The work of the

scientific and technical teams at FLASH, who have made the experiment possible, is also gratefully acknowledged. D.R. acknowledges support from the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, Grant No. DE-FG02-86ER13491. The experiments at FLASH were also supported by the Helmholtz Gemeinschaft through the Helmholtz Young Investigator Program. The development of the CAMP instrument was funded by the Max Planck Society within the ASG at CFEL. In addition, the installation of CAMP at FLASH was partially funded by BMBF grant 05K10KT2. The authors thank Kasra Amini and Farzaneh Ziaee for careful proof-reading of the manuscript.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Feldhaus, J., Arthur, J., Hastings, J.B. X-ray free-electron lasers. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **38**, S799-S819, doi:10.1088/0953-4075/38/9/023 (2005).
2. Pellegrini, C. The history of X-ray free electron lasers. *Eur. Phys. J. H* **37**, 659–708, doi:10.1140/epjh/e2012-20064-5 (2012).
3. Bostedt, C. *et al.* Experiments at FLASH. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **601**, 108–122, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2008.12.202 (2009).
4. Fang, L. *et al.* Probing ultrafast electronic and molecular dynamics with free-electron lasers. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **47**, 124006, doi:10.1088/0953-4075/47/12/124006 (2014).
5. Rudenko, A., Rolles, D. Time-resolved studies with FELs. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **204**, 228-236, doi:10.1016/j.elspec.2015.07.010 (2015).
6. Bostedt, C. *et al.* Linac Coherent Light Source: The first five years. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 015007, doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.88.015007 (2016).
7. Wernet P. *et al.* Orbital-specific mapping of the ligand exchange dynamics of Fe(CO)₅ in solution. *Nature* **520**, 78-81, doi:10.1038/nature14296 (2015).
8. McFarland, B.K. Ultrafast X-ray Auger probing of photoexcited molecular dynamics. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4235, doi:10.1038/ncomms5235 (2014).
9. Erk, B. *et al.* Imaging charge transfer in iodomethane upon X-ray photoabsorption. *Science* **345**, 288-291, doi:10.1126/science.1253607 (2014).
10. Boll R. *et al.* Charge transfer in dissociating iodomethane and fluoromethane molecules ionized by intense femtosecond X-ray pulses. *Struc. Dyn.* **3**, 043207, doi:10.1063/1.4944344 (2016).
11. Amini, K. *et al.*, Photodissociation of aligned CH₃I and C₆H₃F₂I molecules probed with time-resolved coulomb explosion imaging by site-selective XUV ionization, *Struct. Dyn.*, *in press* (2017).
12. Savelyev, E. *et al.* Jitter-correction for IR/UV-XUV pump-probe experiments at the FLASH Free-Electron Laser. *New J. Phys.* **19**, 043009, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/aa652d (2017).
13. Dell'Angela, M. *et al.* Real-Time Observation of Surface Bond Breaking with an X-ray laser, *Science* **339**, 1302-1305, doi:10.1126/science.1231711 (2013).
14. Öström, H. *et al.* Probing the transition state region in catalytic CO oxidation on Ru. *Science* **347**, 978-982, doi:10.1126/science.1261747 (2015).

15. Ackermann, W. *et al.* Operation of a free-electron laser from the extreme ultraviolet to the water window. *Nat. Photonics* **1**, 336-342, doi:10.1038/nphoton.2007.76 (2007).
16. Feldhaus, J. FLASH—the first soft X-ray free electron laser (FEL) user facility. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **43**, 194002, doi:10.1088/0953-4075/43/19/194002 (2010).
17. Emma, P. *et al.* First lasing and operation of an Angstrom-wavelength free-electron laser. *Nat. Photonics* **4**, 641-647, doi: 10.1038/nphoton.2010.176 (2010).
18. Krikunova, M. *et al.* Time-resolved ion spectrometry on xenon with the jitter-compensated soft X-ray pulses of a free-electron laser. *New J. Phys.* **11**, 123019, doi:10.1088/1367-2630/11/12/123019 (2009).
19. Johnsson, P. *et al.* Characterization of a two-color pump-probe setup at FLASH using a velocity map imaging spectrometer. *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 4163-4165, doi: 10.1364/OL.35.004163 (2010).
20. Glowia, J.M. *et al.* Time-resolved pump-probe experiments at the LCLS. *Opt. Express* **18**, 17620-17630, doi:10.1364/OE.18.017620 (2010).
21. Schulz, S. *et al.* Femtosecond all-optical synchronization of an X-ray free-electron laser. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 5938, doi: 10.1038/ncomms6938 (2015).
22. Strüder, L. *et al.* Large-format, high-speed, X-ray pnCCDs combined with electron and ion imaging spectrometers in a multipurpose chamber for experiments at 4th generation light sources. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **614**, 483 – 496, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2009.12.053 (2010).
23. Löhl, F. *et al.* Electron Bunch Timing with Femtosecond Precision in a Superconducting Free-Electron Laser. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 144801, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.104.144801 (2010).
24. Czwalińska, M.K. Dissertation (PhD Thesis). *Universität Hamburg* (2012).
25. Schorb, S. *et al.* X-ray-optical cross correlator for gas-phase experiments at the LCLS free-electron laser. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 121107, doi:10.1063/1.3695163 (2012).
26. Beye, M. *et al.* X-ray pulse preserving single-shot optical cross-correlation method for improved experimental temporal resolution. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 121108, doi:10.1063/1.3695164 (2012).
27. Bionta, M.R. *et al.* Spectral encoding method for measuring the relative arrival time between x ray/optical pulses. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **85**, 083116, doi:10.1063/1.4893657 (2014).
28. Redlin, H. *et al.* The FLASH pump-probe laser system: Setup, characterization and optical beamlines. *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. A* **635**, S88–S93, doi:10.1016/j.nima.2010.09.159 (2011).
29. Rolles, D. *et al.* Femtosecond x-ray photoelectron diffraction on gas-phase dibromobenzene molecules, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **47**, 124035, doi:10.1088/0953-4075/47/12/124035 (2014).
30. Maltezopoulos T. *et al.* Single-shot timing measurement of extreme-ultraviolet free-electron laser pulses. *New J. Phys.* **10**, 033026, doi: 10.1088/1367-2630/10/3/033026 (2008).
31. Meyer M. *et al.* Two-color photoionization in XUV free-electron and visible laser fields. *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 011401, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.74.011401 (2006).

