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**SHORT ABSTRACT:**

RNA-Sequencing and bioinformatics analyses were used to identify significantly and differentially expressed transcription factors in Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- subpopulations of mouse EML cells. These transcription factors might play important roles in determining the switch between self-renewing Lin-CD34+ and partially differentiated Lin-CD34- cells.

**LONG ABSTRACT:**

Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) are used clinically for transplantation treatment to rebuild a patient's hematopoietic system in many diseases such as leukemia and lymphoma. Elucidating the mechanisms controlling HSCs self-renewal and differentiation is important for application of HSCs for research and clinical uses. However, it is not possible to obtain large quantity of HSCs due to their inability to proliferate *in vitro*. To overcome this hurdle, we used a mouse bone marrow derived cell line, the EML (Erythroid, Myeloid, and Lymphocytic) cell line, as a model system for this study.

RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq) has been increasingly used to replace microarray for gene expression studies. We report here a detailed method of using RNA-Seq technology to investigate the potential key factors in regulation of EML cell self-renewal and differentiation. The protocol provided in this paper is divided into three parts. The first part explains how to culture EML cells and separate Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells. The second part of the protocol offers detailed procedures for total RNA preparation and the subsequent library construction for high-throughput sequencing. The last part describes the method for RNA-Seq data analysis and explains how to use the data to identify differentially expressed transcription factors between Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells. The most significantly differentially expressed transcription factors were identified to be the potential key regulators controlling EML cell self-renewal and differentiation. In the discussion section of this paper, we highlight the key steps for successful performance of this experiment.

In summary, this paper offers a method of using RNA-Seq technology to identify potential regulators of self-renewal and differentiation in EML cells. The key factors identified are subjected to downstream functional analysis *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

**INTRODUCTION:**

Hematopoietic stem cells are rare blood cells that reside mainly in the adult bone marrow niche. They are responsible for the production of cells required to replenish the blood and the immune systems[1](#_ENREF_1). As a kind of stem cells, HSCs are capable of both self-renewal and differentiation. Elucidating mechanisms that control the fate decision of HSCs, toward either self-renewal or differentiation, will offer valuable guidance on the manipulation of HSCs for blood disease researches and clinical usage[2](#_ENREF_2). One problem faced by the researchers is that HSCs can be maintained and expanded *in vitro* to a very limited extent; the vast majority of their progeny are partially differentiated in culture[2](#_ENREF_2).

In order to identify key regulators that control the processes of self-renewal and differentiation at a genome-wide scale, we used a mouse primitive hematopoietic progenitor cell line EML as a model system. This cell line was derived from murine bone marrow[3](#_ENREF_3),[4](#_ENREF_4). When fed with different growth factors, EML cells can differentiate into erythroid, myeloid, and lymphoid cells *in vitro*[5](#_ENREF_5). Importantly, this cell line can be propagated in large quantity in culture medium containing stem cell factor (SCF) and still retaining their multipotentiality. EML cells can be separated into subpopulations of self-renewing Lin-SCA+CD34+ and partially differentiated Lin-SCA-CD34- cells based on surface markers CD34 and SCA[6](#_ENREF_6). Similar to short-term HSCs, SCA+CD34+ cells are able of self-renewal. When treated with SCF, Lin-SCA+CD34+ cells can rapidly regenerate a mixed population of Lin-SCA+CD34+ and Lin-SCA-CD34- cells and continue to proliferate[6](#_ENREF_6). Although the two populations are similar in morphology and have similar levels of c-kit mRNA and protein[6](#_ENREF_6), Lin-SCA-CD34- cells are capable of propagating in media containing IL-3 instead of SCF[3](#_ENREF_3). Unveiling the key regulators in the EML cell fate decision will offer better understanding of cellular and molecular mechanisms in early developmental transition during hematopoiesis.

