

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Creating virtual-hand and virtual-face illusions to investigate self-representation

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JoVE54784R4
Full Title:	Creating virtual-hand and virtual-face illusions to investigate self-representation
Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Keywords:	Virtual-hand illusion; Virtual-face illusion; Self-representation; Illusory perception; Multisensory integration; Sense of agency; Self-other boundary
Manuscript Classifications:	6.2.463.188.409: Consciousness; 6.2.463.593.112: Body Image; 95.53.13: human behavior; 95.53.16: perception (psychological, human); 95.53.19: psychological factors
Corresponding Author:	Bernhard Hommel Universiteit Leiden Leiden, ZH NETHERLANDS
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	hommel@fsw.leidenuniv.nl
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Universiteit Leiden
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Ke Ma
First Author Secondary Information:	
Other Authors:	Ke Ma
	Dominique Patrick Lippelt
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Studies investigating how people represent themselves and their own body often use variants of "ownership illusions", such as the traditional rubber-hand illusion or the more recently discovered enfacement illusion. However, these examples require rather artificial experimental setups, in which the artificial effector needs to be stroked in synchrony with the participants' real hand or face—a situation in which participants have no control over the stroking or the movements of their real or artificial effector. Here we describe a technique to establish ownership illusions in a setup that is more realistic, more intuitive, and of presumably higher ecological validity. It allows creating the virtual-hand illusion by having participants control the movements of a virtual hand presented on a screen or in virtual space in front of them. If the virtual hand moves in synchrony with the participants' own real hand, they tend to perceive the virtual hand as part of their own body. The technique also allows to create the virtual-face illusion by having participants control the movements of a virtual face in front of them, again with the effect that they tend to perceive the face as their own if it moves in synchrony with their real face. Studying under which circumstances illusions of this sort can be created, increased, or reduced provides important information about how people create and maintain representations of themselves.
Author Comments:	We tried our best to address the remaining questions. The most important revision relates to the results section. We replaced all result tables by figures and directly related the text to the figures.
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	

TITLE:

Creating virtual-hand and virtual-face illusions to investigate self-representation

AUTHOR:

Ma, Ke

Leiden University Institute for Psychological Research

Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition

Leiden, The Netherlands

k.ma@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Lippelt, Dominique Patrick

Leiden University Institute for Psychological Research

Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition

Leiden, The Netherlands

d.p.lippelt@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Hommel, Bernhard

Leiden University Institute for Psychological Research

Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition

Leiden, The Netherlands

hommel@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Bernhard Hommel

hommel@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

KEYWORDS:

Virtual-hand illusion; Virtual-face illusion; Self-representation; Illusory perception;

Multisensory integration; Sense of agency; Self-other boundary

SHORT ABSTRACT:

Here, we describe virtual-hand and virtual-face illusion paradigms that can be used to study body-related self-perception/-representation. They have already been used in various studies to demonstrate that, under specific conditions, a virtual hand or face can be incorporated into one's body representation, suggesting that body representations are rather flexible.

LONG ABSTRACT:

Studies investigating how people represent themselves and their own body often use variants of “ownership illusions”, such as the traditional rubber-hand illusion or the more recently discovered enfacement illusion. However, these examples require rather artificial experimental setups, in which the artificial effector needs to be stroked in synchrony with the participants' real hand or face—a situation in which participants have no control over the stroking or the movements of their real or artificial effector. Here, we describe a technique to establish ownership illusions in a setup that is more realistic, more intuitive, and of presumably higher ecological validity. It allows creating the *virtual-hand illusion* by having participants control the movements of a virtual hand presented on a screen or in virtual space in front of them. If the virtual hand moves in synchrony with the participants' own real hand, they tend to perceive the virtual hand as part of their own body. The technique also creates the *virtual-face illusion* by having participants control the movements of a virtual face in front of them, again with the effect that they tend to perceive the face as their own if it moves

in synchrony with their real face. Studying the circumstances that illusions of this sort can be created, increased, or reduced provides important information about how people create and maintain representations of themselves.

INTRODUCTION:

According to Western philosophy, the human self consists of two aspects¹: For one, we perceive our own body and our activities in the here and now, which creates a phenomenal self-representation (often called the *minimal self*). For another, we create more enduring representations of ourselves by storing information about our personal history, integrating new information into the emerging self-concept, and present ourselves to our social environment accordingly, which amounts to the creation of a so-called *narrative self*. The minimal or phenomenal self has been argued to emerge from two sources of information. One is *top-down information* about longer-lasting aspects of our body, such as information about the effectors we own or the shape of our face. The other is *bottom-up information* provided by self-perception in the current situation.

Investigations of the latter were strongly inspired by a clever study of Botvinick and Cohen². These authors presented human participants with a rubber hand lying in front of them, close to one of their real hands, which however was hidden from view. When the real hand and the rubber hand were stroked in synchrony, so to create intermodal synchronous input, participants tended to perceive the rubber hand as part of their own body—the *rubber-hand illusion*. Further studies revealed that perceived ownership even went so far that participants would start sweating and trying to withdraw their real hand when the rubber hand was being attacked by a knife or otherwise being “hurt”³.

While Botvinick and Cohen have interpreted their findings to demonstrate that self-perception arises from the processing of bottom-up-up information, other authors have argued that the rubber-hand illusion results from the interaction between intermodal synchrony of input, a bottom-up source of information, and stored representations of one’s own hands, a top-down source of information⁴. The idea is that the stimulus synchrony creates the impression that the real and the rubber hand are one and the same thing, and given that the rubber hand looks like a real hand, this impression is considered reality.

Later research by Kalckert and Ehrsson⁵ added a visuo-motor component to the rubber hand paradigm, which allows for the investigation of both perceived ownership (the impression that the artificial effector belongs to one’s own body) and perceived agency (the impression that one is producing observed movements oneself). Participants were able to move the index finger of the rubber hand up and down by moving their own index finger, and the synchrony between real and rubber hand finger movements, the mode of movement (passive vs. active mode), and the positioning of the rubber hand (incongruous vs. congruous with regard to the participant’s hand) were manipulated. The findings were taken to provide support for the notion that agency and ownership are functionally distinct cognitive phenomena: while synchrony of movement abolished both sense of ownership and agency, mode of movement only affected agency, and congruency of the rubber hand position had an effect on ownership only. The latter two result were replicated in a follow-up study in which the distance between real and rubber hand in the vertical plane varied⁶: ownership for the rubber hand decreased as its position increasingly mismatched the participant’s real hand. However, agency was not affected by misplacements of the rubber hand in any condition.

However, recent research using virtual-reality techniques, which provide the participant with

active control over the artificial effector, suggests that the role of the top-down part and the distinction between ownership and agency may have been overestimated^{7,8}. These techniques have replaced the rubber hand by a virtual hand presented to participants on a screen in front of them or by means of virtual-reality glasses⁹. Participants commonly wear a dataglove that translates the movements of the participant's real hand into the movements of the virtual hand, either synchronously or asynchronously (e.g., with a noticeable delay). Similar to the rubber-hand illusion, synchronous translation strongly increases the participant's impression that the virtual hand becomes part of his or her own body¹⁰.

Employing virtual-reality techniques to create the rubber-hand illusion has several advantages over both the traditional rubber-hand paradigm and the combination of the rubber-hand paradigm with the visuo-motor components¹¹. Moving one's hand and seeing an effector moving in synchrony with it creates a much more natural situation than facing a rubber hand and being stroked by an experimenter. Moreover, the virtual manipulation provides the experimenter with much more experimental flexibility and much more control over the perceptual relation between perceiving and moving one's real hand and one's perception of the event created by the artificial effector. In particular, using virtual techniques facilitates the manipulation of factors that are likely to influence perceived ownership and agency. For instance, the shape of the virtual hand can be modified much easier and faster than the shape of a rubber hand, and the movements of the virtual hand can be of any kind and for instance involve biologically impossible movements. Among other things, this facilitates exploring the limits of the illusion, as the artificial effector need not look like a hand but may be replaced by any kind of static or dynamic event. Of both practical and theoretical interest, a virtual effector is arguably a lot more immersive and feels a lot more real than a rubber hand, which is likely to reduce the necessity to invoke top-down interpretations to make sense of the present situation.

