

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Laparoscopic low anterior resection (LLAR) with total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer --Manuscript Draft--

Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Manuscript Number:	JoVE56907R3
Full Title:	Laparoscopic low anterior resection (LLAR) with total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer
Keywords:	low anterior resection, total mesorectal excision, rectal cancer, laparoscopic surgery, rectum, mesorectum
Corresponding Author:	Aiguo lu Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital Shanghai, Shanghai CHINA
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	aiguoadams.lu@yahoo.com
First Author:	Hao Feng
Other Authors:	Hao Feng
	zhi-hai Mao
	Wen-qing Feng
	Jing-kun Zhao
	Cong-cong ZHU
	Xiao-Hui Shen
	Wolfgang Thasler
	Min-hua Zheng
Author Comments:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	



上海交通大学医学院附属瑞金医院
上海瑞金医院集团

Prof. Ai-guo Lu

Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Ruijin Hospital,

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai

200025, SHANGHAI; China

Submission for the JoVE

“Laparoscopic low anterior resection (LLAR) with total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer.” by

Hao Feng, Zhi-hai Mao, Wen-qing Feng, Jing-kun Zhao, Cong-cong Zhu, Xiao-hui Shen,

Wolfgang E. Thasler, Ai-guo Lu, Min-hua Zheng

Dear Dr. Myers, Dear reviewers,

First of all, Thanks for the invitation.

Please kindly find attached our manuscript which we would like to submit to *JoVE*.

Laparoscopic LAR has been established in our surgical center for more than ten years, it is nice to have the chance to share our visualized protocol to the readers and colleagues around the world.

To date, not only routine laparoscopic LAR is performed daily in our minimally invasive surgical center, but also the 3D laparoscopic operation.

Thirty years ago, Bill Heald, a british surgeon introduced a surgical procedure called total mesorectal excision (TME), entailing an entirely removal of the mesorectum, which was an adipose lymphatic tissue covering the rectum. Afterward, the outcome of rectal cancer resection has been substantially improved. With the development of laparoscopic device, to



上海交通大学医学院附属瑞金医院
上海瑞金医院集团

date laparoscopic total mesorectal excision became an alternative approach to open surgery in patients with rectal cancer. The oncological outcome was also confirmed by several high level evidences. The present video is to describe a laparoscopic low anterior resection approach to remove a part of the rectum containing the carcinoma.

The manuscript has not been previously published or submitted elsewhere for publication and will not be sent to another journal until a decision is made concerning publication by *JoVE*.

There are no personal conflicts of any of the authors.

We are very hopeful that our manuscript will meet the publication criteria of *JoVE*.

Yours truly,

Prof. Ai-guo Lu

TITLE:

Laparoscopic Low Anterior Resection with Total Mesorectal Excision for Rectal Cancer

AUTHORS & AFFILIATIONS:

Hao Feng^{1,3}, Zhi-hai Mao¹, Wen-qing Feng¹, Jing-kun Zhao¹, Cong-cong Zhu¹, Xiao-hui Shen²✉, Wolfgang E. Thasler³, Ai-guo Lu¹, Min-hua Zheng¹

¹Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China

²Department of exclusive medical care center, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200025, China

³ Department of General and Visceral Surgery, Red Cross Hospital of Munich, Germany

Dr. Hao Feng and Dr. Zhi-hai Mao contributed equally to this manuscript.

CORRESPONDING AUTHORS:

Prof. Ai-guo Lu (aiguoadams.lu@yahoo.com)

Dr. Xiaohui Shen (sxh11596@rjh.com.cn)

Telephone: 021-64370045 ext. 671101; Fax: 021-64370045 ext. 671101

EMAIL ADDRESSES OF CO-AUTHORS:

Hao Feng (surgeonfeng@live.com)

Zhi-hai Mao (15000901110@163.com)

Wen-qing Feng (fengwq94@sina.cn)

Jing-kun Zhao (ysyc56590@126.com)

Cong-cong Zhu (feixueliunian@sjtu.edu.cn)