In order to investigate the underlying molecular differences between the self-renewing Lin-SCA+CD34+ and partially differentiated Lin-SCA-CD34- cells, we used RNA-Seq to identify differentially expressed genes. In particular, we focus on transcription factors, as transcription factors are crucial in determining cell fate. RNA-Seq is a recently developed approach that utilizes the capabilities of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies to profile and quantify RNAs transcribed from genome[7](#_ENREF_7),[8](#_ENREF_8). In brief, total RNA is poly-A selected and fragmented as the initial template. The RNA template is then converted into cDNA using reverse transcriptase. In order to map full-length RNA transcripts, using intact, non-degraded RNA for constructing cDNA library is important. For the purpose of sequencing, specific adapter sequences are added to both ends of cDNA. Then, in most cases, cDNA molecules are amplified by PCR and each cDNA fragment is then sequenced in a high-throughput manner.

After sequencing, the resulting reads can be aligned to a reference genome and a transcriptome database. The number of reads that map to the reference gene is counted and this information can be used to estimate the gene expression level. The reads can also be assembled *de novo* without a reference genome, enabling the study of transcriptomes in non-model organisms[9](#_ENREF_9). RNA-seq technology has also been used to detect splice isoforms[10-12](#_ENREF_10), novel transcripts[13](#_ENREF_13) and gene fusions[14](#_ENREF_14). In addition to the detection of protein-coding genes, RNA-Seq can also be used to detect novel and analyze transcription level of non-coding RNAs, such as long non-coding RNA[15](#_ENREF_15),[16](#_ENREF_16), microRNA[17](#_ENREF_17), siRNA *etc.*[18](#_ENREF_18). Because of the accuracy of this method, it has been utilized for detection of single nucleotide variations[19](#_ENREF_19),[20](#_ENREF_20).

Before the advent of RNA-Seq technology, microarray was the main method used for analyzing gene expression profile. Pre-designed probes are synthesized and subsequently attached to a solid surface to form a microarray slide[21](#_ENREF_21). mRNA is extracted and converted to cDNA. During the reverse transcription process, fluorescently labeled nucleotides are incorporated into the cDNA and the cDNA can be hybridized onto the microarray slides. The intensity of the signal collected from a specific spot depends on the amount of cDNA binding to the specific probe on that spot[21](#_ENREF_21). Compared with RNA-Seq technology, microarray has several limitations. First, microarray relies on the pre-existing knowledge of gene annotation, while RNA-Seq technology is able to detect novel transcripts. In addition, owning to the hybridization process, microarray has a relative high background level, which limits its use when gene expression level is low. Besides, the RNA-Seq technology has much higher dynamic range of detection (8000 folds)[7](#_ENREF_7), whereas, due to background and saturation of signals, the accuracy of microarray is limited for both highly and lowly expressed genes[7](#_ENREF_7),[22](#_ENREF_22). Finally, microarray probes differ in their hybridization efficiencies, which make the results less reliable when comparing relative expression levels of different transcripts within one sample[23](#_ENREF_23). Although RNA-Seq has many advantages over microarray, its data analysis is complex. This is one of the reasons that many researchers still use microarray instead of RNA-Seq. Various bioinformatics tools are required for RNA-Seq data processing and analysis[24](#_ENREF_24).

Among several next-generation sequencing (NGS) platforms, 454, Illumina, SOLID and Ion Torrent are the most widely used ones. 454 was the first commercial NGS platform. In contrast to the other sequencing platforms such as illumina and SOLID, the 454 platform generates longer read length (average 700 base reads)[25](#_ENREF_25). Longer reads are better for initial characterization of transcriptiome due to their higher assemble efficiency[25](#_ENREF_25). The main disadvantage of the 454 platform is its high cost per megabase of sequence. The Illumina and SOLID platforms generate reads with increased numbers and short lengths. The cost per megabase of sequence is much lower than the 454 platform. Due to the large numbers of short reads for the Illumina and SOLID platforms, data analysis is much more computationally intensive. The price of the instrument and reagents for sequencing for the Ion Torrent platform is cheaper and the sequencing time is shorter[25](#_ENREF_25). However, the error rate and the cost per megabase of sequence are higher compared to the Illumina and SOLID platforms. Different platforms have their own advantages and disadvantages and require different methods for data analysis. The platform should be chosen based on the sequencing purpose and the availability of funding.

