

# Journal of Visualized Experiments

## Intravital Imaging of Axonal Interactions with Microglia and Macrophages in a Mouse Dorsal Column Crush Injury --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	JoVE52228R2
<b>Full Title:</b>	Intravital Imaging of Axonal Interactions with Microglia and Macrophages in a Mouse Dorsal Column Crush Injury
<b>Article Type:</b>	Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
<b>Keywords:</b>	Intravital; spinal cord; crush injury; chimera; microglia; macrophages; dorsal column; axonal dieback
<b>Manuscript Classifications:</b>	1.11.650.400: Microglia; 1.15.382.680.397: Macrophages; 1.15.382.812.522: Macrophages; 1.8.186.854: Spinal Cord; 1.8.637.400: Microglia; 3.10.228.854.770: Spinal Cord Injuries; 3.10.900.850: Spinal Cord Injuries; 3.26.819: Spinal Cord Injuries; 5.4.525.210.560: Nerve Crush; 8.1.158.610: Neurosciences
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Alex Yee-Chen Huang, M.D., Ph.D. Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine Cleveland, Ohio UNITED STATES
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author E-Mail:</b>	alex.y.huang@case.edu
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Teresa A. Evans, Ph.D.
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Other Authors:</b>	Teresa A. Evans, Ph.D.
	Deborah Sim Barkauskas, Ph.D.
	Jay T. Myers, M.S.
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	Traumatic spinal cord injury causes an inflammatory reaction involving blood-derived macrophages and central nervous system (CNS)-resident microglia. Intravital two-photon microscopy enables the study of macrophages and microglia in the spinal cord lesion in the living animal. Here, we describe methods for distinguishing macrophages from microglia in the CNS using an irradiation bone marrow chimera to obtain animals in which only macrophages or microglia are labeled with a genetically encoded green fluorescent protein. We also describe an injury model produced by crushing the dorsal column of the spinal cord after a laminectomy, thereby producing a simple, easily accessible rectangular lesion that is easily visualized. Furthermore, we will outline procedures to sequentially image the site of injury for the study of cellular interactions during the first few days to weeks after injury in the live animal.
<b>Author Comments:</b>	This manuscript is prepared and submitted in response to a kind invitation and discussion with Kimbra Wagner, Associate Editor of JoVE. Due to Teresa Evans (first author and the person who will be filming this manuscript) scheduling conflict after July 1 2014, we are requesting that filming be completed in June (assuming the manuscript passes the review process before then).
	We thank the editor for comments on our initial submission, and we have now addressed all of the points raised by the editor in this revised manuscript, along with a revised Figure 2 and a revised Table of Materials and Equipment.
	Thank you for your consideration.

<b>Additional Information:</b>	
<b>Question</b>	<b>Response</b>
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date to satisfy grant requirements, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	
If this article needs to be filmed by a certain date to due to author/equipment/lab availability, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	Jun 28, 2014



**Alex Y. Huang, MD, PhD**  
St. Baldrick's Scholar  
Theresa G. & Stuart F. Kline Family Foundation Chair in Pediatric Oncology  
Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Pathology and Biomedical Engineering  
Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine  
Fellowship Director and Clinical Faculty  
Division of Pediatric Hematology / Oncology  
Rainbow Babies & Children's Hospital  
University Hospitals Case Medical Center / Seidman Cancer Center  
WRB 6528, 2103 Cornell Road  
Cleveland, OH 44106-7288, USA  
(216) 368-1271; (216) 368-0741 (fax)  
[alex.y.huang@case.edu](mailto:alex.y.huang@case.edu); [Alex.Huang@UHhospitals.org](mailto:Alex.Huang@UHhospitals.org)  
Website: [HuangLab.com](http://HuangLab.com)

To: Jaydev Upponi, Ph.D.  
Senior Editor, *JoVE*  
One Alewife Center, Suite 200  
Cambridge, MA 02140

June 8, 2014

Dear Dr. Upponi,

I am pleased to submit the **revised** manuscript entitled, "*Intravital Imaging of Axonal Interactions with Microglia and Macrophages in a Mouse Dorsal Column Crush Injury*" (JoVE52228R1) which was originally submitted on April 15, 2014 in response to your kind invitation to contribute this work to *JoVE*.

I greatly appreciate the thoughtful and thorough comments from all the reviewers. We have specifically addressed all of the comments and suggestions raised by the reviewers in detail, revised relevant sections accordingly, and have highlighted these sections of the manuscript in red in order to help facilitate additional review. I believe the reviewers' comments have made this manuscript much stronger.

Our current manuscript brings forth a set of experimental and technical expertise that would be of great interests to many scientists across multiple disciplines including neuroscience, immunology and medicine. I appreciate your invitation to allow us this opportunity to share our experience in this matter. I hope you and the reviewers will find the enclosed revised report of significant importance and high interests to the general readership of *JoVE*, and find this manuscript deserving of thoughtful consideration for publication in this great journal. We look forward to a favorable response from you and the reviewers upon re-examination.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Alex Huang".

Alex Huang, M.D., Ph.D.  
Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Pathology & BME  
CWRU-SOM; [alex.y.huang@case.edu](mailto:alex.y.huang@case.edu)

**TITLE:**

Intravital Imaging of Axonal Interactions with Microglia and Macrophages in a Mouse Dorsal Column Crush Injury

**AUTHORS:**

Teresa A. Evans, Deborah S. Barkauskas, Jay T. Myers, Alex Y. Huang.

**AUTHOR AFFILIATION:**

Evans, Teresa A.  
Department of Neurosciences  
Case Western Reserve University  
Cleveland, OH  
[Teresa.evans@case.edu](mailto:Teresa.evans@case.edu)

Barkauskas, Deborah S.  
Department of Biomedical Engineering  
Case Western Reserve University  
Cleveland, OH  
[Deborah.sim@case.edu](mailto:Deborah.sim@case.edu)

Myers, Jay T.  
Department of Pediatrics  
Case Western Reserve University  
Cleveland, OH  
[Jay.myers@case.edu](mailto:Jay.myers@case.edu)

Huang, Alex Y.  
Department of Pediatrics  
Case Western Reserve University  
Cleveland, OH  
[Alex.y.huang@case.edu](mailto:Alex.y.huang@case.edu)

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Alex Y. Huang, M.D., Ph.D.  
Associate Professor of Pediatrics, Pathology & Biomedical Engineering  
Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine  
Wolstein Research Building Room 6528  
2103 Cornell Road, Cleveland, OH 44106 USA  
Phone: (216) 368-1271; Fax: (216) 368-0741; E-mail: [alex.y.huang@case.edu](mailto:alex.y.huang@case.edu)

**KEYWORDS:**

Intravital, spinal cord crush injury, chimera, microglia, macrophages, dorsal column crush, axonal dieback

**SHORT ABSTRACT:**

Two-photon intravital imaging can be used to investigate interactions among different

cell types in the spinal cord in their native tissue environment in a bone marrow chimeric animal with a dorsal column traumatic spinal cord crush injury.

### **LONG ABSTRACT:**

Traumatic spinal cord injury causes an inflammatory reaction involving blood-derived macrophages and central nervous system (CNS)-resident microglia. Intra-vital two-photon microscopy enables the study of macrophages and microglia in the spinal cord lesion in the living animal. This can be performed in adult animals with a traumatic injury to the dorsal column. Here, we describe methods for distinguishing macrophages from microglia in the CNS using an irradiation bone marrow chimera to obtain animals in which only macrophages or microglia are labeled with a genetically encoded green fluorescent protein. We also describe a injury model that crushes the dorsal column of the spinal cord, thereby producing a simple, easily accessible, rectangular lesion that is easily visualized in an animal through a laminectomy. Furthermore, we will outline procedures to sequentially image the animals at the anatomical site of injury for the study of cellular interactions during the first few days to weeks after injury.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

The inflammatory reaction to disease or injury in the central nervous system (CNS) is poorly understood, especially with regards to the interactions among immune and resident cells within the tissue. Investigations of these cellular interactions in the spinal cord are of particular interest in the living animal. The only easily accessible CNS white matter tract is in the dorsal columns of the spinal cord, making this an important area on which to focus efforts on improving feasible experimental approach. These investigations have been limited due to the technical difficulty in accessing and stabilizing the CNS for imaging. Live imaging in the spinal cord has been described previously<sup>1-13</sup>, however, few studies have addressed cellular movement in a spinal cord injury beyond a few hours after the initial insult. The traumatic spinal cord lesion is a complex environment, with neurons, astrocytes, fibroblasts, NG2 progenitor cells, and immune cells including microglia, neutrophils, macrophages, T cells, B cells and dendritic<sup>14,15</sup>. Macrophages are the subset of immune cells responsible for phagocytosis in the lesion, infiltrating from the circulation. The role of these phagocytic cells has been debated, with reports indicating that these cells can take on both damaging and protective roles in the injured tissue. These roles range from increasing secondary axonal dieback after an injury and acting in a phagocytic manner<sup>16-22</sup>, to taking on a wound healing phenotype and decreasing functional deficits in the injured animal<sup>21,23,24</sup>.