Xiao-hui Shen (sxh11596@hotmail.com)

Wolfgang E. Thasler (surgeon.poetry@web.de, wolfgang.thasler@swmbrk.de)

Ai-guo Lu (aiguoadams.lu@yahoo.com)

Min-hua Zheng (410907892@qq.com)

KEYWORDS:

Low anterior resection, total mesorectal excision, rectal cancer, laparoscopic surgery, rectum, mesorectum

SHORT ABSTRACT:

Laparoscopic low anterior resection with total mesorectum excision is a common procedure used in the treatment of stage I-III rectal cancers. The aim of this work is to describe a laparoscopic low anterior resection approach to remove the part of the rectum containing rectal cancer.

LONG ABSTRACT:

Over the last few decades, laparoscopic total mesorectum excision has become a common procedure used in the treatment of stage I-III rectal cancers. When the tumor is located in the

upper part of the rectum, low anterior resection (LAR) can be performed to remove the part of the rectum containing the tumor. In addition, faster recovery, less blood loss, and lower complications rates have been achieved by laparoscopic approach. In this protocol, the laparoscopic low anterior resection is performed through five cannulas. The rectum is mobilized with laparoscopic devices such as laparoscopic shears. The visceral and parietal pelvic fascia are dissected without injuring the hypogastric nerves and pelvic neurovascular bundles. The part of the rectum containing the tumor is removed and the colon is then attached to the remaining part of the rectum.

INTRODUCTION:

In 1982, total mesorectal excision (TME) was introduced by a British surgeon, Heald¹, entailing an entire removal of the mesorectum, an adipose lymphatic tissue covering the rectum. Subsequently, low anterior resection (LAR) has become the preferred method for patients with rectal carcinoma, as opposed to abdominoperineal resection (APR), as LAR can preserve the sphincter.

Although radiotherapy and chemotherapy have significantly improved during the last few decades, appropriate surgical resection of the primary tumor remains the mainstay of curative treatments². The anatomic position of the rectum makes TME via an anterior approach more difficult due to limited visualization of the lower pelvis^{3,4}.

Compared to open surgery, laparoscopic surgery has recently been proven to be a feasible alternative option for rectal carcinoma in terms of equalized oncological outcome. Additionally, laparoscopic LAR (LLAR) is suggested to be superior to conventional open surgery due to less blood loss, less pain, and a shorter hospital stay^{5,6}. During an LLAR operation, the lesion is removed, the right planes are detected, and the hypogastric nerves and lumbar splanchnic nerves are well preserved. Thus, during the past two decades, the outcome of rectal resection for rectal carcinoma has been substantially improved⁷. With the development of the laparoscopic device, to date, laparoscopic total mesorectal excision has become an alternative approach to open surgery in patients with rectal cancer. The oncological outcome has also been confirmed by several high-level pieces of evidence^{8,9}. The present work aims to describe a laparoscopic low anterior resection approach to remove a part of the rectum containing carcinoma.

PROTOCOL:

This protocol was performed according to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine.

1. Preparation for Surgery

1.1. Perform the procedure using routine general anesthesia^{10,11}, including preparation for GI tract decompression (including a bowel preparation and nasogastric tube placement), neuromuscular blockade, endotracheal intubation, and fluid management¹².

1.2. Monitor the patient monitoring with a system containing a noninvasive blood pressure monitor, an electrocardiogram, a neuromuscular junction monitor, pulse oximetry, an airway pressure monitor capnography, and a temperature monitor¹³.

2. Position the Patient and Trocars

2.1. Position the patient in a steep Trendelenburg position. Dress the legs with padded podotheca. Place the legs on adjustable stirrups, and subject them to intermittent pneumatic compression on a mobile electrohydraulically operated surgical table.

2.2. Position both arms alongside the body routinely (or place the right arm at a 90° angle).

2.3. Have the surgeon stand on the patient's right side, the assistant on the left side, and the second assistant (camera operator) on the left side of the surgeon. Place the main monitor near the patient's left leg, and the second monitor near the patient's left shoulder.