Ownership illusions have, however, not been restricted to hands. Tsakiris¹² was the first to use the stroking technique to create the impression of participants that a static face in a picture presented in front of them is their own. Sforza and colleagues¹³ have also found evidence for this phenomenon, to which they refer as *enfacement*: participants incorporated facial features of a partner when their own and their partner's face were touched in synchrony. The neural mechanism underlying the enfacement illusion has recently been investigated by various researchers; for a comprehensive commentary and interpretation of the findings see Bufalari et al.¹⁴. We have recently turned the regular enfacement illusion design into a virtual-reality version (the virtual-face illusion), in which participants are controlling the movements of a virtual face in front of them by moving their own head¹⁵. Here, we describe two experiments that used the virtual-hand illusion⁷ and the virtual-face illusion¹⁵ paradigms, respectively, to investigate self-representation. The virtual-hand experiment included three, completely crossed experimental factors: (a) the synchrony between (felt) real-hand and (seen) virtual-effector movements, which was either close to zero to induce ownership and agency or three seconds as a control condition; (b) the appearance of the virtual effector, which looked either like a human hand or like a rectangle (so to test the effect of real-virtual effector similarity on the ownership illusion); and (c) the opportunity to control the behavior of the virtual effector, which was either nonexistent in a passive condition or direct in an active condition. The virtual-face experiment included two, completely crossed experimental factors: (a) the synchrony between real-face and virtual-face movements, which was either close to zero to induce ownership and agency or three seconds as a control condition; and (b) the facial expression of the virtual face, which was either neutral or showing a smile, to test whether positive mood would lift the mood of the

participant and improve his or her performance in a mood-sensitive creativity task.

PROTOCOL:

All studies conformed to the ethical standards of the declaration of Helsinki and the protocols were approved by the Leiden University Human research ethics committee. Each condition tested about 20 participants.

1. Virtual-hand illusion

1.1. Experimental Setup

1.1.1. Welcome the participant and collect additional information, like age, gender, etc.

1.1.2. Establish an experimental setup that includes a virtual reality programming environment; a right-handed dataglove with six programmable vibration stimulators attached to the middle of palm and to the outside of the medial (second) phalanges of each of the five fingers (see Table 1 of Equipment); a 3-Degrees of Freedom (DOF) orientation tracker; SCR (skin conductance response) measurement equipment; a black box (depth: 50 cm; height: 24 cm; width: 38 cm) with a computer screen lying on top horizontally (serving to present the virtual reality environment); and a cape to cover the participant's hand.

1.1.3. Ask the participant to put the dataglove on his or her right hand and the orientation tracker on the right wrist. Attach a SCR remote transmitter with a strap to the left wrist. Put the SCR electrodes on the medial (second) phalanges of the index and middle fingers of the left hand (see Figure 1 for an illustration of the setup).

[Insert Figure 1A, 1B and Materials Table here]

1.1.4. Seat the participant in front of the desk on which the box with the computer screen on top is placed. Ask the participant to put his or her right hand into the box along the depth axis, as to shield it from their view.

1.1.5. Put a cape over the participant's right shoulder and cover the space between screen and participant. Ask the participant to rest his or her left hand on an empty part of the desk.

1.1.6. Connect the cables of dataglove and orientation tracker to the computer, and start the virtual reality programming environment. Run the pre-written command script in the command window by clicking the "run" button in the virtual reality environment interface, so that the virtual reality environment starts. Monitor that the participant follows the instructions shown on the computer screen in front of the participants. Wait until the pre-written command script quits automatically.

1.2. Virtual Hand Design

Note: Use Python command scripts in the command window of the virtual reality software and save them. Make sure that the main command script, the import commands, the module scripts, and other commands described below are part of the same script file. For the complete python script and necessary files see the attached "Virtual Hand Illusion.zip" file (NB: the zip-file is a supplemental materials of the manuscript and *not* part of the software package. Furthermore, it excludes the required plugins for the dataglove and orientation tracker, and any other python modules used throughout the script). In order to execute the experiment first unpack the contents of this file to any folder (e.g. the desktop) and then

double click the “virtual-face illusion_54784_R2_052716_KM.py” file to start the experiment. Note that the script is designed to work with the stated virtual reality programming environment and will not work using other programs.

1.2.1. Import a pre-made virtual hand model and a pre-written hand script module (which can be found in the installment file of the virtual-reality-environment software package) into the virtual reality environment. The hand script module tracks the finger joint gesture and angles of the dataglove and feeds the information into the virtual hand model, which allows controlling the movements of the virtual hand by moving the real hand wearing the dataglove.

1.2.1.1. Manually change the size and appearance of the virtual hand if necessary by specifying its parameters in the script, such as its x, y, and z scaling to change its size or change the mapped image.

1.2.1.2. For synchrony conditions, use no transformation, so that the virtual hand moves the same way as the real hand and at (about) the same time. To create asynchrony, add a delay of 3 s, so that the virtual hand moves as the real hand but with a noticeable delay.

1.2.2. Identify a suitable pre-made orientation tracker plugin in the virtual-reality-environment installment file and import it in the command scripts (use the intersense.dle plugin that is to be used with the orientation tracker). Note that running the command scripts makes the orientation tracker module track the orientation changes of the real hand (provided by the orientation tracker participants wear on their right wrist), which can then be used to control the orientation changes of the virtual hand by setting the yaw, pitch, and roll data of the virtual hand in the command window. Channel the data tracked by the orientation tracker directly into the virtual-hand model for synchrony conditions but insert a delay of 3 s for asynchrony.

1.2.3. Design the required additional virtual objects and their movement trajectories, so that they move to and from the virtual hand (here, design and import additional models for a stick, rectangle, ball, and knife, to be used during various parts of the experiment; see “Experimental Conditions”). Manually change the size, appearance and position for each of these objects in the command script in the same way as the parameters for the virtual hand are set. Program required movement trajectories using the appropriate command by setting the start and end position of the movement trajectory for an object and the speed at which it should move.

1.2.4. Determine the vibration strength and timing of each vibration stimulator in the command script; either without a delay for synchrony conditions (i.e., vibration starts exactly when the virtual hand is being contacted by the other virtual object) or with a delay of 3 s for asynchrony. All vibrators vibrate at the same time as the virtual hand is touched by the other virtual object (or at the delayed time point). Set the vibration strength to a medium level (i.e. to 0.5 on a scale of 0-1). Note that the actual strength of vibration depends on the programming environment and vibrators used for the experiment, and that a medium level of vibration in our experiment does not necessarily match the actual strength of vibration when different hardware (i.e. vibrators/dataglove) or software is used.

1.2.5. Add a second part to the experiment script that is identical to the previous steps except for the following changes:

1.2.5.1. Replace the virtual hand model with a virtual rectangle of a similar size as the virtual hand (so to realize the appearance factor of the experiment)

1.2.5.2. Make sure that the rotation of the real hand as picked up by the orientation tracker is translated into rotational movements of the rectangle.

1.2.5.3. Make sure that the opening and closing of the real hand as picked up by the dataglove is translated into color changes of the rectangle using the appropriate command for changing the color of an object in your programming environment (e.g., present the rectangle in green when the hand is completely closed, in red when it is completely opened, and let the color gradually change from red to green or green to red as the hand opens or closes).

1.3. Experimental Conditions

1.3.1. Run the eight experimental conditions (resulting from crossing the three experimental factors synchrony, appearance of the virtual effector, and active/passive) in an order that is either balanced across participants or randomized.

1.3.2. For each condition, include three phases of about 2 to 3 minutes each to induce the virtual-hand illusion and a threat phase to measure electrophysiological skin responses (SCR). The concrete protocol differs somewhat for the eight conditions and is described below.