In this paper, we take Illumina RNA-Seq platform as an example. We used EML cell as a model system to investigate the key regulators in EML cell self-renewal and differentiation, and provided a detailed methods of RNA-Seq library construction and data analysis for expression level calculation and novel transcript detection. We have shown in our previous publication that RNA-seq study in EML model system[2](#_ENREF_2), when coupled with functional test (e.g. shRNA knockdown) provide a powerful approach in understanding the molecular mechanism of the early stages of hematopoietic differentiation, and can serve as a model for the analysis of cell self-renewal and differentiation in general.

**PROTOCOL:**

1. EML cell culture and separation of Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells using magnetic cell sorting system and fluorescence-activated cell sorting method.

1.1) Preparation of Baby hamster kidney (BHK) cell culture medium for stem cell factor collection.

1.1.1) Culture BHK cells in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS in 25 cm2 flask (Table 1) at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in a cell culture incubator.

1.1.2) When cells grow to 80-90% confluence, wash cells once with 10 ml of PBS. Add 5 ml of 0.25% trypsin-EDTA solution to the monolayer and incubate the cells for 1 to 5 minutes (min) at room temperature (RT) until the cells are detached.

1.1.3) Pipet the solution up and down gently to break up clumps of cells. Add 5 ml of complete DMEM to the flask to stop trypsin activity. Collect cells by centrifugation at 200 x g for 5 min at RT.

1.1.4) Remove the medium and resuspend the cell pellet in 10 ml of fresh BHK cell culture medium.

1.1.5) Transfer 2 ml of the cell suspension from the step 1.1.4 to a new 75 cm2 flask and add 48 ml of fresh BHK cell culture medium to the flask.

1.1.6) Culture the BHK cells for two days and collect the culture medium. Passage the medium through a 0.45 μm filter. Store the medium in -20 °C until further use.

1.2) EML cell culture

1.2.1) Culture EML cells (in suspension) in EML basic medium containing BHK cell culture medium (Table 1) at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in a cell culture incubator.

1.2.2) Maintain the EML cells at low cell density (0.5-5 x 105 cells/ml) with the peak density less than 6 x 105 cells/ml. Split the cells every 2 to 3 days at the ratio of 1:5. Passage EML cells gently and discard the culture after passaging for 10 generations.

1.3) Depletion of lineage positive cells.

1.3.1) Harvest the EML cells by centrifugation at 200 x g for 5 min and wash the cells once with PBS. Collect the cells by centrifugation at 200 x g for 5 min.

1.3.2) Resuspend the cells with PBS and count the cells with a hemocytometer. Determine the antibody concentration in the subsequent cell separation step according to the number of the cells (Please refer to the instructions offered by the provider of the cell isolation system).

1.3.3) Isolate the lineage negative (Lin-) cells using lineage antibody cocktail (Cocktail of biotin-conjugated monoclonal antibodies CD5, CD45R (B220), CD11b, Anti-Gr-1 (Ly-6G/C), 7-4 and Ter-119) and a magnetic activated cell sorting system according to manufacturer’s instructions.

1.4) Separation of Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells

1.4.1) Spin down the Lin- cells from the step 1.3.3 at 200 x g for 5 min. Resuspend the cell pellet with PBS and count the cells with a hemocytometer.

1.4.2) Wash the cells twice with FACS buffer and pellet the cells at 200 x g for 5 min.

1.4.3) Label five 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes with the number 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 respectively. Resuspend the cells with 100 µl FACS buffer per 106 cells (106 cells per tube).

