# Journal of Visualized Experiments
## Knowledge Based Cloud FE Simulation of Sheet Metal Forming Processes
### --Manuscript Draft--

| | |
|---|---|
| Abstract: | The use of Finite Element (FE) simulation software to adequately predict the outcome of sheet metal forming processes is crucial to enhancing the efficiency and lowering the development time of such processes, whilst reducing costs involved in trial and error prototyping. Recent focus on the substitution of steel components with aluminum alloy alternatives in the automotive and aerospace sectors has increased the need to simulate the forming behavior of such alloys for ever more complex component geometries. However these alloys, and in particular their high strength variants, exhibit limited formability at room temperature, and high temperature manufacturing technologies have been developed to form them. Consequently, advanced constitutive models are required to reflect the associated temperature and strain rate effects. Simulating such behavior is computationally very expensive using conventional FE simulation techniques.<br><br>This paper presents a novel Knowledge Based Cloud FE (KBC-FE) simulation |

| | technique that combines advanced material and friction models with conventional FE simulations in an efficient manner thus enhancing the capability of commercial simulation software packages. The application of these methods is demonstrated through two example case studies, namely: the prediction of a material's forming limit under hot stamping conditions, and the tool life prediction under multi-cycle loading conditions. |
|---|---|
| **Author Comments:** | |
| **Additional Information:** | |
| **Question** | **Response** |
| If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date to satisfy grant requirements, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter. | |

# Imperial College London

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London
Exhibition Road
South Kensington
London SW7 2AZ
UK
Tel: 44 (0) 207 594-3648

Liliang.wang@imperial.ac.uk        www.imperial.ac.uk

Dr Liliang Wang
Research Fellow

16 Oct 2015

Dear Editor,

This letter is to state that the submission is original and is not being submitted for publication elsewhere. I should be grateful if you would consider this original paper for publication in your journal.

The paper introduces our recent research on Knowledge Based Cloud FE (KBC-FE) simulation of sheet metal forming processes. We believe that JoVE's unique multimedia format is able to visualize the key features of the KBC-FE simulation. The KBC-FE simulation is a novel FE simulation technique, which enables advanced FE simulations composed of individual modules to be conducted in a cloud computing environment, hence reducing the computational cost of simulations significantly. Moreover, it establishes a seamless collaborative network with world leading scientists to develop their own modules to be shared and connected together on the cloud, hence enabling the integration of cutting edge knowledge into FE simulations.

Many thanks for your consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Liliang Wang

**TITLE:**

**Knowledge Based Cloud FE Simulation of Sheet Metal Forming Processes**

**AUTHORS:**

**Du Zhou, Xi Yuan, Haoxiang Gao, Ailing Wang, Jun Liu, Omer El Fakir, Denis J. Politis, Liliang Wang, Jianguo Lin**

**AUTHOR AFFILIATION:**

**Du Zhou**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
du.zhou14@imperial.ac.uk

**Xi Yuan**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
xi.yuan14@imperial.ac.uk

**Haoxiang Gao**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
haoxiang.gao09@imperial.ac.uk

**Ailing Wang**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
ailing.wang09@imperial.ac.uk

**Jun Liu**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
jun.liu@imperial.ac.uk

**Omer El Fakir**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
omar.al-fakir07@imperial.ac.uk

**Denis J. Politis**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
denis.politis06@imperial.ac.uk

**LiLiang Wang**

Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
liliang.wang@imperial.ac.uk

**Jianguo Lin**
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
jianguo.lin@imperial.ac.uk

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Dr. Liliang Wang, Tel.: +44 (0)20 7594 3648
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Imperial College London, London, UK.
liliang.wang@imperial.ac.uk

SHORT ABSTRACT:
The following paper presents a novel FE simulation technique (KBC-FE), which reduces computational cost by performing simulations on a cloud computing environment, through the application of individual modules. Moreover, it establishes a seamless collaborative network between world leading scientists, enabling the integration of cutting edge knowledge modules into FE simulations.

LONG ABSTRACT:
The use of Finite Element (FE) simulation software to adequately predict the outcome of sheet metal forming processes is crucial to enhancing the efficiency and lowering the development time of such processes, whilst reducing costs involved in trial-and-error prototyping. Recent focus on the substitution of steel components with aluminum alloy alternatives in the automotive and aerospace sectors has increased the need to simulate the forming behavior of such alloys for ever more complex component geometries. However these alloys, and in particular their high strength variants, exhibit limited formability at room temperature, and high temperature manufacturing technologies have been developed to form them. Consequently, advanced constitutive models are required to reflect the associated temperature and strain rate effects. Simulating such behavior is computationally very expensive using conventional FE simulation techniques.

This paper presents a novel Knowledge Based Cloud FE (KBC-FE) simulation technique that combines advanced material and friction models with conventional FE simulations in an efficient manner thus enhancing the capability of commercial simulation software packages. The application of these methods is demonstrated through two example case studies, namely: the prediction of a material's forming limit under hot stamping conditions, and the tool life

prediction under multi-cycle loading conditions.

**INTRODUCTION:**

Finite Element (FE) simulations have become a powerful tool for optimizing process parameters in the metal forming industry. The reliability of FE simulation results is dependent on the accuracy of the material definition, input in the form of flow stress data or constitutive equations, and the assignment of the boundary conditions, such as the friction coefficient and the heat transfer coefficient. In the past few years, advanced FE simulations have been developed via the implementation of user-defined subroutines, which have significantly broadened the capability of FE software.