Previously, attempts to distinguish macrophages from microglia have relied primarily on morphology in healthy tissue. However, activated microglia and macrophages express many of the same markers and display indistinguishable morphology after injury<sup>25-29</sup>, making the separation of different activities of these cells difficult to study based on these factors alone<sup>30</sup>. These cells can be separated by differential expression of CD45 using flow cytometry<sup>33,34</sup>, although this approach is less useful in discriminating these cell types *in vivo*. Utilizing differential expression of CCR2 and CX3CR1 in microglia and macrophages has also been explored, although the dynamic changes in the expression

of these markers as monocytes differentiate into macrophages can complicate accurate analysis<sup>35,36</sup>. Microglia are the resident immune cells in the CNS and arise from yolk sac progenitors during fetal development, while macrophages are derived from bone marrow progenitors and enter the injured CNS after an insult<sup>31,32</sup>. An alternative approach to using morphology to distinguish these two cell types is to replace the bone marrow with progenitors from a donor animal expressing a traceable marker in the macrophages derived from the donor progenitors, while preserving the CNS resident, recipient-derived microglia. These bone marrow chimeric models are commonly utilized in many other applications<sup>37-40</sup>. This method has unique caveats associated with damage to the integrity of the blood-brain barrier caused by irradiation or cytotoxic drugs used to eradicate marrow progenitors in the host, thereby limiting its use in certain applications. Recently, functional distinctions between microglia and macrophages in the CNS are beginning to be discerned by using flow cytometry, gene chip array analysis and chimerism methods<sup>24,26,41-44</sup>, which will prove very useful in the future. Although separating these two cell types remains difficult, understanding their unique functions is important in leading to more targeted treatments of disorders that involve both microglia and macrophages within the CNS.

Description of cellular interactions within the spinal cord lesion has primarily come from studies involving cell culture models. This is due to the challenges involved with imaging both the spinal cord lesion and immune cells together in a living animal. Current rodent models of spinal cord injury of varying type and severity include contusion models<sup>45,46</sup>, pin-prick injuries<sup>2</sup>, laceration to create either a hemi-section or complete transection<sup>47</sup>, and the dorsal column crush injury described here<sup>16,18,48</sup>. Inflammation in the meninges increases with the severity of injury and poses unique challenges for the implantation of windows or surgeries for serial imaging. Some of these challenges include the infiltration of phagocytic cells as well as the generation of fibrous tissue over the surgical site. Some of these issues can be overcome by creating smaller lesions and covering the exposed spinal cord with non-immunogenic surgical dressing between the dura and the paraspinal muscles to allow for subsequent re-opening surgery, as is done here to study the dorsal column crush injury. The ability to image only the dorsal portion of the spinal cord also limits the choice of injury, since contusion models primarily cause damage to the central cord, often sparing the most dorsal part of the dorsal columns, especially at early time points after injury<sup>45,49</sup>. Therefore, we describe a simple injury model that yields a clean, repeatable, rectangular shaped lesion that is useful for both the observation of cell movement within the lesion and for easy quantification of axonal position relative to the lesion.

## **PROTOCOL:**

All animals should be housed and utilized in accordance with the animal care and use committee (IACUC) at the institution. All procedures described here are approved by the Case Western Reserve University IACUC.

### **1. Transgenic Animals**

1.1) Use commercially available fluorescent reporter mice to label axons (Thy-1 YFP H

<sup>50</sup>) and microglia / monocytes (CX3CR1<sup>GFP/GFP</sup> <sup>51</sup>; see list of materials). These mice should be intercrossed and maintained as individual breeding colonies according to institutional animal care policies.

## **2. Bone Marrow Chimeras**

2.1) Identify animals that are at least 8 weeks of age for use as recipient animals.

2.2) Place host animals in a circular irradiation holding cage designed to hold the mouse in a position to ensure even, whole body irradiation.

2.3) Set timer on a Cesium (Cs-137) irradiator to administer a whole-body radiation dosage of 800-1000 Rads to the animals according to manufacturer's instructions (examples shown here are 980 Rads).

2.4) Return animals to their cages to rest for a minimum of 4 hours.

2.5) Choose donor animals of the appropriate genotype (see Figure 2A) as the source of marrow progenitor cells.

**NOTE:** The appropriate donors are animals expressing different lineage-specific fluorescent reporters from the host to identify different cell populations, or one of the same genotype as controls.

2.6) Fill one petri dish with 70% alcohol, and a second dish with 10 ml Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) media and a 40-µm cell strainer soaked in the media. Fill a 15 ml conical tube with RPMI media. Keep the dishes and tube on ice.

2.7) Sacrifice the donor animals by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation according to institutional guidelines. Clean the skin and fur by soaking the entire animal with 70% ethanol until all fur is wet.

2.8) Remove the skin from lower half of animal by cutting around the mid-section and pulling skin down over the hind legs to fully expose the leg muscles.

2.9) Remove the tibia by anterior overextension at the knee joint to dislocate the bone, then using sterile forceps and scissors, peel and cut muscles away from both ends of the tibia. Place the bone in the petri dish with alcohol for up to 30 seconds then transfer to the cell strainer inside the petri dish containing the media.

2.10) Dislocate the hip joint and peel away the muscles attached to the femur, placed the femur briefly in alcohol and then place it in the same cell strainer inside the dish.

2.11) In a tissue culture hood, use sterile scissors to cut off both ends of the bones and insert a 21-gauge needle on a 1 ml syringe into the end of the bone. Flush the marrow into the 15 mL conical with media. Repeat the procedures for the remaining bones.

2.12) Using the back of the plunger from a 3 ml syringe, crush bone ends in the cell strainer on the petri dish to extract more cells.

2.13) Place the filter on top of a 50 ml conical tube and transfer media with aspirated cells from the 15 mL conical tube. Use the back of the plunger to crush the marrow in order to obtain a single cell suspension. Wash the 15 mL conical tube, bone fragments and the cell strainer with 30 ml of media into a 50 ml conical tube.

2.14) Centrifuge the cells for 5 min at 500 x g to pellet cells.

2.15) Lyse red blood cells with 2 ml of Ammonium-Chloride- Potassium (ACK) lysis buffer for 2 minutes. Quench the lysis buffer with 10 mL of media containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Centrifuge at 500 x g for 5-10 min to pellet.

2.16) Wash cells once in 10 ml of RPMI media and twice in saline, centrifuging at 500 x g for 5-10 min to pellet for each wash.

2.17) Resuspend cells to a final concentration of  $3 \times 10^6$  cells in 200  $\mu$ L of saline.

2.18) Transfer  $3 \times 10^6$  marrow cells via tail vein injection into irradiated recipient mice.

2.19) Place acidified water (pH 3.0) in recipient cage and allow mice to recover.

**NOTE:** Mice that fail the transplantation will die within 10-14 days after the procedure.

2.20) After 8 weeks, verify marrow reconstitution by examining peripheral blood immune cells using flow cytometry (Figure 2 B-C).

### **3. Dorsal Column Crush Injury**

**NOTE:** Choose appropriate chimera animals or double transgenic animals for surgery based on the experiment desired. Animals with either labeled resident or bone marrow derived cells were created in previous steps.

3.1) Prepare and autoclave surgical tools, including rongeurs, Dumont #4 forceps, iris scissors, forceps for holding tissues, needle drivers and wound clips.