1.3.3. Virtual hand/active/synchrony

1.3.3.1. Configure the system such that the delay between the following events is close to zero and not noticeable: (a) the movements and orientation changes of the real hand and the corresponding movements and orientation changes of the virtual hand in the visuo-motor correlation phase; (b) the time points of contact between the virtual hand and the additional virtual object on the screen and the corresponding time points of vibration-induced stimulation of the real hand in the visuo-tactile phase; and (c) the movements and orientation changes of the real hand and the corresponding movements and orientation changes of the virtual hand; and the time points of contact between the virtual hand and the additional virtual object on the screen and the corresponding time points of vibration-induced stimulation of the real hand in the visuo-motor-tactile phase.

1.3.3.2. For the visuo-motor correlation phase, have participants freely move or rotate their real right hand, including opening, closing, and rotating their real hand, and moving each finger individually. Have participants watch the corresponding movements of the virtual hand on the computer screen.

1.3.3.3. For the visuo-tactile stimulation phase, have participants keep their real hand still while watching the screen. Present another virtual object on the screen, such as a virtual ball or stick (which was created in 1.2.3) that moves to and from the virtual hand, producing the impression of touching and not touching the virtual hand.

1.3.3.3.1. Accompany each contact between this additional virtual object and the virtual hand by vibrator activity on the dataglove. Have the vibrator stimulate that part of the real hand that corresponds to the part of the virtual hand that is being touched by the additional virtual object (e.g., if the virtual object seems to touch the palm of the virtual hand, the palm of the participant's real hand should be stimulated by the vibrator¹⁶).

1.3.3.4. For the visuo-motor-tactile correlation phase, have the participants move the virtual hand by moving their real hand in order to touch a virtual vibrating stick or similar object (see 1.2.3). Ensure that each contact between virtual hand and virtual stick/object is accompanied by vibration-induced stimulation of the participant's real hand as described in 1.3.3.3.

1.3.3.5. For the threat phase, have participants keep their real right hand still while watching a virtual knife or needle appear on the computer screen. Make the virtual knife or needle go to and from the virtual hand. Ensure that each contact results in a visible apparent "cutting" or "puncturing" of the virtual hand.

1.3.3.5.1. Stimulate that part of the real hand that corresponds to the cut or punctured part of the virtual hand by using the vibrators of the dataglove as described in 1.3.3.3.

1.3.4. Virtual hand/active/asynchrony

1.3.4.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.3 after configuring the system such that the delay between the critical events is three seconds instead of close to zero.

1.3.5. Virtual rectangle/active/synchrony

1.3.5.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.3 but with the virtual rectangle instead of the virtual hand.

1.3.6. Virtual rectangle/active/asynchrony

1.3.6.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.4 but with the virtual rectangle instead of the virtual hand.

1.3.7. Virtual hand/passive/synchrony

1.3.7.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.3 but ask the participant to keep his or her real hand still throughout all phases.

1.3.8. Virtual hand/passive/asynchrony

1.3.8.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.4 but ask the participant to keep his or her real hand still throughout all phases.

1.3.9. Virtual rectangle/passive/synchrony

1.3.9.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.5 but ask the participant to keep his or her real hand still throughout all phases.

1.3.10. Virtual rectangle/passive/asynchrony

1.3.10.1. Run the procedure described under 1.3.6 but ask the participant to keep his or her real hand still throughout all phases.

1.4. Data Collection

1.4.1. Collect SCR data using the measurement equipment (see Materials Table) and its software. The recording frequency is every 0.1 millisecond.

1.4.2. Ask the participant to fill out the questionnaire measuring sense of ownership, agency, location and appearance for the respective condition. Use either a paper version, in

which each question (as described in 1.4.2.1 and 1.4.2.2) is printed, together with a Likert scale (as described in 1.4.2.3), and which can be filled in with a pen; or use a computerized version, in which each question is shown on the screen, together with the Likert scale, and in which the chosen scale value can be typed in.

1.4.2.1. Include a questionnaire that minimally includes one or more ownership questions²; use the following four:

- (O1) “I felt as if the hand on the screen were my right hand or part of my body”;
- (O2) “It seemed as if what I were feeling on my right hand was caused by the touch of the stick on the hand on the screen that I was seeing”;
- (O3) “I had the sensation that the vibration I felt on my right hand was on the same location where the hand on the screen was touched by the stick”;
- (O4) “It seemed my right hand was in the location where the hand on the screen was”.

1.4.2.2. Consider including further questions regarding agency questions; use the following:

- (A1) “I felt I can control this virtual hand” (for the active condition);
- (A1) “It seemed like I could have moved the hand on the screen if I had wanted to, as if it were obeying my will” (for the passive condition); .

Note that the items listed in 1.4.2.1 and 1.4.2.2 refer to the hand condition. For the rectangle condition, replace all references to the virtual hand by references to the virtual rectangle.

1.4.2.3. Use a Likert scale² for each question (e.g., 1-7), so that participants can score the degree to which they agreed to the question; e.g., use 1 for “strongly disagree” and 7 for “strongly agree”. Make sure each question appears on screen and can be responded to with the numbers 1 to 7 corresponding to the 7 response options of the Likert scale; appearance and response options are programmed in the experiment script.

2. Virtual-Face Illusion

2.1. Experimental Setup

2.1.1. Welcome the participant and collect additional information, like age, gender, etc.

2.1.2. Establish an experimental setup that includes a virtual reality programming environment; a head position tracking system, including corresponding hardware and software¹⁷; and a 3-DOF orientation tracker attached to the top of a hat or baseball cap.

Note: Using this experimental setup, participants can freely move or rotate their own head to control the position and orientation of the virtual face but they cannot control the facial expressions of the virtual face

2.1.3. Ask the participant to sit on the chair 2 meters in front of the computer screen. See Figure 1A and B for an illustrations of the experimental setup.

2.1.4. Ask the participant to put on the cap with the attached orientation tracker.

2.1.5. Connect position tracking system and orientation tracker to the computer and run the pre-written command script in the command window by clicking the “run” button in the virtual reality environment interface, so that the virtual reality environment starts. Monitor that the participant follows the instructions shown on the computer screen in front of the participants. Wait until the pre-written command script quits automatically.

2.2. Virtual Face Design

Note: For the complete python script and necessary files see the attached “Virtual Face Illusion.zip” file (NB: the zip-file is a supplemental materials of the manuscript and *not* part of the software package; it does not include the required plugins used for position and orientation tracking and any other python modules used throughout the script). In order to execute the experiment, first unpack the contents of this file to any folder (e.g. the desktop) and then double click the “virtual-hand illusion_54784_R2_052716_KM.py” file to start the experiment. Note that the script is designed to work with the virtual reality programming environment presented here and will not work using other programs.

2.2.1. Use a virtual face building program to design virtual faces with the appropriate age, race, and genders (corresponding to the participants being tested) by selecting the best fitting values on the corresponding scales of the program

2.2.2. Create two versions of each face, one with a neutral facial expression and one with a smile, by selecting the corresponding values on the corresponding scales of the program (which varies expressions by changing eye size, curvature of the mouth and some other face muscles)

2.2.3. For testing university students, create four 20-year-old virtual faces with the virtual face building program, one male face with a neutral facial expression, one male face that is smiling, one female face with a neutral facial expression, and one female face that is smiling

2.2.4. In the virtual face building program export the faces to 3D VRML-formatted files.

2.2.5. Using the appropriate commands of the virtual reality programming environment import the created VRML files, i.e., the virtual faces, into the virtual reality environment for use during the experiment. Vary their size or scale by setting their parameters accordingly using the appropriate commands.

2.2.6. Find the pre-written tracking module for the head position tracking system in the installment file of the virtual environment and import it, which allows tracking the head positions of participant. In the scripts, change the data of the head positions and determine the time point of when head positions are translated into virtual-phase positions (use a 0-ms delay for synchrony conditions and a 3-sec delay for asynchrony).