The use of such advanced FE simulations in the design of forming processes for structural components has been investigated by both the aviation and automotive industries, with the intention of producing lightweight structures that reduces operating costs and $CO_2$ emissions. Particular focus has been placed on the replacement of steel components with lower density materials, such as aluminum alloys and magnesium alloys. However, these alloys, especially the stronger variants, offer limited formability at room temperature and thus complex-shaped components cannot be manufactured using the conventional cold stamping process. Therefore, advanced high temperature forming technologies, such as warm aluminum forming [1-4], hot stamping of aluminum alloys [5-9] and hot stamping of high strength steels [10], have been developed over the past decades to enable complex-shaped components to be formed. In general, high temperature forming processes involve significant temperature variations, strain rate and loading path changes [11], which would, for instance, cause inevitable viscoplastic and loading history dependent responses from the work piece materials. These are intrinsic features of high temperature forming processes and may be difficult to represent using conventional FE simulation techniques. Another desirable feature would be the ability to predict the tool life over multiple forming cycles in such processes, since they require low friction characteristics achieved through coatings that degrade with each forming operation. To represent all these features via the implementation of user-defined subroutines would be computationally very expensive. Moreover, the development and implementation of multiple subroutines would require excessive multi-disciplinary knowledge from an engineer conducting the simulations.

In the present work, a novel Knowledge Based Cloud FE (KBC-FE) simulation technique is proposed, based on the application of modules on a cloud computing environment, that enables an efficient and effective method of modeling advanced forming features in conjunction with conventional FE simulations. In this technique, data from the FE software is processed at each cloud module, and then imported back into the FE software in the relevant consistent format, for further processing and analysis. The development of these modules and their implementation in the KBC-FE is detailed.

**PROTOCOL:**
**1. Development of a high temperature forming limit prediction model**
1.1) Laser cut the specimens for formability tests from the aluminum alloy AA6082 sheets (1.5 mm thickness) into the selected geometries [12].

1.2) Etch a grid pattern, composed of 0.75 mm diameter circular points with a regular spacing of 1 mm, on the surface of the specimens using an electrolytic method [13].

1.3) Manually apply graphite grease as a lubricant on the non-etched side.

1.4) Assemble the dome test rig in a high rate hydraulic press [12]. Use a 250 kN hydraulic universal testing machine.

1.5) Heat up the dome test rig to a testing temperature and set the punch at a constant moving speed. Then initiate the test. Note: The testing temperatures are 300, 400, and 450 °C, respectively. The testing speeds include 75, 250, and 400 mm/sec.

1.6) Stop the test at the first occurrence of necking. Note: The press stroke (i.e. final specimen height) is set such that necking is just observed on the formed specimen.

1.7) Measure the final specimen height using a height gauge, and calculate the strains and maximum strain rates (the rate of change of strain with respect to time) using an optical 3D forming analysis system. Analyze the changes in the grid spacing to compute the strains at each point of the formed specimen.

1.8) Ensure that the optical 3D forming analysis system includes a camera, the formed specimen, and calibration scale bars [14]. Note: The specimen is placed at the center of a turntable and enclosed with the scale bars, and their relative positions are kept fixed for the duration of the analysis.

1.9) Set the camera at a fixed elevation (e.g. 50 cm) and angle (e.g. 30, 50, or 70°) to the specimen, and take pictures over a complete rotation (360°) of the turntable, in increments of 15°. Note: In the present work, three sets of images were acquired from multiple camera elevations and angles in order to map the strains over the entire specimen [15].

1.10) Load the images into the optical 3D forming analysis software, and proceed to compute the strains. Do this by clicking on the **'compute ellipses and bundle'** function, which detects the grid points, followed by clicking the **'compute 3D points and grid'** function which builds up the grid. Note: Calculate the strains and visualize it in the evaluation mode.

1.11) Output the strain distributions to determine the limit strains for each specimen based on ISO 12004 [16], and plot the forming limit diagrams for different forming speeds and forming temperatures.

1.12) Calibrate a material model for AA6082 at different temperatures from 300 to 500 °C and strain rates from 0.1 to 10 sec$^{-1}$. NOTE: The material model and its constants for AA6082 are detailed in reference [17].

1.13) Implement and unify the Hosford anisotropic yield function [18], Marciniak-Kuczynski (M-K) theory [19] and the material model in step 1.12 into an integration algorithm so as to formulate the forming limit prediction model. NOTE: The model is described in reference [11].

1.14) Calibrate and verify the developed model for step 1.13 using the experimental results obtained in step 1.11.

1.15) Predict the forming limits through the verified model [11] from step 1.14. NOTE: Figure 1 shows the resulting model predictions at different temperatures, at a forming speed of 250 mm/sec, or equivalently, a strain rate of 6.26 sec$^{-1}$.

**2. Development of an interactive friction/wear model**
**2.1) Perform ball-on-disc tests for coated (disc) specimens**
2.1.1) Prepare titanium nitride (TiN) coatings on bearing steel GCr15 disc using cathode arc and mid-frequency magnetron sputtering, with the deposition parameters given in reference [20].