3.2) Prepare medications to administer to the mice for pain control. Buprenex and Marcaine are commonly used for pain control. Use appropriate medications as required and approved by the institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC).

3.3) Induce anesthesia with 4% isoflurane and maintain with 1-2% isoflurane to achieve a breathing rate of 60-100 breaths per minute. Apply eye lubricant to the animal's eyes to prevent dryness under anesthesia and confirm anesthesia by foot pinch. Maintain the temperature using a heating pad and monitor the temperature using a rectal probe.



3.4) Shave the back of the mouse with an electric shaver over the targeted spinal cord level, and then swab the area three times with povidone-iodine followed by twice with 70% alcohol. Place the animal on a sterile drape, and cover the animal with another sterile drape with a hole cut in an appropriate location to visualize the surgical site. Maintain all tools on sterile drapes throughout the surgery.

3.5) Cut the skin along the midline at the desired spinal level with iris scissors to expose the muscle on the back of the animal.

3.6) Under a dissecting microscope, cut the back muscles, including the trapezius and the ligaments of the latissimus dorsi along the midline where they attach to the dorsal spinal processes, and expose the vertebral column.

3.7) Remove the rotator muscles between the dorsal and transverse processes of the vertebra to reveal the lamina of the specific vertebra to be removed (T11 in this case). Identify T11 by the insertion of the last non-floating rib onto this process.

3.8) Insert tips of rongeurs into the space above and below the process to be removed and lift slightly to make sure they are securely in place around the vertebral lamina but not too deep to injure the spine. Close rongeurs in one movement to remove the lamina.

3.9) Enlarge the laminectomy as needed by using the rongeurs to remove small parts of the remaining vertebra.

3.10) Measure 1 mm from the tips of a #4 forceps by holding them against a ruler and marking with a permanent marker. Measure a 1 mm in separation between the two tines by holding the forceps against the ruler and fix the maximum width of the tips to 1 mm by sliding a rubber forceps ring into place over the shaft of the forceps.

3.11) Create a small hole by inserting a 30-gauge needle through the dura at the two insertion points for the tines of the forceps to access the spinal cord.

3.12) Insert the forceps tines at a 90 degree angle to the dura through the holes to the depth of 1 mm marked on the sides of the forceps, making sure the mark is above the dura. Pinch close the forceps and hold for 10 seconds. Release, and repeat forceps squeeze two more times for a total of three holds. Remove forceps from the spinal cord.

3.13) Soak a piece of absorbable gelatin compressed sponge in saline and place over the injury site.

3.14) Using a running stitch, suture the muscle in place over the laminectomy and soaked gelatin sponge with #4 synthetic non-absorbable nylon suture sutures.

3.15) Clip the skin in place using 5 mm wound clips.

3.16) Inject 10 uL Marcaine (1.0 mg/kg) in 490 uL of saline subcutaneously around the incision site and 5 uL Bupernex (0.1 mg/kg) intramuscularly into the gluteus muscles.

3.17) Allow animal to recover on a warm pad and monitor for any difficulties in movement or signs of paralysis in the hind limbs. Do not leave animal unattended or in the presence of other animals until fully recovered from anesthesia. Do not use any animals that display observable motor deficits.

**NOTE:** Although this crush lesion can be performed at any level along the spinal cord, practically, T10–L4 pose fewer technical challenges for imaging with respect to breathing and stabilizing body movement using clamps. Clamp placement must be modified around the rib cage to image at thoracic levels.

#### 4. Intravital Imaging

4.1) Prepare and autoclave surgical tools, including Dumont #4 forceps, iris scissors, forceps for holding tissue, needle drivers and wound clips.

4.2) Anesthetize the mouse as before with isoflurane. Induce anesthesia at 4-5% and maintain at 1-2% as needed to maintain a breath rate of 60-100 breaths per minute and a lack of response to foot pinch. Keep the mouse heated, monitor breath rate when not imaging and continuously monitor animal temperature with a rectal probe.

4.3) Clean the skin around the wound clips with Betadine and then alcohol and remove the wound clips according to manufacturer instructions. Once wound clips are removed, remove hair with Nair if needed and clean the site again with povidone-iodine and 70% alcohol.

4.4) Re-open the skin with scissors. Remove any remaining sutures from muscle and pull muscle apart with forceps, cutting with scissors if needed.

4.5) Under a dissecting microscope, create pockets for the spinal cord clamps by cutting the muscle with scissors at the side of the transverse processes on the vertebra one vertebral level above and below the laminectomy.

4.6) Place clamps around each of these vertebra and tighten. Adjust as needed to allow room for microscope objective to reach the spinal cord. Make sure that the spinal cord is parallel to the imaging platform, and maintain tissue stability for imaging. If breathing artifact is seen, readjust clamps accordingly to minimize motion in the imaging field.

4.7) Cover the clamps with parafilm.

4.8) Remove the absorbable gelatin compressed sponge from the spinal cord with forceps, delicately picking off as many pieces as possible, also removing as much scar tissue from over the meninges as possible. Cover exposed spinal cord with saline and new sponge if needed.

**NOTE:** If any bleeding occurs from the surrounding muscle, either staunch with sponge or cauterize as needed. However, take care not to touch the spinal cord with the cautery. Cover the spinal cord with either a piece of dampened tissue or gelatin compressed sponge when cauterizing.

4.9) Create a well to hold the immersion fluid by first sealing the skin and tissue with Vetbond, and then using dental acrylic to build up a well between the two clamps of the spinal cord holder and the sides of the animal. Wait until the acrylic cures.

4.10) Fill the well with artificial cerebral spinal fluid (aCSF) and check again for any bleeding around the surgery site.

4.11) Optionally at this point, inject fluorescent vessel dyes intravenously by tail vein injection to highlight the blood vessels during imaging.

**NOTE:** Fluorescent dextran above 70kDa is often used, although quantum dots and fluorescently labeled lectins also serve as useful vessel dyes. 50ul of 150,000 WM TRITC- is typically injected intravenously.

4.12) To obtain physiologically relevant immune cell motility, the temperature of the mouse must be maintained at 37°C. Move the mouse to a temperature controlled environment under the microscope for imaging and monitor the animal for correct anesthesia level by breath rate and foot pinch. Monitor the animal frequently during imaging to determine depth of anesthesia, aiming to achieve a respiratory rate of 60-100 breaths per minute.

4.13) Locate the imaging site through the microscope eyepiece.

4.14) Adjust the 2-photon laser scanning microscope to take a z-stack image every 30-60 seconds to obtain dynamic imaging data.

4.15) Once imaging session is completed remove the animal from the heated box.

4.16) Loosen the spinal clamps and remove the mouse from the clamps. Pull the acrylic well away from the skin while removing the clamps.

4.17) If the mouse is to be imaged again, place saline soaked gelatin compressed sponge over the spinal cord. Close the muscle and skin over the surgery site. Do not leave the animal unattended or in the presence of other animals while recovering from anesthesia. If the animal shows any signs of neurologic deficit after recovery, the animal should be euthanized. Otherwise, euthanize the mouse in a CO<sub>2</sub> chamber before it emerges from anesthesia according to IACUC-approved euthanasia protocol.

4.18) Analyze fluorescent images using image analysis software by following the manufacturer's instructions.

## REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

A schematic diagram depicting the dorsal column crush lesion is shown in Figure 1A. After the lesion, the animal is prepared and stabilized for intravital microscopy using spinal clamps (Figure 1B). An image taken immediately after the dorsal column crush injury shows a clearly visible rectangular lesion with forceps tine insertion points that are clearly identifiable. Axons at the injury site are severed across the span of the entire dorsal column (Figure 1C). The integrity of blood vessels remains intact, and no evidence of vessel dye leakage was detected by fluorescence. To perform serial imaging of the same lesion over weeks, the muscles and skin can be sutured over the laminectomy for subsequent re-exposure. Similar lesion morphology is seen at later time points (Figures 1D, 1E). 5 days after injury, the forceps insertion sites are still visible but the lesion has begun to increase in size due to secondary injury caused by inflammation. The axons at the caudal end of the lesion have retracted from the initial site of the injury via a process called “axonal dieback”. The axons at the rostral end of the lesion exhibited Wallerian-like degeneration (Figure 1D, 1E). Between days 5 and 22 after injury, the size of the lesion has stabilized. Concurrently, a large influx of CX3CR1+ cells can be seen infiltrating in and around the crush lesion during these time points, although the identity of these cells (microglia versus macrophages) can not be distinguished in the preparation as shown in Figure 1.

