Journal of Visualized Experiments

Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae
--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JoVE51850R2
Full Title:	Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae
Article Type:	Invited Methods Article - JoVE Produced Video
Keywords:	Aging; mutations; genome instability; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; fluctuation test; magnetic sorting; mother cell; replicative aging
Manuscript Classifications:	5.5.393: Genetic Techniques; 8.1.158.273.343.330: Genetics, Microbial
Corresponding Author:	Patrick Maxwell Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NY UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author E-Mail:	maxwep2@rpi.edu
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Melissa Patterson
First Author Secondary Information:	
Other Authors:	Melissa Patterson
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been an excellent model system for examining mechanisms and consequences of genome instability. Information gained from this yeast model is relevant to many organisms, including humans, since DNA repair and DNA damage response factors are well conserved across diverse species. However, S. cerevisiae has not yet been used to fully address whether the rate of accumulating mutations changes with increasing replicative (mitotic) age due to technical constraints. For instance, measurements of yeast replicative lifespan through micromanipulation involve very small populations of cells, which prohibit detection of rare mutations. Genetic methods to enrich for mother cells in populations by inducing death of daughter cells have been developed, but population sizes are still limited by the frequency with which random mutations that compromise the selection systems occur. The current protocol takes advantage of magnetic sorting of surface-labeled yeast mother cells to obtain large enough populations of aging mother cells to quantify rare mutations through phenotypic selections. Mutation rates, measured through fluctuation tests, and mutation frequencies are first established for young cells and used to predict the frequency of mutations in mother cells of various replicative ages. Mutation frequencies are then determined for sorted mother cells, and the age of the mother cells is determined using flow cytometry by staining with a fluorescent reagent that detects bud scars formed on their cell surfaces during cell division. Comparison of predicted mutation frequencies based on the number of cell divisions to the frequencies experimentally observed for mother cells of a given replicative age can then identify whether there are age-related changes in the rate of accumulating mutations. Variations of this basic protocol provide the means to investigate the influence of alterations in specific gene functions or specific environmental conditions on mutation accumulation to address mechanisms underlying genome instability during replicative aging.
Author Comments:	
Additional Information:	

Question	Response
If this article needs to be "in-press" by a certain date to satisfy grant requirements, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	
If this article needs to be filmed by a certain date to due to author/equipment/lab availability, please indicate the date below and explain in your cover letter.	

Patrick H Maxwell
Melissa N Patterson
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Dept of Biological Sciences

December 6, 2013

Dear JoVE Editorial Team:

We are submitting the article "Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*" to be considered for publication. This manuscript describes an application of existing techniques in a novel yet simple manner that enables this yeast model to address basic questions about mutation accumulation as cells age with successive cell divisions. *S. cerevisiae* has not been made use of by many scientists to address questions about genome instability during replicative aging, even though budding yeast is generally an excellent system for analyzing genome instability. This is at least partly due to technical limitations of methods often used for yeast replicative aging. We believe that our method will provide researchers in the field with a sufficiently detailed method to spark a surge of research using yeast to address this important aspect of aging. The method is reasonably simple, but there are many minor points about performing the protocol that can make substantial differences in the outcome or that could hamper progress on a project if not adequately considered in advance. We feel that this makes it well suited to publication in JoVE, since your unique format will allow many of these points to be made clear to those interested in the protocol. In particular, details of labeling and sorting cells could be more easily conveyed through a JoVE publication to allow other researchers to perform the method without spending significant time optimizing various steps.

Both Melissa Patterson and Patrick Maxwell were involved in the overall design of this method. Melissa performed the work and optimized the protocol steps. Patrick Maxwell designed the general approach, and together with Melissa, developed detailed aspects of the design for carrying out the method and analyzing data.

We have been in contact with Jane Hannon about submission of this manuscript. Extenuating circumstances have delayed our submission from the time line we originally discussed, and we apologize for that delay. A list of six potential reviewers was submitted online, and the reviewers are also listed at the end of this letter.

Sincerely,

Patrick H Maxwell

Suggested Reviewers
Weiwei Dang
weiwei.dang@bcm.edu
Baylor College of Medicine

Troy Harkness
troy.harkness@usask.ca
University of Saskatchewan

Jessica Tyler
jtyler@mdanderson.org
MD Anderson Cancer Center

Thomas Petes
tom.petes@duke.edu
Duke University

Elaine Sia
elaine.sia@rochester.edu
University of Rochester

David Goldfarb
dasg@mail.rochester.edu
University of Rochester

TITLE: Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

AUTHORS:
Patterson, Melissa, N.
Department of Biological Sciences
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY, USA
pattem3@rpi.edu

Maxwell, Patrick, H.
Department of Biological Sciences
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, NY, USA
maxwep2@rpi.edu

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Maxwell, Patrick, H.

SHORT ABSTRACT:
Mutation rates in young *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells measured through fluctuation tests are used to predict mutation frequencies for mother cells of different replicative ages. Magnetic sorting and flow cytometry are then used to measure actual mutation frequencies and age of mother cells to identify any deviations from predicted mutation frequencies.

LONG ABSTRACT:
Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been an excellent model system for examining mechanisms and consequences of genome instability. Information gained from this yeast model is relevant to many organisms, including humans, since DNA repair and DNA damage response factors are well conserved across diverse species. However, *S. cerevisiae* has not yet been used to fully address whether the rate of accumulating mutations changes with increasing replicative (mitotic) age due to technical constraints. For instance, measurements of yeast replicative lifespan through micromanipulation involve very small populations of cells, which prohibit detection of rare mutations. Genetic methods to enrich for mother cells in populations by inducing death of daughter cells have been developed, but population sizes are still limited by the frequency with which random mutations that compromise the selection systems occur. The current protocol takes advantage of magnetic sorting of surface-labeled yeast mother cells to obtain large enough populations of aging mother cells to quantify rare mutations through phenotypic selections. Mutation rates, measured through fluctuation tests, and mutation

frequencies are first established for young cells and used to predict the frequency of mutations in mother cells of various replicative ages. Mutation frequencies are then determined for sorted mother cells, and the age of the mother cells is determined using flow cytometry by staining with a fluorescent reagent that detects bud scars formed on their cell surfaces during cell division. Comparison of predicted mutation frequencies based on the number of cell divisions to the frequencies experimentally observed for mother cells of a given replicative age can then identify whether there are age-related changes in the rate of accumulating mutations. Variations of this basic protocol provide the means to investigate the influence of alterations in specific gene functions or specific environmental conditions on mutation accumulation to address mechanisms underlying genome instability during replicative aging.

INTRODUCTION:

Microorganisms, such as the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, are excellent models for investigating mutation rates, but these models have not been fully utilized to investigate the accumulation of mutations during mitotic aging of cells. Mutation accumulation in the nuclear genome has been hypothesized to contribute to aging by resulting in progressive loss of (or variation in) gene functions[1]. The ability to use a microbial system to study the mechanisms and consequences of mutation accumulation during aging could greatly accelerate the identification of genetic and environmental factors influencing this process, because of facile genetic systems and the ease of quantifying rare phenotypic changes in microorganisms. DNA repair factors and DNA damage response proteins are well conserved across diverse species[2], and fundamental similarities in organismal aging have been identified for organisms as diverse as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and mammals[3], making it likely that results obtained from studies in yeast will be relevant to aging in many organisms.

Studies of aging in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* make use of two aging models that measure chronological aging or replicative aging of cells. Chronological aging is characterized by the progressive loss of viability in yeast cell populations that are in a non-dividing state (stationary phase) due to nutrient depletion[3]. The chronological aging model has been used to demonstrate that mutations accumulate with increasing age[4], since large cell populations are easily obtained in this model to perform phenotypic selections for mutant cells. In this case, mutation accumulation appears to be influenced by growth-signaling pathways and oxidative stress[5], and each of these factors is relevant to understanding aging in multicellular eukaryotes[3]. The replicative aging model exploits the asymmetric division between *S. cerevisiae* mother and daughter cells for investigating aging that occurs with successive cell generations[3]. Yeast replicative aging has often been measured through micromanipulation of small populations of mother and daughter cells, or more recently, through video microscopy of small populations of yeast cells in microfluidic devices[6,7]. Limited population sizes make these approaches poorly suited for investigating mutation accumulation during mitotic aging unless whole-genome information is obtained from single cells or very high frequency genetic changes are measured[8]. Whole-genome sequencing of single cells provides substantial data sets for investigating mutation accumulation, but phenotypic selection systems have the important advantage of providing a means of measuring mutation rates to study mechanisms underlying

mutation accumulation. Studies to examine mutation frequencies and rates through phenotypic selections in haploid yeast strains during replicative aging have not yet been reported.