2.1.2) Using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), obtain surface/cross-section topography of the coated sample. Measure the TiN coating thickness through the SEM images by comparing the topography (brightness and contract) of base and coating materials. NOTE: The experimental procedures can be found in reference [20].

2.1.3) Use a white light inter-ferometric surface pro-filometer to obtain the surface roughness of the sample. Place the sample under the lens and adjust the microscope to obtain clear surface structure. Illuminate the sample and adjust the angles of x and y axes to observe clear interference strips (which can be monitored from the screen). Set gross deepness in the software and start measurement. Automatically scan the sample surface and calculate the surface roughness.

2.1.4) Evaluate the adherent strength of the sample using a micro-scratch tester. Apply an increasing load (maximum 50 N) and a scratch distance (maximum 5 mm) on the TiN coating. Determine the critical load causing failure of the coating and obtain the micro-scratch curves [20].

2.1.5) Assess hardness of the sample using a hardness indenter. Apply a static load of 20 N on the sample for 15 sec. Measure the diagonal of impression made by the indenter, and then obtain the hardness values from the tester.

2.1.6) Conduct ball-on-disc tests on a tribometer in an ambient environment (temperature 25 °C, humidity 30%). Use a 6 mm diameter WC-6% ball (micro-hardness 1780 HV, abrasion strength 1380 N/cm, elastic modulus 71 GPa) as the counterpart against the coated disc. Adjust the relative sliding speed to 5 mm/sec. Apply a normal load of 200 N. Start the motor and record friction values using the tribometer. Interrupt the test at 180 sec, 350 sec, 400 sec and 450 sec, respectively, to analyze the wear track using an optical microscope [20].

2.1.7) Measure the topography of the worn surface using a white light interferometric surface

profilometer after testing.

2.1.8) Repeat the tests (Step 2.1.6) with different normal loads (300 N, 400 N).

**2.2) Determine the evolution of the friction coefficient until the breakdown of the hard coating, characterized by a sharp increase in the friction coefficient.**
2.2.1) Plot the evolution of the friction coefficient against time after recording the friction values in Step 2.1.6. NOTE: The evolution of the friction coefficient is presented in reference [20].

2.2.2) Assess the evolution of the friction coefficient in terms of wear behavior and the associated mechanisms. NOTE: The evolution of friction is characterized into three different stages: (i) low friction stage, (ii) ploughing friction stage, and (iii) coating breakdown stage [20,21].

2.2.3) Evaluate the wear states at 180 sec by manually interrupting the test, and then analyze the wear track using an optical microscope. NOTE: This step is to investigate the wear debris for the low friction stage as described in step 2.2.2.

2.2.4) Repeat Step 2.2.3 at 350 sec, 400 sec and 450 sec, respectively.

**2.3) Develop the interactive friction model**
2.3.1) Characterize the overall friction coefficient $\mu$ by combining the initial friction $\mu_\alpha$ with the ploughing friction of hardware particles $\mu_{Pc}$ (as shown in Eq.(1)) [20].
$$\mu = \mu_\alpha + \mu_{p_c} \qquad (1)$$

2.3.2) Combine the ploughing friction between the ball and substrate ($\mu_{Ps}$) with the instantaneous coating thickness ($h$) to model the coating breakdown induced sharp increase of the ploughing friction $\mu_{Pc}$ (Eq.(2)). NOTE: In this case, $\mu_{Pc}$ equals $\mu_{Ps}$ when the remaining coating thickness is zero (indicating the complete breakdown of the hard coating).
$$\mu_{p_c} = \mu_{p_s} exp\left[-(\lambda_1 h)^{\lambda_2}\right] \qquad (2)$$
where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are model parameters introduced to represent the physical meaning of the wear process. $\lambda_1$ describes the influence of large entrapped wear particles, and $\lambda_2$ represents the intensity of the ploughing friction effect, which is characterized by the slope of the friction coefficient.

2.3.3) Use a time based integration algorithm to obtain the evolution of the remaining coating thickness and model the accumulated wear under varying contact conditions. Update the coating thickness in each calculation loop by Eq. (3).
$$h = h_0 - \dot{h}dt \qquad (3)$$
where $h_0$ is the initial coating thickness and $\dot{h}$ is the time dependent wear rate of the coating.

2.3.4) Modify Archard's wear law [22] (Eq. (4)) and implement it in the present model.
$$\dot{h} = \frac{KPv}{H_c} \qquad (4)$$
where $K$ is the wear coefficient, $P$ is the contact pressure, $v$ is the sliding velocity, and $H_c$ is the

combined hardness of the coating and the substrate.

2.3.5) Use Korsunsky's model to calculate the combined hardness (Eq. (5)).

$$H_c = H_s \left( \frac{\alpha^2 + h\beta^2}{\alpha + h\beta^2} \right) \qquad (5)$$

where $H_s$ is the hardness of the substrate, $\alpha$ is the hardness ratio between coating and substrate and β is the influence coefficient of the thickness.

2.3.6) Represent the load dependent parameters $\lambda_1$ and $K$ by power law equations.

$$\lambda_1 = k_{\lambda_1} P^{N_{\lambda_1}} \qquad (6)$$
$$K = k_K P^{N_K} \qquad (7)$$

where $k_{\lambda_1}$, $k_K$, $N_{\lambda_1}$ and $N_K$ are material constants related to the evolution of friction [20].