2.4. Make the first incision at the umbilicus using the "open Hasson" technique to initiate a pneumoperitoneum (10 - 12 mm Hg) with a laparoscopic insufflation needle by using a High Flow Insufflation Unit (see **Table of Materials**).

2.5. Introduce the first 12 mm bladeless trocars (camera port, for the second assistant) when the pneumoperitoneum arrives at 12 mm Hg.

2.6. Tilt the operation bench to the right side to a moderate extent (10 to 30°) as well as head down to place the greater omentum and the transverse colon to the left upper quadrant under the supervision of the 30° laparoscopic camera.

2.7. Introduce the cannulas of the right middle (5 mm) and lower (12 mm) abdominal region (for the surgeon), and two ports (5 mm, 5 mm) on the left (for the first assistant) under the supervision of the 30° laparoscopic camera.

2.8. Perform a brief surgical exploration to identify the status of the greater omentum, small intestine, colon, and liver.

2.9. Retract the sigmoid colon out of the pelvic area to identify the tumor location. Perform a digital rectal exam or endoscopic examination under the supervision of a laparoscope to identify the tumor location. Determine the tumor location by intraoperative colonoscopy and mark the distal margin by titanium clips from the serosal side if needed.

3. Division of the Inferior Mesenteric Artery and Vein

3.1. Carefully and gently grasp (left hand) and lift up the anterior wall (right hand grasper) of the rectum and the pedicle of superior rectal arteries (SRA) as well as superior rectal veins (SRV)

131 ventrally by the first assistant to extend the right-side peritoneum of the rectum by using the
132 Kangji intestinal grasper (Bowl long).

133
134 Note: For the demonstrated results here, the surgeon selected the medial side approach.

135
136 3.2. Start the dissection from the right, begun with monopolar cautery or ultrasonic shears at
137 promontory level along the concave of peritoneum and toward the peritoneal reflection through
138 the right lower quadrant trocar.

139
140 3.3. Use sharp and blunt dissection to incise the peritoneum, extend the subperitoneal fibrous
141 tissues, identify the right plane, and separate the rectal fascia propria as well as the
142 prehypogastric nerve fascia.

143
144 3.4. Identify and protect hypogastric nerves and lumbar splanchnic nerves carefully. Continue
145 the dissection by using curved dissector and ultrasonic shears until the base of the inferior
146 mesenteric artery (IMA).

147
148 3.5. Use an ultrasonic shear to divide the adipose tissues containing lymph nodes after the IMA
149 has been identified, and use a Maryland grasper to skeletonize the IMA.

150
151 3.6. Sweep the lymph nodes at the base of the IMA to enable a robust lymph node harvest.

152
153 3.7. Seal and divide the IMA slightly distally by ultrasonic shears with 2x ligating Clips and 2x
154 titanium clips to preserve the lumbar splanchnic nerves.

155
156 3.8. Divide the inferior mesenteric vein (IMV), as well as the left colic artery (LCA) at the same
157 level as the division of IMA.

158 159 **4. Mobilization of Lateral Part and Splenic Flexure**

160
161 4.1. Expose the plane between mesocolon and Gerota's fascia by sharp division.

162
163 4.2. Dissect the left lateral plane along the white line of Toldt towards the splenic flexure. This
164 plane meets the plane between mesocolon and Gerota's fascia. If necessary, dissect the splenic
165 flexure in the lesser sac by ultrasonic shears until both dissection planes join at the splenic flexure
166 edge.

167
168 4.3. Dissect the fibrous tissues between rectal fascia propria and pre-hypogastric nerve fascia
169 starting from the right side through the right lower quadrant port, then from the left side.

170 171 **5. Dissect the Rectum in a Posterior Fashion**

172
173 5.1. Use gauze or a gauze dissector (optimal) to extend the space between planes.

174

Note: Here, the surgeon selected a posterior fashion to dissect the rectum down to the bottom of the pelvis.

5.2. Carefully protect the sacral vessels during the dissection to the pelvic floor.

Note: In dissecting around the rectum in the pelvis, dissect the superior rectal artery (SRA) carefully to avoid unexpected bleeding.

