

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Measuring calcium dynamics of individual, genetically-labeled neurons of the developing mouse neocortex --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JoVE55443R2
Full Title:	Measuring calcium dynamics of individual, genetically-labeled neurons of the developing mouse neocortex
Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Keywords:	Calcium Imaging, Neocortex, Development, Spontaneous Activity, Calcium Wave, Interneurons, Inhibition, GABA
Manuscript Classifications:	1.8.186.211.730.885.287.500.420: Neocortex; 7.4.299.880.800.100: Calcium Signaling; 8.1.158.273.200: Developmental Biology; 8.1.158.610.268: Neurophysiology
Corresponding Author:	Curtis R Easton, Ph.D. University of Washington Seattle, WA UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	curtis.easton@gmail.com
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Washington
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Kevin E Neuzil, B.S.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Other Authors:	Kevin E Neuzil, B.S. William J Moody, Ph.D.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Spontaneous activity in the developing mammalian cortex is necessary for proper network formation. Such activity may be intrinsic to individual cells or driven by network interactions, and different types of activity may affect distinct components of development. A striking feature of cortical development is propagating waves of activity that cause simultaneous action potential firing in neurons across broad cortical regions. Waves are proposed to play roles in patterning connections, such as those between the cortex and thalamus, as well as in placing inhibitory interneurons into the correct cortical layers. Calcium signaling induced by waves is likely to mediate these effects on development. Calcium imaging techniques in brain slice preparations may be used to visualize wave activity propagating between brain structures, and additionally to examine the contribution of individual cells to population activity. Slices have a great advantage over dissociated cultures because one may examine cellular activity in a setting with preserved network features such as cortical layering. However, slice preparation for physiological examination of developing cells can be difficult. The slicing process reduces network connectivity and injures cells such that high potassium ringer solutions are often necessary to produce synchronous activity that is normally present in-vivo. Here, we describe a set of methods for brain slice preparation that allow for the measurement of physiological patterns of synchronous activity without increasing potassium by utilizing short-term organotypic slice cultures to increase cell health. We also describe methods to identify genetically-labeled neuronal subpopulations in the cortical plate of these slices while conducting calcium imaging of heterogeneous neurons of the cortical network. We present an overview of the slice preparation and imaging techniques in the developing cortex that are useful for assaying both single-cell and population-level activity patterns. These methods may be adapted to many different neuronal subtypes and anatomical regions.</p>

Author Comments:	
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	

Cover Letter

Here we describe a unique approach for measuring physiological activity patterns in genetically labeled cell populations of the developing mouse neocortex. While traditional measures of population activity in brain networks have relied on electrical recordings, these are not easily applied to the study of neuronal subtypes and their participation in network activity. We use calcium imaging and transgenically-labeled cell types to achieve these measurements, which will be critical to future understanding of how normal and diseased brain networks function. Our system produces reliable results and that could be recreated in a number of other labs, with a requirement for resources that is less than most other techniques which are currently used to measure activity in neuronal subtypes in brain slices. We think that JOVE is an excellent way to disseminate this technique to a broader audience, as visualized experiments are an excellent way to simplify technical explanations that are more complicated on paper. Additionally, the nature of the data collected in these experiments is particularly amenable to being shared in a video format, as we measure calcium activity with CCD cameras and show changes in fluorescence over time in movies of brain activity. We hope you enjoy our submission and appreciate the opportunity to share our work with you.

Suggested Reviewers

Arnold Kriegstein, *University of California San Francisco*

kriegsteina@stemcell.ucsf.edu

Jan Ramirez, *Seattle Children's Research Institute*

jan.ramirez@seattlechildrens.org

Nicholas Spitzer, *University of California San Diego*

nspitzer@ucsd.edu

Albrecht Stroh, *Johannes Gutenberg Universitat Mainz*

Albrecht.stroh@unimedizin-mainz.de

Olga Garaschuk, *Universitätsklinikum Tübingen*

olga.garaschuk@uni-tuebingen.de

Knut Holthoff, *Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena*

knut.holthott@med.uni-jena.de

Author Contributions

Kevin Neuzil and Curtis Easton wrote the manuscript. Curtis Easton and William Moody designed the culture and imaging protocol, and William Moody edited the manuscript.

Assisting Editor

Dr. Indrani Mukherjee invited us to submit this manuscript and helped with the submission. We very much appreciate her reaching out to us and aiding in this process.

TITLE:

Measuring the calcium dynamics of individual, genetically-labeled neurons of the developing mouse neocortex

AUTHORS:

Kevin E. Neuzil
Department of Biology
University of Washington
Seattle, WA. USA
kevinneuzil8@gmail.com

William J. Moody
Department of Biology
University of Washington
Seattle, WA. USA
profbill@uw.edu

Curtis R. Easton
Department of Biology
University of Washington
Seattle, WA. USA
eastoncr@uw.edu

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Curtis R. Easton

KEYWORDS:

Calcium imaging, neocortex, development, spontaneous activity, calcium wave, interneurons, inhibition, GABA, organotypic slice culture

SHORT ABSTRACT:

This protocol describes brain preparation and calcium imaging procedures for the measurement of calcium dynamics in heterogeneous cortical networks with neuronal subtypes that are genetically-labeled with red fluorescent protein.