2.3.7) Fit the interactive friction model to the experimental results using an integration algorithm developed in the authors' group to determine the model parameters.

**3. KBC-FE simulation case studies**
**3.1) KBC-FE simulation case study 1: prediction of forming limit under hot stamping conditions**
3.1.1) Create and name a new simulation project in the FE simulation software. Select the process as '**Stamp hot forming**' and the solver type as '**PAM-AutoStamp**' when saving the project.

3.1.2) Import the door inner die by clicking on the '**Import tools CAD**' and then '**Import & transfer**' the door inner '**IGS**' geometry file into the FE simulation software graphic interface. Select the '**Hot forming**' strategy for meshing of tools. Name the imported object as '**Die**'.

3.1.3) Repeat Step 3.1.2 and '**import**' the objects of Punch and Blankholder, respectively.

3.1.4) Click on '**Blank**' under the '**Set-up**' tab. Click '**Add blank**' in the '**Blank editor**', and set the '**New object**' as '**Blank**'. Then select the type as '**Surface Blank**'.

3.1.5) Choose '**Outline**' for the definition type and import the blank shape by clicking on '**Import from CAD file**'. Define '**Refinement**' as '**imposed level**' and select level 1 under '**Mesh options**'. Turn off '**Automatic meshing**' and set '**Mesh size**' to 4 mm.

3.1.6) Define material properties in '**Blank editor**'. Click on '**Load a material**' under the '**Material**' tab. Select the '**AA6082**' (unit: mm.kg.ms.C) material as the material properties. Set the '**rolling direction**' to '**x = 1**'. Set the '**Blank thickness**' to 2 mm, and the blank '**Initial temperature**' to 490 °C. NOTE: The material properties and material model are described in reference [17].

3.1.7) Click on '**Process**' under '**Set-up**' tab and select the '**+**' icon to load a new macro. Browse to '**\Stamp\Hotforming**' and select '**HF_Validation_DoubleAction_GPa.ksa**'. In the '**Customize**'

dialog, activate the Blank, Die, Punch, and Blankholder. Under **'Stages'** tab, activate Gravity, Holding, Stamping, and Quenching.

3.1.8) Set all parameters in the **'Objects attributes'** under **'Set-up'** tab to correspond with the actual experimental setup (blank holding force = 50 kN, forming speed = 250 mm/sec, friction coefficient = 0.1, heat transfer coefficients [23] as a function of gap and contact pressure).

3.1.9) Click **'Check'** icon to check the simulation set-up and ensure no errors in the above settings.

3.1.10) Click **'Computation'** icon to start the simulation. NOTE: The software records 11 states during the simulation in a host computer.

3.1.11) After completion of the simulation, observe the simulation results in the FE simulation software graphical interface, and proceed to record a **'script'** for an action exporting the contour values, i.e. major strain (membrane), minor strain (membrane), and temperature of all the blank elements, for a specified simulation state. Click 'record' and export contour values manually. Click 'stop' to stop recording. Save the script so as to repeat the same action for all 11 simulation states.

3.1.12) Click 'play' icon to load the script, click **'Do All'** to export the contour values. NOTE: For each individual contour/state, the software automatically exports the values in 'ASCII' files under **'major_strain_statenumber'**, **'minor_strain_statenumber'**, and **'temperature_statenumber'**, respectively.

3.1.13) Save all the exported files to a cloud computer. Run the **'necking prediction model'** (i.e. cloud module code) together with all the exported files in the cloud computer.

3.1.14) Predict the onset of necking through the use of forming limit prediction model in the cloud computer. NOTE: This model [11] gives users the option to run the prediction model on an individual element or all elements of the blank.

3.1.15) Manually input the simulation details/parameters in the **'necking prediction model'**. Input the number of states in the simulation (state 11), total stroke of the stamping process (157 mm), stamping speed (250 mm/sec), strain range of interest (the element selection criterion, e.g. strain > 0.2) and all elements. NOTE: The strain range limits the elements for which necking may take place by setting an element criterion, e.g. only the elements with a final major strain greater than 0.2 are selected for further evaluation in the module.

3.1.16) After completing the module computation in the cloud computer, automatically save all the data (necking prediction results) into formatted '**ASCII**' files.

3.1.17) Load the final state of the FE simulation results. Under the **'Contours'** tab, click on **'Imported'** and then **'Scalar values'**. Select the **'ASCII'** file obtained from the above step. Display the necking prediction results in the FE simulation software.

**3.2) KBC-FE simulation case study 2: tool life prediction under multi-cycle loading conditions**
3.2.1) Create and name a new simulation project in the FE simulation software. Select the process as **'Standard stamping'** and the solver type as **'PAM-AutoStamp'** when saving the project.

3.2.2) Import the die geometry by clicking on the **'Import tools CAD'** and then **'Import & transfer'** the U-shape die **'IGS'** geometry file into FE simulation software graphic interface. Select the **'Validation'** strategy for meshing of tools. Name the imported object as **'Die'**.

3.2.3) Repeat Step 3.2.2 to import the objects of Punch and Blankholder, respectively.

3.2.4) Click on **'Blank'** under **'Set-up'** tab. **'Add blank'** in the **'Blank editor'**, set the **'New object'** as **'Blank'**, and then select the type as **'Surface Blank'**. Choose **'Four points'** for the definition type and set the blank size to 120×80 mm$^2$. Define **'Refinement'** as **'imposed level'**: level 1 under **'Mesh options'**. Turn off **'Automatic meshing'** and set **'Mesh size'** to 1.5 mm.