2.2.7. Find a pre-made orientation tracker plugin in the installment file of the virtual environment and import it in the command scripts. Note that, again, the script allows introducing temporal delays with respect to the time point of when orientation changes of the participant's head are translated into orientation changes of the virtual head (use a 0-ms delay for synchrony conditions and a 3-s delay for asynchrony).

2.2.8. Design additional virtual objects (such as a virtual stick) and their motion trajectories, so they move to and from the virtual face. Set the size of the virtual object to be similar to the size of a virtual finger. Connect hardware and implement the saved command scripts, and then start the experiment.

2.3. Experimental Conditions

2.3.1. Run the command scripts and track the participant's head position by means of the

head position tracking system and the participant's head orientation by means of a 3-DOF orientation tracker attached to a cap.

2.3.2. Expose the participant to the virtual face for 30 s and instruct participants not to move. Once face has disappeared, have participants respond to the IOS scale (described under Data Collection) to assess how he or she perceives the relationship between him- or herself and the virtual face

2.3.3. Run the four experimental conditions (described below) in an order that is either balanced across participants or randomized. Each condition includes three phases of about 2 to 3 minutes each to induce the virtual-face illusion.

2.3.4. Neutral/synchrony

2.3.4.1. Configure the system such that the delay between the following events (described below) is close to zero and not noticeable: (a) the movements of the real head and the corresponding movements of the virtual head in the visuo-motor correlation phase and (b) the time points of contact between the participant's real hand and the participant's real cheek and between the virtual object and the virtual head in the visuo-tactile stimulation phase.

2.3.4.2. For the visuo-motor correlation phase, have participants put on the cap with the attached orientation tracker. Ask them to keep moving or rotating their own head to control the position and orientation of the virtual face¹⁸.

2.3.4.3. For the visuo-tactile stimulation phase, have participants stretch their right arm to the right and back repeatedly, to touch their right cheek, while watching the screen. The touch is only momentary: participants touch the cheek, let go and stretch their right arm to the right, and repeat for the duration of this visuo-tactile stimulations phase.

2.3.4.4. On the screen, present the virtual face being repeatedly touched at the cheek by a virtual object, such as a virtual ball. The touch is (or rather hand movement in general) is synchronized with the virtual object through the motion system that can track the location of a participant's limb (e.g. hand) in 3D space, which allowed us to directly map the participant's hand movements on to the trajectory of the of the virtual object, resulting in a synchronized movement of the participant's real hand movement trajectory and the virtual object's movement trajectory. Thus when the virtual object touches the virtual avatar, this corresponds to the participant touching their own cheek.

2.3.5. Neutral/asynchrony

2.3.5.1. Run the procedure described under 2.3.4 after having configured the system such that the delay between the critical events is 3 s instead of close to zero.

2.3.6. Smiling/synchrony

2.3.6.1. Run the procedure described under 2.3.4 after having configured the system to present the smiling face instead of the face with a neutral expression.

2.3.7. Smiling/asynchrony

2.3.7.1. Run the procedure described under 2.3.6 after having configured the system such that the delay between the critical events is 3 s instead of close to zero.

2.4. Data Collection

2.4.1. Ask the participant to fill out the questionnaire measuring sense of ownership and agency for the respective condition.

2.4.1.1. Include a questionnaire that minimally includes one or more ownership questions; use the following four:

(O1) “I felt like the face on the screen was my own face”;

(O2) “It seemed like I was looking at my own reflection in a mirror”;

(O3) “It seemed like I was sensing the movements and the touch on my face in the location where the face on the screen was”;

(O4) “It seemed like the touch I felt on my face was caused by the ball touching the face on the screen”.

2.4.1.2. Consider including agency questions; use the following two:

(A1) “It seemed as though the movements I saw on the face on the screen was caused by my own movements”;

(A2) “The face on the screen moved just like I wanted it to, as if it was obeying my will”.

2.4.2. Include the “Inclusion of Other in the Self” (IOS) scale¹⁹, which is created by using a 7-point (1-7) Likert scale² on which each score is indicated to correspond to a different degree of self-other overlap. Indicate the degree of overlap graphically through the overlap of two circles with one representing the “Self” and the other circle the “Other”. Characterize the lowest score of the scale by zero-overlap of the two circles and the highest score by perfect overlap. Higher ratings thus represent a higher degree of self-other overlap.

2.4.3. Optionally, include the Affect Grid²⁰ to assess mood.

2.4.3.1. Create a 2-dimensional (valence by arousal) Likert-kind grid, in which one dimension corresponds to valence (ranging from -4 for feeling unpleasant to +4 for feeling pleasant) and the other to arousal (ranging from -4 for feeling sleepy to +4 for feeling highly aroused).

2.4.3.2. Have participants mark one point (e.g., with a pen) that corresponds to how pleasant and how aroused they currently feel.

Note: The questionnaires, IOS and Affect Grid appear on screen after the induction and threat phases are finished. Participants used the keyboard to respond (identical to the Virtual Hand Illusion experiment).

2.4.4. Optionally, include the Alternative Uses Task (AUT)²¹.

2.4.4.1. Ask participants to list as many possible uses for a common household item such as a newspaper. The task is performed with pen and paper. Have participants to write down as many uses for the object as they can in 5 minutes.

2.4.4.2. Repeat for another object (e.g., a brick). Score the outcomes later according to

fluency (number of uses), flexibility (number of categories of uses), elaboration (how much detail or explanation that is provided for the use), and originality (how unique the use is). Ensure that higher scores indicate higher divergent thinking performance for all items. Use two different scorers and ensure that the inter-scorer correlation is high. Focus on the flexibility score for further analyses, as this is the most consistent and theoretically most transparent score of the task.

2.4.4.3. Use the AUT as an implicit (and demand-characteristic-free) measure indicating mood, as performance in this task increases with better mood²².

Note: If the AUT is to be implemented change the script such that the virtual face remains on screen, is visible to and remains under the control of the participant while they are doing the AUT.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS

Virtual-hand illusion

We ran several experiments using the virtual-hand illusion paradigm, to investigate how people represent their bodies, in this case their hands. The number of tested participants depended on the amount of conditions, usually around 20 participants for each condition. Here we provide relevant results for one of the most elaborated studies we conducted in our lab. We will restrict our discussion to the subjective data, the average of the Likert-scale responses to the four ownership questions (O1-O4) and the Likert-scale response to the agency question (A1).

In this study⁸, we systematically investigated the effects of synchrony (synchronous vs. asynchronous), appearance of the virtual effector (virtual hand vs. rectangle), and activity (passive vs. active) on the participants' sense of ownership and sense of agency (all conditions were tested within participants). The results were the same for ownership and agency. As indicated in Figure 2, perceived ownership and agency were stronger if real and virtual hand moved in synchrony [$F(1,43)=48.35$; $p<.001$; and $F(1,43)=54.64$; $p<.001$; for ownership and agency, respectively], if the virtual effector was a hand than if it was a rectangle [$F(1,43)=14.85$; $p<.001$; and $F(1,43)=6.94$; $p<.02$], and if the participant was active rather than passive [$F(1,43)=9.32$; $p<.005$; and $F(1,43)=79.60$; $p<.001$]. The synchrony effect replicates the standard virtual-hand illusion.

[Insert Figure 2 and Figure 3 here]

Even more interestingly, both ownership and agency showed a significant interaction between activity and synchrony [$F(1,43)=13.68$; $p=.001$; and $F(1,43)=23.36$; $p<.001$; see Figure 3], but not between appearance and synchrony. This pattern suggests that activity plays a more dominant role for the ownership and the illusion than appearance does, it even showed that the illusory ownership perception is stronger in virtual than traditional rubber hand illusion paradigm. According to Hommel²³, objective agency (i.e., the degree to which an external event can objectively be controlled) contributes to both subjective ownership and subjective agency, which explains why in this experiment, active, synchronous control over the virtual effector increased both subjective ownership and subjective agency.

