

Journal of Visualized Experiments

Remote Sensing Evaluation of Two-spotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JoVE54314R4
Full Title:	Remote Sensing Evaluation of Two-spotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton
Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Keywords:	Spider mites; Tetranychus urticae; sampling spider mites; cotton; Remote sensing; multispectral optical sensor; NDVI
Manuscript Classifications:	10.1: Technology, Industry, and Agriculture
Corresponding Author:	Daniel E. Martin, Ph.D. USDA Agricultural Research Service College Station, Texas UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	Dan.Martin@ars.usda.gov
Corresponding Author's Institution:	USDA Agricultural Research Service
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Daniel E. Martin, Ph.D.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Other Authors:	Mohamed A. Latheef, Ph.D.
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>The objective of this study was to evaluate a ground-based multispectral optical sensor as a remote sensing tool to assess foliar damage caused by the two-spotted spider mite (TSSM), <i>Tetranychus urticae</i> Koch, on greenhouse grown cotton. TSSM is a polyphagous pest which occurs on a variety of field and horticultural crops. It often becomes an early season pest of cotton in damaging proportions as opposed to being a late season innocuous pest in the mid-southern United States. Evaluation of acaricides is important for maintaining the efficacy of and preventing resistance to the currently available arsenal of chemicals and newly developed control agents. Enumeration of spider mites for efficacy evaluations is laborious and time consuming. Therefore, subjective visual damage rating is commonly used to assess density of spider mites. The NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) is the most widely used statistic to describe the spectral reflectance characteristics of vegetation canopy to assess plant stress and health consequent to spider mite infestations. Results demonstrated that a multispectral optical sensor is an effective tool in distinguishing varying levels of infestation caused by <i>T. urticae</i> on early season cotton. This remote sensing technique may be used in lieu of a visual rating to evaluate insecticide treatments.</p>
Author Comments:	Manuscript revisions have been made as requested.
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date to satisfy grant requirements, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	



United States Department of Agriculture

Research, Education and Economics
Agricultural Research Service

November 6, 2015

To whom it may concern,

Please find enclosed our manuscript entitled "Remote Sensing Evaluation of Twospotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton." The objective techniques described herein would be perfect for the multimedia format pioneered by JOVE. The spider mites, when viewed up close, are amazing acarides, and the remote sensing techniques that we used to quantify their damage to cotton will be invaluable to entomological researchers.

We conceived the idea for the study when visiting with an entomology colleague of mine. I was explaining to him the value of this new remote sensing technology for detecting plant damage due to herbicide treatment applications. It was then that he suggested I investigate the use of the sensor for replacing qualitative and laborious evaluations of damage to cotton and other important agronomic plants by spider mites. I planned the study, oversaw all aspects of data collection and analysis and drafted the resulting senior author manuscript. My co-author conducted the statistical analysis of the data and contributed to the background entomological literature review.

I am submitting this manuscript at the request of Ms. Alison Hamlin. She has been very kind and patient with us as we venture into a new outlet for our research findings. I appreciate your kind consideration of our work.

Sincerely,

Daniel E. Martin, Ph.D.
Research Engineer



Southern Plains Agricultural Research Center
Aerial Application Technology Research Unit • 3103 F&B Road • College Station, TX 77845
Voice: 979-260-5020 X584 • E-mail: Daniel.Martin@ars.usda.gov

TITLE: Remote Sensing Evaluation of Two-spotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton**AUTHORS:**

Daniel E. Martin
USDA-ARS
SPARC-AATRU
College Station, TX, USA
daniel.martin@ars.usda.gov

Mohamed A. Latheef
USDA-ARS
SPARC-AATRU
College Station, TX, USA
mohamed.latheef@ars.usda.gov

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Daniel E. Martin

KEYWORDS: Spider mites, *Tetranychus urticae*, sampling spider mites, cotton, remote sensing, multispectral optical sensor, NDVI

SHORT ABSTRACT:

This manuscript describes a multispectral optical sensor that effectively detected damage to early season cotton artificially infested with varying densities of the two-spotted spider mite populations.