3.2.5) Define material properties in **'Blank editor'**. Click on the **'Load a material'** under the **'Material'** tab. Select the **'AA5754-H111'** (unit: mm.kg.ms.C) material as the material properties. Set the **'rolling direction'** to **'x = 1'**. Set the **'Blank thickness'** to 1.5 mm.

3.2.6) Click on **'Process'** under **'Set-up'** tab and select the **'+'** icon to load a new macro. Browse to **'\Stamp\Feasibility'** and select **'SingleActioin_GPa.ksa'**. In the **'Customize'** dialog, activate the Blank, Die, Punch, and Blankholder. Under '**Stages'**, activate Gravity, Holding, and Stamping.

3.2.7) Set all the **'parameters'** in the simulation to correspond with the actual experiment setup (blank holding forces = 5, 20, 50 kN, respectively, forming speed = 250 mm/sec, friction coefficient = 0.17).

3.2.8) **'Check'** the simulation set-up and ensure no errors in the above settings.

3.2.9) Click on **'Computation'** icon and start the 'Computation' for an 11-state U-shape bending simulation in a host computer.

3.2.10) After completion of the simulation, export '**coordinate'** data and **'contact pressure'** data automatically for the work piece and tools (punch, die and blank holder) as **'ASCII'** files (as per Steps 3.1.11 and 3.1.12).

3.2.11) Save all the exported files to a cloud computer. Run the **'tool life prediction module'** together with all the exported files in the cloud computer.

3.2.12) Manually input forming parameters in the **'tool life prediction module'**. Input the following parameters: number of states (state 11), total stroke (70 mm), stamping speed (250 mm/sec) and initial friction coefficient (0.17).

3.2.13) Select the tool (punch, die, or blank holder), and then start the computation for a single element or all the elements. NOTE: All the data required for this step have been exported in step 3.2.10. For simplification, the numbers **'0'**, **'1'**, and **'2'** correspond to the die, punch, and blank holder in the module, respectively. The module will promote the user to select a number for the corresponding tool. After the selection, e.g. **'0'** for the die, the module will give the user an option to calculate for a single element or all the elements. The user needs to input '0' for all elements or '1' for a specified element. After the input, e.g. **'0'**, the module starts computation automatically.

3.2.14) After completion of the module computation in the cloud computer, automatically save all the data (including instantaneous remaining coating thickness and friction coefficient) into formatted **'ASCII'** files.

3.2.15) Load and display the remaining coating thickness and friction coefficient for the relevant elements in the FE simulation software (as per Step 3.1.17).

**REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:**
**KBC-FE simulation for necking prediction**
In a hot stamping process, the use of a shape-optimized blank will not only save material cost but also help to reduce the presence of defects, such as necking, cracking, and wrinkling. The initial blank shape affects the material flow significantly during forming, and hence a sensible design of the blank shape is critical to the success of the hot stamping process and quality of the final products. To reduce the efforts of trial-and-error experiments to determine the optimal blank geometry, KBC-FE simulation was proven to be a highly efficient and effective method for minimizing the areas with necking. Using this technique, each simulation takes approximately 2 hours, while the parallel cloud module computation for necking prediction is completed within 4 hours.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of the blank shape used in the hot stamping, an example of automotive door inner component. The initial blank shape, adopted from a conventional cold stamping process, was first used in the KBC-FE simulation. Experimental results in Figure 4(a) show that large failure (cracking or necking) areas are visible after the hot stamping. After one iteration of the blank shape optimization, it can be seen in Figure 4(b) that an almost fully successful panel is formed with much less necking, compared to using the initial blank shape. It can be seen that there is still an indication of necking at the pockets in the top right and left corners of the panel. After further optimization in Figure 4(c), the optimized blank shape was finally obtained with no visible necking on the panel. The optimized blank shape determined by the KBC-FE simulation was verified experimentally through hot stamping trials conducted on a fully automated production line offered by a production system manufacturer.

**KBC-FE simulation for tool life prediction**

Conventional FE simulations of metal forming processes are performed for a single cycle. However, in a production environment, multiple forming cycles are performed on a given tool, where it is found that an increase in the number of forming cycles results in an increased variation between the formed components. This variation during multi-cycle tool loading is the result of changing surface topography. For example, the multi-cycle loading of forming tools with functional coatings will lead to a coating thickness reduction due to wear. Moreover, the breakdown of the coating will also be influenced by forming parameters, such as the load/pressure, forming speeds, etc. The KBC-FE technique enables the simulation of sheet metal forming processes under multi-cycle loading conditions, which is essential for the in-service life prediction of forming tools with advanced functional coatings.

To investigate the effects of blank holding force on the tool life, blank holding force values of 5, 20 and 50 kN were examined for a constant forming speed of 250 mm/sec. Figure 5 shows the remaining tool coating thickness distribution with different blank holding forces after 300 forming cycles. It clearly indicates that the remaining coating thickness decreases with an increase in the blank holding force.