In order to distinguish the behavior of microglia and macrophages within the crush lesion microenvironment, a radiation chimera model was used (outlined in Figure 2A). First, the bone marrow progenitor cells of a CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> mouse are replaced with non-fluorescent donor cells, thus only GFP+ CNS-resident microglia are visible by fluorescent imaging. The efficiency of marrow reconstitution can be confirmed by flow cytometry examination of the peripheral blood 8 weeks after marrow transplant (Figure 2B, 2C). In Figure 2B, F4/80 and GFP positive macrophages, highlighted in blue, are detected in a CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> → C57BL/6 chimeric mouse, in which some GFP negative but F4/80 positive monocytes are also present. In Figure 2C, no double positive F4/80 and GFP positive cells are left after replacement of CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> recipient bone marrow with wild type bone marrow. Before injury, microglia are evenly distributed within the spinal cord, displaying a resting, ramified morphology (Figure 2D). 8 days after injury, only a few microglia can be detected in and around the lesion. These microglia display an amoeboid morphology (Figure 2E). Second, bone marrow progenitor cells in a non-fluorescent mouse are replaced by marrow cells from a CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> mouse, therefore rendering bone marrow derived CX3CR1+ monocytes / macrophages visible by GFP fluorescence (Figure 2A). Before injury, the only GFP+ cells detected are largely perivascular, which have been reported to be bone marrow derived and continually turn over on a regular basis<sup>28</sup> (Figure 2F). After a crush injury, CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> cells can be seen along the inside of blood vessels surrounding the lesion (Figure 2H-J), and the lesion center is filled with infiltrating CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> macrophages (Figure 2G).

Time lapse imaging of the dorsal columns can be performed for up to 6 hours. In Figure 3 we show a non-chimeric CX3CR1<sup>+GFP</sup> mouse immediately after injury in which cells from the circulation can be seen moving out of the blood vessel towards the lesion core

and moving within the injury site (Figure 3A, B; Supplemental Movie 1). Image analysis utilizing fluorescence intensity to identify cells can track the paths taken by macrophages (Figure 3A, B; Supplemental Movie 1). Statistics derived from the imaging analysis show the average motility of macrophages in the lesion is 3.6  $\mu\text{m}/\text{min}$  (Figure 3D). Finally, at 22 days after injury, axons, microglia and macrophages can still be detected within the lesion demonstrating the area of imaging is stable over long periods of time (Supplemental Movie 2).

### Figure Legends:

#### **Figure 1: Creation and sequential imaging of the dorsal column crush injury.**

A) The dorsal column crush injury is performed by removing the dorsal process of the vertebra at the level of interest. Forceps are inserted into the dorsal column of the spinal cord 1 mm apart and are then closed 3 times to produce the lesion shown in purple. B) The animal is then positioned with spinal cord clamps to obtain stability for intravital imaging. C) Immediately after injury, the forceps insertion sites (red oval) and the rectangular crush injury volume (grey box) can be clearly identified. Axons in the dorsal roots can be seen (white arrows), as well as the injured ends of the axons on the caudal side of the lesion (filled arrow heads). D) 5 days after injury, the forceps insertion sites (red oval), and the extent of the lesion (grey box) can still be easily visualized. The lesion has increased in size since the initial insult. Axons undergoing Wallerian-like degeneration can be seen rostral to the lesion (open arrow heads) in addition to axons in the dorsal roots (white arrows) and the ends of injured axons (white arrowheads). E) 22 days after injury, the lesion site is still identifiable with similar dimensions to the injury after 5 days. Note the large number of CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> cells in and around the injured site. Features labeled are the same as in D. All scale bars = 200  $\mu\text{m}$ .

#### **Figure 2: Construction of chimeric mice to track CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> expressing CNS-resident microglia or bone marrow-derived macrophages in the dorsal column crush lesion.**

A) A schematic diagram of chimeric mice generation with either CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> expressing microglia or macrophages. First, Thy-1 YFP H mice are irradiated and the bone marrow is reconstituted with marrow cells isolated from a CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> mouse, resulting in a chimeric mouse whose macrophages are GFP<sup>+</sup>. Second, Thy-1 YFP H / CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> mice are irradiated and the bone marrow is reconstituted with marrow cells isolated from a non-fluorescent mouse, producing a chimeric mouse whose microglia are GFP<sup>+</sup>. B) Example of flow cytometry data with F4/80<sup>+</sup> and CX3CR1 GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in the blood from a chimeric animal with a CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> marrow donor transplanted into an irradiated C57BL/6 recipient. Cells derived from the CX3CR1 positive donors that are positive for both GFP and F4/80 are shown in the blue highlighted area. C) Example of flow cytometry data with F4/80<sup>+</sup> and CX3CR1 GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in a chimeric animal with a C57BL/6 donor marrow transplanted into an irradiated CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> recipient. Note the lack of double positive CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> and F4/80 cells within the blue highlighted area. D) An intravital two-photon microscopic snapshot of a mouse from the first chimeric scheme, showing GFP<sup>+</sup> microglia with ramified morphology within the dorsal column (arrow head). These cells are interspersed among axons (yellow) in the dorsal root and the dorsal column. Scale bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . E) Intravital imaging of the same mouse in (B) 8

days after dorsal column injury reveals a few CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> microglia with large and amoeboid shaped cell bodies that are lacking processes (arrow head). Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. F) Snapshot of a mouse from the second chimeric scheme in (A), showing scant GFP<sup>+</sup> macrophages, within the CNS parenchyma. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. G) Intravital imaging of the same mouse in F 8 days after dorsal column injury reveals a large influx of macrophages in and around the lesion. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. H) A higher magnification picture of CX3CR1 positive blood derived cells in contact with the vessels in the uninjured animal. Scale bar = 30  $\mu$ m. I) A reconstruction of CX3CR1 cells in contact with the vessel, viewed from inside the vessel. Scale bar = 30  $\mu$ m. J) A reconstruction of CX3CR1 cells in contact with the vessel, viewed from outside of the vessel. Scale bar = 20  $\mu$ m.

**Figure 3: Representative cell tracking data in a non-chimeric CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> mouse immediately after dorsal column crush injury**

A) A snapshot of CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> microglia and macrophages around damaged axons (yellow) from Supplementary Movie 1 immediately after a dorsal column crush injury. B) The paths (grey) taken by the CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> cells in (A) during a 110 min intravital imaging session. C) A time coded representation of the tracks in B. Tracks are colored based on their time within the whole 110 minute movie, as shown in the time bar. D) Histogram of overall motility of the CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> cells. All scale bars are 30  $\mu$ m.

**Supplementary Movie 1: Representative intravital movie immediately after dorsal column crush injury in the double heterozygote Thy-1<sup>YFP/+</sup>/CX3CR1<sup>GFP/+</sup> mouse.**

Intravital spinal imaging was performed immediately after a dorsal column crush injury at T11 level. Right panel shows the movement of CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> microglia and macrophages. The paths of the cell movement are shown as white tracks on the left panel. The injury is located to the upper left corner. CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> cells can be seen moving into the site of the injury along these tracks. Axons are shown in yellow. Scale bar = 40  $\mu$ m. Total time: 110 min. Playback speed: 300X.

**Supplementary Movie 2: Representative intravital movie at 22 days after dorsal column crush lesion in the double heterozygote Thy-1<sup>YFP/+</sup> x CX3CR1<sup>GFP/+</sup> mouse**

Shown here is a representative movie taken in a mouse 22 days after the initial dorsal column crush injury at spinal level T11. The injury is located at the upper right corner of the frame. Axons (yellow) ascending rostrally are shown at the bottom right. The dorsal vein and blood vessels are labeled with TRITC-dextran (red). CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> cells within the lesion move at a slower speed compared to those immediately after injury. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Total time: 90 min. Playback speed: 600X.