LONG ABSTRACT:

The objective of this study was to evaluate a ground-based multispectral optical sensor as a remote sensing tool to assess foliar damage caused by the two-spotted spider mite (TSSM), *Tetranychus urticae* Koch, on greenhouse grown cotton. TSSM is a polyphagous pest which occurs on a variety of field and horticultural crops. It often becomes an early season pest of cotton in damaging proportions as opposed to being a late season innocuous pest in the mid-southern United States. Evaluation of acaricides is important for maintaining the efficacy of and preventing resistance to the currently available arsenal of chemicals and newly developed control agents. Enumeration of spider mites for efficacy evaluations is laborious and time consuming. Therefore, subjective visual damage rating is commonly used to assess density of spider mites. The NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) is the most widely used statistic to describe the spectral reflectance characteristics of vegetation canopy to assess plant stress and health consequent to spider mite infestations. Results demonstrated that a multispectral optical sensor is an effective tool in distinguishing varying levels of infestation caused by *T. urticae* on early season cotton. This remote sensing technique may be used in lieu of a visual rating to evaluate insecticide treatments.

INTRODUCTION:

Two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch) is a polyphagous and cosmopolitan pest of many field and horticultural plants^{1,2}. It lives inside webbings in colonies on the bottom surface of the plant^{3,4}. It has evolved from being a late season to an early season pest in the mid-southern United States over the last decade⁵. TSSM was the 5th most damaging pest of cotton and caused an estimated loss of 57,441 bales of cotton and 0.167% reduction in yield in the United States in 2011^{6,7}. Its short life cycle, high fecundity and haploid-diploid sex determination combined with the ability to digest and detoxify xenobiotics have exacerbated the development of resistance to pesticides⁸. Presently, acaricides remain as the only dependable control mechanism for the suppression of *T. urticae*. Therefore, field entomologists constantly evaluate currently available and newly developed acaricides for efficacy.

The estimation of damage by the spider mites is usually conducted by scoring the damage on a subjective scale because of the difficulty encountered in manually counting the mites. Some conducted binomial sampling, where only the proportion of infested leaves was scored rather than the number of spider mites per leaf⁹. A leaf reddening index scale, which varied from stippling and reddening to extensive reddening of the vegetation, was used as a criterion in estimating damage. The spatial distribution pattern of *T. urticae* on cotton conformed to a clumped distribution pattern⁹. Mites are distributed on cotton foliage from sparse to heavily clustered and remain so under field condition. Such distribution pattern coupled with its small size, mobility and prolific reproduction makes enumeration of TSSM difficult. Reliable alternative techniques are needed for the assessment of mite density in order to quantitatively evaluate efficacy of acaricides against TSSM.

The objective of this study was to separate cotton plants damaged by varying densities of TSSM by using an optical multispectral sensor. Our intent was to determine if the ground-based optical sensor could classify and separate healthy cotton plants from those damaged by the spider mites.

PROTOCOL:

1. Establish TSSM colonies on pinto beans

1.1) Plant pinto beans, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., in plastic trays (56 x 28 x 5 cm) containing potting soil in the greenhouse as shown in Figure 1.

[Place Figure 1 here].

1.2) Label the trays with marking sticks according to treatment and replication.

1.3) Set and maintain greenhouse temperature to 90 °F and 70% Relative Humidity.

1.4) Grow beans to 1-2 trifoliate leaf stage¹⁰ as shown in Figure 2.

[Place Figure 2 here].

1.5) Collect spider mites from cotton plants infested naturally with mites by removing infested

leaves.

1.6) Place spider mite infested cotton leaves on pinto beans as often as necessary until all plants in the trays are infested with numerous TSSMs.

2. Transfer TSSM to Cotton Plants

2.1) Grow Non-*Bt* (not genetically modified) cotton plants to 4-5 true leaf stage in plastic trays (56 x 28 x 5 cm) in the greenhouse as specified in step 1.3 and shown in Figure 3.

[Place Figure 3 here].

2.2) Transfer spider mite colonies from pinto beans onto young cotton plants at 4-5 true leaf stage.