1.4.4) Add 1 µg of Anti-Mouse CD34 FITC antibody to tube 1 and tube 2 and mix the tubes gently.

1.4.5) Incubate all tubes at 4 °C for 1 hour in the dark.

1.4.6) Add 0.25 µg of PE-conjugated Anti-Sca1 antibody and 20 µl of APC-conjugated Lineage Cocktail antibodies to tube 1, 0.25 µg of PE-conjugated Anti-Sca1 antibody to tube 3, and 20 µl of APC-conjugated Lineage Cocktail antibodies to tube 4.

1.4.7) Mix all the tubes gently and incubate the cells on ice for additional 30 min in the dark.

1.4.8) Add 300 µl of FACS buffer to the cells and spin down the cells at 200 x g for 5 min.

1.4.9) Wash the cells with 500 µl of FACS buffer for three times.

1.4.10) Resuspend the cell pellet in 500 µl of FACS buffer.

1.4.11) Use the cells in tube 2,3,4 and 5 for setting up compensation. Isolate Lin-SCA+CD34+ and Lin-SCA-CD34- cells in tube 1 using FACS Aria.

**2. RNA preparation and library construction for high-throughput sequencing**

2.1) Isolation, quality analysis and quantification of RNA

2.1.1) Extract total RNA from Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells respectively using TRIzol following the manufactures’ protocol.

2.1.2) Remove the contaminated DNA using Deoxyribonuclease I following the manufacture’s protocol. Optionally, store the RNA at -80 °C at this step for further use.

2.1.3) Assess the quality of total RNA using Bioanalyzer according to the instructions offered by the supplier. Use RNA sample with RNA Integrity Number (RIN) lager than 9.

2.2) Library Construction and high-throughput sequencing.

NOTE: This protocol describes RNA-Seq using Illumina platform. For other sequencing platforms, different library preparation methods are required.

2.2.1) Use 0.1-4 µg of high quality total RNA per sample for library preparation. Normally 2 µg total RNA can be extracted from 105 EML cells.

2.2.2) Use a RNA-sequencing sample preparation system for mRNA purification and fragmentation, first and second strand cDNA synthesis, end repair, 3’ ends adenylation, adapter ligation and PCR amplification, following the detailed standard procedures from the provider’s instructions.

2.2.2.1) Positively select PolyA mRNA positively using oligo-dT magnetic beads and fragment.

2.2.2.2) Perform reverse transcription using random primers to obtain the cDNA and subsequently synthesized second strand cDNA is to generate double strand cDNA.

2.2.2.3) Remove the 3' overhangs are and fill the 5' overhangs by DNA polymerase. Adenylate 3' Ends to prevent cDNA fragments from ligating to one another.

2.2.2.4) Add multiplex indexing adapters to both ends of the ds cDNA. Perform PCR for the enrichment of DNA fragments.

2.2.3) Measure the A260/A280 to obtain information about the concentration of library using a spectrophotometer.

2.2.4) Assess the library quality and measure the size range of DNA fragments using a Bioanalyzer.

3 Data analysis (For reference of software used in this part, please see table 2)

3.1) Data file processing for downstream analysis.

3.1.1) Convert .bcl (base call file) file to .fastq file using CASAVA software (Illumina, version 1.8.2).

3.1.1.1) Fire up the ‘Terminal’ in linux system. Go to the data folder that contains the data file from an illumina HiSeq2000 sequencing machine. Suppose the result folder is ‘NASboy1/JiaqianLabData/HiSeq\_RUN/2013\_07\_11/130627\_SN860\_0309\_A\_2013-166\_H0PW9ADXX/’, type in the command in Figure S1A, and enter the data folder.

3.1.1.2) Install CASAVA 1.8.2 in the linux system. Suppose the outputfolder is ‘Unaligned’, use the command in Figure S1B to prepare the configuration file for converting. Use the option --fastq-cluster-count 0 to ensure only one .fastq file is created for each sample. The generated .fastq file is in .gz format. Unzip it for downstream analysis (Figure S1B).