Mutation rates are commonly measured using fluctuation tests[9]. Mutation frequency values reflect the total number of cells harboring a mutation in a gene sequence in a population. This includes cells in which independent mutations occur and any progeny of those cells that simply inherit pre-existing mutations. In contrast, mutation rates measured through fluctuation tests represent the number of independent mutations that newly arise with each cell generation. These tests typically involve inoculating many replicate cultures at low cell densities to reduce the likelihood of adding cells with pre-existing mutations in a target sequence, growing the cultures to near saturation, and identifying mutant cells by growth on selective medium. The numbers of mutant cells identified in the replicate populations are then used in one of several mathematical models to estimate the number of independent mutations that arose during growth[9]. Comparing the number of independent mutations to the average population size provides a measure of the mutation rate. In *S. cerevisiae*, mutation frequencies and rates are frequently measured using the *URA3* and *CAN1* genes, since loss-of-function mutations in these genes produce selectable phenotypes. Loss of *URA3* function renders cells resistant to 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA), since the protein encoded by *URA3* converts 5-FOA into a toxic molecule[10]. Loss of function of *CAN1* allows cells to become resistant to canavanine, a toxic arginine analog, since the protein encoded by *CAN1* transports arginine and canavanine into cells[11].

Both genetic and physical sorting strategies have been successfully employed to obtain larger populations of *S. cerevisiae* mother cells to facilitate investigations of replicative aging. Genetic strategies include clever approaches that select against daughter cell survival in a population. The mother enrichment program (MEP) involves beta-estradiol induction of Cre recombinase expression only in daughter cells, leading to daughter-specific disruption of two essential genes that were engineered to contain *loxP* sites[12]. MEP strains can be grown normally in the absence of the inducer, but only the mother cells will continue to divide following induction, while daughter cells will arrest at M-phase typically during their first progression through the cell cycle[12]. While this system has not been used to broadly study mutation accumulation during aging, it has been used to study loss of heterozygosity, a relatively frequent form of genome instability in diploid yeast strains, as well as biochemical changes in aging mother cells[13,14]. Similarly, the daughter-arrester system involves daughter-specific expression of the *S. cerevisiae URA3* gene[15]. Daughter-arrester strains grow normally until 5-FOA is added to the medium, at which point daughter cells expressing *URA3* will die, while mother cells continue to grow[15]. These are useful systems to enrich for mother cells, but they depend on maintenance of the gene functions that constitute the selection system. Random mutations in one or more components of the selection system could result in daughter cells that escape the selection and proliferate exponentially. During development of the MEP strains, appropriate population sizes were determined to avoid the appearance of mutant daughter cells that could escape the selection[12]. However, this limit in population size compromises the detection of rare forms of genome instability during replicative aging. This restriction on population size could be partly

overcome by using diploid yeast strains with two copies of each gene contributing to the selection system, since most mutations would likely be recessive[12]. However, use of diploid strains constrains the types of mutational events that can be easily detected compared to those that can be easily detected in haploid yeast cells.

Physical sorting strategies include isolation of mother cells with a labeled cell surface from unlabeled daughter cells to enrich for large populations of mother cells. The observation that cell surface proteins (cell wall proteins) of *S. cerevisiae* daughter cells are newly synthesized during budding has been exploited to label mother cells without labeling the daughter cells that they produce[16]. For example, an initial population of yeast cells labeled on their surface with biotin can be grown and then isolated from their daughter cells using anti-biotin microbeads and magnetic sorting[16] because the daughter cells generated by the initial population will not contain biotin on their surface. Serial growth and sorting allows progressively older populations of mother cells to be obtained and analyzed. This procedure has been used successfully to examine changes in cell physiology and gene expression during replicative aging of yeast[13,14,17,18]. The current method adapts this biotin labeling and magnetic sorting technique to enrich for mother cells with the purpose of measuring the accumulation of potentially rare mutations or other forms of genome instability assayed through phenotypic selections. Serial growth and physical sorting allow analysis of mutation accumulation in progressively older mother cells, as well as in their daughter cell populations. Determination of mutation rates per generation allows a predicted mutation frequency to be calculated for mother cells that have undergone a particular number of cell divisions. Since predicted frequency values are based on the expected increase due to additional rounds of cell division, substantial deviations in the observed mutation frequencies compared to the predicted values provide evidence for age-specific changes in the rate of accumulating mutations. Using this protocol, fluorescent staining of bud scars that correspond to sites of cell divisions on mother cells coupled with analysis by flow cytometry can be used to quickly determine the age distribution of sorted and unsorted populations. Additional fluorescent reagents, such as those used for detecting reactive oxygen species, can also be utilized during this protocol. Overall, this protocol enables efficient analysis of age-dependent changes in genome instability with the potential for correlation to age-related cell physiological changes in a model organism well suited to studying the genetic and environmental factors that influence aging-related genome instability.

PROTOCOL:

1. Preparation of Media and Solutions

1.1) Grow cells using yeast extract-peptone-glucose (YPD) rich medium for the standard protocol. Prepare a 2% bacto-peptone, 1% yeast extract, 2% glucose solution for YPD medium and add 2% bacto-agar for solid medium[19]. Autoclave to sterilize. Use an alternative medium, if needed, to test a particular growth condition or mutant yeast strain.

1.2) Make solid synthetic complete medium lacking arginine with 60 mg/L canavanine (SC-arg + canavanine) to select for canavanine resistant mutants. Prepare a solution that is 0.67% bacto-yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 2% glucose, 0.2% complete supplement mixture without arginine (dropout mix), and 2% bacto-agar[19]. Autoclave and cool prior to adding canavanine from a 20-mg/ml stock solution (filter-sterilized, stored at 4 ˚C)[19].

1.2.1) Make solid synthetic complete medium containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA). Prepare 500 ml of a solution that is 1.34% bacto-yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 4% glucose, 0.4% complete supplement mixture, and 2% 5-FOA and filter-sterilize[19]. Autoclave 500 ml of a 4% bacto-agar solution, cool briefly, and then mix with the 500 ml filter-sterilized nutrient solution. Use appropriate alternative media for other mutation assays.

1.3) For biotin labeling, make 500 ml 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution containing 137 mM sodium chloride, 2.7 mM potassium chloride, 10.14 mM sodium phosphate dibasic, and 1.77 mM potassium phosphate dibasic, pH 8. Store at 4 °C and keep on ice during use.

1.4) Make a fresh 5 mM biotin stock in sterile, ultrapure water.

1.5) To quench biotin labeling, make 500 ml of 1X PBS, 100 mM glycine. Store at 4 °C and keep on ice during use.

1.6) Depending on the number of cells used and duration of the experiment, make 1 to 2 liters of bead-labeling buffer containing 1X PBS, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% bovine serum albumin. Filter sterilize and de-gas buffer. Store at 4 °C and keep on ice during use.

1.7) Prepare a 0.4% trypan blue solution in 1X PBS, filter sterilize, and store at room temperature for use in cell viability measurements.

1.8) Aliquot small volumes (~100 µl) of a wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) fluorescent conjugate to store at -20 ˚C in foil wrapped (or opaque) microcentrifuge tubes for use in determining cell age.

2.   Determination of Mutation Rate and Frequency

2.1) Establish a baseline mutation rate per cell generation using fluctuation tests for cells grown under standard culture conditions. Grow seven to eleven replicate 1 ml cultures from an initial density of 1,000-5,000 cells/ml to early stationary phase (~10^8 cell/ml).

2.1.1) For each replicate culture, dilute cells 1:2000 and spread 1-4 µl onto non-selective medium (YPD) to determine colony-forming-units/ml. Pellet remaining cells at ~2,400 x g for 1 minute in a microcentrifuge and resuspend in 100 µl water to spread onto selective medium to identify mutants. Incubate plates for three days at 30 ˚C prior to counting colonies (adjust incubation time as needed based on growth rate of cells).