2.2.1) Transfer 3 masses of spider mites for lightly infested plants. Note: At very high infestation levels, spider mites form masses or bolls¹¹ and are found hanging on leaf tips as seen in Figure 4.

[Place Figure 4 here].

2.2.1.1) Place a pan under pinto bean leaf tip containing TSSM masses.

2.2.1.2) Cut pinto bean leaf tips with scissors, allowing TSSM masses to fall into the pan as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

[Place Figures 5 and 6 here].

2.2.1.3) Turn pan upside down over cotton plants and tap TSSM masses onto cotton plants grown in plastic trays as shown in Figure 7. Note: Each tray contained ~ 100 cotton plants.

[Place Figure 7 here].

2.2.1.4) Randomly spread 3 masses of TSSM onto cotton plants.

2.2.2) Transfer 20 masses for medially infested plants.

2.2.2.1) Place a pan under pinto bean leaf tip containing TSSM masses.

2.2.2.2) Cut pinto bean leaf tips with scissors, allowing them to fall into the pan.

2.2.2.3) Collect 20 masses in the pan.

2.2.2.4) Turn pan upside down over cotton plants and tap out TSSM masses onto ~100 cotton plants raised in the greenhouse.

2.2.3) Transfer 40 masses for heavily infested plants.

2.2.3.1) Place a pan under pinto bean leaf tip containing TSSM masses.

2.2.3.2) Cut pinto bean leaf tips with scissors, allowing them to fall into the pan.

2.2.3.3) Collect 40 masses in the pan.

2.2.3.4) Turn pan upside down over cotton plants, tap out TSSM masses onto ~100 cotton plants and spread them randomly.

3. Scan TSSM infested cotton plants with the multispectral optical sensor.

3.1) Horizontally mount the optical sensor onto the greenhouse frame approximately 7ft above the floor as shown in Figure 8. Set the distance between the scanner and the plant canopy at 36". Use a carpenter's level to ensure that the sensor is horizontally level.

[Place Figure 8 here].

3.2) Place uninfested trays of cotton plants on a wheeled push cart.

3.3) Activate the sensor switch and slowly push the cart under the sensor until the tray completely passes the sensor head as shown in Figure 8. Turn off switch. Retract the cart.

3.4) Repeat Step 3.3 three times, for a total of 3 replications.

3.5) Repeat this procedure for all trays of cotton.

3.6) Repeat scanning on Day 1, Day 5, Day 6, Day 7, Day 9, Day 10, Day 12, Day 13 and Day 14 after treatment (DAT). The scanning provided the NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) values¹². Transmit the NDVI values to and store on a pocket PC which then can be downloaded to a computer in text format.

Note: NDVI was calculated from the following equation: $NDVI = (NIR - RED) / (NIR + RED)$, where RED and NIR are the spectral reflectance values (0–255) in the red and near-infrared spectrums at 660 and 770 nm, respectively.

4. Data analyses.

4.1) Obtain the maximum NDVI values using the Proc Means procedure¹³. Calculate the percent reduction in NDVI values for each day of observation using day 0 as a reference value. Analyze the data using the repeated measures PROC GLM procedure¹³.

Note: Means were separated using the Duncan's Multiple Range Test at $P = 0.05$. Means with the same lower case letters were not significantly different.

4.2) Perform graphical illustrations of the data¹⁴ as shown in Figure 9.

[Place Figure 9 here].

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

The sensor emits red and infrared light and this light in turn gets reflected back from the plant canopy. The reflected light serves as a quantitative measurement of the visible and the near-infrared bands of the electromagnetic spectrum and is numerically recorded as NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) reading. The NDVI values vary from 0 to 0.99. The higher the NDVI reading the healthier the plant canopy. Healthy vegetation absorbs visible light and reflects the near-infrared light and unhealthy vegetation reflects more visible light and less near-infrared light. NDVI serves as a surrogate to photosynthetic activity and this spectral property is highly correlated to photosynthetically active radiation¹⁵⁻¹⁸. Very low values of NDVI (0.1 and below) corresponds to barren areas of rock or sand, while moderate values (0.2 to 0.3) represent shrub and grassland and high values (0.6 to 0.8) indicate temperate and tropical vegetation. The reflectance measurements were obtained under daytime lighting in the red and near-infrared regions of the spectra.