Figure 6 shows the pressure and remaining coating thickness distribution with blank holding forces of 5, 20 and 50 kN, respectively, along the curvilinear distance of the die after 300 forming cycles. Since the region A-B represents the die entrance region during the U-shape bending process, the pressure and the relative wear distance in this region were much higher than other regions of the die. Consequently, the wear of the coating mainly occurred in this area. There are two peak values of coating thickness reduction at 20 kN and 50 kN that correspond to the two peaks under the pressure. Meanwhile, the remaining coating thickness decreases with the increase of blank holding force. The lowest remaining coating thicknesses with blank holding forces of 5, 20 and 50 kN, were 0.905, 0.570 and 0.403 microns, respectively, where the initial coating thickness was 2.1 microns.

**FIGURE LEGENDS:**

**Figure 1: Comparison between experimental and predicted forming limit strains at different temperatures.** The forming limit strains increase as temperature rises, at a constant speed of 250 mm/sec, or equivalently, a strain rate of 6.26 $sec^{-1}$.

**Figure 2: Schematic chart for knowledge based cloud FE simulation of a sheet metal forming process.** Commercial FE simulation software, is used to run the simulation and export the results required for the individual modules. The modules, e.g. formability, heat transfer, post-forming strength (microstructure), tool life prediction, tool design, etc., work simultaneously and independently in the cloud, hence enabling the integration of cutting edge knowledge from multiple sources into FE simulations.

**Figure 3: Geometry of the work piece and tools for the U-shape bending simulation.** The tools, i.e. punch, blank holder and die, are modeled using rigid elements. Shell elements are used for the work piece (blank) elements.

**Figure 4: Evolution of blank shape for hot stamping of a door inner panel (displayed in FE simulation).** Left: The figures in green frames represent blank shapes at each optimization stage, and the ones in red frames correspond to the blank shape before its optimization. Right: Necking prediction results at each optimization stage. (a) Initial results with large failure (cracking/necking shown in red color), (b) Reduced failure with some necking after first stage of optimization, (c) Final optimized blank shape with no visible necking.

**Figure 5: The remaining coating thickness distribution (displayed in FE simulation) with blank holding forces of**: (a) 5 kN, (b) 20 kN, and (c) 50 kN, after 300 forming cycles at a constant stamping speed of 250 mm/sec.

**Figure 6 Prediction of contact pressure and remaining coating thickness with blank holding forces of**: (a) 5 kN, (b) 20 kN, and (c) 50 kN, along the curvilinear distance of the die at a constant stamping speed of 250 mm/sec.

**DISCUSSION:**

The KBC-FE simulation technique enables advanced simulations to be conducted off site using dedicated modules. It can run functional modules on a cloud environment, that link up nodes from different specializations, to ensure that process simulations are conducted as accurately as possible. The critical aspects in the KBC-FE simulation may involve independency of the FE codes, efficiency of the computation, and accuracy of the functional modules. The realization of each advanced function in a module would rely on the development of a new model and/or a novel experimental technique. For example, the forming limit module is developed based on the new unified forming limit prediction model [11], and the friction tool life prediction module has currently been developed by the implementation of the interactive friction model [20].

The KBC-FE simulation technique offers the function of selective computation, i.e. only the elements fulfilling the selection criteria are selected for further evaluation in the individual modules. For instance, the tool life prediction module automatically selects the elements for which the hard coating tends to breakdown, by ranking the wear rate of all the elements in the 1st forming cycle, thus usually less than 1% of the elements will be selected for further tool life evaluations under multi-cycle loading conditions. In the present research, the tool life prediction after 300 forming cycles can be completed within 5 min.

The frictional behavior during forming can be predicted by importing the required deformation history data into the verified friction module [20], and then importing the discrete data points calculated by the cloud module for each element back into the FE software. This ensures that the advanced friction module can be used by all FE codes, regardless of their ability to incorporate user-subroutines. Additionally, the module could be run in parallel to further reduce the computation time.

The above two case studies show the capabilities of the KBC-FE simulation in forming design and tool life prediction. In case study 1, conventional (hosting) simulation is conducted using

the FE software. As the stress-strain curves are input into the FE software through a simple look-up table, it may be difficult to fully represent the material properties at various temperatures and strain rates during simulation. In case study 2, the interactive friction/wear model assumed the absence of wear particles during initial sliding, and as a result, it would be reasonable to expect a constant initial value of friction coefficient 0.17 [20]. Although this model revealed the evolution of friction distribution, the frictional behavior during a forming process is very complicated. It is difficult to completely integrate the complex frictional behavior from the cloud module into the FE simulation.

The KBC-FE simulation technique enables the forming limit and tool life prediction modules incorporated into a cloud computational environment. By conducting the relevant tests and calibrating the models accordingly, it could be applied to forming process simulations to consequently determine the optimal parameters for producing a component from such alloys successfully, and with no incidences of necking. The forming limit prediction model was developed as a cloud module that was independent of the FE software being utilized. The module could be applied to any FE software to assess the formability of a material during forming, without complicated subroutines [17]. By importing the relevant data into the model, calculations could be carried out to determine whether failure would occur, in regions of the component that the user could specify, saving on computational resources.

As a future technology, the KBC-FE simulation will rely on the development of dedicated and robust internet based FE simulation software packages, which would require a highly profitable, but completely different business mode to be established by the software developers. In addition, dedicated internal network needs to be built within the collaborative parties to ensure the data security and the control reliability of the industry system.