5.3. Dissect from posterior to the right to left peritoneal reflection. Dissect the presacral fascia and separate the bottom of the rectum from the pelvic fascia.

6. Anterior Dissection

6.1. After the incision of the peritoneal reflection, expose the rectoprostatic fascia (Denonvilliers' fascia). Protect the hypogastric nerves, seminal vesicles or vaginal wall carefully.

6.2. Open the anterior peritoneal reflection with shears just above the rectum. Protect the neurovascular bundles and the vaginal wall or prostate carefully.

6.3. Reach the pelvic floor at first the left and then the right anterolateral corners.

6.4. Divide the lateral ligament by ultrasonic shears and carefully preserve neurovascular bundles. Dissect anal-rectal ring when necessary.

Note: When quite a low anastomosis or an intersphincteric resection is planned, the dissection of the connection of rectum and the levator ani muscle and the prostate and rectum are essential.

7. Transection of the Rectum

7.1. Skeletonize the rectum, and estimate the tension after anastomosis. Introduce and fire a tri-staple linear stapler across the distal rectum at proper angles.

7.2. Extend the umbilical wound in a craniocaudal direction for an additional 3 cm. Insert a wound protector and exteriorize the specimen outside the abdomen.

7.3. Perform the double-staple anastomosis intracorporeally by using a functional end-to-end circular surgical stapler.

7.4. Establish a preventative ileostomy after ultralow anterior rectal resection to decrease the incidence of anastomotic leakage.

7.5. Evaluate the circumferential resection margin to assure good formation of the staples.

8. Post-Operative Patient Care

219
220 8.1. Irrigate pelvic cavity with 200 mL warm saline.

221
222 8.2. Remove all the trocar ports.

223
224 8.3. Close the fascia of the mini-laparotomy with interrupted #0 sutures.

225
226 8.4. Irrigate and close the wounds with 4-0 subcuticular sutures. Apply closure strips and dress
227 the wounds.

228
229 8.5. Laparoscopic low anterior resection results in less postoperative pain than conventional
230 open surgery¹⁴; therefore, follow a multimodal approach to managing postoperative pain as
231 previously reported^{13,15}.

232 233 **REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:**

234 **Intraoperative data**

235 In this protocol, the surgeon selected the medial side approach. The anterior wall of the rectum
236 was lifted up to extend the right-side peritoneum of the rectum. The surgeon used an ultrasonic
237 shear to dissect the peritoneum at promontory level along the concave of peritoneum and
238 toward the peritoneal reflection (Figure 1A). In this protocol, the surgeon used sharp and blunt
239 dissection to incise the peritoneum, and identify the correct plane without any injury of the
240 ureter (Figure 1B). When the surgeon completely skeletonized the IMA, the hypogastric nerves
241 were also identified and protected carefully (Figure 1C). Figure 1D shows the preservation of the
242 left colic artery (LCA) in laparoscopic low anterior resection; the superior rectal artery (SRA) was
243 ligated. The left colic artery (LCA) and superior rectal artery (SRA) were divided.

244 245 **Early postoperative data**

246 The observed rate of R1 resection for the entire patient cohort was 1.4%. Concerning the post-
247 operative complications, anastomotic leakage happened in 7% of patients. Specifically, in the
248 group with preservation of the left colic artery (combined with lymph node dissection), the
249 anastomotic leakage rate was 5%; in the corresponding non-preservation group, the leakage rate
250 was 7%. Concerning the quality of TME, among the 104 resections from 2015 to 2017, 95% were
251 mesorectal resection, 5% were intramesorectal resection.

252
253 In our previous study, the laparoscopic TME has been evaluated for rectal cancer patients with
254 elevated operative risk, which was defined as Cr-POSSUM > 5% combined with associated risk
255 factors. The 5-year overall survival rate of these patients was between 65% to 75%¹⁶.