2.1.2) Determine the number of independent mutations (*m*) that occurred in the trial based on the number of mutant colonies (*r*) obtained on selective medium. Use the Lea-Coulson median estimator to find *m* by substituting the median number of mutant colonies for $\tilde{r}$ in the formula below[9]. Then substitute values for *m* until the left side of the equation is virtually zero.

$$\frac{\tilde{r}}{m} - \ln(m) - 1.24 = 0$$

2.1.3) To determine mutation rate, first calculate the average number of viable cells spread onto selective medium by multiplying the average colony-forming-units/ml of the replicate cultures and the volume of culture in ml spread onto selective medium. Divide the *m* value from step 2.1.2 by this average number of viable cells to obtain the mutation rate per cell generation.

2.2) Individually calculate the number of viable cells spread onto selective medium for each replicate culture in a trial as described in step 2.1.3. Divide the number of mutant colonies (*r*) obtained for a culture by the number of viable cells spread onto selective medium to obtain the mutation frequency. Use the average or median of the individual mutation frequencies from the replicate cultures in step 2.1 as a baseline mutation frequency.

2.3) Make frequency measurements before and after biotin labeling cells (steps 3.2 and 3.4) to determine if the labeling step results in any change in the frequency of mutations that will need to be considered when testing for age-specific changes in mutation accumulation.

3. Biotin Labeling

3.1) Streak *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* from a glycerol stock at -80 °C onto YPD medium and incubate at 30 °C for 1-3 days.

3.2) Using a sterile pipette tip, transfer cells from the streak plate to 1 ml (or more) of water keeping in mind that 1-1.5 cm of a thickly grown portion of the streak will give approximately 10^8 cells. Determine exact cell density using a hemocytometer.

3.3) Label 10^8 cells per strain (or treatment condition) per collection point at which mutation frequency will be determined or a population size sufficient to obtain at least five to ten mutant colonies per sampling on selective medium (based on mutation frequency from step 2.2). Include an additional 10^8 cells for baseline measurements per strain/condition.

3.4) Biotin label according to standard procedure from the manufacturer, except use a 5 mM biotin reagent stock and gently rock during incubation. Spin for 5 min at 4 °C at 3000 x g for all centrifugation steps, since spinning at temperatures higher than 4 °C may lead to increased cell loss. After the final wash, suspend cells to a concentration of 10^8 labeled cells/ml in water.

3.5) Check viability of cells using trypan blue staining. Dilute 10 µl of cells with 23 µl of water and 67 µl of 0.4% trypan blue in 1X PBS. Incubate for at least 40 minutes at room temperature before scoring live (unstained) and dead (stained) cells using a hemocytometer.

3.6) Measure baseline values for genome instability, cell age, and other relevant characteristics (see sections 5, 6, and 7).

3.7) Transfer biotin-labeled cells to 20 ml of YPD medium per 10^8 cells in an Erlenmeyer flask (approximately 5 x 10^6 cells/ml). Grow culture(s) 16-18 hours at 20 ˚C to an approximate density of 10^8 cells/ml (four to five cell generations), but do not allow cells to reach stationary phase. Use a shorter incubation time for growth at 30 ˚C. Keep biotin-labeled cells at 4 ˚C for up to several hours prior to addition to medium, if needed to optimize timing of the growth period and collection.

4. Bead Labeling and Magnetic Sorting

4.1) After the growth phase, dilute an aliquot of culture to determine the cell density using a hemocytometer. Pellet cells by centrifugation for 5 min at 4 ˚C at 3000 x g. Pour off supernatant.

4.2) Wash cells by vortexing to suspend pellet in 30 ml of bead-labeling buffer, then centrifuging and pouring off supernatant as in section 4.1. Repeat wash.

4.3) Fully resuspend cells in 50 µl bead-labeling buffer per 10^8 total cells by vortexing. Add 2 µl magnetic beads per 10^8 total cells and vortex briefly to mix. Incubate on ice for 10 min, inverting periodically to mix (modified from an online protocol at http://www.sysbio.org/dataresources/usermanual031113.pdf).

4.4) Wash cells three times with 30 ml of bead-labeling buffer.

4.5) Add 0.5 ml bead-labeling buffer per 10^8 total cells and briefly vortex pellet on medium setting just until cells are resuspended. Pour through a 40 µm cell strainer into a 50 ml tube to remove any remaining cell clumps. If needed, leave cells on ice for 1-2 hours prior to loading on the column.

4.6) Follow the manufacturer's recommended protocol for the magnetic columns to bind, wash, and elute the magnetic bead-labeled mother cells. For increased recovery of labeled cells, use at least one column per 2 x 10^9 total cells.

4.6.1) Remove any bubbles that occur when loading columns, as they will block flow. Remove and replace the column on the separator between washes to prevent cells from becoming trapped between the beads (modified from an online protocol at http://www.sysbio.org/dataresources/usermanual031113.pdf).

4.6.2) Save flow through from binding and washing steps to analyze young cells produced by the mother cells, if desired. Concentrate cells as described in step 4.7.

4.6.3) Use multi-column separators for processing multiple samples at once. Elute multiple columns of the same sample into the same collection tube to reduce handling time and decrease loss of cells.

4.7) Concentrate eluted mother cells by centrifuging at 3000 x g for 5 min at 4 °C. Aspirate all but 1 ml buffer per 10^8 original biotin-labeled cells. Vortex briefly to suspend cells in remaining buffer.

4.8) Dilute an aliquot of cells and stain with trypan blue to determine the cell density and cell viability using a hemocytometer (see step 3.5). Calculate the total number of cells recovered.

4.8.1) If the number of cells is substantially higher than expected, pass the elution sample through another column to try to remove contaminating young cells. If the number of cells is substantially lower than expected, pass the saved flow through sample through another column to try to improve yield of mother cells.

4.9) Use cells for further analyses, as described in sections 5 and 6. Alternatively, grow the cells in YPD broth again to increase their replicative age (step 3.7) and follow by bead labeling and sorting (steps 4.1-4.8.1), without the need to repeat the biotin labeling.

5. Determination of Replicative Age

5.1) Spin cells for 2 min at 5000 x g at room temperature for all steps in this section. Put 25 µl of recovered cells (~10^8 cells/ml) into a 1.5 ml centrifuge tube and wash twice with 1 ml 1X PBS. Aspirate off supernatant.

5.1.1) Thaw an aliquot of WGA-conjugate, vortex to mix, and spin briefly to eliminate protein aggregates. Suspend cells in 180 µl 1X PBS and 20 µl of WGA conjugated to a fluorescent molecule to label bud scars on the surface of the cells. Cover the 1.5 ml centrifuge tube with foil and incubate with gentle rocking at 30 °C for 30 min.

5.1.2) Wash three times with 1 ml 1X PBS.

5.2) For fluorescent microscopy, label slides and mount per standard protocol using an anti-fade agent. Fully cure slides in the dark (up to a few days) before sealing coverslip to avoid cell movement during imaging. Count bud scars on at least 50 cells per sample to obtain a representative measure of cell age. Store slides for up to 1 month for viewing bud scars, if needed.

5.2.1) Alternatively, determine the intensity of the fluorescent signal from the WGA-conjugate by performing flow cytometry on cells suspended in 1 ml 1X PBS after step 5.1.2. Use a 405 nm excitation laser and 530/30 nm emission filter with a 505 nm long pass filter for WGA-Alexa 488. Include an unstained control for each collection point.

5.2.2) Graph bud scars on young cells and aged cells counted by microscopy on the y-axis against the normalized geometric mean (stained geometric mean/unstained geometric mean) of the WGA-conjugate fluorescence from the same cell populations on the x-axis. Obtain the equation of a linear trend line for this relationship. For subsequent experiments, substitute the normalized geometric mean of WGA-conjugate fluorescence intensity of a cell population for x in the equation and solve for y to determine the average cell age of a sample.

6. Mutation Frequency

6.1) Spread sorted mother cells resuspended in buffer from step 4.7 onto YPD medium and selective medium as described in step 2.1.1. Use 1 ml of resuspended mother cells for selective medium and spin cells at 5000 x g for 1 min. Note: Spread larger volumes of diluted cells onto YPD medium as cells increase in age to compensate for increasing numbers of non-viable and senescent cells in older populations.

6.1.1) Incubate YPD medium and selective medium plates from step 6.1 at 30 ˚C for three and four days, respectively. Increase incubation time up to one week for very old cells or slowly growing strains. Calculate mutation frequency as described in step 2.2.