While appearance failed to interact with synchrony, suggesting that the ownership illusion does not rely on appearance, it did produce a main effect. This indicates that appearance does have an impact on perceived ownership. It makes sense to assume that people have general

expectations about what external objects might or might not be a plausible part of their body, which supports ownership perception in general but does not moderate the effect of synchrony. We thus conclude that multiple sources of information contribute to the sense of subjective ownership: general top-down expectations and bottom-up synchrony information. The relationship between these two informational sources does not seem to be interactive but compensatory, so that general expectations may dominate in the absence of synchrony, and vice versa.

Virtual-face illusion

In another study, we investigated how people represent their face. We were able to replicate the traditional enfacement illusion in a virtual environment, which we refer to as the *virtual-face illusion*¹². We further investigated whether people adopt the mood expressed by a virtual face they identify with. There was one within-participant factor—synchrony (synchronous vs. asynchronous) and one between-participant factor—facial expression (happy vs. neutral). The IOS ratings before the induction phase were subtracted from the IOS ratings after the induction phase, also the Affect Grid ratings before the induction phase were subtracted from the Affect Grid ratings after the induction phase, and these rating changes were used as the IOS and affect grid results.

The analysis of the ownership scores (O1-4), the agency scores (A1-2), and the IOS scale¹⁹ changes all showed main effects of synchrony [$F(1,58)=38.24$; $p<.001$; $F(1,58)=77.33$; $p<.001$; and $F(1,58)=43.63$; $p<.001$; respectively], showing that synchrony between one's own facial movements and the movements of the virtual face increased perceived ownership and agency, and facilitated the integration of the other phase into one's own self (see Figure 4). Synchrony also improved mood, as indicated by a synchrony effect on the affect grid²⁰ changes [$F(1,58)=7.99$; $p<.01$].

[Insert Figure 4 and Figure 5 here]

There were significant main effects of the facial expression on IOS changes, affect grid changes, and flexibility in the AUT^{21,22,24} but more important was the fact that the affect grid changes and the flexibility score interacted with synchrony [$F(1,58)=4.40$; $p<.05$; and $F(1,58)=4.98$; $p<.05$; respectively]. As shown in Figure 5, participants reported improved mood and showed more creative behavior after enfacing (i.e., synchronously moving with) a happy face as compared to the conditions where they moved asynchronously with a happy face or synchronously with a neutral face.

Figure 1A: Participants wore an orientation tracker and a dataglove on their right hand, and SCR remote transmitter on their left hand.

1B: Setup of the virtual-hand illusion experiment.

Figure 2: Ownership and agency ratings as a function of synchrony, appearance of the virtual effector, and activity of the participant.

Figure 3: Ownership and agency ratings as a function of synchrony and activity of the participant. Note that the synchrony effect is more pronounced for active participants.

Figure 4: Ownership and agency ratings, as well as IOS changes, as a function of synchrony. Note that positive IOS changes imply an increase of integration of the other into one's self.

Figure 5: Affect grid changes (positive values imply positive-going affect) and flexibility scores in the AUT, as a function of synchrony and the expression of the virtual face. Note that the interactions between synchrony and expression are driven by more positive-going mood and particularly good flexibility performance for the combination of synchrony and happy virtual face.

Table 1: F, P and Partial Eta squared (PES) values for the effects of the questionnaire item ratings, with $df = 43$. Factors are EFF: virtual effector (virtual hand vs. rectangle); ACT: activity (active exploration vs. passive stimulation); and SYN: synchrony (synchronous vs. asynchronous). Only results for significant effects are shown.

Table 2: Means (M) and standard errors (SE) for the ownership and agency ratings in all eight conditions. H: hand; R: rectangle; A: active; P: passive; SY: synchronous; AS: asynchronous.

Table 3: F, P and Partial Eta squared (PES) values for relevant dependent measures, with $df = 58$ for questionnaire and IOS results, and $df = 56$ for the valence dimension of the affect grid mood and AUT results. Only results for significant effects are shown.

Table 4: Means (M) and standard errors (SE) for relevant dependent measures in the four conditions. Neutral: neutral facial expression; Happy: happy facial expression; SY: synchronous; AS: asynchronous.

DISCUSSION

In this article we described two detailed protocols for the virtual-hand and virtual-face illusion paradigms, in which our virtual-face study was the first to replicate the traditional stroking-induced face-ownership illusion in virtual reality, together with representative results from the two paradigms.

The significant synchrony effects indicate that we were successful in inducing illusory ownership for the virtual hand and the virtual face, similar to more traditional illusion paradigms. Being able to reproduce these effects by means of virtual reality techniques has considerable advantages^{11,25}. Virtual-reality techniques are freeing the experimenter from the rather artificial and interruptive stroking procedure and opens new possibilities for experimental manipulations. For example, morphing virtual effectors allowed us to systematically manipulate the impact of the appearance of the virtual hand and the similarity between the virtual and the participant's real hand, or the facial expression of the virtual face. The impact of agency can also be systematically explored by varying the degree (e.g., immediacy) to which participants can control the movements of the artificial effector.

Another promising avenue for future virtual reality research are first person perspective (1PP) virtual reality experiences. 1PP experiences can create an immense sense of immersion and feeling of presence, on a completely different scale than a third person perspective virtual reality experience²⁶⁻²⁹. In 1PP experiences one can truly feel like one *is* the avatar, that one is literally embodying the avatar. This opens up possibilities for all kinds of manipulations such as detaching parts of a person's body²⁹, elongating³⁰ or rescaling body parts³¹, changing a person's skin color^{32,33}.

As the present and many other findings demonstrate, controlling virtual events in a

synchronous fashion strongly increases the perception of these events belonging to one's own body. For example, our findings from the hand study suggest that immediate control is an important cue for distinguishing between self-produced and other-produced events (i.e., personal agency) and between self-related and other-related events (i.e., body ownership). The findings presented here and elsewhere suggest that bottom-up information plays a decisive role in the emergence of phenomenal self-representation, even for body parts that are not as identity-related as one's own body part⁴.

The most critical part of the described protocols is the induction process, which introduces correlations between visual, tactile and motor (i.e., proprioceptive) information—these correlations allow the cognitive system to derive ownership and agency. As these correlations rely on the relative timing of the respective events, such as the delay between the participant's own movements and the movements of the artificial effector, it is crucial to keep processing delays (especially with regard to the translation of data from the dataglove to the motion of the virtual effector on the screen) to a minimum. With our experiment setup the minimum time delay is around 40 ms, which is hardly noticeable and does not hamper the perception of causality and agency. Shimada, Fukuda, and Hiraki³⁴ have suggested that the critical time window for the occurrence of multisensory integration processes constituting the self-body representation is 300 ms, which means that longer delays are likely to reduce the perception of control over virtual events.

Another important aspect of the protocol is tight experimental control over the participant's hand or face movements, depending on the paradigm. During induction, active movements of the respective factor are essential, as the required intersensory correlations rely on active explorative movements on the side of the participant. It is thus important to encourage participants to move frequently and to engage in active exploration. In other phases of the experiment, movements can impair the measurement however. For instance, in the virtual-hand illusion paradigm, moving the left hand (from which SCR was recorded) is likely to render measurements of the SCR level noisy and unreliable.

A limitation of the virtual-hand illusion paradigm technique is that, for practical reasons, participants commonly wear a dataglove and orientation tracker during the entire experiment (so to minimize distraction). This may not be comfortable, which in turn may affect the mood or motivation of the participant. One possible solution for that problem would be the use of lighter equipment or custom-made wearables. Another limitation of our current virtual-face illusion paradigm technique is that the equipment only registers head movements but no changes in facial expression. Allowing participants to control the facial expressions of a virtual face is likely to contribute to ownership illusions, but this would require hardware and software that provides reliable detection and categorization of facial expressions in humans—which we do not yet have available in our lab. The use of for example real-time (facial) motion capture utilities would be of great benefit in overcoming these limitations and would allow us to increase sense of agency and ownership of avatars to significantly higher levels.