256 257 **FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:**

258 **Figure 1: Intraoperative results. (A)** The right-side peritoneum was dissected by an ultrasonic
259 shear at promontory level. **(B)** The correct plane is identified, and the ureter (black arrow) was
260 carefully identified and protected during the dissection. **(C)** When dissecting the base of the IMA,
261 the hypogastric nerves (black arrow) were also identified and protected carefully. **(D)** The

skeletonized IMA in laparoscopic low anterior resection; the left colic artery (LCA) and superior rectal artery (SRA) were divided.

DISCUSSION:

Over the last three decades, total mesorectum excision (TME) was considered the gold standard for rectal cancer resection. Currently, it has been found by several randomized clinical trials that the oncological outcomes and long-term survival rates were equivalent between open and laparoscopic TME in the treatment of rectal cancer^{17,18,19}. In addition, shorter hospitalization and faster recovery, lower blood loss and lower complications rates were achieved by laparoscopic approach^{16,20}. However, considering the long learning curve and technical difficulties, the application of this surgical approach was mainly limited to several specialized surgical centers²¹.

When we perform the anterior dissection of the rectum, sometimes we found that the neurovascular bundle tightly adhered to the rectum. The surgeon should pay attention not to enter into the neurovascular bundle; instead, the surgeon should evert the neurovascular bundle from the rectum. For an intersphincteric resection (ISR) or an ultralow anterior rectal resection, the surgeon needs to caudally advance the dissection between the rectum and the levator ani muscle posteriorly.²²

Recently, it was reported that ninety laparoscopic TME operations were required for a surgeon to achieve comparative oncological safety²³. It was suggested that case accumulation and standardized procedure were essential to achieve high clinical performance. Though a robot-assisted approach could potentially overcome a few limitations of conventional and laparoscopic rectal resection in the narrow pelvic cavity, it still needs to be further validated²⁴. Meanwhile, a few retrospective studies suggest an advantage of transanal TME (TaTME) in low rectal cancer compared with the transabdominal approach; however, evidence of higher level needs to be explored²⁵.

In addition, the acceptable oncologic and functional outcome after transanal extraction of the rectal specimen shed light on the preservation of the abdominal wall in the laparoscopic low anterior resection²⁶. The laparoscopic low anterior resection (LLAR) could be performed either in a medial approach or lateral approach^{22,27}. Here, the medial approach was selected. Alternatively, if the lateral approach is chosen, the left lateral plane should be exposed first after the dissection of the white line of Toldt.

Reports have shown that the postoperative anastomotic leakage rate is 5 - 26% for rectal cancer. Controversially, it seems that the preservation of the left colic artery (LCA) in laparoscopic low anterior resection was associated with less anastomotic leakage^{28,29}. Left colic artery (LCA) preservation combined with lymph node dissection might be an alternative approach to perform the laparoscopic low anterior resection.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The study was supported by the China Scholarship Council (201306230127).

DISCLOSURES:


None of the authors have competing or conflicting interests.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Heald RJ, Husband EM, Ryall RD (1982). The mesorectum in rectal cancer surgery—the clue to pelvic recurrence? The British Journal of Surgery 69(10), 613-6. PMID: 6751457
- 2 Bosset JF, *et al.* (2006). Chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer. The New England Journal of Medicine 355(11), 1114-23. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa060829
- 3 Akagi T, *et al.* (2012) Multivariate evaluation of the technical difficulties in performing laparoscopic anterior resection for rectal cancer. Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques 22, 52-57. DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e31824019fc
- 4 Qu H, Du YF, Li MZ, Zhang YD, Shen J (2014). Laparoscopy-assisted posterior low anterior resection of rectal cancer. BMC Gastroenterology 14, 158. DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-14-158
- 5 Ng SS, *et al.* (2013) Quality of life after laparoscopic vs open sphincter-preserving resection for rectal cancer. World Journal of Gastroenterology 19(29), 4764-73. DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v19.i29.4764
- 6 Liu LG, *et al.* (2017) Anorectal functional outcome following laparoscopic low anterior resection for rectal cancer. Molecular and Clinical Oncology 6(4), 613–621. DOI: 10.3892/mco.2017.1183.
- 7 Agha A, *et al.* (2014). Long-term oncologic outcome after laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer. Surgical Endoscopy and Other Interventional Techniques 28(4), 1119-1125. DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3286-8
- 8 Quirke P, *et al.* (2009). Effect of the plane of surgery achieved on local recurrence in patients with operable rectal cancer: a prospective study using data from the MRC CR07 and NCIC-CTG CO16 randomised clinical trial. The Lancet 373(9666), 821-828. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60485-2
- 9 Jeong S, *et al.* (2014). Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid-rectal or low-rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): survival outcomes of an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncology, 15(7), 767-774. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70205-0
- 10 Joshi GP (2002). Anesthesia for laparoscopic surgery. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia 49(1). DOI: 10.1007/BF03018135
- 11 Baldini G, Fawcett WJ (2015). Anesthesia for colorectal surgery. Anesthesiology Clinics 33(1), 93-123. DOI: 10.1016/j.anclin.2014.11.007