LONG ABSTRACT

Spontaneous activity in the developing mammalian cortex is necessary for proper network formation. Such activity may be intrinsic to individual cells or driven by network interactions, and different types of activity may affect distinct components of development. A striking feature of cortical development is the propagating waves of activity that cause simultaneous action potential firing in neurons across broad cortical regions. Waves have been proposed to play roles in patterning connections, such as those between the cortex and thalamus, as well as in placing inhibitory interneurons into the correct cortical layers. Calcium signaling induced by waves is likely to mediate these effects on development. Calcium imaging techniques in brain slice

preparations may be used to visualize wave activity propagating between brain structures and to examine the contribution of individual cells to population activity. Slices have an advantage over dissociated cultures because of the ability to examine cellular activity in a setting with preserved network features, such as cortical layering. However, slice preparation for the physiological examination of developing cells can be difficult. The slicing process reduces network connectivity and injures cells. High potassium ringer solutions are often necessary to produce the synchronous activity that is normally present *in vivo*. This work describes a set of methods for brain slice preparation that allow for the measurement of the physiological patterns of synchronous activity without increasing potassium by using short-term organotypic slice cultures to increase cell health. Methods to identify genetically-labeled neuronal subpopulations in the cortical plate of these slices while conducting calcium imaging of heterogeneous neurons in the cortical network are presented. An overview of the slice preparation and imaging techniques of the developing cortex, which are useful for assaying both single-cell and population-level activity patterns, are presented. These methods may be adapted to many different neuronal subtypes and anatomical regions.

INTRODUCTION

Spontaneous physiological activity regulates brain development via the actions of calcium, which serves as a second messenger to affect processes such as neurogenesis and cellular migration¹. Physiological activity may elicit changes in cellular calcium levels in different ways. For example, during the first postnatal week of mouse development, neurons of the cerebral cortex exhibit spontaneous action potential firing, which propagates as a wave through a large subset of cortical neurons^{2,3}. Calcium influx occurs through voltage and ligand-gated ion channels and triggers further calcium release from internal stores. At the same time, neurons of the same network may exhibit other types of calcium activity. For example, individual neurons may exhibit calcium spikes, which are not synchronous across multiple cells and are driven largely by L-type calcium channels^{4,5}. Such channels may be activated by subthreshold spontaneous depolarizations resultant from stochastic ion channel opening or ligand binding. Different types of calcium signaling may elicit distinct effects on development. Waves have been proposed to play roles in patterning cortical connections^{4,6}, while L-type calcium channels are important for neuronal migration⁵.

Calcium imaging techniques are used to describe the activity of calcium *in vivo* or in brain slice preparations. Imaging calcium dynamics rather than electrical signals in development has several benefits. Measurements of the properties of the calcium signal, such as the frequency and duration of calcium events or the correlation of activity in one cell to the activity of another cell, inform experiments that examine how calcium activity affects development. Importantly, calcium imaging allows for the simultaneous measurement of the activity of the hundreds of cells in a neural network. Additionally, calcium spikes may be used as a proxy for electrical activity, as population calcium events in the developing neocortex correlate 1:1 with simultaneously recorded local field potentials². Brain slices have been useful for examining spontaneous activity in cortical development, although synchronous wave activity can be difficult to record in slices due to its dependence on exceptional slice health. Nonetheless, calcium imaging in brain slice studies has shown that cortical waves initiate in discrete pacemaker regions⁷⁻⁹ and are driven by

two neurotransmitter systems^{2,10}. Recent technical innovations have allowed the measurement of calcium dynamics during wave activity in individual genetically-labeled inhibitory interneurons⁴.

The preparation described here is similar to that of Elias and Kriegstein, 2007¹¹. However, the recording of physiological activity from individual cells requires increased attention to slice health during the culturing and imaging process. These methods allow for activity generation with more physiological levels of potassium and magnesium than are often used in experiments on brain slices. Increasing potassium may cause regions of slices that do not normally initiate wave activity to become pacemakers and can change the duration and number of wave events, in part by increasing the participation of NMDA(n-methyl-D-aspartate)-type glutamate receptors. While the anatomy of the slice may change in culture over time, short-term cultures preserve slice anatomy while allowing for the recovery of slice viability. The addition of antibiotics to the culture medium could be predicted to affect the network, but it has previously been shown that ion channel properties develop normally in culture¹² and that inhibitory interneurons terminate their migration in culture on a normal timeline⁴. While special cutting solutions¹³ and recovery procedures¹⁴ may be used to improve the viability of acute slices, short-term cultures provide the best opportunity for cell recovery after slicing, allowing for the clearance of dead tissue at the surface of the slice, which might impair the imaging of deeper, healthier cells. Cultured slices are necessary to study early postnatal activity in the cortex of genetic mutants that do not survive past birth^{4,2}. Chronic pharmacological manipulations can be conducted to study the effects of activity on network development¹².

This work also describes methods of calcium imaging in organotypic brain slices. Brain slices have been used to measure population activity propagating across large regions of the cortex^{2,8,10}, as well as single-cell activity when higher microscope magnifications are used^{4,9}. Propagation patterns are measured with low-magnification microscope objectives, whereas single-cell activity is measured with higher-powered objectives. The methods presented here focus on higher-magnification imaging experiments that use spinning-disk confocal microscopy for the acquisition of confocal images of transgenically labeled cell populations, as well as wide-field epifluorescence imaging of calcium activity. This system allows for the fast acquisition of calcium recordings with a high signal-to-noise ratio and a low bleaching rate, and it is more cost effective than laser-scanning confocal systems. These techniques allow for the analysis of cellular-level studies of the mechanisms and functions of spontaneous physiological activity in development by enabling the simultaneous measurement of calcium transients in genetically labeled and non-labeled neuronal subpopulations.

PROTOCOL:

All steps described below are in compliance with the animal use and regulation policies of the University of Washington and IACUC.