**DISCUSSION:**

Imaging interactions of different cell types in their native tissue compartments during ensuing pathology in real-time has generated great interest. Within the dense network of the CNS, cell-cell contact and signaling with adjacent cells are essential for normal function and for understanding CNS pathology. Here, we described the use of 2-photon laser scanning microscopy for the observation of cellular movement within a mechanical lesion in the spinal cord. In addition to the quality of the surgery and tissue preparation,

mechanical stability of the tissue is paramount for successful time-lapse microscopy, particularly the isolation of spinal cord from breathing motion artifact. Stability can be assessed by looking for movement of the spinal cord under a dissecting microscope that corresponds to the heart rate or breathing of the animal. Stability should be assessed both while performing the surgery and also immediately before beginning imaging. If the animal is not stable, adjustments should be made to the placement and tightness of the spinal cord clamps. Cell-type specific fluorescent reporter mouse models coupled with bone marrow chimera approaches have allowed the identification of monocytic cells versus microglia and axons. Previous studies have revealed that blood derived monocytes and not microglia are responsible for secondary axonal damage after trauma using the methodology described here <sup>43</sup>. Dextran conjugated vessel dye allows for vessel identification both to provide landmarks and to identify breaches in vessel integrity. The selection of the appropriate fluorescent reporter mouse model is critical to allow the proper identification of the desired cell types to be imaged.

Development of fluorescent mouse models that are appropriate for the studies being undertaken is also critical to the success of experiments. Distinguishing between the two phagocytic populations of CX3CR1<sup>+/GFP</sup> cells in the CNS has traditionally been difficult. As shown here, a common immunological technique, irradiation chimeras, can be utilized to distinguish radio-resistant, CNS-resident microglia from bone marrow-derived macrophages. The irradiation procedure has the potential to damage the blood brain barrier and alter cell phenotypes, so use of this model should be considered carefully. The extent of these changes differs with irradiation dose, duration of recovery period and their impact on different inflammatory models has not been fully studied. The effects of irradiation on CNS blood brain barrier can be minimized by shielding the head during irradiation, and this has been shown to decrease cell infiltration into the spinal cord after irradiation, even if the spinal cord is not directly shielded <sup>52</sup>. Here we have observed that the number of cells infiltrating into the CNS at steady state as a result of chimera generation is insignificant in contrast to the number of cells entering the lesion. Other alternative models to consider include drug-induced chimeras <sup>52</sup> or parabiotic mouse models <sup>53</sup>.

Here, we have presented a specific, simple and reproducible small injury model that results in a lesion to the dorsal white matter of the spinal cord that is easy to image using the two photon microscopy. This method also provides a quantifiable lesion to assay the degree of axonal dieback in the dorsal columns that in the literature has been coupled with complementary fixed tissue analysis <sup>16,18,43,48,54</sup>. In this model, animals display only minimal deficits and require no special care after injury. Future studies utilizing the dorsal column crush injury and imaging techniques described here may be powerful screening tools to assess the efficacy of treatment for spinal cord injury. These treatments may include small molecule inhibitors, drugs, cell products, tissue grafts and combinatorial treatments. The interplay between macrophages, microglia and neurons are also likely to play a role in other disease models in the spinal cord, including multiple sclerosis, tumors, meningitis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and this technique may play a role in the study of these diseases as well.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

The following agencies provided critical funding support for this study: MSTP T32 GM007250 (T.A.E.), 5T32EB7509 (D.S.B.), NCI R01 CA154656 (A.Y.H.), Dana Foundation (A.Y.H.), St. Baldrick's Foundation (A.Y.H.), Alex's Lemonade Stand Foundation (A.Y.H.), Gabrielle's Angel Foundation (A.Y.H.) and Hyundai Hope-on-Wheels Program (A.Y.H.). The authors are thankful for the indispensable help of Jerry Silver and Sarah Busch in learning the Dorsal Column Crush (DCC) injury. The authors are also grateful to Jingquang You, Elisabeth Hare and Hongmei Hu for technical help with genotyping and Ross Anderson for mounting the spinal stabilizing unit.

## DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

## REFERENCES:

- 1 Farrar, M. J. *et al.* Chronic in vivo imaging in the mouse spinal cord using an implanted chamber. *Nat Methods*. **9** (3), 297-302, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1856, (2012).
- 2 Kerschensteiner, M., Schwab, M. E., Lichtman, J. W. & Misgeld, T. In vivo imaging of axonal degeneration and regeneration in the injured spinal cord. *Nat Med*. **11** (5), 572-577, doi:10.1038/nm1229, (2005).
- 3 Davalos, D. & Akassoglou, K. In vivo imaging of the mouse spinal cord using two-photon microscopy. *J Vis Exp*, (59):e2760, doi:10.3791/2760, (2012).
- 4 Davalos, D. *et al.* Stable in vivo imaging of densely populated glia, axons and blood vessels in the mouse spinal cord using two-photon microscopy. *J Neurosci Methods*. **169** (1), 1-7, doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.11.011, (2008).
- 5 Davalos, D. *et al.* ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury in vivo. *Nat Neurosci*. **8** (6), 752-758, doi:10.1038/nn1472, (2005).
- 6 Figley, S. A. *et al.* A spinal cord window chamber model for in vivo longitudinal multimodal optical and acoustic imaging in a murine model. *PLoS One*. **8** (3), e58081, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058081, (2013).
- 7 Steffens, H., Nadrigny, F. & Kirchhoff, F. In vivo two-photon imaging of neurons and glia in the mouse spinal cord. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*. **2012** (12), doi:10.1101/pdb.prot072264, (2012).
- 8 Ertürk, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional imaging of the unsectioned adult spinal cord to assess axon regeneration and glial responses after injury. *Nat Med*. **18** (1), 166-171, doi:10.1038/nm.2600, (2012).
- 9 Dibaj, P. *et al.* In Vivo imaging reveals distinct inflammatory activity of CNS microglia versus PNS macrophages in a mouse model for ALS. *PLoS One*. **6** (3), e17910, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017910, (2011).
- 10 Dibaj, P. *et al.* NO mediates microglial response to acute spinal cord injury under ATP control in vivo. *Glia*. **58** (9), 1133-1144, doi:10.1002/glia.20993, (2010).
- 11 Fenrich, K. K., Weber, P., Rougon, G. & Debarbieux, F. Long- and short-term intravital imaging reveals differential spatiotemporal recruitment and function of



- myelomonocytic cells after spinal cord injury. *J Physiol.* **591** (Pt 19), 4895-4902, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2013.256388, (2013).
- 12 Fenrich, K. K. *et al.* Long-term in vivo imaging of normal and pathological mouse spinal cord with subcellular resolution using implanted glass windows. *J Physiol.* **590** (Pt 16), 3665-3675, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2012.230532, (2012).
- 13 Dray, C., Rougon, G. & Debarbieux, F. Quantitative analysis by in vivo imaging of the dynamics of vascular and axonal networks in injured mouse spinal cord. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* **106** (23), 9459-9464, doi:10.1073/pnas.0900222106, (2009).
- 14 Popovich, P. G. & Jones, T. B. Manipulating neuroinflammatory reactions in the injured spinal cord: back to basics. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* **24** (1), 13-17 (2003).
- 15 Donnelly, D. J. & Popovich, P. G. Inflammation and its role in neuroprotection, axonal regeneration and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol.* **209** (2), 378-388, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.06.009, (2008).
- 16 Horn, K. P., Busch, S. A., Hawthorne, A. L., van Rooijen, N. & Silver, J. Another barrier to regeneration in the CNS: activated macrophages induce extensive retraction of dystrophic axons through direct physical interactions. *J Neurosci.* **28** (38), 9330-9341, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2488-08.2008, (2008).
- 17 Fitch, M. T. & Silver, J. CNS injury, glial scars, and inflammation: Inhibitory extracellular matrices and regeneration failure. *Exp Neurol.* **209**, 294-301, doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2007.05.014, (2008).
- 18 Busch, S. A., Horn, K. P., Silver, D. J. & Silver, J. Overcoming macrophage-mediated axonal dieback following CNS injury. *J Neurosci.* **29** (2), 9967-9976, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.1151-09.2009, (2009).
- 19 Popovich, P. G., van Rooijen, N., Hickey, W. F., Preidis, G. & McGaughy, V. Hematogenous macrophages express CD8 and distribute to regions of lesion cavitation after spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol.* **182** (2), 275-287, doi:10.1016/S0014-4886(03)00120-1, (2003).
- 20 Popovich, P. G. *et al.* Depletion of hematogenous macrophages promotes partial hindlimb recovery and neuroanatomical repair after experimental spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol.* **158** (2), 351-365, doi:10.1006/exnr.1999.7118, (1999).
- 21 Kigerl, K. A. *et al.* Identification of two distinct macrophage subsets with divergent effects causing either neurotoxicity or regeneration in the injured mouse spinal cord. *J Neurosci.* **29** (43), 13435-13444, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3257-09.2009, (2009).
- 22 Gensel, J. C. *et al.* Macrophages promote axon regeneration with concurrent neurotoxicity. *J Neurosci.* **29** (12), 3956-3968, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3992-08.2009, (2009).
- 23 Shechter, R. *et al.* Recruitment of beneficial M2 macrophages to injured spinal cord is orchestrated by remote brain choroid plexus. *Immunity.* **38** (3), 555-569, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2013.02.012, (2013).
- 24 Shechter, R. *et al.* Infiltrating blood-derived macrophages are vital cells playing an anti-inflammatory role in recovery from spinal cord injury in mice. *PLoS Med.* **6** (7), e1000113, doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000113, (2009).
- 25 Geissmann, F. *et al.* Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. *Science.* **327** (5966), 656-661, doi:10.1126/science.1178331, (2010).