7. Calculating Predicted Mutation Frequency for a Given Replicative Age

7.1) Calculate the average increase in replicative age of the biotin-labeled cells over the course of the experiment by subtracting the average age of the initial cell population from the average age of the sorted mother cells for the relevant sort. Use the cell ages calculated in step 5.2 or step 5.2.2.

7.2) Multiply the mutation rate obtained in step 2.1.3 by the increase in average cell age calculated in step 7.1. Add this value to the baseline mutation frequency for the initial population calculated in step 2.2 to determine the predicted mutation frequency for cells of the relevant replicative age.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:
A flow diagram in Figure 1 shows the overall steps of the procedure, including the points at which mutation rates, frequencies, and cell age are measured. Accurately determining the frequency and rate of mutations (or other form of genome instability) in young cell populations is an important first step, since it is necessary for choosing an appropriate population size for labeling and magnetic sorting. Rate values can be established using fluctuation tests[9]. Example results for yeast strains in the BY4741 genetic background[20] selected for mutations in the *CAN1*

gene that confer canavanine resistance or mutations in the *URA3* gene that confer resistance to 5-FOA are shown in Figure 2. Cells were grown at 20 ˚C for representative rate experiments, and at 20 ˚C and 30 ˚C for representative frequency experiments. These temperatures were used because initial cell populations grown at 30 ˚C were then grown at 20 ˚C for the subsequent representative sorting experiments. Rate values from independent trials were comparable for the initial cell population and cells after biotin labeling for a strain that has the *CAN1* gene at its normal location on chromosome V, approximately 32 kilobase pairs from the left arm telomere (www.yeastgenome.org) (Figure 2A, lavender columns). The mutation rate of *CAN1* was substantially higher in initial populations of a second yeast strain that has a deletion of the *CAN1* gene from its normal location on chromosome V and an insertion of *CAN1* on the right arm of chromosome VIII approximately 25 kilobase pairs from the telomere[21] (Figure 2B). *CAN1* gene mutation frequencies were also comparable for initial cell populations and biotin labeled cells at either growth temperature (Figure 2A, blue columns). However, mutation frequencies obtained at 20 ˚C were found to be higher than mutation frequencies at 30 ˚C. To test whether this temperature effect was limited to the *CAN1* gene, we measured mutations in *URA3* by selecting for resistance to 5-FOA using the yeast strain that has *CAN1* inserted on chromosome VIII. This strain also has a deletion of *URA3* from its normal site on chromosome V and an insertion of *URA3* onto the right arm of chromosome VIII approximately 40 kilobase pairs from the telomere[21]. Mutation frequencies were also higher at 20 ˚C for *URA3* at this chromosomal location (Figure 2C). Overall, this demonstrates that growth temperature can affect the frequency of mutations, but biotin labeling does not appear to affect mutation frequency. Therefore, mutation rates and frequencies for initial populations need to be determined at the same growth temperature that will be used for rounds of growth and sorting. It is advisable to verify that biotin labeling does not influence genome instability prior to using the protocol to investigate other types of mutations or genome rearrangements.

As expected, the mutation rate values shown in Figure 2A are several-fold lower than the corresponding frequency measurements. This difference occurs because mutation rate measures the appearance of cells with new mutations with each round of cell division, while mutation frequency measures the cumulative number of mutant cells in the population at the end of the growth period. The rates and 95% confidence intervals for these trials show that reproducible results can be obtained by using seven replicate cultures per trial, which is the minimum number of replicates suggested for this protocol.

Once the initial frequency and rate values are determined, a population size should be chosen that ensures that adequate cells are present after multiple rounds of sorting to reliably determine mutation frequencies. Labeling a population of 10^8 cells per time point that is to be analyzed during aging is appropriate when frequencies and rates are similar to those shown in Figure 2A. This decision also depends on how efficiently labeled mother cells are recovered after each round of sorting. The efficiency of recovering labeled mother cells can be quickly and simply evaluated by using a hemocytometer to determine the number of cells eluted from the columns for each sort and to examine cell morphology. Two main points are illustrated by the example recovery efficiency data (Figure 3A): the recovered numbers of cells may appear

higher than expected after the first sort, and a small progressive loss of cells is expected with continued sorting. The higher than expected number of cells in some experiments after the first sort could result from the labeling of buds on cells in the initial population. A yeast cell with a bud would typically be scored as a single cell when determining the number of cells to label with biotin. Labeling of buds present in the initial population, though, would allow the cells that develop from those buds to also be retained on columns during sorting. The influence of this occurrence on the determination of recovery efficiency depends on the fraction of budded cells in the initial cell population. A second potential reason for the higher cell number after sort one is that there tends to be more large budded cells at this time point that may be completing cell division during the sorting. As a result, large buds still attached to their mother cells may be sorted but then appear as distinct cells when the eluted cells are examined. While some loss of cells is observed with each round of growth and sorting, the protocol can result in reliable retention of 80-90% of cells after each round of sorting. It is worth the effort to practice the procedure to ensure that 80% or more of the labeled cells are being isolated to avoid the need to label an unnecessarily large initial cell population. Treatment of cells with a mild stress after the first sort (1 mM hydrogen peroxide in YPD medium for 30 minutes) did not prevent efficient recovery of cells with continued rounds of growth and sorting, though there was some variability in recovery for the first sort after the stress (Figure 3A).

Inspection of cell morphology and viability are also useful both for confirming successful isolation of mother cells and for adjusting dilutions/volumes used when spreading cells on non-selective medium to determine the density of colony forming units. Mother cells become increasingly larger and more irregularly shaped as they age, compared to daughter cells (Figure 3B). The mother cell samples should therefore primarily consist of large, irregularly shaped cells as the experiment progresses through each round of sorting. The presence of many small oval cells of regular shape could indicate that mother cells are not being adequately separated from daughter cells. Viability of the mother cells should also progressively decline with each round of growing and sorting, though there may not be much change during the first five to ten cell generations. The number of cells capable of forming colonies can decrease much more dramatically than the number of cells that are determined to be viable by some form of direct staining for cell integrity, due to formation of senescent cells. When viability by a direct staining method first begins to decline (approximately 80% or less), it may be necessary to adjust dilutions and volumes used to measure colony forming unit densities in anticipation of a more dramatic decrease in the ability of the viable cells to form colonies (a two to several fold decrease).

The replicative ages of the sorted populations and control populations need to be determined before mutation frequency data from mother cells can be analyzed for age-specific changes in the rate of accumulating mutations. This can be accomplished through manual counting of bud scars on mother cells (Figure 4) or through flow cytometry to quantify the signal for the bud scar detection reagent (Figure 5). Bud scars can be labeled with relatively low background signal using WGA-fluorescent conjugates (Figure 4C). Figure 4A shows that most cells from the flow through samples of the sorting procedure have zero or one bud scar. The cells eluted from

columns are mostly aged mother cells (Figures 4B and 5). Typically, >90% of eluted cells are mother cells, which can be seen more easily from the flow cytometry result in Figure 5. Cells with relatively few bud scars (<6) obtained after more than two rounds of sorting could represent contaminating cells that were not biotin labeled that underwent a few rounds of cell division during the relevant round of growth, as opposed to mother cells that are dividing very slowly. The variation in cell age may increase with subsequent rounds of sorting if not all cells in the population are growing uniformly.

A larger cell population can be used more quickly to evaluate cell age if a linear relationship is established between WGA-fluorescence signal intensities and the number of bud scars per cell. For this analysis, normalization of all WGA-fluorescence signal intensities is accomplished by dividing the signal of stained cells by the signal obtained for the appropriate unstained cell population (daughter or mother) to account for increased background fluorescence in the older cells. Figures 4 and 5 show analysis of the same representative cell populations. Manual counting established average replicative ages of 0.95 and 11.4 for the daughter cell (Figure 4A) and mother cell populations (Figure 4B), respectively. Normalized WGA-signals for these two populations and the three additional populations (average ages of 3.0, 6.9, and 14.4) were plotted against the average number of bud scars for each population (Figure 5B). This linear relationship can then be used with the same strain and reagents in future experiments to determine average cell age from the normalized WGA-signal obtained through flow cytometry, allowing quick and accurate determination of cell age. Control populations should still be included to verify similar staining efficiencies between trials.