NDVI was calculated from the following equation: $NDVI = (NIR - RED)/(NIR + RED)$, where RED and NIR are the spectral reflectance values (0–255) in the red and near-infrared spectrums at 660 and 770 nm, respectively. The sensor records reflectance values every 100 msec. The maximum NDVI readings culled from an array of NDVI values taken each time by the sensor were used in the analyses to minimize background reflectance and to provide consistently repeatable numerical values.

The analysis of variance of the data revealed that significant differences in percent reduction in NDVI were observed between lightly, medially and heavily infested cotton plants compared to the untreated control ($F = 436.4$; $P < 0.0001$; $df = 3, 32$). The NDVI values which described plant vigor during the test period varied significantly between days of observation ($F = 1398.2$; $P < 0.0001$; $df = 8, 256$). Also, the percent reduction in NDVI values were inversely correlated to the treatments during the observation period (DAT), but seldom tended to deviate from this pattern and interacting significantly with DAT ($F = 201.5$; $P < 0.0001$; $df = 24, 256$). The MANOVA test criteria for no DAT effect was significant as well (Wilk's $\lambda = 0.00913$; $F = 339.0$; $P < 0.0001$; $df = 8, 25$). Similarly, the interaction between DAT and treatment was significant (Wilk's $\lambda = 0.00101$; $F = 29.8$; $P < 0.0001$; $df = 24, 73$).

[Place Figure 9 here]

Figure 9 shows percent change in plant vigor as demonstrated by NDVI values during the observation period. A positive percent change in NDVI value indicates healthy growing plants, while a negative value indicates that the vigor of the plant has decreased since the first measurement (i.e. Day 0) was made. The non-infested control plants showed increased vegetative growth throughout the course of the study, while the TSSM-infested plants showed degradation in health over time. Mean separation of the treatments shown in Table 1 reveals that no definable difference in percent reduction in NDVI between treatment categories (light, medium and heavy) and the control was observed until Day 5 when infestation classes significantly deviated from the control and remained overwhelmingly so thereafter. This data demonstrates that the optical sensor can be effectively used in lieu of labor-intensive manual sampling to assess treatment efficacy against acaricides on cotton.

[Place Table 1 here]

Figure Legends:

Figure 1. Planting pinto beans in plastic trays. Pinto beans seeds were planted in plastic trays (56 x 28 x 5 cm) in the greenhouse and were watered daily.

Figure 2. Pinto beans with trifoliate leaves. The first true leaf that is formed after the cotyledons emerge from the soil is the simple or unifoliate leaf. Subsequent leaves are the trifoliate leaves with denticulate tips.

Figure 3. Cotton plants with 1-2 true leaf stage. The cotyledons emerge from the soil as leaf-like structures oriented opposite to each other on the seedling stem. The apical meristem emerges through the cotyledons and form the first true leaves.

Figure 4. TSSM masses hanging on a trifoliate bean leaf. TSSM lives in colonies and when populations reach high density, they form masses or boll-like structures and congregate at the leaf tips for dispersal.

Figure 5. Cutting pinto bean leaf tips containing a TSSM mass with scissors. Trifoliate bean leaf tips containing TSSM masses were removed with scissors for infesting cotton plants.

Figure 6. TSSM masses on bean leaf tips placed inside the pan. When sufficient number of trifoliate bean leaf tips with TSSM were found in the test plants, they were removed and placed inside the pan. These samples were used to infest treatment categories: light, medium and heavy which received 3, 20 and 40 masses of TSSM, respectively.

Figure 7. Turning pan upside down. The pans containing trifoliate bean leaf tips with TSSM were turned upside down on cotton canopy to infest the test plants.

Figure 8. A multispectral optical sensor used to quantitatively measure the health of cotton plants infested with varying density levels of TSSM. The wheeled push cart with the test plants were slowly traversed under the sensor head to obtain spectral reflectance values. a is the sensor head; b is pocket PC; c is battery compartment and input/output ports; d is RS-232 serial data cable and e is the craft paper to provide uniform background.