1) Advanced Preparations

- 1.1) Prepare 5% agar in 100 mL of water and autoclave for 20 min at 120 °C to dissolve the agar. Immediately remove the agar from the autoclave to prevent the solution from solidifying. Portion it into 5-mL petri dishes and cover them with lids. Place the dishes in a refrigerator.
- 1.2) Make 1 L of artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) by mixing the following (mM): 140 NaCl, 3 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 1.25 NaHPO₄, 26.5 NaHCO₃, and 20 D-glucose.
- 1.3) Add 1 mL of penicillin-streptomycin (100x) per 1 L of ACSF.
- 1.4) In a sterile hood, remove the cap from an autoclaved 500-mL bottle and place a vacuum filter on the bottle. Filter the ACSF using a 0.2-µm sterilizing filter and place the sterile ACSF in the refrigerator to chill overnight.
- 1.5) Prepare the culture medium by combining the following: 75% sterile cell culture basal medium (1X), 25% horse serum, penicillin (100 IU/mL), streptomycin (0.1 mg/mL), and 2 mM L-glutamine.
- 1.6) Place all tools (*i.e.*, 2 forceps, 1 pair of vanna scissors, 1 pair of large scissors, glass petri dishes, 2 capillary tubes for bubbling, 2 spatulas, 2 blunt forceps, 1 razor blade, 1 glass bubbler, and 1 slicing stage) in autoclave bags and autoclave them to sterilize.

2) Day-of Preparation

- 2.1) Add 1 mL of culture medium to a 5-mL petri dish. Place a sterile culture membrane (0.4 µm, 30 mm diameter) on the culture medium so that the top of the membrane is dry and no air bubbles form beneath the membrane.
- 2.2) Repeat step 2.1 for as many dishes as necessary. Each membrane can hold 1-3 slices, depending on the section and age of the tissue.
- 2.3) Put the petri dishes in a water-jacketed incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ and allow them to equilibrate for the duration of the dissection.
- 2.4) Freeze ACSF at -80 °C until ice chunks form (approximately 30-40 min).
- 2.5) While the ACSF is cooling, thoroughly clean all work surfaces and tools with 70% ethanol.
- 2.6) Once the ACSF is partially frozen, oxygenate it for at least 5 min by bubbling with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂. Continue the oxygenation of this solution throughout the dissection.
- 2.7) Fill two small petri dishes with ACSF. Chill with an ice tower or occasionally add ACSF ice chunks to keep the ACSF cold.

3) Surgery

- 3.1) Decapitate an E17-P7 mouse so that the head falls directly into the cold, oxygenated ACSF.

Note: Due to age of the mouse, no anesthetic is required. Euthanasia is confirmed via direct decapitation. For embryonic-stage mice, the mother is euthanized via CO₂ and secondary euthanasia is performed by cervical dislocation.

3.2) Using forceps, remove the skin from the skull, starting from the posterior end and working towards the nose. Be careful to keep the forceps tangential to the skull and avoid damage to the brain.

3.3) Once the skin is removed, position the skull with its ventral side down.

3.4) Insert the ends of the forceps through the eye sockets at a roughly 45° angle from horizontal to hold the brain steady. Do not pinch the forceps together.

3.5) Using the vanna scissors, make a vertical cut through the part of the skull covering the cerebellum.

3.6) Continue to cut down the midline of the skull from the posterior to the anterior, up to the eye sockets. Keep the scissors as superficial as possible, hooking under the skull and pulling lightly outwards to cut.

3.7) Use the forceps to grip the skull near the eye sockets, without touching the brain. Pull away from the brain to remove the skull.

Note: The brain should come off largely in one piece per hemisphere.

3.8) Using a spatula, carefully scoop the brain out of the ventral skull and place it into the cold, oxygenated ACSF petri dish (step 2.7).

4) Slicing the Brain Tissue

4.1) Turn one glass petri dish upside down and use a spatula to place the brain on top of the overturned dish, ventral side down.

4.2) Prepare the brain slices, depending on the desired plane.

4.2.1) For sagittal sections, cut directly down the midline with a razor blade, separating the two hemispheres of the brain.

4.2.2) For coronal sections, using either a razor blade or vanna scissors, make a cut to remove the cerebellum. Make the cut 90° to midline to ensure that the left and right hemispheres are at the same rostral-caudal level in the individual slices.

4.3) Gently push the brain into the petri dish containing oxygenated, ice-cold ACSF.

4.4) Use a razor blade to cut a small strip (2 cm long, 0.5 cm wide, 1 cm tall) of the autoclaved agar (step 1.1) and glue it onto the slicing plate. Complete this step in the absence of ACSF.

Note: Place a small amount of glue directly in front of the agar on the slicing plate. Do not let excess glue stick to the agar, as this will cause the slices to stick and will interfere with slicing.

4.5) Position the brain.

4.5.1) Remove excess ACSF from the brain using lab tissue before slicing. Use a spatula to position the brain so that the cut side of the brain is on the surface of the slicing plate (where the glue was placed) and the ventral side is up against the agar.

Note: Multiple brains or hemispheres may be sliced at one time.

4.6) Put the slicing plate into the slicing stage and quickly add oxygenated, ice-cold ACSF. Place ice chunks in the slicing chamber so that it stays cold for the duration of the slicing.

4.7) Position the plate so that the slicing blade cuts first through the dorsal side of the brain (for sagittal and coronal slices) and then into the agar.

4.8) Calibrate the vibratome to cut 300-350 μ M-thick slices. Do not exceed 0.1 mm/s while cutting through the tissue.

Note: Once the blade is through the tissue, the slice should rest freely on top of the razor blade, while the sharp edge is embedded in agar.