3.1.1.3) After the ‘Unaligned’ folder has been generated, go to the ‘Unaligned’ folder (Figure S1C).

3.1.1.4) Use the command in Figure S1D to begin the converting process. The ‘-j’ parameter supplies the cpu number that will be used.

3.1.1.5) After the system finished the converting process, go to the result folder under ‘Unaligned’ folder (Figure S1E).

3.1.1.6) Use the command in Figure S1F to decompress the .fastq.gz file into .fastq file under each sample folder.

3.2) Detect novel transcripts and evaluate the expression level using Tuxedo Suite[26](#_ENREF_26).

3.2.1) Map the paired-end RNA-Seq reads to the mouse reference genome (UCSC version mm9, obtained from http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/igenomes.html) using Tophat software (version 1.3.3)[27](#_ENREF_27), which uses the Bowtie read mapper (version 0.12.7) [28](#_ENREF_28). Tophat is supplied with “-no-novel-juncs” option to improve estimation accuracy of expression level.

3.2.1.1) Put the .fastq files in a folder where the mapping process will be implemented. Suppose there are 2 .fastq files (rename to Example1.read1, Example1.read2) for a paired-end sequencing sample, use the command in Figure S2 to do the mapping (adjust the parameters according to the system setting). The “-p” parameter supplies the cpu number that will be used. The “–r” and “–mate-std-dev” parameters can be obtained from library QC or inferred from a subset of aligned reads (Figure S2).

3.2.2) Assemble the mapped reads into RNA transcripts using the Cufflinks software (version 1.3.0)[29](#_ENREF_29). Run Cufflinks using the annotation file of known genes (same .gtf file used by Tophat) and .bam file produced by Tophat.

3.2.2.1) After Tophat finished running, in the same folder, use the command in Figure S3A to run cufflinks to construct transcriptome and estimate transcript expression level. The ‘mm9\_repeatMasker.gtf’ and genome sequence files in the ‘GenomeSeqMM9’ folder can be obtained from UCSC Genome Browser.

3.2.2.2) The resulting genes.expr and transcripts.expr files contain the expression value of genes and transcripts (isoforms). Copy and paste the file contents to an Excel file and manipulate with spreadsheet application (Figure S3B).

3.2.2.3) Use the command in Figure S3C to compare the resulting ‘transcripts.gtf’ file to the reference ‘mm9\_genes.gtf’ file in order to identify novel transcripts.

3.2.2.4) The resulting .tmap file contains the comparison result. Copy and paste the file contents to an Excel file and manipulate with spreadsheet application. Transcripts with class code ‘u’ can be considered as ‘novel’ compared to the reference .gtf file provided (Figure S3D).

NOTE: For downstream analysis convenience, set the FPKM values to 0.1 if the values are under 0.1.

Step 3.2.3 to 3.2.6 is optional for who wishes to improve accuracy of novel transcripts’ expression estimation. This will take much longer time, because mapping and transcriptome construction need to be run more than once.

3.2.3) Run Tophat using default parameters and then run cufflinks to generated .gtf file using the command in Figure S3E.

3.2.4) Compare the resulting .gtf file to the reference genome .gtf file using the command in Figure S3F.

3.2.5) Parse the resulted .tmap file as described in the step 3.2.2.4. Copy and paste the file contents to an Excel file and manipulate with spreadsheet application. Transcripts with class code ‘u’ can be considered as ‘novel’ compared to the reference .gtf file provided.

3.2.6) After the step 3.2.5, there is a .combined.gtf file in the folder which can be used as the reference .gtf file. A second run of Tophat and cufflinks can be performed as described in the step 3.2.1 and 3.2.2 to obtain a more accurate FPKM estimation of novel transcripts.

3.3) Detect differentially expressed genes using DESeq package[30](#_ENREF_30).