Figure 9. Percent reduction or change in NDVI relative to days after treatment. JMP software was used to graphically illustrate the functional relationship between percent change in NDVI relative to days of sampling (DAT).

Table 1. Percent reduction in Max NDVI after cotton plants were infested with varying number of clusters or masses of TSSM. Cotton plants grown in plastic trays in the greenhouse were infested with three categories of spider mite density. Category Light received 3 masses or clusters of TSSM per tray, Category Medium received 20 masses per tray and Category Heavy received 40 masses per tray. Means were separated from control according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test ($P = 0.05$). Means followed by the same lower case letter were not significantly different at the 5% level of probability.

DISCUSSION:

Conventionally, insecticide efficacy tests conducted in the field include several treatments of the chemical applied at different rates and compared to an untreated check. Acaricides with varying toxicity profiles against instar and adult stages of TSSM are assessed to determine whether the damage caused by them could be reduced by the chemical treatment. TSSM samples are collected and brought to the laboratory where they are examined under the microscope and the varying stages of TSSM are counted and recorded. It is critically important to take adequate samples of the host plant to determine the damage in each treatment and differentiate them with statistically acceptable precision. The number of samples that are required to differentiate the treatments from each other depends upon the distribution profile of the organism. Highly patchy distribution of the TSSM leads to a considerable amount of variation between sample areas, and many plants must be sampled in order to ensure reproducibility of the population estimates. However, budget, manpower, time and statistical precision are important factors that impinge upon sampling techniques. It behooves the researcher to allocate the available resources optimally to conduct sampling with the least cost but with most precision.

Entomologists in lieu of counting TSSM stages visually score the damage based upon a scale of no damage to varying levels of damage. For instance, some researchers proposed binomial sampling, where only the proportion of infested leaves was scored rather than the number of spider mites per leaf^{9,19}. Others estimated damage by TSSM on cotton based on a leaf reddening index scale, which varied from stippling and reddening to extensive reddening of vegetation canopy¹⁹. These methods are arbitrary, anecdotal and biased by individual perceptions of the degree of damage. A more robust and quantitative assessment of the damage caused by TSSM is required to evaluate and separate the treatments with statistical precision.

The ground-based multispectral optical sensor appears to be an improved sampling tool to quantitatively determine the damage inflicted by TSSM and to separate the treatments more precisely than the visual damage scoring system adopted by many researchers. However, researchers have reported that the data intensive hyperspectral remote sensing provided numerous spectral signatures to identify and detect crop stresses and canopy characteristics compared to multispectral remote sensing which is less data intensive with two wave lengths^{20,21}. Using a hyperspectral spectrometer, Reisig and Godfrey found that the NIR reflectance wavelength ≈ 850 nm as an informative spectrum in distinguishing arthropod-infested from uninfested cotton²². In this study, we have shown that the multispectral reflectance values (NIR value being ≈ 770 nm) with just two spectral bands were able to identify and characterize cotton plants infested with varying densities of TSSM. Also, we have reported earlier that the multispectral optical sensor not only effectively separated cotton plants infested with widely varying density categories of TSSM, but also showed that spiromesifen was more effective than abamectin in controlling TSSM in early season cotton in the greenhouse at one-half rate of the lowest label rate²³.

The multispectral optical sensor could be mounted on a mobile research platform and the reflectance values could be obtained from the treated areas of the vegetation canopies without human subjectivity. Acaricidal efficacy data can thus be obtained without much human labor.