4.9) Pause the vibratome. Use a broad-tipped pipette to gently suction the tissue slice into the pipette. Transfer them to a petri dish with oxygenated, ice-cold ACSF.

5) Incubation

5.1) Remove the prepared culture membranes (steps 2.1-2.3) from the incubator and place them in a sterile hood.

5.2) Bring the cold, oxygenated slices in ACSF to the hood.

5.3) Using a broad-tipped pipette, gently suction up one of the brain slices; use plenty of liquid to pick it up. If the slice seems to stick to the pipette, gently rock the ACSF until the slice comes free. Keeping the pipette vertical, allow the slice to sink to the open end of the pipette.

Note: The ACSF should make a slight convex curve extending out the broad end of the pipet. Let the slice rest in this meniscus before gently touching it to the membrane.

5.4) Touch the meniscus to the membrane to release the unfolded slice onto the culture dish with some extra ACSF. If the slice does not lay flat, pick it up with plenty of ACSF and reposition it.

5.5) Using a fine-tipped pipette, remove the excess ACSF surrounding the tissue, keeping the slice a safe distance from other slices and from the edge of the dish.

Note: Residual ACSF can prevent the slice from adhering to the membrane.

5.6) Repeat the procedure for each slice to be cultured (1-3 slices per membrane).

5.7) Return the culture membranes with the slices to the incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂). Replace the culture medium (step 1.5) every 1-2 days.

5.8) Incubate the slices for 2 days in the incubator (recommended).

Note: This will provide enough time for the slices to recover from the slicing process and will preserve the anatomy of the slice. Longer culture times may be used to study the effects, for example, of drugs.

6) Resting the Slices

6.1) Prepare a resting chamber for the slices at 28 °C (the chamber should allow for movement of the solution and oxygenation of the slices). Oxygenate the ACSF with carbogen for 10 min before moving the slices to the chamber.

Note: The resting chamber may consist of a mesh to suspend the slices in ACSF, with carbogen bubbling up from beneath the slices.

6.2) Remove the culture plates from the incubator.

6.3) Use a razor blade to cut the slices from the membrane using a light but steady touch.

Note: Pulling the membrane will cause distortion that negatively affects slice health. Cutting perpendicularly into another long cut is the best way to create clean corners.

6.4) Gently pull the corners of the membrane to drag the slice onto a spatula.

6.5) Position the slice so that the spatula is in contact with ACSF in the resting chamber and so that the tissue is facing upwards and not touching the ACSF.

6.6) Use a pipette to administer a few drops of ACSF onto the tissue/membrane while inserting it into the bath to prevent tearing due to surface tension; the slice will sink to the bottom of the resting chamber. Ensure that the membrane side is down and that tissue is facing up to prevent damage to the slice and to allow proper gas exchange.

6.7) Allow the slice to rest for approximately 1 h in the resting chamber. Maintain the temperature at 28 °C.

7) Loading the Slices

7.1) While the slices are resting, prepare a petri dish to load the slices with fluorescent dye.

7.2) Add 4 mL of oxygenated ACSF to a 5-mL petri dish. Add 70 μ L of poloxomer (stock: 2 g of poloxomer in 10 mL of DMSO) and 20 μ L of Fluo-4 AM dye (stock: 50 μ g of dye in 91 μ L of DMSO) to a bath concentration of 0.07% poloxomer and 2.5 μ M dye.

7.3) Mix thoroughly with a transfer pipette; the poloxomer acid will not evenly distribute on its own.

7.4) Transfer the slices from the bath to the loading dish using a transfer pipette for acute slices and a spatula for cultured slices. Ensure that the slice is on top of the membrane when placed into the bath and not upside-down, as this will hamper loading.

7.5) Bubble the loading dish using a bent glass pipette. Monitor the loading dish to ensure that the bubbles from the pluronic do not overflow.

Note: Briefly touching the bubbles will cause them to recede. Also, carefully monitor oxygenation so that the flow does not stop or cause excessive bubbling.

7.6) Leave the slice in the loading dish for 30-40 min and then return it to the resting chamber at 28 °C. Use an intermediate ACSF chamber during the transfer to reduce the poloxomer contamination of the resting bath. Limit light exposure to slices before imaging.

8) Imaging

8.1) To obtain the most complete cortical anatomy, identify the cultured slices that are rostral-side up and use these for the experiments.

Note: In this orientation, the rostral-most cut will be visible just inside the dorsal edge of the slice. The caudal cut tends to have a more unpredictable morphology.

8.2) Place a slice into an imaging chamber perfused with oxygenated, 32 °C ACSF and let equilibrate for 30 min before beginning the experiments.

8.3) Hold the slice in place by pinning down with a harp. Use harps with strings that do not press into the tissue.

Note: For imaging using an upright microscope, a harp may be used to hold a culture membrane down without touching the slice. On an inverted scope, the culture membrane will protect the slice from the harp strings.

8.4) Measure the calcium dynamics.

8.4.1) Use an epifluorescent microscope with a scientific digital camera for image acquisition. To prevent bleaching, set the intensity of the excitation light to the lowest possible levels that still allow good signal-to-noise ratios in the acquired images.

8.4.2) For long-duration experiments (*e.g.*, washing drugs in and out to measure their effects on activity), use a slow sampling rate to measure the activity over time. For example, use 1-Hz acquisition of 300-ms images or 2-Hz acquisition of 200-ms images.

8.4.2.1) For experiments to determine the precise propagation patterns of activity, either between brain regions at low magnification or brain cells at high magnification, use a faster acquisition. For example, use 20-Hz acquisition rates to resolve developmental wave activity propagation; waves propagate from 1-10 mm/s, depending on the region.