3.3.1) The input of DESeq is a raw read counts table. To obtain such a table, use the htseq-count script distributed with the HTSeq Python package which can be downloaded from HTSeq website (http://www-huber.embl.de/users/anders/HTSeq/doc/count.html).

3.3.1.1) Ensure that samtools, python, and htseq-count programs are installed in the system. Obtain raw read count numbers from tophat output by using the command in Figure S4A.

3.3.1.2) Prepare ‘Raw\_Count\_Table.txt’, ‘ExperimentDesign.txt’ files using Excel. Copy and save the content in .txt format for the DESeq R package (Figure S4B).

3.3.1.3) Install R program in the system. In the terminal, type ‘R’ and press ENTER. A screen message will appear as showed in Figure S4C.

3.3.1.4) Read ‘Raw\_Count\_Table.txt’, ‘ExperimentDesign.txt’ into R using the command in Figure S4D.

3.3.1.5) Load DESeq package using the command in Figure S4E.

3.3.1.6) Factorize conditions in R (Figure S4F).

3.3.1.7) Use the command in Figure S4G to run negative binominal test on the normalized count table.

3.3.1.8) Use the command in Figure S4H to output significant differential expressed genes in a .csv file.

3.4) Look up transcription factors’ (TFs) FPKM values across samples using Excel. Intersect DE gene table and TFs table. Genes belong to both table are differentially expressed transcription factors.

3.4.1) Go to the website http://www.bioguo.org/AnimalTFDB/download.php and download the transcription factors. Then lookup the DE transcription factors in the Excel (Figure S5).

3.5) Generating .bigwig file for UCSC genome browser visualization.

3.5.1) Download ‘bedtools’ software package from the website <https://github.com/arq5x/bedtools2> and install the software in the system[31](#_ENREF_31). Download the UCSC tools ‘bedGraphToBigWig’ from the website <http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/admin/exe/> and install the software in the system[.](#_ENREF_31)

3.5.2) In the folder containing the .bam file, use the command in Figure S6A to convert .bam file generated by tophat into .bed file.

3.5.3) After the .bed file is produced, use the command in Figure S6B to generate .bigwig file. The file ‘ChromInfo.txt’ can be obtained from following url <http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/mm9/database/chromInfo.txt.gz>.

3.5.4) Observe a custom track on UCSC Genome Browser. Refer to the website <http://genome.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/help/customTrack.html> on how to display a custom track using UCSC genome browser.

**REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:**

In order to analyze differentially expressed genes in Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- EML cells, we used RNA-Seq technology. Figure 1 shows the workflow of the procedures. After isolation of lineage negative cells by magnetic cell sorting, we separated Lin-SCA+CD34+ and Lin-SCA-CD34- cells using FACS Aria. Lin-enriched EML cells were stained with anti-CD34, anti-Sca1 and lineage cocktail antibodies. Only Lin- cells were gated for analysis of Sca1 and CD34 expression. Two populations (SCA+CD34+ and SCA-CD34- EML cells) could be observed by FACS analysis[6](#_ENREF_6) (Figure 2[6](#_ENREF_6)).

After cell separation, we extracted total RNA from CD34+ and CD34- cells respectively and analyzed the quality of RNA. The accuracy of RNA-Seq data largely relies on the quality of RNA-Seq library and the quality of total RNA is vital for preparing a high quality library. High quality RNA sample should have an OD 260/280 value between 1.8 and 2.0. In addition to using the spectrophotometer, RNA quality was further assessed with greater accuracy by Bioanalyzer. Figure 3 shows a result of a high quality RNA sample with the RIN equal to 9.4. Only high quality total RNA sample with RIN value greater than 9 was used for mRNA extraction and subsequent library construction procedures.