- 26 Popovich, P. G. & Hickey, W. F. Bone marrow chimeric rats reveal the unique distribution of resident and recruited macrophages in the contused rat spinal cord. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* **60** (7), 676-685 (2001).
- 27 Ransohoff, R. M. Microglia and monocytes: 'tis plain the twain meet in the brain. *Nat Neurosci.* **14** (9), 1098-1100, doi:10.1038/nn.2917. (2011).
- 28 Ransohoff, R. M. & Cardona, A. E. The myeloid cells of the central nervous system parenchyma. *Nature.* **468** (7321), 253-262, doi:10.1038/nature09615, (2010).
- 29 Prinz, M., Priller, J., Sisodia, S. S. & Ransohoff, R. M. Heterogeneity of CNS myeloid cells and their roles in neurodegeneration. *Nat Neurosci.* **14** (10), 1227-1235, doi:10.1038/nn.2923. (2011).
- 30 Kettenmann, H., Hanisch, U. K., Noda, M. & Verkhratsky, A. Physiology of microglia. *Physiol Rev.* **91** (2), 461-553, doi:10.1152/physrev.00011.2010, (2011).
- 31 Kierdorf, K. *et al.* Microglia emerge from erythromyeloid precursors via Pu.1- and Irf8-dependent pathways. *Nat Neurosci.* **16** (3), 273-280, doi:10.1038/nn.3318, (2013).
- 32 Ginhoux, F. *et al.* Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. *Science.* **330** (6005), 841-845, doi:10.1126/science.1194637, (2010).
- 33 Ford, A. L., Goodsall, A. L., Hickey, W. F. & Sedgwick, J. D. Normal adult ramified microglia separated from other central nervous system macrophages by flow cytometric sorting. Phenotypic differences defined and direct ex vivo antigen presentation to myelin basic protein-reactive CD4+ T cells compared. *J Immunol.* **154** (9), 4309-4321, (1995).
- 34 Herber, D. L. *et al.* Diverse microglial responses after intrahippocampal administration of lipopolysaccharide. *Glia.* **53** (4), 382-391, doi:10.1002/glia.20272, (2006).
- 35 Saederup, N. *et al.* Selective chemokine receptor usage by central nervous system myeloid cells in CCR2-red fluorescent protein knock-in mice. *PLoS One.* **5** (10), e13693, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013693, (2010).
- 36 Mizutani, M. *et al.* The fractalkine receptor but not CCR2 is present on microglia from embryonic development throughout adulthood. *J Immunol.* **188** (1), 29-36, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1100421, (2012).
- 37 Iwasaki, A. The use of bone marrow-chimeric mice in elucidating immune mechanisms. *Methods Mol Med.* **127**, 281-292, doi:10.1385/1-59745-168-1:281, (2006).
- 38 Spangrude, G. J. Assessment of lymphocyte development in radiation bone marrow chimeras. *Curr Protoc Immunol.* **Chapter 4**, Unit 4.6, doi:10.1002/0471142735.im0406s81, (2008).
- 39 Duran-Struuck, R. & Dysko, R. C. Principles of bone marrow transplantation (BMT): providing optimal veterinary and husbandry care to irradiated mice in BMT studies. *J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci.* **48** (1), 11-22, (2009).
- 40 Koon, H. W., Ho, S., Cheng, M., Ichikawa, R. & Pothoulakis, C. Differentiating functional roles of gene expression from immune and non-immune cells in mouse colitis by bone marrow transplantation. *J Vis Exp.* e4208, doi:10.3791/4208, (2012).

- 41 London, A., Cohen, M. & Schwartz, M. Microglia and monocyte-derived  
macrophages: functionally distinct populations that act in concert in CNS plasticity  
and repair. *Front Cell Neurosci.* **7**, 34, doi:10.3389/fncel.2013.00034, (2013).
- 42 Jung, S. & Schwartz, M. Non-identical twins - microglia and monocyte-derived  
macrophages in acute injury and autoimmune inflammation. *Front Immunol.* **3**, 89,  
doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00089 (2012).
- 43 Evans, T. A. *et al.* High-resolution intravital imaging reveals that blood-derived  
macrophages but not resident microglia facilitate secondary axonal dieback in  
traumatic spinal cord injury. *Exp Neurol.* **254C**, 109-120,  
doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.01.013, (2014).
- 44 Butovsky, O. *et al.* Identification of a unique TGF- $\beta$ -dependent molecular and  
functional signature in microglia. *Nat Neurosci.* **17** (1), 131-143,  
doi:10.1038/nn.3599, (2014).
- 45 Lee, J. H. *et al.* A contusive model of unilateral cervical spinal cord injury using  
the infinite horizon impactor. *J Vis Exp.* **65**, pii: 3313, doi:10.3791/3313, (2012).
- 46 Krishna, V. *et al.* A contusion model of severe spinal cord injury in rats. *J Vis Exp.*  
(**78**), doi:10.3791/50111, (2013).
- 47 Zhang, Y. P. *et al.* Controlled cervical laceration injury in mice. *J Vis Exp.*  
(**75**):e50030., doi:10.3791/50030, (2013).
- 48 Shen, Y. *et al.* PTPsigma is a receptor for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, an  
inhibitor of neural regeneration. *Science.* **326** (5952), 592-596,  
doi:10.1126/science.1178310, (2009).
- 49 Ek, C. J. *et al.* Spatio-temporal progression of grey and white matter damage  
following contusion injury in rat spinal cord. *PLoS One.* **5** (8), e12021,  
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012021, (2010).
- 50 Feng, G. *et al.* Imaging neuronal subsets in transgenic mice expressing multiple  
spectral variants of GFP. *Neuron.* **28** (1), 41-51, doi: 10.1016/S0896-  
6273(00)00084-2, (2000).
- 51 Jung, S. *et al.* Analysis of fractalkine receptor CX(3)CR1 function by targeted  
deletion and green fluorescent protein reporter gene insertion. *Mol Cell Biol.* **20**  
(11), 4106-4114, doi: 10.1128/MCB.20.11.4106-4114.2000, (2000).
- 52 Mildner, A. *et al.* Distinct and non-redundant roles of microglia and myeloid  
subsets in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurosci.* **31** (31), 11159-  
11171, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.6209-10.2011, (2011).
- 53 Kierdorf, K., Katzmarski, N., Haas, C. A. & Prinz, M. Bone marrow cell recruitment  
to the brain in the absence of irradiation or parabiosis bias. *PLoS One.* **8** (3),  
e58544, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0058544, (2013).
- 54 Filous, A. R., Evans, T. A., Lang, B. T. & Silver, J. Synaptic-like connections  
between dystrophic axons and NG2+ cells: Another reason for regeneration  
failure after spinal cord injury. *Neuroscience 2013, Society for Neuroscience.* San  
Diego, Abstracts, (2013).