Note: The sampling rate of the camera used, as well as its sensitivity, will determine the fastest rate at which images can be acquired and the calcium signal detected. Lowering the resolution of the sampled images by camera binning will allow faster collection of images by increasing both the rate at which the camera may sample, and decreasing the amount of light needed to detect the calcium signal.

9) Identify Cell Types

9.1) Use a red fluorescent label to mark the cell types.

Note: The preservation of the green channel for calcium imaging will allow the use of high signal-to-noise calcium indicators, such as fluo4.

9.1.1) Use a Cre-dependent tdTomato transgenic mouse paired with a cell-type specific Cre transgenic mouse to label specific cell types with red fluorescent protein (RFP).

Note: For example, *Dlx5/6* Cre will label inhibitory interneurons. Slices from transgenic animals can be prepared with no changes to the described protocol.

9.1.2) Alternatively, use a dye such as SR101 to label glial cells.

Note: This requires the addition of SR101 to the bath during the application of the calcium indicator dye⁸.

9.2) Using an epifluorescent microscope with a spinning disk attachment, use widefield mode to find the best plane of focus in the calcium indicator channel; this plane is just below the top layer of visible cells.

9.3) Turn on spinning-disk confocality and take an image of the red fluorescence of the slice.

Note: This will identify genetically labeled cells. It may be necessary to take a small z-series to identify all genetically labeled cells that are visible in the widefield calcium record.

9.4) Revert back to widefield imaging mode and obtain a time-lapse image series of calcium indicator fluorescence in the slice. If the slice shifts during imaging, repeat step 9.3 after the calcium imaging run to ensure that all imaged cells are identified.

Note: Post-imaging, ROIs can be created over the red channel. The ROIs can then be applied to the calcium record to obtain fluorescence records of the identified cell population over time.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS

Figure 1 shows components of the raw data that may be used to determine the activity of individual, transgenically labeled cells. To measure activity, regions of interest (ROIs) are drawn onto cell bodies in a confocal image of RFP-labeled cells (Figure 1A). These ROIs are then applied to the calcium record associated with the confocal image. In Figure 1B shows a single image from the calcium record associated with confocal image 1A, with the ROIs applied. Figure 1C shows the average pixel intensity from each ROI over time in the calcium fluorescence record. Calcium events are detected by calculating the derivative of the fluorescence record and noting instances where the derivative crosses a threshold¹⁵. This threshold is set to separate physiological spikes in the calcium record from fluctuations in the noise of the recordings. Such automated processes usually require manual or visual error-checking and calibration. Also, ROIs over cells that clearly do not participate in a wave of activity (*i.e.*, cell 3 in Figure 1D) may still show a small spike in the calcium record associated with out-of-focus cells and a neuropil. This spike must be excluded when auto-detecting cell bodies participating in population activity. Easton *et al.*⁴ addressed this issue by creating background ROIs over areas of the slice that contain no active cell bodies, determined through the visual inspection of the calcium image series, and subtracting an average of these records from all other ROIs before automatic event detection.

Healthy slices should have a clear anatomy, with no folds or tears in the tissue that negatively affect network connectivity. Visual inspection of the slices under a microscope should reveal no bacteria moving on the surface of the slice, and individual cells should be clearly visible in the green channel, loaded with a calcium indicator dye. If cells are not clearly visible in this channel, this indicates a problem with the slice preparation or loading process. For the clearest identification of slice anatomy in coronal sections, ensure that the rostral slice edge faces away from the culture membrane. Image registration techniques may be used to correct small translational shifts in tissue position over the course of calcium image acquisition.

FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Representative data showing calcium signals in genetically labeled inhibitory interneurons. (A) Confocal image of tdTomato expression showing labeled inhibitory interneurons in the cortical plate of a P2 (E18 + 3 days *in vitro*) brain slice. (B) Widefield epifluorescence image of Fluo-4 AM expression in the same plane of focus as A. In both A and B, four ROIs mark individual cells. Cells 1 and 2 are inhibitory interneurons, as they express tdTomato. Cells 3 and 4 are not labeled with tdTomato. (C) Mean fluorescence over time from these regions, with each cell expressing a distinct pattern of activity. Arbitrary units are shown on the y-axis. Measurements of amplitude should not be compared between cells for non-ratiometric calcium imaging. (D) A series of images during a 4-s window spanning the second large spike visible in all ROIs in C. These images represent the raw fluorescence images during this time period, minus a baseline image of the slice when little spontaneous activity is present. The calcium level of individual cells is shown, with white representing a high change in fluorescence and black showing no change. Scale bars: 100 μ m.

DISCUSSION:

The combination of confocal imaging of fluorescent proteins to label individual cells with widefield epifluorescent calcium imaging uses important features of each technique. Epifluorescence imaging provides several benefits over other fluorescence imaging methods. Compared to confocal imaging, it allows for fast image acquisition at low light levels, as removal of out-of-focus light reduces the overall fluorescence signal. The use of high signal-to-noise fluorescent indicators (such as Fluo4 AM) allows for the resolution of very fine processes without the need for the removal of out-of-focus light. Thus, epifluorescence imaging more efficiently translates excitation light into signal at the detectors, which allows for better signal-to-noise ratios at higher acquisition speeds and less dye bleaching at the same acquisition rate. The use of inverted microscopes allows an even plane of focus to be obtained in widefield imaging across large brain areas. A spinning-disk confocal adapter was used here to obtain images of RFP-labeled neuronal subtypes in the same preparation used for the epifluorescence imaging of calcium dynamics. Spinning-disk confocal microscopy allows for quick switching between epifluorescent and confocal modes. This confocality is sufficient to obtain clear images of neurons labeled with variants of GFP, which are less sensitive than calcium indicators in regard to dye bleaching. With this system, it is possible to measure calcium activity in both genetically labeled and non-labeled neuronal populations at the same time.