The influence of age on the accumulation of mutations can be addressed once the prior steps of obtaining mutation rate values, efficiently sorting cells, and determining cell ages are accomplished. The number of time points at which the frequency can be determined depends on the size of the biotin-labeled cell population and the number of cells that need to be spread on selective medium to obtain reliable results. If mutation rate changes with age, then the observed mutation frequencies for aging mother cells should differ from those expected based solely on additional rounds of cell division. Comparison of the observed mutation frequency to the predicted mutation frequency can identify age-related differences in the rate of accumulating mutations. As described in section 7 of the protocol, the predicted frequency can be obtained from the product of the baseline mutation rate for the initial cell population and the increase in replicative age of the mother cells added to the mutation frequency for the initial population. Increases in *CAN1* mutation frequency have been observed with increased average cell age in a strain with *CAN1* at its normal location on chromosome V (Figure 6A) and in a strain with *CAN1* on the right arm of chromosome VIII (Figure 6B). Since the observed mutation frequencies for mother cells in Figure 6A are similar to or just below the predicted frequencies, the data do not provide any evidence for an age-specific change in mutation rate. In contrast, mutation frequencies for mother cells of the strain with *CAN1* on chromosome VIII were higher than the predicted frequencies (Figure 6B). Note that the predicted frequencies in the graph do not appear to increase very much because a log scale had to be used for the y-axis due to the large increase in observed mutation frequency. Therefore, the results in Figure 6B do

provide evidence supporting an age-specific increase in the rate of accumulating mutations. The difference in the results for the data sets in Figure 6A and 6B is likely due to the different genomic locations of *CAN1*. These types of observations provide a starting point for developing hypotheses to study mechanisms that affect mutation rates as cells age and to develop models to explain mutation accumulation with replicative age.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Flow diagram of the overall procedure for examining mutation accumulation during yeast replicative aging. Black text and arrows indicate major steps in the procedure. The curved arrow reflects that additional rounds of regrowth and sorting of the same cells are used to obtain progressively older cells. Purple arrows and text indicate steps at which the stated measurements are made. Freq – frequency.

Figure 2: Determination of mutation frequency and rate in young cell populations. (A) Mutant colonies were selected by spreading cells on SC-arg + canavanine medium to select for loss-of-function mutations in the *CAN1* gene and compared to the total number of viable cells spread on selective medium to calculate frequencies/rates. Cells from initial populations before biotin labeling (IP) or after biotin labeling (PB) were grown using YPD medium from an initial density of 5,000 cells/ml to near saturation. Lavender columns indicate rate measurements and blue columns represent frequency measurements made at indicated temperatures (20 ˚C and 30 ˚C). Sets of seven replicate cultures were grown for each trial and two to five independent trials were performed. Individual rate values calculated for independent trials are shown, and error bars for these trials represent 95% confidence intervals determined using an online calculator[22]. Frequencies represent mean ± standard deviation values. (B) *CAN1* mutation rates obtained and represented as described for part A using a strain with *CAN1* on the right arm of chromosome VIII. (C) Mutation frequencies obtained following selection for mutant colonies on 5-FOA using a strain with the *URA3* gene located on the right arm of chromosome VIII. Methods were otherwise as for part A, and the mean ± standard deviation values for three or four independent trials are shown.

Figure 3: Example sorting efficiency determined by calculating the number of eluted cells after each round of sorting. A) The total number of cells in each starting population after biotin labeling was set to one, and the total number of cells present in the eluted samples from each round of magnetic cell sorting was divided by those initial values to obtain the fraction of labeled cells. Total cell numbers were determined from cell counts obtained using a hemocytometer. Orange columns represent the mean and standard deviation for three independent trials following the standard protocol. Blue columns represent the mean and standard deviation for two independent trials in which cells were treated with 1 mM hydrogen peroxide for 30 minutes immediately after the first sort. B) Size and morphology of cells after biotin labeling (post-biotin) and mother cells recovered after the third round of magnetic sorting (sort 3 mother cells) shown using standard bright field microscopy and a 20X objective.

White lines in the backgrounds are the lines that bound the smallest squares visible on a standard hemocytometer.

Figure 4: Determination of replicative age using manual bud scar counting. Confocal microscopy was used to count bud scars on individual cells labeled with a WGA-fluorescent conjugate (excitation at 488 nm and detection with 458/543 nm band pass and 505 nm long pass filter combination). A) Manual bud scar counts of cells from the flow through (daughter cells) following the third round of magnetic sorting (n = 62). B) Manual bud scar counts of eluted cells (mother cells) following the third round of magnetic sorting (n = 54). C) Mother cells retained following the third round of magnetic sorting photographed using a 63X oil immersion objective with 3X zoom after staining with a WGA-fluorescent conjugate.

Figure 5: Determination of replicative age using flow cytometry. Samples of 10,000 cells stained with a WGA-fluorescent conjugate were analyzed by flow cytometry using excitation at 488 nm and detection with a 530/30 band pass and 505 long pass filter set. A) Histograms depicting the numbers of cells with specific WGA-fluorescence intensities for a daughter cell population or the eluate (mother cells) after three rounds of magnetic sorting. Populations correspond to those analyzed in Figure 4. Blue vertical lines indicate the corresponding position for the majority of the daughter cells on the mother cell histogram. B) The normalized geometric mean for fluorescence signal of each population shown in A and three additional population of cells (average ages 3.0, 6.9, and 14.4) was calculated as the ratio of the geometric mean of the stained cells and the geometric mean of the appropriate unstained cell population. These values are plotted in comparison to the average number of bud scars for each total population (Figure 4 and data not shown). The R^2 value for the trend line of this comparison is given on the graph.

Figure 6: Comparison between predicted and observed mutation frequencies during replicative aging. Cells with mutations in the *CAN1* gene were selected as described for Figure 1 using strains with *CAN1* at its normal location on chromosome V (A) or on the right arm of chromosome VIII (B). Cells were grown on solid medium at 30 ˚C prior to biotin labeling and at 20 ˚C thereafter. Observed frequency values are shown with orange columns (Obs) and predicted frequencies for aged cells are shown with brown columns (Pre). The first column for each graph shows the mean and standard deviation of the initial mutation frequency after biotin labeling for four independent trials (PB). Numbers below the columns indicate the average number of bud scars for each population (Cell Age). Independent predicted values were determined as described in section 7 of the protocol using each of the initial rate values shown in Figure 2A (IP columns) and 2B. These independent values were then averaged. Observed values are means of three trials. Error bars indicate standard deviation

DISCUSSION:
This protocol combines multiple methods to enable resources and approaches available in the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model system to be efficiently applied to the study of genome instability during mitotic cell aging. A wide variety of assays to quantify different forms of

genome instability through phenotypic selection could be combined with magnetic sorting to study possible age-specific changes in accumulation of particular forms of mutations or chromosome rearrangements. While whole-genome sequencing approaches could provide more information about the overall types of changes occurring in a genome with age, the ability to use phenotypic selection systems to obtain mutation rate measurements and to preferentially isolate specific types of genetic changes are important advantages for studying mechanistic aspects of aging-related genome instability. Streamlining the determination of cell age and the potential to make parallel measurements of physiological changes through flow cytometry provide efficient means to correlate genome instability with other cellular changes during specific age ranges. Determination of potential age-dependent changes in rates of accumulating mutations requires: 1) careful determination of rates in young cell populations, 2) accurate determination of cell age, and 3) the ability to reliably enrich sufficiently large populations of mother cells to reproducibly measure genome instability in old age. This approach allows the yeast model system to contribute to defining underlying mechanisms responsible for age-dependent changes in rates and/or frequencies of genome instability in mitotically active cells. Furthermore, genetic and environmental factors can be rapidly assessed for contributions to age-dependent genome instability. Ultimately, these characterizations could lead to development of models that account for the manner in which mutations accumulate during replicative aging.

This method depends on the ability to detect mutations or other types of genome instability through the appearance of selectable phenotypes to measure rates of such events. However, creativity in assay design can permit many aspects of genome instability to be investigated. For instance, the *URA3* and *CAN1* genes can be placed a different genomic sites to test any influences of genomic location on results. Reversion of specific non-functional gene alleles to functional gene alleles could test particular mutational processes. Also, introduction of multiple inactive alleles of a gene or flanking a gene with repeat sequences could be used to examine recombination events through restoration or loss of gene function, respectively. Fluctuation tests are the standard approach for determining rates of these various genome instability events[9]. The protocol is written using the well-accepted and relatively straightforward Lea-Coulson median estimator to determine mutation rate to make it more accessible to diverse researchers, even though the MSS-maximum likelihood estimator method is considered the best approach for determining mutation rates[9]. The MSS-maximum likelihood estimator has been previously reviewed in detail[9], and can be used as an alternative method, but requires more sophisticated calculations. Alternatively, free software to calculate mutation rates with this method has been made available[22]. Obtaining reproducible measures of mutation rates requires attention to a few aspects of experimental design, including using sufficient replicate cultures, inoculating cultures at low enough initial cell densities that population size increases by 10,000-fold or more, and choosing appropriate culture volumes so that the entire population of cells can be spread onto selective medium. For the latter point, a previously described formula can be used to adjust the mutation rate based on the fraction of culture tested[9]. Variation in growth rate of cultures can complicate the determination of a reproducible

mutation rate, and a modified median-based estimator that may yield more reproducible results in such situations has been recently describedl[23].