The NDVI data can be easily uploaded to the computer and analyzed using commercial statistical software. A GPS receiver also can be mounted onto the mobile platform to collect the GPS coordinates in order to generate a damage map of the field. Using multispectral reflectance signatures from the plant canopy, the multispectral optical sensor provides a rapid and cost effective means of identifying and quantifying plant stress. Moreover, a much larger area of the field can be covered in less time with higher spatial resolution of plant canopy compared to conventional field scouting. It is important to remember that the threshold damage level for TSSM on cotton is variable from region to region in the United States. For example, TSSM damage would be higher in an arid environment such as in California compared to the Midsouth region where rainfall and high humidity often prevail ²⁴. Therefore, yield loss due to TSSM damage will be variable and so is the damage threshold. However, reports from Mississippi, Arkansas and Tennessee reveal that the threshold level for TSSM on cotton appears to be when 30 to 50% of the plants are infested and populations are actively increasing⁵. Furthermore, field grown plants are exposed to multiple stresses including water stresses and feeding of arthropod herbivores and the interactions between these activities can substantially reduce plant productivity and are likely to influence damage threshold. The TSSM can reduce stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration rate in cotton²⁵. Plants grown in the greenhouse are influenced by UV light radiation and it does significantly influence stomatal function, photosynthesis and canopy morphology^{26,27} and could probably have an additive effect on plant stress. However, TSSM is capable of avoiding UV radiation by having access to habitat protected from solar radiation on the lower surface of the plant canopy²⁸⁻³⁰, where it resides.

The height of the optical sensor above the target canopy and the orientation of the sensor relative to the target are important factors that affected significantly the reflectance values obtained by the multispectral optical sensor³¹. For instance, when the mobile research platform traverses through a row crop field such as when cotton canopy is open, the sensor is likely to produce different results depending upon the orientation of the sensor, either parallel to or perpendicular to the row. It is also likely that soil and other background materials may greatly influence the sensor readings, especially when the sensor is oriented perpendicular to the row. In order to obtain maximum response from the sensor, the sensor head should be oriented in-line with and directly above the rows. Although orienting the light beam perpendicular to the rows is more likely to pick up background soil reflectance, this may be acceptable, however, when cotton canopy is closed with lush vegetation. In addition, operators should follow manufacturer's recommendations on an operating height range of 81 – 122 cm and orienting the sensor head in-line with the target to obtain maximum signal response. It is important to charge the sensor battery before use or it should be kept plugged in to avoid troubleshooting. Low battery level is likely to produce erroneous readings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

We appreciate the assistance of Chris Parker who daily scanned the plants and Curtis Hubbard who maintained the plants in the greenhouse.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES:

- 1 Hoy, M. A. *Agricultural acarology: Introduction to integrated mite management*. Vol. 7 (CRC Press, 2011).
- 2 Jeppson, L. R., Keifer, H. H. & Baker, E. W. *Mites injurious to economic plants*. (Univ of California Press, 1975).
- 3 Brandenburg, R. & Kennedy, G. Ecological and agricultural considerations in the management of twospotted spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch). *Agric. Zool. Rev.* **2** 185-236 (1987).
- 4 Saito, Y. The concept of "life types" in Tetranychinae. An attempt to classify the spinning behaviour of Tetranychinae. *Acarologia*. **24** (4), 377-391 (1983).
- 5 Gore, J. *et al.* Impact of two-spotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) infestation timing on cotton yields. *Journal of Cotton Science*. **17** 34-39 (2013).
- 6 Adamczyk, J. J., Jr. & Lorenz, G. M. in *Beltwide Cotton Conference*. 981-1000 (National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN).
- 7 Williams, M. R. in *Beltwide Cotton Conference*. 1013-1057 (National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN).
- 8 Van Leeuwen, T., Vontas, J., Tsagkarakou, A., Dermauw, W. & Tirry, L. Acaricide resistance mechanisms in the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* and other important Acari: A review. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* **40** (8), 563-572 (2010).
- 9 Wilson, L. & Morton, R. Seasonal abundance and distribution of *Tetranychus urticae* (Acari: Tetranychidae), the two spotted spider mite, on cotton in Australia and implications for management. *Bull Entomol Res.* **83** (02), 291-303 (1993).
- 10 Fernandez, F., Gepts, P. & Lopez, M. *Stage of development of the common bean plant*. Communication Information Support Unit edn, 32 (CIAT, 1986).
- 11 Clotuche, G. *et al.* The formation of collective silk balls in the spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* Koch. *PLoS. ONE*. **6** (4), 1804-1807 (2011).
- 12 Rouse Jr, J. W., Haas, R., Schell, J. & Deering, D. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. *NASA special publication*. **1** (SP-351), 309-317 (1974).
- 13 SAS v.9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., 2012).
- 14 JMP® v.11 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., 2013).
- 15 Asrar, G., Fuchs, M., Kanemasu, E. & Hatfield, J. Estimating absorbed photosynthetic radiation and leaf area index from spectral reflectance in wheat. *Agron J.* **76** (2), 300-306 (1984).
- 16 Myneni, R. B. & Hall, F. G. The interpretation of spectral vegetation indexes. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on.* **33** (2), 481-486 (1995).
- 17 Sellers, P. J. Canopy reflectance, photosynthesis and transpiration. *Int J Remote Sens.* **6** (8), 1335-1372 (1985).
- 18 Tucker, C. J. *et al.* Higher northern latitude normalized difference vegetation index and growing season trends from 1982 to 1999. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **45** (4), 184-190 (2001).
- 19 Wilson, L. *et al.* Within-plant distribution of spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae) on cotton: a developing implementable monitoring program. *Environ Entomol.* **12** (1), 128-134 (1983).
- 20 Fitzgerald, G. J., Maas, S. J. & Detar, W. R. Spider mite detection and canopy component mapping in cotton using hyperspectral imagery and spectral mixture analysis. *Precision Agriculture.* **5** (3), 275-289 (2004).