Organotypic slice cultures have been used to study proteins, which are important in early development but difficult to study at later stages because they cause the death of newborn pups. For example, organotypic slice cultures were used to study spontaneous activity in the first postnatal week of brains lacking either *GAD67*², the primary enzyme creating GABA in the brain, or *Tbr1*, a transcription factor important for cortical layering⁴. In both cases, insights into the role of these neonate-lethal genes in patterning the newborn cortex were achieved by extending the age of assayable tissue using organotypic slice cultures.

This preparation provides access to easily assayed, healthy brain slices. Slice preparations allow access to cell populations deep in the brain that would be difficult to measure with *in vivo* techniques. Epifluorescence imaging works well in slices and is used for surface imaging *in vivo*, such as for cells in cortical layers I-III. However, it is ill-suited to imaging deep cell populations. Additionally, while the fluorescence imaging methods described here may be used on acute slices of adult tissue, organotypic slice cultures typically only work well in development and are not easily adapted to adult preparations. Finally, the bath application of calcium indicator dyes is much less effective in adult brain slices; thus, genetically-encoded calcium indicators are preferable for calcium imaging in adult slices.

The calcium imaging methods presented here are easily adapted to a variety of cell types in different brain regions. The bath loading of calcium indicator dyes works well across brain regions during development. To achieve the labeling of cell types besides inhibitory interneurons, it is necessary to cross the Ai14, Cre-dependent tdTomato transgenic mouse with a transgenic mouse expressing Cre under the control of a different promoter region. For example, Chat-Cre would drive the expression of tdTomato in cholinergic neurons. Future studies can take advantage of

this ability to describe the physiological patterns of activity in defined cell populations during development. This can help to explain how these cell types contribute to the generation of activity and what effect such activity has on brain development.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

NSF IOB0718344 and BRAIN EAGER to WJM and Mary Gates Endowment for Students to CD.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have no competing financial interests.


REFERENCES:

1. Spitzer, N. C. Electrical activity in early neuronal development. *Nature* **444** (7120), 707–712, doi:10.1038/nature05300 (2006).
2. Easton, C. R., *et al.* Genetic elimination of GABAergic neurotransmission reveals two distinct pacemakers for spontaneous waves of activity in the developing mouse cortex. *J Neurosci.* **34** (11), 3854–63, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3811-13.2014 (2014).
3. Adelsberger, H., Garaschuk, O. & Konnerth, A. Cortical calcium waves in resting newborn mice. *Nat Neurosci* **8** (8), 988–990, doi:10.1038/nn1502 (2005).
4. Easton, C. R., *et al.* Distinct calcium signals in developing cortical interneurons persist despite disorganization of cortex by Tbr1 KO. *Dev. Neurobiol.* doi:10.1002/dneu.22354 (2015).
5. Bortone, D. & Polleux, F. KCC2 Expression Promotes the Termination of Cortical Interneuron Migration in a Voltage-Sensitive Calcium-Dependent Manner. *Neuron* **62** (1), 53–71, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.01.034 (2009).
6. Hanganu-Opatz, I. L. Between molecules and experience: role of early patterns of coordinated activity for the development of cortical maps and sensory abilities. *Brain Res. Rev.* **64** (1), 160–76, doi:10.1016/j.brainresrev.2010.03.005 (2010).
7. Lischalk, J. W., Easton, C. R. & Moody, W. J. Bilaterally propagating waves of spontaneous activity arising from discrete pacemakers in the neonatal mouse cerebral cortex. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **69** (7), 407–414, doi:10.1002/dneu.20708 (2009).
8. Barger, Z., Easton, C. R., Neuzil, K. E. & Moody, W. J. Early network activity propagates bidirectionally between hippocampus and cortex. *Dev. Neurobiol.* **76** (6), 661–672, doi:10.1002/dneu.22351 (2016).
9. Namiki, S., Norimoto, H., Kobayashi, C., Nakatani, K., Matsuki, N. & Ikegaya, Y. Layer III Neurons Control Synchronized Waves in the Immature Cerebral Cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **33** (3), 987–1001, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2522-12.2013 (2013).
10. Conhaim, J., Easton, C. R., *et al.* Developmental changes in propagation patterns and transmitter dependence of waves of spontaneous activity in the mouse cerebral cortex. *J. Physiol.* **589** (Pt 10), 2529–41, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2010.202382 (2011).
11. Elias, L. & Kriegstein, A. Organotypic slice culture of E18 rat brains. *J Vis Exp* (6), 235, doi:10.3791/235 (2007).
12. McCabe, A. K., Chisholm, S. L., Picken-Bahrey, H. L. & Moody, W. J. The self-regulating nature of spontaneous synchronized activity in developing mouse cortical neurones. *J Physiol.* **577** (Pt 1), 155–67, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2006.117523 (2006).
13. Ting, J. T., Daigle, T. L., Chen, Q. & Feng, G. Acute brain slice methods for adult and aging

animals: application of targeted patch clamp analysis and optogenetics. *Methods Mol Biol.* **1183**, 221-242, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1096-0_14

14. Buskila, Y., *et al.* Extending the viability of acute brain slices. *Sci Rep* **4**, 12–20, doi:10.1038/srep05309 (2014).

15. Barnett, H. M., Gjorgjieva, J., Weir, K., Comfort, C., Fairhall, A. L. & Moody, W. J. Relationship between individual neuron and network spontaneous activity in developing mouse cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **112** (12), 3033–45, doi:10.1152/jn.00349.2014 (2014).