As suggested by the findings from our study⁸, people consider various sources of information and update their body representation continuously. They seem to use bottom-up information and top-down information in a compensatory fashion, in the sense that one source of information plays a stronger role in the absence of the other—similar to what has been assumed for the sense of agency³⁵. This provides interesting avenues for future research, as it for instance suggests that ownership can be perceived even for artificial effectors in awkward postures, provided a sufficient degree of surface similarity, or vice versa (i.e., if the artificial

effector perfectly aligns with the real effector but differs from it in terms of surface features). The available findings also suggest that the boundaries between self and others are rather plastic, so that features of another person or agent can be perceived as a feature of oneself, provided some degree of synchrony between one's own behavior and that of the other^{36,37}.

DISCLOSURES

The authors have nothing to disclose.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) to K. M., and an infrastructure grant of the Netherlands Research Organization (NWO) to B. H.

REFERENCES

1. Tsakiris, M., Schütz-Bosbach, S., & Gallagher, S. On agency and body-ownership: Phenomenological and neurocognitive reflections. *Conscious. Cogn.* **16** (3), 645-660, doi:10.1016/j.concog.2007.05.012 (2007).
2. Botvinick, M., & Cohen, J. Rubber hands 'feel' touch that eyes see. *Nature*. **391** (6669), 756-756, doi:10.1038/35784 (1998).
3. Armel, K. C., & Ramachandran, V. S. Projecting sensations to external objects: Evidence from skin conductance response. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **270** (1523), 1499-1506, doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2364 (2003).
4. Tsakiris, M. My body in the brain: A neurocognitive model of body-ownership. *Neuropsychologia*. **48** (3), 703-712, doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2009.09.034 (2010).
5. Kalckert, A. & Ehrsson, H.H. Moving a rubber hand that feels like your own: Dissociation of ownership and agency. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **6** (40), doi:10.3389/fnhum.2012.00040 (2012).
6. Kalckert A. & Ehrsson H.H. The spatial distance rule in the moving and classical rubber hand illusions. *Conscious. Cogn.* **30C**, 118-132, doi:10.1016/j.concog.2014.08.022 (2014).
7. Ma, K., & Hommel, B. Body-ownership for actively operated non-corporeal objects. *Conscious. Cogn.* **36**, 75-86, doi:10.1016/j.concog.2015.06.003 (2015).
8. Ma, K., & Hommel, B. The role of agency for perceived ownership in the virtual hand illusion. *Conscious. Cogn.* **36**, 277-288, doi:10.1016/j.concog.2015.07.008 (2015).
9. Slater, M., Perez-Marcos, D., Ehrsson, H. H., & Sanchez-Vives, M. V. Towards a digital body: The virtual arm illusion. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **2** (6), doi:10.3389/neuro.09.006.2008 (2008).
10. Sanchez-Vives, M. V., Spanlang, B., Frisoli, A., Bergamasco, M., & Slater, M. Virtual hand illusion induced by visuomotor correlations. *PLOS ONE*. **5** (4), e10381, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010381 (2010).
11. Spanlang, B., et al. How to build an embodiment lab: Achieving body representation illusions in virtual reality. *Front. Robot. AI*, **1**, 1-22 (2014).
12. Tsakiris, M. Looking for myself: Current multisensory input alters self-face recognition. *PLOS ONE*. **3** (12), e4040, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004040 (2008).
13. Sforza A, Bufalari I, Haggard P, & Aglioti S.M. My face in yours: Visuo-tactile facial stimulation influences sense of identity. *Soc. Neurosci.* **5**, 148-162, doi:10.1080/17470910903205503 (2010).
14. Bufalari, I., Porciello, G., Sperduti, M., & Minio-Paluello, I. Self-identification with another person's face: the time relevant role of multimodal brain areas in the enfacement illusion. *J. Neurophysiol.*, **113** (7), 1959-1962, doi:10.1152/jn.00872.2013 (2015).
15. Ma, K., Sellaro, R., Lippelt, D.P., & Hommel, B. Mood migration: How enfacing a

- smile makes you happier. *Cognition*. **151**, 52-62, doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2016.02.018 (2016).
16. Ma, K., & Hommel, B. The virtual-hand illusion: Effects of impact and threat on perceived ownership and affective resonance. *Front. Psychol.* **4** (604), doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00604 (2013).
 17. Suma, E. A., et al. Adapting user interfaces for gestural interaction with the flexible action and articulated skeleton toolkit. *Comput. Graph.* **37** (3), 193-201, doi:10.1016/j.cag.2012.11.004 (2013).
 18. Ma, K., Sellaro, R., & Hommel, B. Personality assimilation across species: Enfacing an ape reduces own intelligence and increases emotion attribution to apes (submitted).
 19. Aron, A., Aron, E. N., & Smollan, D. Inclusion of Other in the Self Scale and the structure of interpersonal closeness. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **63** (4), 596, doi:10.1037/0022-3514.63.4.596 (1992).
 20. Russell, J. A., Weiss, A., & Mendelsohn, G. A. Affect grid: a single-item scale of pleasure and arousal. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* **57** (3), 493-502, doi:10.1037/0022-3514.57.3.493 (1989).
 21. Guilford, J. P. The nature of human intelligence. New York, NY: McGraw- Hill, doi:10.1126/science.162.3857.990-a (1967).
 22. Ashby, F. G., Isen, A. M., & Turken, A. U. A neuropsychological theory of positive affect and its influence on cognition. *Psychol. Rev.* **106** (3), 529-550, doi:10.1037/0033-295X.106.3.529 (1999).
 23. Hommel, B. "Action control and the sense of agency" in *The sense of agency*, eds P. Haggard & B. Eitam (New York: Oxford University Press), 307-326, doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190267278.001.0001 (2015).
 24. Akbari Chermahini, S., & Hommel, B. The (b)link between creativity and dopamine: Spontaneous eye blink rates predict and dissociate divergent and convergent thinking. *Cognition*. **115** (3), 458-465, doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2010.03.007 (2010).
 25. Sanchez-Vives, M. V., & Slater, M. From presence to consciousness through virtual reality. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **6** (4), 332-339, doi:10.1038/nrn1651 (2005).
 26. Slater, M., Spanlang, B., Sanchez-Vives, M.V., & Blanke, O. First person experience of body transfer in virtual reality. *PLOS ONE*, **5** (5), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010564 (2010)
 27. Maselli A. & Slater M. The building blocks of the full body ownership illusion. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **7** (83), doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00083 (2013).
 28. Pavone, E.F., et al. Embodying others in immersive virtual reality: Electro cortical signatures of monitoring the errors in the actions of an avatar seen from a first-person perspective. *J. Neurosci.* **26** (2), 268-276, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.0494-15.2016 (2016).
 29. Tieri, G., Tidoni, E., Pavone, E.F., & Aglioti, S.M. Body visual discontinuity affects feeling of ownership and skin conductance responses. *Sci. Rep.* **5** (17139), doi:10.1038/srep17139 (2015).
 30. Kiltner, K., Normand, J., Sanchez-Vives, M.V., & Slater, M. Extending body space in immersive virtual reality: A long arm illusion. *PLOS ONE* **7** (7), doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040867 (2012).
 31. Banakou, D., Groten, R., & Slater, M. Illusory ownership of a virtual child body causes overestimation of object sizes and implicit attitude changes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110** (31), 12846-12851, doi:10.1073/pnas.1306779110 (2013).
 32. Martini, M, Perez-Marcos, D., & Sanchez-Vives, M.V. What color is my arm? Changes in skin color of an embodied virtual arm modulates pain threshold. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* **7** (438), doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00438 (2013).
 33. Peck, T.C., Seinfeld, S., Aglioti, S.M., & Slater, M. Putting yourself in the skin of a black avatar reduces implicit racial bias. *Consc. Cogn.* **22** (3), 779-787,

doi:10.1016/j.concog.2013.04.016 (2013).

34. Shimada, S., Fukuda, K., & Hiraki, K. Rubber hand illusion under delayed visual feedback. *PLOS ONE*, **4** (7), e6185, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006185 (2009).