- 12 Miller TE, Raghunathan K, Gan T (2014). State-of-the-art fluid management in the operating room. *Best Practice & Research. Clinical Anaesthesiology* 28(3), 261-273. DOI: 10.1016/j.bpa.2014.07.003
- 13 Gerges FJ, Kanazi GE, Jabbourkhoury S (2006). Anesthesia for laparoscopy: A review. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia* 18(1), 67-78. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2005.01.013
- 14 Currie A, *et al.* (2015). The impact of enhanced recovery protocol compliance on elective colorectal cancer resection results from an international registry. *Annals of Surgery* 261(6), 1153-1159. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000001029
- 15 Ong CK, Seymour RA, Lirk P, Merry, AF (2010). Combining paracetamol (acetaminophen) with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: a qualitative systematic review of analgesic efficacy for acute postoperative pain. *Anesthesia & Analgesia* 110(4), 1170-1179. DOI: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181cf9281
- 16 Feng H, *et al.* (2017). Long-term outcomes, and propensity score matching analysis: rectal cancer resection for patients with elevated preoperative risk. *Oncotarget* 8(15), 25679. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.13827
- 17 Bonjer HJ, *et al.* (2015). A randomized trial of laparoscopic versus open surgery for rectal cancer. *New England Journal of Medicine* 372(14), 1324-32. DOI: 10.3892/mco.2017.1183
- 18 Jeong SY, *et al.* (2014). Open versus laparoscopic surgery for mid-rectal or low-rectal cancer after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (COREAN trial): survival outcomes of an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Oncology* 15(7), 767-74. DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70205-0
- 19 Green BL, *et al.* (2013). Long-term follow-up of the Medical Research Council CLASICC trial of conventional versus laparoscopically assisted resection in colorectal cancer. *British Journal of Surgery* 100(1), 75-82. DOI: 10.1002/bjs.8945
- 20 Mohamed ZK, Law WL (2014). The outcome of tumor-specific mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: the impact of laparoscopic resection. *World Journal of Surgery* 38(8), 2168-74. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-014-2533-z
- 21 Lu AG, *et al.* (2014). Challenge or Opportunity: Outcomes of Laparoscopic Resection for Rectal Cancer in Patients with High Operative Risk. *Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques* 24(11), 756-61. DOI: 10.1089/lap.2014.0163
- 22 Sakai Y (ed.), *Laparoscopic Total Mesorectal Excision(TME) for Rectal Cancer Laparoscopic Surgery for Colorectal Cancer* (pp. 170-187). Springer New York. DOI 10.1007/978-4-431-55711-1