Figure 1  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Evans Figure 1






Figure 2  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

# Evans Figure 2





Figure 3  
[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Evans Figure 3



Name	Company	Catalog Number	Comments
CX3CR1 GFP mice	Jackson laboratories	5582	
Thy-1 YFP H mice	Jackson laboratories	3782	
Mouse pie cage	Braintree Scientific	MPC 2 set	
60mm <sup>2</sup> petri dish	Corning	430166	For bone marrow isolation
Falcon 40 um cell filter	Fisher Scientific	08-771-1	For bone marrow isolation
1x15mL and 1x50mL conical tube	Fisher Scientific		For bone marrow isolation
16 gauge needle	BD	305177	For bone marrow isolation
1ml syringe	BD	309628	For bone marrow isolation
ACK lysis buffer	Gibco	A10492-01	For bone marrow isolation
HBSS	Gibco	1.4E+07	For bone marrow isolation
RPML media	Sigma	R8758	For bone marrow isolation
F4/80 antibody	Ebioscience	12-4801 PE	For FACS verification of chimeras

30-gauge insulin syringe	BD	328280	For tail vein injection
Spinal Cord Clamps	Narshinge	STS-A	For imaging
Ortho-Jet Dental Acrylic powder	Lang Dental	REF1320	For imaging
Ortho-Jet Dental Acrylic liquid	Lang Dental	REF1404	For imaging
Gelfoam gelatin sponges	Pfizer	9031508	For imaging
4-0 Ethilon sutures	Ethilon	1667G	For surgery
Reflex Clips, 7mm	Kent Scientific	INS750344	For surgery. Sterilize before use
Iris Scissors	Fine Science Tools	14061-09	For surgery
45/5 Forceps, Dumoxel	Fine Science Tools	11251-35	For surgery
Rongeurs	Fine Science Tools	16021-14	For surgery
30 gauge needle	BD	305106	For making access holes in dura
Forceps Dumont #4 Biology tips	Dumont	11242-40	For surgery
Needle Drivers	Fine Science Tools	12002-12	For surgery
Michel Wound Clip Forceps	Kent Scientific	INS700753	For surgery
Wound clip remover	Fine Science Tools	12033-00	For surgery
O-rings for Forceps	Fine Science Tools	11200-00	For surgery, Often provided with #4 forceps, can also be ordered separately
Cordless Rechargeable Animal trimmer	Wahl	Series 8900	for surgery
Vetbond	3M	1469SB	For surgery
Betadine Solution (10% Providine-Iodine Topical Solution)	Purdue Products L.P.	NDC 67618-150-08	For surgery



Nair Hair remover lotion	Church & Dwight Co., Inc.	NRSL-22329-05	For surgery
Isoflurane	Butler Schein	NDC 11695-6776-2	Drugs – for surgery
Marcaine	Henry Schein	6312615	Drugs – for surgery 1.0 mg/kg given subcutaneously
Bupernex	Henry Schein	121-7793	Drugs – for surgery buprenorphine 0.1 mg/kg
aCSF	Chemicals from Sigma	119 mm NaCl 26.2 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> 2.5 mM KCl 1 mM NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 1.3 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> 10 mM glucose	For surgery  From Cold Spring Harbor Protocols
TRITC-dextran 150,000 MW	Sigma	T1287	For intravenous administration to label vasculature

Animated Figure (video and/or .ai figure files)

[Click here to download Animated Figure \(video and/or .ai figure files\): Revised Supplemental Movie #1.avi](#)

Animated Figure (video and/or .ai figure files)

[Click here to download Animated Figure \(video and/or .ai figure files\): Supplementary Movie 2.avi](#)



1 Alewife Center #200  
Cambridge, MA 02140  
tel. 617.945.9051  
www.jove.com

# ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Manuscript #:

Title of Article:

Author(s):

*Intravital Imaging of Dynamic Axonal Interaction with Microglia and Macrophages in a*

*Teresa A. Evans, Deborah S. Barkauskas, Jay T. Myers, Alex Y. Huang*

*Mouse Dorsal  
Column Crush  
Injury.*

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at

http://www.jove.com/publish ) via: ☒ Standard Access ☐ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

- ☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.
- ☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: "Agreement" means this Article and Video License Agreement; "Article" means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; "Author" means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; "Collective Work" means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; "CRC License" means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode>; "Derivative Work" means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; "Institution" means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; "JoVE" means MyJoVE Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; "Materials" means the Article and / or the Video; "Parties" means the Author and JoVE; "Video" means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties,

incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to Sections 4 and 7 below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above, JoVE and the

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

**4. Retention of Rights in Article.** Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in Section 3 above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

**5. Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access.** This Section 5 applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above or if no box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to Section 7 below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

**6. Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access.** This Section 6 applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in Item 1 above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to Section 7 below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

**7. Government Employees.** If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government

employee, as indicated in Item 2 above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

**8. Likeness, Privacy, Personality.** The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

**9. Author Warranties.** The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

**10. JoVE Discretion.** If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each

## ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

**11. Indemnification.** The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in JoVE or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or


decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

**12. Fees.** To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US\$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

**13. Transfer, Governing Law.** This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to be one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name: Alex Y. Huang, MD, PhD  
Department: Pediatrics  
Institution: Care Western Reserve University School of Medicine  
Article Title: Intravital Imaging of Dynamic Axonal Interaction with Microglia and Macrophages in a Mouse Dorsal Column Crani  
Signature:  Date: 4/15/2014 Injury.

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:

- 1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pdf on the JoVE submission site;
- 2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
- 3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email [submissions@jove.com](mailto:submissions@jove.com) or call +1.617.945.9051

**Responses to Reviewer Comments:**

**Editorial comments:**

- 1) Since this manuscript has been requested for priority filming please address the editorial and peer review comments at your earliest.
- 2) Please take this opportunity to thoroughly proofread your manuscript to ensure that there are no spelling or grammar issues. Your JoVE editor will not copy-edit your manuscript and any errors in your submitted revision may be present in the published version.

**Reviewers' comments:**

**Reviewer #1:**

The use of two photon microscopy for intravital imaging is a growing technique that offers many benefits to observing changes in cell to cell interactions in normal or traumatized tissues. The present study attempts to illustrate a distinction between intrinsic micro glial cells and invading macrophages after spinal cord injury. There are only minor issues with the text however the images and accompanying Figure Legends provide little detail about what is presented and the overall quality of the images is low. Specifically there should be higher magnification images of cell-blood vessel interaction presented in Figure 2 D, some indication of the same region being examined in Figure 1D and E, an indication of how retracting axons in 1E are distinguished from those in 1D and some more information about the route of migration taken by the CX3CR1 cells in Figure 3B. At least indicate the start point for the cells observed. This will require some change of images and improved text.

> We appreciate Reviewer 1's comments. We have now included higher resolution images of cells in contact with the blood vessels in revised Figure 2, showing the close proximity between these cells and the blood vessels. These changes can now be found in Figure 2 H-J. The start position and direction of the cellular movement are now included in Figure 3 C. The tracks are displayed using color-coding based on time, thus allowing for the direction and the relative time of movement to be visualized. We have also addressed these changes in the text.

For minor points in the text,

1) the second sentence of the abstract is a run on of thoughts.

> We have addressed this in the text.

2) page 7 paragraph 2, it is not possible to see cells adhering to the inside of blood vessels

> We have changed the wording to state that the cells are seen within the blood vessels, not necessarily adherent to the vessels (page 9). We have also updated Figures 2 H-J to address this point.

3) 4.13 add the volume of fluorescent dye used for intravenous injection

> We have now addressed this in the text (step 4.11).

4) page 8 paragraph 1, if the stability of the spinal cord is critical then more details should be provided to assist the reader in knowing what to look for for stability and how to achieve it.

> We have now addressed this on page 11 of the text.

**Reviewer #2:***Manuscript Summary:*

This protocol explores the use of bone marrow chimera mice to explore microglia and macrophage responses after a compression model of spinal cord injury with two-photon laser microscopy. All of these concepts are of particular importance in the research fields and would be of particular interest for a video protocol. The manuscript is also well written and the protocol is clear and easy to follow. There are a few minor concerns that are described in more detail below.