**DISCLOSURES:**
The authors have nothing to disclose.

**REFERENCES:**
1       Miller, W. S. *et al.* Recent development in aluminium alloys for the automotive industry. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **280** 1, 37-49, doi:10.1016/S0921-5093(99)00653-X (2000).
2       Bolt, P. J., Lamboo, N. A. P. M. & Rozier, P. J. C. M. Feasibility of warm drawing of

aluminium products. *J. Mater. Process. Tech.* **115** 1, 118-121, doi:10.1016/S0924-0136(01)00743-9 (2001).

3       Li, D. & Ghosh, A. Effects of temperature and blank holding force on biaxial forming behavior of aluminum sheet alloys. *J. Mater. Eng. Perform.* **13** 3, 348-360, doi:10.1361/10599490419225 (2004).

4       Toros, S., Ozturk, F. & Kacar, I. Review of warm forming of aluminum-magnesium alloys. *J. Mater. Process. Tech.* **207** 1-3, 1-12, doi:10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2008.03.057 (2008).

5       Wang, L., Strangwood, M., Balint, D., Lin, J. & Dean, T. A. Formability and failure mechanisms of AA2024 under hot forming conditions. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **528** 6, 2648-2656, doi:10.1016/j.msea.2010.11.084 (2011).

6       Wang, L. *et al.* in *TTP2013 Tools and Technologies for Processing Ultra High Strength Materials.* (Austria, Graz, 2013).

7       El Fakir, O. *et al.* Numerical study of the solution heat treatment, forming, and in-die quenching (HFQ) process on AA5754. *Int. J. Mach. Tool. Manu.* **87** 0, 39-48, doi:10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2014.07.008 (2014).

8       Raugei, M., El Fakir, O., Wang, L., Lin, J. & Morrey, D. Life cycle assessment of the potential environmental benefits of a novel hot forming process in automotive manufacturing. *J. Clean. Prod* **83** 80-86, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.07.037 (2014).

9       Liu, J., Gao, H., Fakir, O. E., Wang, L. & Lin, J. HFQ forming of AA6082 tailor welded blanks. *MATEC Web of Conferences* **21** 05006, doi:10.1051/matecconf/20152105006 (2015).

10      Karbasian, H. & Tekkaya, A. E. A review on hot stamping. *J. Mater. Process. Tech.* **210** 15, 2103-2118, doi:10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2010.07.019 (2010).

11      El Fakir, O., Wang, L., Balint, D., Dear, J. P. & Lin, J. Predicting Effect of Temperature, Strain Rate and Strain Path Changes on Forming Limit of Lightweight Sheet Metal Alloys. *Procedia Eng.* **81** 0, 736-741, doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2014.10.069 (2014).

12      Shi, Z. *et al.* in *the 3rd International Conference on New Forming Technology.* 100-104 (Harbin, China, 2012).

13      *Electrolytic Marking [Internet]. Staford: Ostling Etchmark; c2011-2015 [cited 2016 Jan 4]. Available from: http://www.etchmark.co.uk/marking-tech/electrolytic/.*

14      *ARGUS - Optical Forming Analysis [Internet]. Braunschweig: GOM mbH; c2015 [cited 2016 Jan 4]. Available from: http://www.gom.com/metrology-systems/system-overview/argus/.*

15      *ARGUS User Manual.* (GOM mbH, Germany).

16      ISO12004. Metallic materials -- Sheet and strip -- Determination of forming-limit curves. (2008).

17      Mohamed, M. S., Foster, A. D., Lin, J., Balint, D. S. & Dean, T. A. Investigation of deformation and failure features in hot stamping of AA6082: Experimentation and modelling. *Int. J. Mach. Tool. Manu.* **53** 1, 27-38, doi:10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2011.07.005 (2012).

18      Hosford, W. F. Comments on anisotropic yield criteria. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **27** 7, 423-427, doi:10.1016/0020-7403(85)90032-3 (1985).

19      Marciniak, Z. & Kuczyński, K. Limit strains in the processes of stretch-forming sheet metal. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.* **9** 9, 609-620, doi:10.1016/0020-7403(67)90066-5 (1967).

20      Ma, G., Wang, L., Gao, H., Zhang, J. & Reddyhoff, T. The friction coefficient evolution of a TiN coated contact during sliding wear. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **345** 109-115, doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.03.156 (2015).

21      Põdra, P. & Andersson, S. Simulating sliding wear with finite element method. *Tribol. Int.* **32** 2, 71-81, doi:10.1016/S0301-679X(99)00012-2 (1999).

22      Archard, J. F. Contact and Rubbing of Flat Surfaces. *J. Appl. Phys.* **24** 8, 981-988, doi:10.1063/1.1721448 (1953).