393
394 23 Park IJ, Kim JC (2010). Adequate length of the distal resection margin in rectal cancer: from
395 the oncological point of view. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* 14(8), 1331-7. DOI:
396 10.1007/s11605-010-1165-3
397
398 24 Feroci F, *et al.* (2016). Total mesorectal excision for mid and low rectal cancer: Laparoscopic
399 vs robotic surgery. *World journal of gastroenterology* 22(13), 3602. DOI:
400 10.3748/wjg.v22.i13.3602
401
402 25 Chen WH, *et al.* (2015). Transanal total mesorectal excision assisted by single-port
403 laparoscopic surgery for low rectal cancer. *Techniques in Coloproctology* 19(9), 527-34. DOI:
404 10.1007/s10151-015-1342-1.
405
406 26 Denost Q, Adam JP, Pontallier A, Celerier B, Laurent C, Rullier E (2015). Laparoscopic total
407 mesorectal excision with coloanal anastomosis for rectal cancer. *Annals of Surgery* 261(1), 138-
408 43. DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000000855
409
410 27 Watanabe M. Laparoscopic anterior resection for rectal cancer. In *Laparoscopic Colorectal*
411 *Surgery* 2006 (pp. 170-187). Springer New York. DOI: 10.1007/s10353-006-0291-3
412
413 28 Hinoi T, *et al.* (2013). Effect of left colonic artery preservation on anastomotic leakage in
414 laparoscopic anterior resection for middle and low rectal cancer. *World Journal of Surgery*
415 37(12), 2935-43. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-013-2194-3
416
417 29 Yasuda K, *et al.* (2016). Level of arterial ligation in sigmoid colon and rectal cancer surgery.
418 *World Journal of Surgical Oncology* 14(1), 99. DOI: 10.1186/s12957-016-0819-3



Standard Manuscript Template

Company	Catalog Number
Olympus- Laparoscopes Articulating HD 3D Videoscope ENDOEYE FLEX 3D	LTF-190-10-3D
Olympus-3D monitor	LMD-3251SC
Olympus -UHI-4 High Flow Insufflation Unit	UHI-4
The Evis Exera III Video Center	CV-190
The Evis Exera III Xenon Light Source	CLV-190
The 3DV-190 3D visualization unit	3DV-190
Olympus -Image Management Hub 20	Olympus -Image Management Hub 20
Olympus30° laparoscope	WA 53005 A 30°
Sony LMD-3251SC	LMD-3251SC
Laparoscopic insufflation needle / Veress	MND11200
12mm ENDOPATH XCEL Bladeless Trocars	B12LT
5mm ENDOPATH XCEL Bladeless Trocars	B5LT
HARMONIC ACE+ Shears HAR36	HAR36
HARMONIC Hand Pieces	HPO54

Covidien – Medtronic Valleylab FT10 Energy Platform	VLFT10GEN
LigaSure 5 mm Blunt Tip Laparoscopic Instrument	LF1637
Force FX-8C Electrosurgical Generator	Force FX-8C Electrosurgical Generator
ENDOPATH 5mm Curved Scissors	5DCS
ENDOPATH 5mm Curved Dissector	5DCD
Kangji Alligator Grasper Forceps(Φ5x330mm)	101.044
Kangji Fenestrated Grasper Forceps(Φ5x330mm)	101.042
Kangji Clip Applier	101.1113A
Kangji intestinal grasper	Kangji intestinal grasper
Teleflex 544240 Weck Hem-o-lok Polymer Ligating Clips	Teleflex 544240 Weck
Covidien GIA 80-3.8mm Reloadable Stapler	GIA8038S
Medtronic Endo GIA Reloads with Tri-Staple Technology	Medtronic Endo GIA Reloads with Tri-Staple Technology
DST Series EEA Staplers 25 or 28mm	DST Series EEA Staplers 25 or 28mm
AMSINO Suction Kits	XY-23P



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article:

Laparoscopic low anterior resection (LAR) with total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer.