Ribosomal RNA is the most abundant type of RNA in cell. Currently, two main strategies –depletion of rRNA or positively selection of polyadenylated mRNA (poly-A mRNA) are used for enrichment of target RNA before library construction. Non polyadenylated RNA species are lost during the selection of poly-A mRNA. In contrast, rRNA depletion methods such as RiboMinus could preserve non polyadenylated RNA species. The purpose of our study is to look for differentially expressed coding genes in two cell types, thus we used the poly-A mRNA selection method for enrichment of target RNAs before library construction. When library construction was finished, the size of DNA fragments in the library was checked before sequencing using Bioanalyzer. Figure 4 shows a good quality library with the fragment size peaks at about 300 bp.

In the subsequent step, the library was subjected to high-throughput sequencing. In principle, longer read length will be helpful for read mapping. It can reduce the probability that the read is mapped to multiple locations due to similarity among duplicate genes or gene family members. As the pair-end sequencing sequences are from both ends of the fragments, the read length chosen should be less than half of the average fragments length. If the main goal of the experiment is to measure the expression level instead of constructing transcript structure, single-end read (75 or 100bp) can reduce the cost without losing too much information. Paired-end sequencing is more useful for transcript structure construction and shorter read length can be used to reduce cost. Certainly, when sufficient funding is available, longer read length is preferred.

For differential expression analysis, there are many alternative algorithms other than DESeq. There is also one included in cufflinks package named cuffdiff[32](#_ENREF_32" \o "Cheranova, 2013 #27). DESeq is one of the most widely used count based DE gene analysis algorithms. DESeq method is based on a well characterized statistics model --- negative binomial distribution. In our experience, DESeq is more stable compare to cuffdiff. Early versions of cuffdiff often give significantly different numbers of DE genes. Therefore we used DESeq for DE analysis here.

Because transcription factors are crucial for cell fate determination, we focused on the significantly differentially expressed transcription factors[33](#_ENREF_33). The TFs changed >1.5 fold between Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- were found and are shown on the heatmap (Figure 5)[2](#_ENREF_2). Notably, the relative expression level of *Tcf7* in Lin-CD34+ cells is more than 100 fold higher than that in Lin-CD34- cells. Thus *Tcf7* was chosen for further ChIP-Sequencing (Chromatin Immunoprecipitation and sequencing) analysis and functional test to confirm *Tcf7*’s function in regulation of EML cell self-renewal and differentiation[2](#_ENREF_2).

**Figure Legends:**

**Figure 1: Workflow of the procedures.** Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- cells were separated by magnetic cell separation system and fluorescence-activated cell sorting method. Total RNA was extracted followed by mRNA purification and library construction. After analysis of library quality, samples were subjected to high throughput sequencing. Data were analyzed and differentially expressed transcription factors were identified.

**Figure 2: Separation of Lin-CD34+ and Lin-CD34- EML cells**[6](#_ENREF_6)**.** Lin- EML cells were enriched by magnetic cell sorting. Lin- cells were stained with anti-CD34, anti-Sca1 and lineage mixture antibodies. Lin- cells were gated for expression of CD34 and Sca1. Lin-CD34+SCA+ and Lin-CD34-SCA- EML cell populations were sorted.

**Figure 3: A representative of high-quality total RNA sample.** The quality of total RNA was assessed by Bioanalyzer. The RNA Integrity Number is 9.4 (FU, Fluorescence Units).

**Figure 4: Fragments size range of Paired-End library.** TheDNAsize distribution of the library was analyzed using Bioanalyzer. Most fragments are within the size range of 250-500 bp.

**Figure 5: Differentially expressed transcription factors (>1.5 fold) between Lin-CD34+ cells and Lin-CD34- cells**[**2**](#_ENREF_2)**.** For each cell type, two independent experiments were performed. Up-regulated genes are indicated as red color and down-regulated genes are indicated as green color.