- 700 32. Radcliffe P. *et al.* An experiment for two-color photoionization using high intensity
701 extreme-UV free electron and near-IR laser pulses. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A*
702 **583**, 516–25, doi: 10.1016/j.nima.2007.09.014 (2007).
- 703 33. Gagnon, E. *et al.* Soft X-ray-driven femtosecond molecular dynamics. *Science* **317**, 1374-
704 1378, doi:10.1126/science.1144920 (2007).
- 705 34. Wernet P. *et al.* Real-time evolution of the valence electronic structure in a dissociating
706 molecule. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 013001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.013001 (2009).
- 707 35. Calegari, F. *et al.* Ultrafast electron dynamics in phenylalanine initiated by attosecond
708 pulses. *Science* **346**, 336-339, doi:10.1126/science.1254061 (2014).









figure 2







Name of Material/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Xenon	Linde		minican
CH ₃ I (methyl iodide)	Sigma Aldrich	67692	or other suitable sample
FEL pump-probe endstation	CAMP@FLASH or LAMP@LCLS		or a similar endstation at another FEL facility
fast XUV photodiode	Opto Diode Corp.	AXUVHS11	
bias T	Tektronix	PSPL5575A	
fast (≥10 GHz) oscilloscope	Tektronix	TDS6124C	



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article:

NIR/UV-XUV
Femtosecond pump-probe experiments with free-electron lasers

Author(s):

D. Rolles, R. Boll, B. Erk

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at <http://www.jove.com/author>) via: ☐ Standard Access ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: "**Agreement**" means this Article and Video License Agreement; "**Article**" means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; "**Author**" means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; "**Collective Work**" means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; "**CRC License**" means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; "**Derivative Work**" means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; "**Institution**" means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; "**JoVE**" means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; "**Materials**" means the Article and / or the Video; "**Parties**" means the Author and JoVE; "**Video**" means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. **Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. **Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access.** This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. **Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access.** This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this **Section 6** is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. **Government Employees.** If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. **Likeness, Privacy, Personality.** The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. **Author Warranties.** The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. **JoVE Discretion.** If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's

expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name: Daniel Rolles
Department: Physics
Institution: Kansas State University
Article Title: Femtosecond NIR/UV-XUV pump-probe experiments with free-electron lasers
Signature: D. Rolles Date: 7/27/17

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

Dear Dr. Steindel,

Please find below a list of our revisions addressing the editorial comments for our submission JoVE57055R1. As we were starting to wrap our mind around the filming for this manuscript, we realized that two colleagues, who have joined the team a bit more recently and who were therefore not included as authors on our original submission, will also be playing an important role in the filming as they are now an integral part of the experimental team. We would therefore like to suggest to include them as co-authors on this manuscript, if it is still possible to add them at this stage.

Regarding the filming at DESY in Hamburg, we will have to coordinate the dates soon since there is only very limited beamtime available and the schedule for the first half of 2018 has now been set. Furthermore, I will have to plan my travel from Kansas to Hamburg. There is an experiment scheduled in March, which would be suitable for filming. The ideal date from our side would be March 16, since this is a break in the schedule after the first two days of the experiment, so everything would be set up and ready to go, and we would also be able to use actual FEL time that day to film the experiment.

Concerning the revisions, we have proof-read the manuscript, removed all commercial language and all personal pronouns, and inserted reference numbers as superscripts for all citations, ordered by appearance in the text. We have also reworded the sections that had significant overlap to previously published work. Furthermore, we moved several sentences with background information from the protocol into the discussion (or, in one case, into the introduction) and have inserted a few sentences with references describing the advantages of our method over alternative techniques in the introduction. We also clarified some of the specific steps that were flagged as too vague and expanded the discussion to cover possible modifications and trouble shooting, limitations, and significance with respect to other methods.

Finally, we have modified Fig. 3 by changing the width of the curves and adding a color bar, and added an explanation of the fit in the caption of Fig. 4. Since none of the figures are direct reproductions of published figures but adaptations of figures from our own papers, we do not believe that explicit permissions are required. Please let us know if you still require us to obtain explicit permission.

Best regards,
Daniel Rolles (for all authors)