*Major Concerns:*

None.

*Minor Concerns:*

1. Some of the wording is awkward, such as the second sentence of the abstract or the second sentence of the introduction. Careful proof-reading of the manuscript is recommended.

> We have made changes in the text to address these errors, and fixed multiple minor errors throughout the manuscript text.

2. The authors state that "Recently, functional distinctions between microglia and macrophages in the CNS are beginning to be discerned...". As many of the references provided for this statement are at least 10 years old, this does not seem to be 'recent' or a 'beginning' and the wording should be reconsidered.

> We agree with the reviewer, and the wording has been changed in the text to better reflect the chronology of the citations.

3. The authors state that contusion models often spare the dorsal columns, which is inaccurate. To the best of this reviewer's understanding, most contusion models actually target the dorsal columns more than any other region. The authors may wish to reconsider this statement.

> Although it is a common impression that the contusion model targets dorsal columns, it is not actually true. Although in the contusion model the impact device does come in direct contact first with the dorsal columns, the dorsal column tissue actually moves away during the impact and is often spared, especially on the very dorsal portion of the cord. In fact, the majority of tissue damage occurs in the central cord, possibly including the most ventral portion of the dorsal columns. The lesion then extends laterally into the surrounding white matter during secondary injury. Indeed, myelinated axons with relatively normal morphology are only found in the dorsal columns of contused animals, except in cases of severe contusion injuries (please consult the following reference: Ek CJ, Habgood MD, Callaway JK, Dennis R, Dziegielewska KM, et al. (2010) Spatio-Temporal Progression of Grey and White Matter Damage Following Contusion Injury in Rat Spinal Cord. PLoS ONE 5(8): e12021. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012021)

We have now changed the wording in the text to make this statement clearer.

4. A statement indicating how the correct or target vertebra was identified should be included.

> We have added this statement to step 3.8.

5. Statements about temperature maintenance during surgical approaches should be included in all surgical protocols.



> This statement was originally included in step 4.12. We have now added additional language to step 3.4.

6.A dosage/volume for fluorescent dye injection may be useful in step 4.11.

> We have now added this information in step 4.11.

7.The recommended breathing rate varies throughout the protocol, from 60 - 100 breaths/minute. This should be kept constant throughout the protocol, unless there is a rationale for the change?

> We thank the reviewer for noticing this error. We have now standardized the rates to 60-100 bpm throughout the text.

8.In step 4.13, the yellow fluorescence should be an indication of axons rather than neurons.

> We did not mention yellow fluorescence in step 4.13. We have now changed the wording in the introduction regarding the yellow fluorescence.

9.In figure 1, please indicate the identity of the green fluorescent cells.

> We have now added the labels in the figure.

10.In figure 1, the authors at first state that the lesion grows over time and then later state that the lesion has similar dimensions over time. Please clarify.

> We meant to indicate that the lesion increases in size over the first week following trauma, and then the lesion size stabilizes thereafter. We have now clarified this point in the figure legend.

11.In figure 1, the authors include reference #51, but it is unclear what this reference is in support of. Please clarify.

> We apologize for this typo. This reference is now removed.

12.In figure 2, the experimental design in the image is reversed from that in the legend.

> We thank the reviewer for noticing this error, and we have now fixed the error in the figure legend.

13.In the discussion, the authors refer to shielding of the brain to protect microglia from irradiation induced damage or number reduction. However, the focus of this manuscript is the spinal cord, so it would be more appropriate to discuss the impact of irradiation on spinal cord microglia and how that might alter the outcomes of this study.

> We appreciate the point the reviewer is making. We discussed irradiating the brain since our study did not shield the spinal cord. Other studies have shielded the brain during irradiation and then investigate changes in the spinal cord. Presumably, some of the changes observed in the spinal cord are due to irradiation effects in the brain. We have changed the text to make this point clearer. Shielding the spinal cord separately would be technically challenging due to its position in the center of the body. See reference 53.

**Reviewer #3:**

In this paper the authors present a simple injury model that allows the observation and quantification of

cell movement within a spinal cord lesion and quantification of axonal position relative to the lesion. This is a nice technique and the authors provide clear and easy to follow protocols to their procedures. A few issues should be addressed to improve the clarity and presentation of the work.

-The authors should clearly label the figure panels. For example, indicate what is stained in green and yellow and include arrow heads/asterisks to indicate observations reported in the text e.g. indicate on the figure the axons at the caudal end that have retracted and axons at the rostral end undergoing Wallerian degeneration (lines 391-393).

> We have now clearly labeled microglia, macrophages, blood vessels and axons in the figures. In addition, we have labeled, by solid and open arrows, portions of the axons undergoing either the wallerian degeneration or the retraction bulbs. We have also made corresponding changes in the figure legends.

-Figure 2B and 2C: indicate/label cell clusters to improve clarity of the FACS data e.g. colour coding of cell clusters would be informative.

> We have now highlight the relevant region of the flow plot and have also indicated such in the legend.

-Fig 2D-G: Provide more detail and better labelling in this figure. It is not clear how the authors conclude that CX3CR+GFP cells adhere to the inside of blood vessels after injury in 2G (line 415-416).

> We have added Figure 2 H-J to show this more clearly.

-Line 462-462: it would be helpful to indicate the dorsal root axons in the figure.

> We have now marked the axons with arrows in both Figures 1 and 2.

-Line 464: also indicate "large and amoeboid shaped cell bodies" in the image and provide more description on what criteria were used to identify this distinct morphology.

> We have now marked cells with arrows in the figure, and have added more descriptions in the figure legend. We realize the morphologic description of activated microglia can be subjective, and for these incidental observations, no specific calculation / quantitation of cell morphology were made.

-It would strengthen the manuscript if the authors included slowed down movie frames so that the reader can identify an example of a moving cell; the authors could also indicate the track that would be quantified from these images.

> We have now provided a movie with a slower frame rate. We have also included an inset showing a cell moving along a single track.

-The authors claim that "This method...provides a quantifiable lesion to assay the degree of axonal dieback in the dorsal columns when coupled with complementary fixed tissue analysis". They should either demonstrate this by showing how axon die back can be assayed, or remove this claim.

> We have now highlighted and clarified the fact that quantifying the degree of axonal dieback in the dorsal column using fixed tissue analyses have commonly been shown in the literature but is not directly relevant to the current protocol.

-Remove "dynamic" from the title and tone down the claim throughout the manuscript that this study shows "dynamic interactions between microglia/macrophages and axons". This is a nice methodology

paper with a thorough description of procedures, but it does not provide new data on how inflammatory cells interact dynamically with axons.

> We have now removed “dynamic” from the title and shortened the discussion regarding this point. We have also clarified our point that the discussion surrounding the “dynamic” nature of microglia / macrophages interaction with axons was in reference to a published paper (Evans et al. Exp. Neurol. 2014; 254:109).

Minor:

A number of sentences in the manuscript do not make sense and/or are poorly phrased; a thorough proof read and grammatical check should be performed. A few examples:

> We have now made changes to the abstract, and have corrected wording and typographical errors throughout the text.

Abstract: Intravital twophoton microscopy allows for the study of macrophages and microglia in the traumatic spinal cord lesion in the living animal using two-photon microscopy can be performed in adult animals with a traumatic injury to the dorsal column.

Line 63-64: "...increasing its relevance as an anatomical site of which to focus efforts on improving feasible experimental approach"

Line 61-62: "Investigations of these cellular interactions in the intact spinal cord are of particular interest since this is the only easily accessible CNS white matter". What does this mean? How can imaging inflammatory events in the "intact" white matter be of any use?

> Our statement was intended to point out the fact that long-distance axons within a white matter tract cannot be imaged in the cortex intravitaly. The spinal cord is therefore the easiest area in which to access these tracts for the study of diseases involving the white matter. The word “intact” was meant to refer to the animal, not the spinal cord. We have now clarified this in the text.

Over-use of the word "traumatic" in long abstract and throughout the manuscript; this description is not commonly applied to a dorsal column crush injury, but for more severe contusion-type injuries.

Even more so, the "pin-prick" injury is not a model of traumatic spinal cord injury (line 108).

> We have taken steps to reduce the use of the word, “traumatic”.