35. Synofzik, M., Vosgerau, G., & Newen, A. Beyond the comparator model: A multifactorial two-step account of agency. *Conscious. Cogn.* **17** (1), 219-239, doi:10.1016/j.concog.2007.03.010 (2008).

36. Hommel, B., Müsseler, J., Aschersleben, G., & Prinz, W. The theory of event coding (TEC): A framework for perception and action planning. *Behav. Brain. Sci.* **24** (5), 849-878, doi:10.1017/S0140525X01000103 (2001).

37. Hommel, B. Action control according to TEC (theory of event coding). *Psychol. Res.* **73** (4), 512-526, doi:10.1007/s00426-009-0234-2 (2009).


Figure 1A

[Click here to download Figure Figure 1.JPG](#)



Figure 1B

[Click here to download Figure Figure 1B.gif](#)







Figure 3






Figure 5




Table 1. F, P and Partial Eta squared (PES) values for the effects of the questionnaire item r 43. Factors are EFF: virtual effector (virtual hand vs. rectangle); ACT: activity (active explicit stimulation); and SYN: synchrony (synchronous vs. asynchronous). Only results for significant effects are shown.

F/P/PES	EFF	ACT	SYN	EFF*ACT	EFF*SYN	ACT*SYN
O1	11.66	10.11	45.38			10.08
	0.001	0.003	<0.001			0.003
	0.21	0.19	0.51			0.19
O2		5.37	47.65			
		0.025	<0.001			
		0.11	0.53			
O3	10.75		41.30			9.81
	0.002		<0.001			0.003
	0.20		0.49			0.19
O4	12.86	17.17	15.12			10.60
	0.001	<0.001	<0.001			0.002
	0.23	0.29	0.26			0.20
O1-4	14.85	9.32	48.35			13.68
	<0.001	0.004	<0.001			0.001
	0.26	0.18	0.53			0.24
A1	6.94	79.60	54.64			23.36
	0.012	<0.001	<0.001			<0.001
	0.14	0.65	0.56			0.37

atings, with df = ration vs. passive ant effects are
EFF*ACT*SYN

Table 2. Means (M) and standard errors (SE) for the ownership and agency ratings in all eight conditions. H: hand; R: rectangle; A: active; P: passive; SY: synchronous; AS: asynchronous.

M/SE	H-P-SY	H-P-AS	H-A-SY	H-A-AS	R-P-SY	R-P-AS	R-A-SY	R-A-AS
O1-4	4.37	3.44	5.09	3.50	3.79	3.14	4.68	3.05
	0.20	0.23	0.19	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.21
A1	3.59	3.11	6.36	4.36	3.07	2.57	6.09	3.80
	0.30	0.32	0.15	0.33	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.33

Table 3. F, P and Partial Eta squared (PES) values for relevant dependent measures, with df = 58 for questionnaire and IOS results, and df = 56 for the valence dimension of the affect grid mood and AUT results. Only results for significant effects are shown.			
F/P/PES	Facial expression	Synchrony	Facial expression* Synchrony
Ownership (O1-4)		38.24	
		<0.001	
		0.40	
Agency (A1-2)		77.33	
		<0.001	
		0.57	
IOS Changes	4.03	43.63	
	0.049	0.001	
	0.07	0.43	
Affect Grid Valence Changes	6.06	7.99	4.40
	0.017	0.007	0.041
	0.10	0.13	0.07
AUT-Flexibility	5.42		4.98
	0.024		0.03
	0.09		0.08
AUT-Fluency			7.89
			0.007
			0.12

Table 4. Means (M) and standard errors (SE) for relevant dependent measures in the four conditions. Neutral: neutral facial expression; Happy: happy facial expression; SY: synchronous; AS: asynchronous.				
M/SE	Neutral-SY	Neutral-AS	Happy-SY	Happy-AS
Ownership (O1-4)	2.88	2.03	3.38	2.36
	0.27	0.16	0.23	0.22
Agency (A1-2)	5.90	4.25	6.16	4.08
	0.20	0.25	0.13	0.32
IOS Changes	0.37	-0.80	1.00	-0.40
	0.21	0.25	0.20	0.24
Affect Grid Valence Changes	-1.07	-1.33	0.60	-1.20
	0.42	0.33	0.39	0.31
AUT-Flexibility	5.87	6.07	7.43	6.10
	0.31	0.37	0.29	0.39
AUT-Fluency	7.27	8.27	9.73	7.37
	0.51	0.68	0.68	0.49

	Name of Equipment	Company	Catalog Number/Name
Software controlling the virtual reality environment	Vizard	Worldviz	
Dataglove	Cybertouch	CyberGlove Systems	Cybertouch
Orientation tracker	Intersense	Thales	InertiaCube3
	Biopac system	Biopac	MP100
	Acquisition unit	Biopac	BN-PPGED

Physiological measurement device	Remote transmitter	Biopac	BN-PPGED-T
	Electrode	Biopac	EL507
Software controlling acquisition of physiological data	AcqKnowledge	Biopac	ACK100W, ACK100M
Box	Box	Custom-made	
Computer	Computer	Any standard PC + Screen (could be replaced by VR glasses/devive)	
Cape	Cape	Custom-made	
Head position tracker	Kinect	Microsoft	
Head position tracker software	FAAST	MXR	FAAST 1.0
Head orientation tracker	Intersense	Thales	InertiaCube3
Face-model generator software	Facegen	Singular Inversions	FaceGen Modeller

Software controlling the virtual reality environment	Vizard	Worldviz	
Cap	Cap	Any cap, e.g., baseball cap	
Computer	Computer	Any standard PC + Screen	

Description
<p>Vizard allows importing hand models and integrating the hand, dataglove and orientation tracker modules through self-written command scripts. These scripts can be run to control the presentation of the virtual hand in the virtual environment, the appearance of the hand and the way it moves; they also control vibrator activities.</p>
<p>Participants wear this dataglove to control the movements of the virtual hand in the virtual environment. Measurement frequency = 100 Hz; Vibrator vibrational frequency = 0-125 Hz.</p>
<p>Participants wear the Intersense tracker to permit monitoring the orientation of their real hand (data that the used dataglove does not provide). Update rate = 180 Hz.</p>
<p>The hardware to record skin conductance response.</p>
<p>The hardware to record skin conductance response.</p>

Participants wear the remote transmitter on their left hand wrist; it sends signals to the Biopac acquisition unit.
Participants wear the electrode on their fingers; it picks up skin conductance signals.
The software to record skin conductance responses.
Participants put their right hand into the box
Necessary to present the virtual reality environment, including the virtual hand.
Participants wear this cape on their right shoulder so they cannot see their right hand and arm.
Kinect tracks the X-Y position of the participant's head. Recording frame rate = 30 Hz.
Software controls Kinect and is used to track the position of the participant's head.
Intersense tracks rotational orientation changes of the participant's head. Update rate = 180 Hz.
Facegen allows creating various virtual faces by varying various parameters, such as male/female-ness or skin color.

Vizard allows importing face models and integrating the face, Kinect and orientation tracker modules through self-written command scripts. These scripts can be run to control the presentation of the virtual head in the virtual environment.
The cap carries the Intersense orientation tracker.
Necessary to present the virtual reality environment, including the virtual head



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article:

Creating virtual-hand and virtual-face illusions to investigate the self

Author(s):

Ke Ma, Dominique Patrick Lippelt, Bernhard Hommel

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

<http://www.jove.com/publish>) via: ☐ Standard Access ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

☒

The Author is NOT a United States government employee.

☐

The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

☐

The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. Defined Terms. As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: “**Agreement**” means this Article and Video License Agreement; “**Article**” means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; “**Author**” means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; “**Collective Work**” means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; “**CRC License**” means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; “**Derivative Work**” means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; “**Institution**” means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; “**JoVE**” means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; “**Materials**” means the Article and / or the Video; “**Parties**” means the Author and JoVE; “**Video**” means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. Background. The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. Grant of Rights in Article. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4** and **7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the “Open Access” box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. Retention of Rights in Article. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's

expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.


CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name:

Department:

Institution:

Article Title:

Signature:  Date:

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

Editorial comments:

1. Formatting: Please split 2.1.3 into two steps.

→ done

2. Grammar:

-1.2.5.3 – “it completely open”

-1.4.2.3 – “a Likert scales”

-“Table 4: means”

→ fixed

3. Visualization: Please provide a photographic image of the setup for the virtual-face illusion. This can be included as a supplemental file.

→ We already had a picture showing the setup!

4. Additional detail is required:

-1.1.2 – What does DOF stand for?

→ degrees of freedom, defined now

-2.3.4.3 – How long should participants touch their cheek for? How is the cheek touch synchronized with the action on the screen?

→ There was no strict instruction regarding the duration. As soon as the system detected the touch, the stimulation was provided in the synchronized condition.

5. Results: Please format the results section such that the relevant data (Table 1, 2, 3, 4, etc.) is cited with the appropriate result/conclusion. It is unclear how the data ties to the results discussed. Please reformat the important results to be shown in the video as graphs to provide more visual interest if possible.

→ We have rewritten the entire results section and replaced all tables by figures of the most important effects.

6. Discussion: Please discuss any modifications/troubleshooting that can be performed.

→ We did not fully understand this question, but we in any case describe how the reported technique can be applied to investigate theoretically interesting questions by more easily manipulating the appearance of the virtual effector.

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Manuscript Summary:

The current manuscript describes techniques to induce ownership illusions on an external virtual hand and face and describes two experiments where these procedures are used.

I appreciate the way in which the methodology is being reported and I think it could be useful for both experts and non-experts of VR. However, in its current form the manuscript needs to be extended in both introduction and discussion parts, by including more references about works that already used similar approach. Thus, I have some suggestions which are outlined below.

→ We have extended the introduction and the discussion and have cited other papers. However, please note that the purpose of a JOVE article is to provide insight into the setup of a particular kind of experiment but not to present novel approaches or data. In fact, the two studies that we report here are old news, as they both have been reported before. As have been many other studies. Hence, we do not feel obliged to provide a full-fledged overview of the literature.

Title

The title "Creating virtual-hand and virtual-face illusions to investigate the self" is very general. I would suggest to better specify term "the self", i.e. self-conscious? Self-perception? self-representation? Body awareness?

→ We know refer to self-representation.

Introduction

Line 67 - 79. Here Authors described the rubber hand illusion as induced by only visuo-tactile stimulation. However, studies from Kalckert and Herson (2012, 2014) show that synchronous visuo-motor stimulation also play an important role in eliciting the illusion over the external rubber hand. Considering that this aspect is also important for the present manuscript, I would suggest to briefly extend this part.

→ Again, the purpose of this article is not to present anything you but to go into more detail regarding the technique. Nevertheless, we now mention and describe the two papers.

Line 81 - 88. This part needs to be extended by highlighting the advantage, brought by virtual reality, in substituting the real body of the subject with a virtual one that can be observed in a first-person perspective. Indeed, previous evidences suggest that, even when no multisensory visuo-tactile or visuo-motor stimulation are applied, the 1PP is a sufficient condition in eliciting Ownership (and Agency) on the virtual body. (See Slater et al, 2010; Maselli and Slater 2013; Tieri et al. 2015; Pavone et al. 2016). Please, extend this part considering these previous evidences.

→ we now cite all these studies and have added some more benefits of the general technique.

Line 91 - 93. The enfacement illusion could be added here (Sforza et al. 2010; Bufalari et al. 2015).

→ we have added this information and references to the papers.

Discussion

This part have to be extended by including the role of 1PP. Moreover, I would suggest to better describe the "new possibilities for experimental manipulations" by adding and highlighting interesting manipulations, such as detaching pieces of body (Tieri et al. 2015), elongating it (Kiltner et al. 2012), changing the colour of the skin (Martini et al. 2013, Peck et al. 2013) and the size of body (Banakou et al. 2013). These aspects represent another important advantage of VR to investigate the Body Ownership, and therefore should be mentioned in this part of the manuscript.

→ we have added this information and references to the papers.

Line 632 - 635. It could be useful to add here a possible solution for the real-time control of the avatar's facial expression, for example by using real-time Motion Capture.

→

Reviewer #2:

Manuscript Summary:

Authors described a method to manipulate self-perception using virtual reality. They described some fundamental steps to induce bodily illusions. Given the expansion of VR technology this paper may be useful for the broad readership. Nevertheless the reviewer has minor comments that would like to be addressed.

Minor Concerns:

- Authors miss an important paper on a similar topic and should clarify the novelty of their manuscript B. Spanlang, J.-M. Normand, D. Borland, K. Kiltner, E. Giannopoulos, A. Pomés, M. González-Franco, D. Perez-Marcos, J. Arroyo-Palacios, X. N. Muncunill, and M. Slater, "How to Build an Embodiment Lab: Achieving Body Representation Illusions in Virtual Reality," Front. Robot. AI, vol. 1, no. November, pp. 1-22, 2014.

→ as mentioned above, the aim of this article in JOVE is not to provide any new data but to describe the method in more detail. Accordingly, we see no reason to clarify the novelty of our manuscript, and we clearly say that it actually is not novel with respect to the data, which all have been published before. We nevertheless cite the paper by Spanlang et al.

- Self-perception manipulation is easily achieved using immersive video games. To improve the impact of this manuscript and allow broad readership to understand the possible impact of this technology I suggest to

mention a recent work by two philosophers:

M. Madary and T. K. Metzinger, "Recommendations for Good Scientific Practice and the Consumers of VR-Technology," *Front. Robot. AI*, vol. 3, no. February, pp. 1-23, Feb. 2016.

→ Again, we do not pretend that we are the first or the only group using this technique, and we have mentioned several others. We also do not want to advocate its use, we just justify why it may be reasonable to use its advantages. The main purpose is simply to describe the method in more detail. The mentioned paper goes way beyond our present rather modest purpose.

Regarding games in general, we are not convinced that they provide sufficient experimental control over dimensions that are of theoretical interest. Theoretically, one may of course think of designing a game from scratch, so that all considerations regarding experimental control are built in. But given the enormous development costs for a game, this is hardly a feasible suggestion for experimental researchers.

- Please specify if the .zip files are in the software package or are supplemental materials of the manuscript

→ yes they are, we assume that this will be highlighted in the published article.


- I would appreciate if references regarding control questions about bodily illusions and sense of agency are mentioned.


→ We are not quite sure we fully understand this question. If it refers to control in the sense of agency, we are referring to the original Botvinick questionnaire wherever possible. If it refers to control in the sense of experimental versus control, we are unable to think of a tendency that such control questions could have measured. The different questions all refer to various aspects that have been assumed to be related to selfhood in a wider sense. Given that there is unlikely to be an underlying dimension, something like good or bad, that could have been measured by using control questions that are neutral in some sense, we didn't use any control questions of that sort.



[Click here to access/download](#)


Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
palmdownwards.JPG






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
virtual-hand illusion_54784_R2_052716_KM.py






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
wearglove.JPG






[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
panel.dae






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
panel_panel.dae






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
sharpknife.dae






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianman001.WRL






[Click here to access/download](#)


Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianman001_smile.WRL






[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianman1.jpg








[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)



Bio_UV_Head.jpg





[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
DSCF0182.JPG






[Click here to access/download](#)


Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
Ett_Texture.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianwoman1.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianwoman1.WRL






[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
belgianwoman1_smile.WRL







[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)

Bio_UV_Head_1.jpg







[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)



Bio_UV_Head_woman_old.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
example_side.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
example_side_copy.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
hat 2.dae






[Click here to access/download](#)


Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
participant1_1.wrl





[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
participant1_smile.WRL






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
pictexture.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
Affectgrid0.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
Affectgrid1.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
Affectgrid-1.jpg





[Click here to access/download](#)



Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
Affectgrid2.jpg






[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
IOS.jpg





[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File (as requested by JoVE)
virtual-face illusion_54784_R2_052716_KM.py