The ability to accurately measure cell age is another factor that is important for establishing whether genome instability frequencies in old cells are different from the values expected due to the rate of events in young cells. We have found WGA staining to be preferable to calcofluor white staining, both in terms of background and flexibility, since WGA is available conjugated to different fluorescent molecules. This flexibility can provide more opportunities for simultaneous measurements of other cell characteristics with fluorescent reagents. Initial work to establish a relationship between signal intensity for the WGA staining and the corresponding number of bud scars on cells can then lead to a more rapid determination of cell age through flow cytometry. This approach also allows use of larger population sizes than would be typically examined by microscopy for improved reproducibility of measurements. While all age determinations could be made through microscopic examination of the cells, we feel that the flow cytometry approach facilitates rapid screening of factors that influence age-dependent genome instability.

In addition to measuring cell age reliably, consideration needs to be given to the number of cell divisions mother cells are allowed to undergo with each successive round of growing and sorting cells. Use of a smaller initial population size or a larger culture volume could allow cells to undergo more cell divisions before reaching the desired cell density. For example, other researchers have used only two rounds of sorting to obtain very old yeast cells[16], which has the obvious advantage of obtaining old cells with fewer experimental steps. When considering whether to increase culture volume to allow the cells to complete more cell divisions prior to sorting, keep in mind the limit on the total number of cells that can be processed in a single column. Use of a larger culture volume may necessitate the use of multiple columns to sort one cell population. Also, if cells undergo fewer rounds of cell division between sorts/collection points, then there are more opportunities to observe deviations from expected mutation frequencies at distinct time points during lifespan. For instance, sampling only at early and late time points during lifespan may produce data indicating a steady increase in mutation frequency, but sampling at additional intermediate times during lifespan may show that mutation frequency increases quickly and then plateaus. However, since this protocol isolates old mother cells, there is an opportunity to obtain a more direct measure of changes in mutation rates with age. Fluctuation tests could be performed using mother cells of different ages to determine whether the mutation rate will differ from the rate obtained using young cells. While the cultures for these fluctuation tests will become a mixture of old and young cells as they grow, any deviations from rates obtained starting with young cells could be attributed to the use of an older starting population.

The ability to reproducibly obtain a large enough population of aged mother cells to accurately measure genome instability is critical to the success of this approach. While the protocol describes the use of a particular magnetic sorting system for this purpose, other groups have sorted yeast mother cells with alternative systems[14,18](see Table of Materials). Such alternative

systems should also work with this method, though some optimization of the manufacturers' protocols could be required. Regardless of the specific system used, the population size required differs depending on the frequency of the type of mutation or genome rearrangement chosen for study. At minimum, there must be enough mother cells to obtain at least one mutant colony per population to calculate a mutation frequency. In practice, results can be quite variable if only zero to five mutant colonies are expected from the population size, and even a small increase in population size, so that five to ten mutant colonies are expected, can greatly improve reproducibility. When biotin labeling a starting population, the recovery efficiency for each sort needs to be taken into account to help identify the appropriate population size necessary to measure mutation frequency in old mother cells. With 90% recovery of mother cells after each sort, only 59% of the original population would be recovered after five rounds of growth and sorting. This drops to ~33% recovery of the original population after five rounds of growth and sorting if only 80% of labeled mother cells are recovered during each sort. Measuring and maximizing recovery is crucial when measuring low frequency events, since a two to three fold decrease in population size could easily lead to unreliable numbers of mutant colonies per population.

There are numerous options for expanding on or modifying this protocol to obtain a broader understanding of genome instability during mitotic cell aging. Simple examples include analyzing how alterations in gene functions, media changes, or other environmental condition changes alter the accumulation of mutations with age. Mutation rates for young cells with altered gene function or in the appropriate condition would be measured and used to determine whether mutations accumulate differently than predicted by the rate values and differently than in control cell populations. Cell populations at different points during replicative aging could be exposed to specific stressors, such as reactive oxygen species or DNA damaging agents. A transient and mild exposure to oxidative stress did not substantially alter the ability to perform cell sorting (Figure 2), but harsher stresses may complicate recovery of cells. Preliminary experiments would be necessary to verify that mother cells can still be recovered efficiently after specific stresses. Additionally, the cellular characteristics of isolated mother cells could be analyzed in detail, including levels of reactive oxygen species, mitochondrial and vacuolar morphology, and other physiological characteristics that are associated with aging[3]. Furthermore, the mother cells could be a starting population for a further treatment or manipulation. Since mother and daughter cells are physically separated during the procedure, the daughter cells are also still available for analysis. For example, changes in physiological characteristics of daughter cells or the asymmetric inheritance of damaged macromolecules between yeast mother and daughter cells[24] could be compared to the timing of any interesting changes in mutation frequencies/rates. Mutation frequencies for daughter cell populations could also be obtained in order to try to model whether there is asymmetric inheritance of mutations during replicative aging. In summary, combining enrichment of mother cells through magnetic cell sorting with fluctuation tests enables the advantages of the *S. cerevisiae* model system to be efficiently applied to investigating mechanisms responsible for accumulation of genetic changes during mitotic cell aging.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

1   Maslov, A. Y. & Vijg, J. Genome instability, cancer and aging. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1790**, 963-969, doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.03.020 (2009).

2   Maher, R. L., Branagan, A. M. & Morrical, S. W. Coordination of DNA replication and recombination activities in the maintenance of genome stability. *J Cell Biochem* **112**, 2672-2682, doi:10.1002/jcb.23211 (2011).

3   Longo, V. D., Shadel, G. S., Kaeberlein, M. & Kennedy, B. Replicative and chronological aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Cell Metab* **16**, 18-31, doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2012.06.002 (2012).

4   Madia, F., Gattazzo, C., Fabrizio, P. & Longo, V. D. A simple model system for age-dependent DNA damage and cancer. *Mech Ageing Dev* **128**, 45-49, doi:10.1016/j.mad.2006.11.009 (2007).

5   Madia, F. *et al.* Oncogene homologue Sch9 promotes age-dependent mutations by a superoxide and Rev1/Polzeta-dependent mechanism. *J Cell Biol* **186**, 509-523, doi:10.1083/jcb.200906011 (2009).

6   Lee, S. S., Avalos Vizcarra, I., Huberts, D. H., Lee, L. P. & Heinemann, M. Whole lifespan microscopic observation of budding yeast aging through a microfluidic dissection platform. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 4916-4920, doi:10.1073/pnas.1113505109 (2012).

7   Xie, Z. *et al.* Molecular phenotyping of aging in single yeast cells using a novel microfluidic device. *Aging Cell* **11**, 599-606, doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2012.00821.x (2012).

8   McMurray, M. A. & Gottschling, D. E. An age-induced switch to a hyper-recombinational state. *Science* **301**, 1908-1911, doi:10.1126/science.1087706 (2003).

9   Foster, P. L. Methods for determining spontaneous mutation rates. *Methods Enzymol* **409**, 195-213, doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(05)09012-9 (2006).

10   Boeke, J. D., LaCroute, F. & Fink, G. R. A positive selection for mutants lacking orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase activity in yeast: 5-fluoro-orotic acid resistance. *Mol Gen Genet* **197**, 345-346 (1984).

11   Whelan, W. L., Gocke, E. & Manney, T. R. The CAN1 locus of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: fine-structure analysis and forward mutation rates. *Genetics* **91**, 35-51 (1979).

12   Lindstrom, D. L. & Gottschling, D. E. The mother enrichment program: a genetic system for facile replicative life span analysis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **183**, 413-422, 411SI-413SI, doi:10.1534/genetics.109.106229 (2009).