- 21 Herrmann, I. *et al.* Spectral monitoring of two-spotted spider mite damage to pepper leaves. *Remote Sensing Letters*. **3** (4), 277-283 (2012).
- 22 Reisig, D. & Godfrey, L. Spectral response of cotton aphid–(Homoptera: Aphididae) and spider mite–(Acari: Tetranychidae) infested cotton: Controlled studies. *Environ Entomol.* **36** (6), 1466-1474 (2007).
- 23 Martin, D. E., Latheef, M. A. & López, J. D. Evaluation of selected acaricides against twospotted spider mite (Acari: Tetranychidae) on greenhouse cotton using multispectral data. *Exp Appl Acarol.* **66** (2), 227-245 (2015).
- 24 Boudreaux, H. B. The effect of relative humidity on egg-laying, hatching, and survival in various spider mites. *J Insect Physiol.* **2** (1), 65-72 (1958).
- 25 Bondada, B., Oosterhuis, D., Tugwell, N. & Kim, K. Physiological and cytological studies of two spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* K., injury in cotton. *Southwest Entomol.* **20** (2), 171-180 (1995).
- 26 Teramura, A. H. Effects of ultraviolet B radiation on the growth and yield of crop plants. *Physiol Plant.* **58** (3), 415-427 (1983).
- 27 Teramura, A. H. & Sullivan, J. H. Effects of UV-B radiation on photosynthesis and growth of terrestrial plants. *Photosynthesis Res.* **39** (3), 463-473 (1994).
- 28 Ohtsuka, K. Deleterious effects of UV-B radiation on herbivorous spider mites: they can avoid it by remaining on lower leaf surfaces. *Environ Entomol.* **38** (3), 920-929 (2009).
- 29 Sakai, Y. & Osakabe, M. Spectrum-specific damage and solar ultraviolet radiation avoidance in the two-spotted spider mite. *Photochem Photobiol.* **86** (4), 925-932 (2010).
- 30 Suzuki, T., Watanabe, M. & Takeda, M. UV tolerance in the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae*. *J Insect Physiol.* **55** (7), 649-654 (2009).
- 31 Martin, D. E., López Jr, J. D. & Lan, Y. Laboratory evaluation of the GreenSeeker handheld optical sensor to variations in orientation and height above canopy. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering.* **5** (1), 43-47 (2012).