Name of Reagent/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
Inverted Microscope	Olympus	IX81	With DSU
Camera	Hamamatsu	Orca-flash2.8 CMOS	
Light Source	Sutter	Lambda XL	
40x Objective	Olympus	Uapo N 340 40x water	
Calcium indicator - Fluo-4 AM	ThermoFisher	F14201	NA 1.15, WD 0.25mm
Cre dependent tdTomato mouse	Jackson Labs	007914	Ai14 mouse from Allen Institute for Brain Science
Dlx5/6 Cre mice	Jackson Labs	008199	Labels inhibitory interneurons. Use a different Cre line
Sterilizing Filter	Corning	09-761-112	500mL, 0.22 micron bottle-top filter
Millicell Cell Culture Inserts	EMD Millipore	PICMORG50	30mm diameter, 0.4 micron
slice resting chamber	Warner Instruments	BSC-PC	Prechamber meant to rest slices before imaging
Neorbasal-A Medium	ThermoFisher	10888022	cell culture basal medium
NIS Elements AR	Nikon		image acquisition software

e
re driver to label different cell types



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article:

Measuring calcium activity in individual, genetically-labeled inhibitory interneurons of the developing mouse neocortex.

Author(s):

Kevin E Neuzil, William J Moody, Curtis R Easton

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

<http://www.jove.com/publish>) via: ☒ Standard Access ☐ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

☐

The Author is NOT a United States government employee.

☒

The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

☐

The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: “**Agreement**” means this Article and Video License Agreement; “**Article**” means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; “**Author**” means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; “**Collective Work**” means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; “**CRC License**” means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; “**Derivative Work**” means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; “**Institution**” means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; “**JoVE**” means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; “**Materials**” means the Article and / or the Video; “**Parties**” means the Author and JoVE; “**Video**” means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4** and **7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the “Open Access” box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. Retention of Rights in Article. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's


expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name:	Curtis R. Easton		
Department:	Biology		
Institution:	University of Washington		
Article Title:	Measuring calcium activity in individual, genetically-labeled inhibitory interneurons of the developing mouse neocortex.		
Signature:		Date:	9/5/2016

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

Editorial comments:

- Your manuscript has been modified by your editor, please maintain the current formatting throughout the manuscript. **Please use the updated manuscript located in your Editorial Manager account (under “File Inventory”) for all subsequent revisions.** The updated manuscript is also attached.
- Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread your manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammatical errors. Your JoVE editor will not copy-edit your manuscript and any errors in your submitted revision may be present in the published version. **done**
- JoVE reference format requires that DOIs are included, when available, for all references listed in the article. This is helpful for readers to locate the included references and obtain more information. Please note that often DOIs are not listed with PubMed abstracts and as such, may not be properly included when citing directly from PubMed. In these cases, please manually include DOIs in reference information. **We applied the “JOVE” citation style using Mendeley. This was intended to have been applied on the original submission, and should fix the citation issues.**
- Please ensure that references are numbered in the manuscript in chronological order, that is, the first reference that appears in the manuscript should be numbered 1. **done**
- Please provide the reference of Elias and Kriegstein, 2007, in the reference section. **done**
- Scattered grammar/spelling issues should be corrected: **all done**
 - Step 1.1: "Remove the agar from [the] autoclave immediately..."
 - Step 4.1: This section is about slicing the brain, but the first step begins with "place a brain slice on top of the overturned dish..." We assume this is a typo since the brain has not yet been sliced.
 - Step 4.2: "Obtain sections from different planes from different orientation."
 - Step 4.8: Should be "vibratome" rather than "vibratone."
 - Step 7.4: Some grammatical articles (a, the) are missing in this step.
- The abstracts indicate that this protocol is intended to demonstrate both slicing and calcium imaging, but the highlighted material covers only the slicing and mounting of slices. The highlighted material is not yet at the length limit, so the authors could include at least several steps on calcium imaging.
More material was intended to be highlighted, and should now be highlighted.

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Manuscript Summary:

Neuzil and colleagues present a comprehensive manual on conducting calcium imaging in slice cultures, combined with the optical identification of neuronal subpopulations. Clearly, slice cultures represent a vital bridge between classical acute slice preparations and in vivo approaches. As pointed out by the authors, acute slice preparations require the addition of potassium in the solution which might locally alter excitability, which is not needed in cultured slice. For optical recording of neuronal activity, here in the context of propagating waves, the authors introduce calcium imaging methods. The manuscript is overall well-written; the figure nicely illustrates the procedures. I would strongly support publication/production of their manuscript, as it would represent an excellent contribution to JoVE. However, there are quite a few key aspects that will need to be addressed by the authors, as otherwise the manuscript might mislead the readers concerning the concepts of calcium imaging

1. Title: "Measuring calcium activity.." The term "calcium activity" makes no sense. Either a neuron can be active (neuronal activity), or the calcium levels can be monitored as a surrogate for neuronal activity, so this term needs to be replaced by either "calcium imaging" or "measure calcium dynamics", a term used by the authors (line 254)

The title has been adjusted to use the term “calcium dynamics”

2. Abstract: Following this logic, the authors need to be much more precise in explaining the origin of the signal which they are recording, this is vital; otherwise this will mislead the reader. Line 39 "...propagating waves of electric activity that induce calcium transients..." That is wrong. Not the propagating wave is "inducing" a calcium transient, but individual action potentials lead to an opening of voltage-gated calcium channels, thereby increasing intracellular calcium levels. So this needs to be rephrased, e.g. by stating "propagating waves of electric activity are mirrored by calcium transients..."