13   Lindstrom, D. L., Leverich, C. K., Henderson, K. A. & Gottschling, D. E. Replicative age induces mitotic recombination in the ribosomal RNA gene cluster of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002015, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002015 (2011).

14   Feser, J. *et al.* Elevated histone expression promotes life span extension. *Mol Cell* **39**, 724-735, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.08.015 (2010).

15   Afonso, B., Silver, P. A. & Ajo-Franklin, C. M. A synthetic circuit for selectively arresting daughter cells to create aging populations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, 2727-2735, doi:10.1093/nar/gkq075 (2010).

16   Smeal, T., Claus, J., Kennedy, B., Cole, F. & Guarente, L. Loss of transcriptional silencing causes sterility in old mother cells of S. cerevisiae. *Cell* **84**, 633-642 (1996).

17   Lam, Y. T., Aung-Htut, M. T., Lim, Y. L., Yang, H. & Dawes, I. W. Changes in reactive oxygen species begin early during replicative aging of Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. *Free Radic Biol Med* **50**, 963-970, doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.01.013 (2011).

18   Dang, W. *et al.* Histone H4 lysine 16 acetylation regulates cellular lifespan. *Nature* **459**, 802-807, doi:10.1038/nature08085 (2009).

19   Amberg, D. C., Burke, D. J. & Strathern, J. N. *Methods in Yeast Genetics: A Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Course Manual, 2005 Edition*. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2005).

20   Brachmann, C. B. *et al.* Designer deletion strains derived from Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast* **14**, 115-132, doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(19980130)14:2<115::AID-YEA204>3.0.CO;2-2 (1998).

21   Maxwell, P. H., Burhans, W. C. & Curcio, M. J. Retrotransposition is associated with genome instability during chronological aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 20376-20381, doi:10.1073/pnas.1100271108 (2011).

22   Hall, B. M., Ma, C. X., Liang, P. & Singh, K. K. Fluctuation analysis CalculatOR: a web tool for the determination of mutation rate using Luria-Delbruck fluctuation analysis. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1564-1565, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp253 (2009).

23   Wu, X. *et al.* A robust estimator of mutation rates. *Mutat Res* **661**, 101-109, doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2008.11.015 (2009).

24   Aguilaniu, H., Gustafsson, L., Rigoulet, M. & Nyström, T. Asymmetric inheritance of oxidatively damaged proteins during cytokinesis. *Science* **299**, 1751-1753, doi:10.1126/science.1080418 (2003).

Figure 2
Click here to download Figure: Patterson_Figure2.pdf

A

B

Post-Biotin   Sort 3
                     Mother Cells

Figure 4
Click here to download Figure: Patterson_Figure4.pdf

A

B

Figure 6
Click here to download Figure: Patterson_Figure6.pdf

Excel Spreadsheet- Table of Materials/Equipment

Name of Material/ Equipment	Company	Catalog Number	Comments/Description
EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin	Thermo Scientific	21335	100mg
Anti-Biotin Microbeads	Miltenyi Biotec	130-090-485	2ml MicroBeads conjugated to monoclonal mouse anti-biotin antibodies (isotype: mouse IgG1)
MACS LS columns	Miltenyi Biotec	130-042-401	25 columns suitable for QuadroMACS separator
QuadroMACS separator	Miltenyi Biotec	130-090-976	Separator Only
QuadroMACS Starting Kit	Miltenyi Biotec	130-091-051	Includes: QuadroMACS Separator (130-090-976), MACS MultiStand (130-042-303), LS Columns (130-042-401), MACS 15ml Tube Rack (130-091-052), One 2ml unit of MACS MicroBeads. Note: Alternative systems include Dynabeads Biotin Binder and MagnaBind Magnetic Beads
BD Falcon Cell Strainer, 40 μm	BD Biosciences	352340	40 μm for use with BD Falcon 50 ml Conical Tubes. 50 per pack
Trypan Blue	Sigma-Aldrich	T6146	Powder, filtering after dissolving important to remove particulates
Wheat germ agglutinin Alexa Fluor488	Life Technologies	W11261	Conjugates with different excitation/emission spectra could also be used
ProLong Gold antifade reagent	Life Technologies	P36930	10 ml, other antifade reagents could also be used

jove
JOURNAL OF
VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS

1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

Title of Article: Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae

Author(s): Melissa N. Patterson and Patrick H Maxwell

Item 1 (check one box): The Author elects to have the Materials be made available (as described at http://www.jove.com/publish) via: ☐ Standard Access ☒ Open Access

Item 2 (check one box):

☒ The Author is NOT a United States government employee.

☐ The Author is a United States government employee and the Materials were prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

☐ The Author is a United States government employee but the Materials were NOT prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee.

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

1. **Defined Terms.** As used in this Article and Video License Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings: **"Agreement"** means this Article and Video License Agreement; **"Article"** means the article specified on the last page of this Agreement, including any associated materials such as texts, figures, tables, artwork, abstracts, or summaries contained therein; **"Author"** means the author who is a signatory to this Agreement; **"Collective Work"** means a work, such as a periodical issue, anthology or encyclopedia, in which the Materials in their entirety in unmodified form, along with a number of other contributions, constituting separate and independent works in themselves, are assembled into a collective whole; **"CRC License"** means the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivs 3.0 Unported Agreement, the terms and conditions of which can be found at: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/legalcode; **"Derivative Work"** means a work based upon the Materials or upon the Materials and other pre-existing works, such as a translation, musical arrangement, dramatization, fictionalization, motion picture version, sound recording, art reproduction, abridgment, condensation, or any other form in which the Materials may be recast, transformed, or adapted; **"Institution"** means the institution, listed on the last page of this Agreement, by which the Author was employed at the time of the creation of the Materials; **"JoVE"** means MyJove Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and the publisher of *The Journal of Visualized Experiments*; **"Materials"** means the Article and / or the Video; **"Parties"** means the Author and JoVE; **"Video"** means any video(s) made by the Author, alone or in conjunction with any other parties, or by JoVE or its affiliates or agents, individually or in collaboration with the Author or any other parties, incorporating all or any portion of the Article, and in which the Author may or may not appear.

2. **Background.** The Author, who is the author of the Article, in order to ensure the dissemination and protection of the Article, desires to have the JoVE publish the Article and create and transmit videos based on the Article. In furtherance of such goals, the Parties desire to memorialize in this Agreement the respective rights of each Party in and to the Article and the Video.

3. **Grant of Rights in Article.** In consideration of JoVE agreeing to publish the Article, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Sections 4** and **7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Article in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Article or other Derivative Works (including, without limitation, the Video) or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Article and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. If the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Article as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

1

jove
JOURNAL OF
VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS

1 Alewife Center #200
Cambridge, MA 02140
tel. 617.945.9051
www.jove.com

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

4. <u>Retention of Rights in Article</u>. Notwithstanding the exclusive license granted to JoVE in **Section 3** above, the Author shall, with respect to the Article, retain the non-exclusive right to use all or part of the Article for the non-commercial purpose of giving lectures, presentations or teaching classes, and to post a copy of the Article on the Institution's website or the Author's personal website, in each case provided that a link to the Article on the JoVE website is provided and notice of JoVE's copyright in the Article is included. All non-copyright intellectual property rights in and to the Article, such as patent rights, shall remain with the Author.

5. <u>Grant of Rights in Video – Standard Access</u>. This **Section 5** applies if the "Standard Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above or if no box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby acknowledges and agrees that, Subject to **Section 7** below, JoVE is and shall be the sole and exclusive owner of all rights of any nature, including, without limitation, all copyrights, in and to the Video. To the extent that, by law, the Author is deemed, now or at any time in the future, to have any rights of any nature in or to the Video, the Author hereby disclaims all such rights and transfers all such rights to JoVE.

6. <u>Grant of Rights in Video – Open Access</u>. This **Section 6** applies only if the "Open Access" box has been checked in **Item 1** above. In consideration of JoVE agreeing to produce, display or otherwise assist with the Video, the Author hereby grants to JoVE, subject to **Section 7** below, the exclusive, royalty-free, perpetual (for the full term of copyright in the Article, including any extensions thereto) license (a) to publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Video in all forms, formats and media whether now known or hereafter developed (including without limitation in print, digital and electronic form) throughout the world, (b) to translate the Video into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the Video or other Derivative Works or Collective Works based on all or any portion of the Video and exercise all of the rights set forth in (a) above in such translations, adaptations, summaries, extracts, Derivative Works or Collective Works and (c) to license others to do any or all of the above. The foregoing rights may be exercised in all media and formats, whether now known or hereafter devised, and include the right to make such modifications as are technically necessary to exercise the rights in other media and formats. For any Video to which this Section 6 is applicable, JoVE and the Author hereby grant to the public all such rights in the Video as provided in, but subject to all limitations and requirements set forth in, the CRC License.