Author(s):

Hao Feng, Zhi-hui Mao, Wen-qing Feng, Jing-kun Zhao, Cong-cong Zhu, Xiao-hui Shen, Wolfgang E. Thaler, Ji-guo Lu, Minhua Zheng

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

http://www.jove.com/author) via: ☒ Standard Access ☐ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: **"Agreement"** means this Article and Video License Agreement; **"Article"** means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; **"Author"** means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; **"Collective Work"** means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; **"CRC License"** means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; **"Derivative Work"** means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; **"Institution"** means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; **"JoVE"** means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; **"Materials"** means the Article and / or the Video; **"Parties"** means the Author and JoVE; **"Video"** means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. **Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. **Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access.** This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. **Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access.** This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. **Government Employees.** If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. **Likeness, Privacy, Personality.** The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. **Author Warranties.** The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. **JoVE Discretion.** If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's

expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name:

Ai-guo Lu

Department:

Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Institution:

Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

Article Title:

Laparoscopic low anterior resection(LLAR) with total mesorectum excision for rectal cancer

Signature:

[Signature]

Date:

30.6.2017

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

Editorial comments:

General:

1. Please include more information about preparation (anesthesia, draping, etc.) and post-operative care and recovery. These can include citations instead of detailed protocols, and do not have to be filmed, but should be in the written protocol.

Please refer to Line.81-89

Laparoscopic low anterior resection is completed using general anesthesia¹⁰. The establishment of general anesthesia is performed routinely¹¹, which contains preparation of GI tract decompression (including a bowel preparation and nasogastric tube placement), the neuromuscular blockade, endotracheal intubation, and fluid management¹². The patient monitoring contains a noninvasive blood pressure monitor, electrocardiogram, a neuromuscular junction monitor, pulse oximetry, an airway pressure monitor capnography, and temperature monitor¹³. Laparoscopic low anterior resection results in less postoperative pain than conventional open surgery¹⁴. In our protocol, the surgeon follows a multimodal approach to managing the postoperative pain as reported before.^{13, 15}

2. We would like to see more substantial results in this section, in particular regarding outcomes and effectiveness. Have you done this procedure with multiple patients? If so, do you have statistics on outcomes, recovery rates, deaths, etc., for these patients? They can just be discussed in the text. Are there any exclusion/inclusion criteria (these could be in the protocol)? Lastly, do you have pre- and post-op imaging results (e.g., CT, MRI)? Please take a look at previous JoVE articles for examples

(e.g., <https://www.jove.com/video/55590/direct-re-implantation-left-coronary-artery-into-aorta-adults-with>, <https://www.jove.com/video/55632/full-root-aortic-valve-replacement-stentless-aortic-xenografts>, <https://www.jove.com/video/56790/standardized-technique-aortic-valve-re-implantation-for-valve-sparing>).

Thanks for the suggestion and the examples. Please kindly find the revised version below.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

Intraoperative data

In this protocol, the surgeon selected the medial side approach. The anterior wall of the rectum was lifted up to extend the right-side peritoneum of the rectum. The surgeon used an ultrasonic shear to dissect the peritoneum at promontory level along the concave of peritoneum and toward the peritoneal reflection (Figure 1A). In this protocol, the surgeon used sharp and blunt dissection to incise the peritoneum, and identify the correct plane without any injury of the ureter (Figure 1B). When the

surgeon completely skeletonized the IMA, the hypogastric nerves were also identified and protected carefully (Figure 1C). Figure 1D shows the preservation of the left colic artery (LCA) in laparoscopic low anterior resection; the superior rectal artery (SRA) was ligated. The left colic artery (LCA) and superior rectal artery (SRA) are divided.

Early postoperative data

The observed rate of R1 resection for the entire patient cohort was 1.4%. Concerning the post-operative complications, anastomotic leakage happened in 7% patients. Specifically, in the group with preservation of the left colic artery (combined with 253# lymph node dissection), the anastomotic leakage rate was 5%, in the corresponding non-preservation group, the leakage rate was 7%. Concerning the quality of TME, among the 104 resections, 95% were mesorectal resection, 5% were intramesorectal resection.

In our previous study, the laparoscopic TME has been evaluated for rectal cancer patients with elevated operative risk, which was defined as Cr-POSSUM > 5% combined with associated risk factors. The 5-year overall survival rate of these patients was between 65% to 75%¹⁶.

3. Please proofread and make sure the references have a consistent formatting (e.g., either all journals abbreviated or all not abbreviated).
The author has proofread the manuscript to try to have a consistent formatting.