Figure 1

[Click here to download Figure Figure 1. Planting pinto beans in plastic trays.JPG](#)



Figure 2

[Click here to download Figure Figure 2. Pinto beans trifoliate stage.jpg](#)



Figure 3

[Click here to download Figure Figure 3 - Cotton plants with 4-5 true leaves.jpg](#)



Figure 4

[Click here to download Figure Figure 4. TSSM masses hanging on trifoliate bean leaf.JPG](#)



Figure 5

[Click here to download Figure Figure 5. Cutting pinto bean leaf tips with scissors.JPG](#)



Figure 6

[Click here to download Figure Figure 6. TSSM masses inside the pan.jpg](#)



Figure 7

[Click here to download Figure Figure 7. Turning pan upside down over cotton plants.JPG](#)



Figure 9

[Click here to download Figure Figure 9. Percent Reduction in NDVI relative to Days After Treatment.png](#)




Figure 8

[Click here to download Figure Figure 8. Wheeled push cart with TSSM infested cotton plants.JPG](#)



Infestation Category	Days After Treatment (DAT)					
	1	5	6	7	9	10
Control	1.18±0.33a	2.70±0.40a	4.0±0.36a	3.94±0.37a	3.68±0.53a	2.57±0.42a
Light	-0.13±0.13b	-0.71±0.29b	-0.65±0.28b	-2.02±0.47b	-5.68±0.72b	-11.17±0.94b
Medium	-1.83±0.42c	-7.06±0.63c	-9.61±0.53c	-10.39±0.57c	-17.06±0.80c	-26.92±0.72c
Heavy	-0.97±0.58bc	-11.76±0.29d	-13.83±0.86d	-15.20±0.63d	-25.0±1.0d	-34.63±0.54d

12	13	14
$2.96 \pm 0.47a$	$3.48 \pm 0.38a$	$3.08 \pm 0.22a$
$-15.73 \pm 1.76b$	$-19.54 \pm 1.68b$	$-24.9 \pm 1.90b$
$-33.84 \pm 0.96c$	$-37.05 \pm 1.14c$	$-41.74 \pm 0.73c$
$-39.07 \pm 0.94d$	$-42.68 \pm 0.62d$	$-46.71 \pm 0.63d$

Name of Reagent/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
GreenSeeker	Trimble Ag. Division	Model 505	Red NDVI sensor
Pinto beans	Westminster, CO Producer's Co-op., Bryan, TX Brazos Bottom Crop Care, Caldwell, TX	Not applicable	Free choice item
Deltapine cotton seeds	TX77836	Not applicable	436 RR; NonBt & RoundUp Ready
Plastic trays	BWI, Schulenberg, TX	FG1020NL7	56 x 28 cm
Label sticks	Gempler's, Janesville, WI 53547	Item # 151276	Durable spike-style pot markers
4-wheel Garden push cart	Farm Tek, Dyersville, IA 52040	Item # 108676	61 x 122 cm



1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article: Remote Sensing Evaluation of Twospotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton
 Author(s): Daniel E. Martin and Mohamed A. Latheef

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at <http://www.jove.com/publish>) via: ☐ Standard Access ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☐ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
☒ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. Defined Terms. As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: “**Agreement**” means this Article and Video License Agreement; “**Article**” means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; “**Author**” means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; “**Collective Work**” means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; “**CRC License**” means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; “**Derivative Work**” means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; “**Institution**” means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; “**JoVE**” means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; “**Materials**” means the Article and / or the Video; “**Parties**” means the Author and JoVE; “**Video**” means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. Background. The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. Grant of Rights in Article. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4 and 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the “Open Access” box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. Retention of Rights in Article. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such

statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. **Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's


expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. **Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. **Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name:	Daniel E. Martin, Ph.D.	
Department:	Aerial Application Technology Research Unit	
Institution:	USDA-ARS	
Article Title:	Remote Sensing Evaluation of Twospotted Spider Mite Damage on Greenhouse Cotton	
Signature:		Date: 10/26/2015

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

June 17, 2016

Sephora Zaman
Science editor
JoVE

Ref: JoVE 54314R3

The following are our actions to the Editorial comments.

1. We have replaced Figure 3 with a new slide showing cotton plants with 4-5 true leaves.
2. 2.2.1.4 and 2.2.3.4: We have made the corrections as suggested.
3. Results: We have defined the letters a, b, c and d in the Table legend.
4. Discussion: We have included a statement suggesting proposed future applications for the technique used in this study.
5. Representative Results: We have discussed NDVI relative to its numerical ranges in values and added appropriate references.

We are hope that the revised manuscript will be acceptable to you.

Daniel E. Martin