23      Liu, X. *et al.* Determination of the interfacial heat transfer coefficient in the hot stamping of AA7075. *MATEC Web of Conferences* **21** 05003, doi:10.1051/matecconf/20152105003 (2015).

a) 5kN     b) 20kN     c) 50kN

Excel Spreadsheet- Table of Materials/Equipment ⬇

| Name of Material/ Equipment | Company | Catalog Number | Comments/Description |
|---|---|---|---|
| AA6082-T6 | AMAG | | Material |
| AA5754-H111 | AMAG | | Material |
| 1000 kN high-speed press | ESH | | Forming press |
| ARGUS | GOM | | Optical forming analysis |
| PAM-STAMP 2015 | ESI | | FE simulation software |
| Matlab | MathWorks | | Numerical calculation software |
| Gleeble 3800 | DSI | | Uniaxial tensile test |
| High Temperature Tribometer (THT) | Anton Paar | | Friction property test |
| NewViewTM 7100 | ZYGO | | Surface profilometer |
| Magnetron sputtering equipment | | | Coating deposition |
| Microhardness tester | Wolpert Wilson Instruments | | |
| Nano-hardness indenter | MTS | | |

jove
JOURNAL OF
VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS

17 Sellers Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
tel. +1.617.945.9051
www.JoVE.com

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT - UK

Title of Article: *Knowledge Based Cloud FE Simulation of Sheet Metal Forming Process*

Author(s): *Du Zhou, Xi Yuan, Haoxiang Gao, Ailing Wang, Jun Liu, Omer El-Fakir, Denis J. Politis, Liliang Wang, and Jianguo Lin*

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

http://www.jove.com/publish ) via:  ☐ Standard Access   ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.

☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. <u>Defined Terms</u>. As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: "**Agreement**" means this Article and Video License Agreement; "**Article**" means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; "**Author**" means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; "**Collective Work**" means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; "**CRC License**" means the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/us/legalcode; "**Derivative Work**" means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; "**Institution**" means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; "**JoVE**" means MyJove Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*;

"**Materials**" means the Article and / or the Video; "**Parties**" means the Author and JoVE; "**Video**" means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. <u>Background</u>. The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. <u>Grant of Rights in Article</u>. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4** and **7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and

(c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article and Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

4. <u>Retention of Rights in Article</u>. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the

1

612542.6

# jOVE
JOURNAL OF
VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS

17 Sellers Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
tel. +1.617.945.9051
www.JoVE.com

# ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5.  Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access. This Section 5 applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above or if no box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to Section 7 below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6.  Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access. This Section 6 applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to Section 7 below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats.

7.  Government Employees. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in Item 2 above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict

shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8.  Likeness, Privacy, Personality. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9.  Author Warranties. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. JoVE Discretion. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including,

612542.6

**jove**
JOURNAL OF
VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS

17 Sellers Street
Cambridge, MA 02139
tel. +1.617.945.9051
www.JoVE.com

without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. <u>Indemnification</u>. The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the

Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in *JoVE* or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or

damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. <u>Fees</u>. To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. <u>Transfer, Governing Law</u>. This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

**AUTHOR:**

Name: LILIANG WANG

Department: Mechanical Engineering Department

Institution: Imperial College London

Article Title: Knowledge Based Cloud FE Simulation of Sheet Metal Forming Process

Signature: L. Wang          Date: 20/07/15

Please submit a <u>signed</u> and <u>dated</u> copy of this license by one of the following three methods:
1) Upload a scanned copy as a PDF to the *JoVE* submission site upon manuscript submission (preferred);
2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236; or
3) Mail the document to *JoVE* / Attn: *JoVE* Editorial / 17 Sellers St / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email editorial@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051.

MS # (internal use):

612542.

Dear Editor,

Please find the revised manuscript (**JoVE Submission JoVE53957_R5**) for *"Knowledge Based Cloud FE Simulation of Sheet Metal Forming Processes"*. We have addressed and incorporated all the comments and believe that the comments have benefited this paper.

All the changes in the revised manuscript are highlighted and tracked in red. We hope that this revised manuscript is now suitable to be accepted in your journal. Attached is a point by point response to the comments. Many thanks for your kind consideration.

Yours sincerely,

Liliang Wang

Editorial comments:

1. Thank you so much for submitting your revised manuscript. All of your previous revisions have been incorporated into the most recent version of the manuscript.

2. NOTE: Please download this version of the Microsoft word document (File name: 53957) for any subsequent changes.

All the changes are made based on the new version of the document.

3. In step 3.2.13 how is the "tool" to be processed selected? Where is the "Start" button pressed/clicked? Please provide details as this step is highlighted.

The 'tool' is selected in the module by inputting a corresponding number '0', '1', or '2'. The step is detailed in the revised manuscript. After input the selection, the module can start the computation in the program automatically.

4. The editor un-highlighted the step 3.2.14 as this is not filmable action item.

Thank you. The author highlighted the step 3.2.15 as this step should display the results in a GUI window.

5. In the discussion section please place relevant paragraphs under the following sub-headings;


a. Critical steps within the protocol.

b. Modifications and troubleshooting.

c. Limitations of the technique.

d. Significance of the technique with respect to existing/alternative methods.

e. Future applications or directions after mastering this technique.

The discussion section has been revised accordingly.

6. In the References, the doi should be mentioned as "doi: 10.1016/S0921-5093(99)00653-X and not "doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(99)00653-X". "This part http://dx.doi.org/ should be removed. Also for reference numbers 13 and 14, cite as per NIH guidelines for website.

The references have been revised according to the NIH guidelines.

7. NOTE: Please copyedit the entire manuscript carefully for any grammatical errors you may find. This editing should be performed by a native English speaker (or professional copyediting services) and is essential for clarity of the protocol. Please thoroughly review the language and grammar of your article text prior to resubmission. Your JoVE editor will not copy-edit your manuscript and any errors in your submitted revision may be present in the published version.

The authors have checked carefully the entire manuscript.