The introduction has been rewritten to clarify the purpose of calcium imaging and the relationship between calcium signals and electrical signaling. We continue to use the word "induce" in the abstract as we find it appropriate and efficient to state the relationship this way, though the sentence in question has been reworded for clarity. A large source of calcium released during wave activity is from internal stores in response to initial calcium entry through ligand and voltage gated ion channels that occurs with action potential firing.

3. Introduction, line 61, as stated above, as calcium imaging represents a key technique described here, the authors need to explain how intracellular calcium is mirroring action potential firing. The sentence "These waves induce rises in cellular calcium.." is not precise enough.

This has been clarified

4. Line 190 "Touch the curve to the membrane.." I am not sure I do understand this sentence, please re-phrase

"The curve" has been replaced by "meniscus"

5. 8.4 Measure calcium dynamics: The authors need to better explain the requirements of temporal resolution. They need to provide an estimate on acceptable sampling frequencies for the underlying activity patterns, e.g. "for resolving a calcium wave with the speed of ?? a minimum sampling frequency of ? is advisable"

These details were added

6. Representative Results: What do the authors mean by "calcium record"? The temporal profile of the calcium trace? This is a highly unusual nomenclature.

"record" refers to a record of the calcium indicator fluorescence over time

7. 296 "Calcium events" again, the authors need to be precise. How is a calcium event defined? I guess they refer to a transient exceeding a threshold, or significant events in the calcium recordings.

This is indeed what we are referring to. A calcium event is defined in the "representative results" section.

8. Line 354 "...brain slices that usually reflect activity patterns that are present in-vivo" I would strongly argue against this statement. There might be processes that can be recapitulated or modelled in slices, but where is the evidence that slices "usually" reflect the in vivo situation?

This statement has been removed.

9. Line 361,362, maybe this is a typo, but this sentence makes no sense: "...bath application of calcium indicators is less effective in adult brain slices, and thus GECIS are not preferable.." Exactly the opposite is true; GECIS ARE preferably due to the rightfully mentioned shortcomings of the bath-applied acute indicators.

This was indeed a typo. Thank you for catching this

10. Figure 1 The authors did not normalize the calcium traces, just stating A.U. This is not state of the art; usually normalization is conducted, leading to df/f measures. The authors should at least describe these standard procedures of normalization.

We find that normalization procedures in the field are highly variable, and different experiments call for different normalization techniques. The important idea we want to illustrate is that amplitude is not usually a meaningful measurement in our data. In the representative results section we mention several aspects of data analysis to take into consideration. The figure legend here has been modified to clarify that amplitude measurements should not be compared between cells.

Major Concerns:

N/A

Minor Concerns:

N/A

Additional Comments to Authors:

N/A

Reviewer #2:

Manuscript Summary:

The manuscript by Neuzil et al describes a method for brain slice preparation that allows measurement of calcium activity. They are utilizing organotypic slice cultures to increase cell health. The authors provide details of the experimental setup. Many of these details have been published previously as stated in the introduction.

Major Concerns:

-I believe that there's no reason to have any particular concern about the procedures described in this manuscript. However, the goal of this paper and the technique they want to emphasize should be more focused. From one end the title state that the purpose is to measure calcium activity from interneurons, which are genetically labelled, but when looking on the protocol, they only mention the GCamp6 mouse, who is used to detect calcium signals.

The title has been modified for clarity. Section 9, "Identify Cell Types", depicts how to identify genetically labeled cell types. We do not use GCamp animals for these experiments, and have removed the small reference to GCamp. The basis of our system is widefield epifluorescence imaging of calcium dynamics paired with spinning disk confocal imaging of RFP expression in genetically targeted cell types. We have clarified the title and short abstract to hopefully better represent this idea.

-The authors mention that slices have advantage over cultures, as they preserve the network settings. Although true, they also should mention that the network settings and physiological properties on neurons are altered following the addition of culture media that contain growth factors and penicillin as per the described method (step 1.5).

The use of culture media has now been addressed in the introduction. We do not use growth factors

Minor Concerns:

-A big part of the introduction and method sections is devoted for the slicing method and the advantages of slices over cultures, however there is no adequate reference to the disadvantages of using culture media (especially antibiotics) on physiological properties of cells. Furthermore, recent advances in slice preparations that extend slices viability significantly should be discussed (Buskila et al., 2014).

We now cite previous studies where we have shown that ion channel properties and morphological features of neurons develop normally in culture.

-In the short abstract - does the goal is to measure individual neurons? Or calcium activity in individual neurons?

We reworded the short abstract as we agree that the initial wording was unclear.

-There is no mention of labelling interneurons, or using mice with labelled interneurons in the protocol. I guess step 9.1.1 is a general step to identify neurons, but should be amended to include interneurons.

We have reworded the entire article to be less centered on interneurons, although our example figure shows interneurons labeled. These techniques could be applied to a wide variety of neuronal subtypes. We have labeled interneurons by utilizing a Cre-dependent, RFP-expressing, transgenic mouse paired with a Cre-expressing transgenic mouse where Cre is under control of an interneuron-specific promoter region. The use of a different promoter to drive Cre could label a different subpopulation of neurons.

-The authors should mention the software used for calcium detection in the protocol.

This has been added to the materials section of the submission

-The paper would benefit from additional proof reading. For example-
Line 362 in the discussion: " genetically encoded calcium indicator dyes" should be "genetically encoded calcium indicators" and the conclusion from this sentence should be that genetically encoded calcium indicators are more preferable in adult slices rather than not preferable.

done

Additional Comments to Authors:

N/A