**Table 1**: Buffers and Cell culture mediums

**Table 2:** List of software for data analysis

**DISCUSSION:**

Mammalian transcriptome is very complex[34-38](#_ENREF_34). RNA-Seq technology plays an increasingly important role in the studies of transcriptome analysis, novel transcripts detection and single nucleotide variation discovery *etc.*. It has many advantages over other methods for gene expression analysis. As mentioned in the introduction, it overcomes the hybridization artifacts of microarray and can be used to identify novel transcripts *de novo*. One limitation of RNA-sequencing is relative short read length comparing to Sanger Sequencing. However, with the rapid improvement of sequencing technology, read length is increasing constantly. In this paper, we provide detailed methods of using this technology to identify potential key regulators in mouse EML cell self-renewal and differentiation.

The first key step for this protocol is EML cell culture. Although EML is a hematopoietic precursor cell line and it can be propagated in large quantity with SCF. The culturing condition of EML cells requires more attention than the usual immortalized cell lines. The cells should be fed and passaged at a regular basis with gentle operation; otherwise the cells could change in their properties of self-renewal and differentiation and undergo cell death. As the first step after collecting enough cells, we isolated lineage negative cells using a magnetic activated cell sorting system. Then we separated CD34+ and CD34- cells using fluorescence-activated cell sorting. The EML cells are normally passaged less than 10 generations before using for RNA extraction and the numbers of CD34+ and CD34- cells should be similar after separation. If the two populations vary greatly in cell number, it is advisable to discard the culture and re-thaw another tube of cell stock for culture.

After separation of CD34+ and CD34- cell, total RNA extraction was performed -another important step for this study. High quality RNA is the base for construction of a high quality library, which promises the accuracy of the sequencing data. In this critical step, any contact with RNase should be avoided. All reagents should be RNase free. It is important to wear gloves at all times while handling RNA. High quality RNA sample has an OD 260/280 value between 1.8 and 2.0. When collecting the aqueous phase containing RNA, be careful not to carry any organic phase with the RNA sample. Any residual organic solvents such as phenol or chloroform in the RNA would result in an OD260/280 value lower than 1.65. If the OD260/280 value is lower than 1.65, precipitate RNA again with ethanol. After washing with 75% ethanol, do not overdry RNA pellet. Drying RNA pellet completely will affect the solubility of RNA and lead to low yield of RNA.

The next key step for this protocol is library preparation. After total RNA extraction, a step of using DNase for removal of contaminated DNA is highly recommended, since DNA contamination might result in wrong estimation of the amount of total RNA used. It is recommended to perform the downstream procedure immediately after RNA isolation, since after long-term storage and freeze-thawing procedure, RNA will degrade to some degree. If the subsequent steps after RNA isolation can not be performed immediately, store the RNA in – 80 °C. Before total RNA is used for mRNA purification and cDNA synthesis, the quality should always be checked. Only high quality RNA can be used for library preparation. Using low quality or degraded RNA might lead to over-representation of 3'-ends. Before sequencing, library quality was assessed to ensure maximum sequencing efficiency.

In the data analysis part, after performing a run of Cufflinks without a reference transcriptome, we combined the novel transcripts with known transcripts to form a reference .gtf file and run Tophat and cufflinks for the second time. This two-run procedure is recommended, since this provide more accurate FPKM estimation than running only once. After data analysis, the differentially expressed genes were identified. Downstream experiments can be performed to validate the function of genes *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In our previous publication[2](#_ENREF_2), we chose the significantly differentially expressed transcription factors and identified the genome binding site of these factors by performing chromatin immunoprecipitation and sequencing (ChIP-Seq). In addition, we applied shRNA knockdown assay to test the functional effect of *Tcf7*. We found that in *Tcf7* knockdown cells, up-regulated genes were the genes highly enriched in CD34- cells, while down-regulated genes were found to be significantly enriched in CD34+ cells. Therefore, the gene expression profile of *Tcf7* knockdown cells shifted toward a partially differentiated CD34- state. Overall, using EML cell as a model system coupled with RNA-Sequencing technology and functional assays, we identified and confirmed *Tcf7* as an important regulator of EML cell self-renewal and differentiation.
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