7. <u>Government Employees</u>. If the Author is a United States government employee and the Article was prepared in the course of his or her duties as a United States government employee, as indicated in **Item 2** above, and any of the licenses or grants granted by the Author hereunder exceed the scope of the 17 U.S.C. 403, then the rights granted hereunder shall be limited to the maximum rights permitted under such statute. In such case, all provisions contained herein that are not in conflict with such statute shall remain in full force and effect, and all provisions contained herein that do so conflict shall be deemed to be amended so as to provide to JoVE the maximum rights permissible within such statute.

8. <u>Likeness, Privacy, Personality</u>. The Author hereby grants JoVE the right to use the Author's name, voice, likeness, picture, photograph, image, biography and performance in any way, commercial or otherwise, in connection with the Materials and the sale, promotion and distribution thereof. The Author hereby waives any and all rights he or she may have, relating to his or her appearance in the Video or otherwise relating to the Materials, under all applicable privacy, likeness, personality or similar laws.

9. <u>Author Warranties</u>. The Author represents and warrants that the Article is original, that it has not been published, that the copyright interest is owned by the Author (or, if more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, by such authors collectively) and has not been assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred to any other party. The Author represents and warrants that the author(s) listed at the top of this Agreement are the only authors of the Materials. If more than one author is listed at the top of this Agreement and if any such author has not entered into a separate Article and Video License Agreement with JoVE relating to the Materials, the Author represents and warrants that the Author has been authorized by each of the other such authors to execute this Agreement on his or her behalf and to bind him or her with respect to the terms of this Agreement as if each of them had been a party hereto as an Author. The Author warrants that the use, reproduction, distribution, public or private performance or display, and/or modification of all or any portion of the Materials does not and will not violate, infringe and/or misappropriate the patent, trademark, intellectual property or other rights of any third party. The Author represents and warrants that it has and will continue to comply with all government, institutional and other regulations, including, without limitation all institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy, and all other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, applicable to the Materials, and that all research involving human and animal subjects has been approved by the Author's relevant institutional review board.

10. <u>JoVE Discretion</u>. If the Author requests the assistance of JoVE in producing the Video in the Author's facility, the Author shall ensure that the presence of JoVE employees, agents or independent contractors is in accordance with the relevant regulations of the Author's institution. If more than one author is listed at the beginning of this Agreement, JoVE may, in its sole discretion, elect not take any action with respect to the Article until such time as it has received complete, executed Article and Video License Agreements from each such author. JoVE reserves the right, in its absolute and sole discretion and without giving any reason therefore, to accept or decline any work submitted to JoVE. JoVE and its employees, agents and independent contractors shall have

ARTICLE AND VIDEO LICENSE AGREEMENT

full, unfettered access to the facilities of the Author or of the Author's institution as necessary to make the Video, whether actually published or not. JoVE has sole discretion as to the method of making and publishing the Materials, including, without limitation, to all decisions regarding editing, lighting, filming, timing of publication, if any, length, quality, content and the like.

11. Indemnification. The Author agrees to indemnify JoVE and/or its successors and assigns from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, arising out of any breach of any warranty or other representations contained herein. The Author further agrees to indemnify and hold harmless JoVE from and against any and all claims, costs, and expenses, including attorney's fees, resulting from the breach by the Author of any representation or warranty contained herein or from allegations or instances of violation of intellectual property rights, damage to the Author's or the Author's institution's facilities, fraud, libel, defamation, research, equipment, experiments, property damage, personal injury, violations of institutional, laboratory, hospital, ethical, human and animal treatment, privacy or other rules, regulations, laws, procedures or guidelines, liabilities and other losses or damages related in any way to the submission of work to JoVE, making of videos by JoVE, or publication in *JoVE* or elsewhere by JoVE. The Author shall be responsible for, and shall hold JoVE harmless from, damages caused by lack of sterilization, lack of cleanliness or by contamination due to the making of a video by JoVE its employees, agents or independent contractors. All sterilization, cleanliness or decontamination procedures shall be solely the responsibility of the Author and shall be undertaken at the Author's

expense. All indemnifications provided herein shall include JoVE's attorney's fees and costs related to said losses or damages. Such indemnification and holding harmless shall include such losses or damages incurred by, or in connection with, acts or omissions of JoVE, its employees, agents or independent contractors.

12. Fees. To cover the cost incurred for publication, JoVE must receive payment before production and publication the Materials. Payment is due in 21 days of invoice. Should the Materials not be published due to an editorial or production decision, these funds will be returned to the Author. Withdrawal by the Author of any submitted Materials after final peer review approval will result in a US$1,200 fee to cover pre-production expenses incurred by JoVE. If payment is not received by the completion of filming, production and publication of the Materials will be suspended until payment is received.

13. Transfer, Governing Law. This Agreement may be assigned by JoVE and shall inure to the benefits of any of JoVE's successors and assignees. This Agreement shall be governed and construed by the internal laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts without giving effect to any conflict of law provision thereunder. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall be deemed to me one and the same agreement. A signed copy of this Agreement delivered by facsimile, e-mail or other means of electronic transmission shall be deemed to have the same legal effect as delivery of an original signed copy of this Agreement.

A signed copy of this document must be sent with all new submissions. Only one Agreement required per submission.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Name: *Patrick H. Maxwell*

Department: *Department of Biological Sciences*

Institution: *Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute*

Article Title: *Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Signature: *[signature]*   Date: *12/3/13*

Please submit a signed and dated copy of this license by one of the following three methods:
1) Upload a scanned copy of the document as a pfd on the JoVE submission site;
2) Fax the document to +1.866.381.2236;
3) Mail the document to JoVE / Attn: JoVE Editorial / 1 Alewife Center #200 / Cambridge, MA 02139

For questions, please email submissions@jove.com or call +1.617.945.9051

612542.6

Response to peer review comments for:
Manuscript JoVE51850R1
'Combining magnetic sorting of mother cells and fluctuation tests to analyze genome instability during mitotic cell aging in Saccharomyces cerevisiae'
Patterson, M. N. & Maxwell, P. H.

Dear JoVE editor,

We thank the reviewers for their comments about how to improve our manuscript. We have submitted a revised version of our manuscript in response to the peer review comments and detail below the specific changes that we have made. We have used the Track Changes feature to highlight all the changes. One new figure was added in response to a peer review comment, and all but one of the original figures was revised. These new figures have also been submitted.

Sincerely,
Patrick Maxwell

Editorial comments:

1) All of your previous revisions have been incorporated into the most recent version of the manuscript. Please download this version of the Microsoft word document from the "file inventory" to use for any subsequent changes.

Changes have been made to the version of the manuscript obtained from the JoVE file inventory.

2) The lengths of the Short and Long Abstracts are close to or at our word limits for these sections. If additional text is added, please ensure that the Short Abstract does not exceed 50 words and the Long Abstract is no more than 300 words.

We did not exceed the length for these abstracts.

3) Please include additional details in sections 6 and 7 describing how to perform these steps. Each of the protocol must contain sufficient detail to enable the user to accurately replicate the protocol.

Specific dilutions and volumes have been added to section 6, and we reference step 2.2 for the formula to calculate mutation frequency.
The original section 7 has been deleted and those methods are mentioned in the Discussion. The new section 7 addresses a comment from reviewer 2.

4) The highlighting contains references to steps/sections outside the highlighting, which will not be filmed unless highlighted and included within the 2.75 pages of filmable content. This includes step 3.3 which references step 2.2, and steps 3.6 and 4.9 which reference sections 5,6, and 7 (6 and 7 are not highlighted).

Step 2.1.1 that includes the basic experimental procedure for sections 2 and 6 is now highlighted. Other parts of these sections describe steps of the method that use common procedures and calculations that people reading the protocol would be very likely to be able to perform just using the specific descriptions, without need for filming. The original section 7 has been deleted, as described for the previous comment, and replaced with a new section to address comments by reviewer 2.

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1:

Major Concerns:
1. A description of fluctuation test and canavanine resistance is missing from the Introduction section. Since this is a key assay the new method is based on, it is important to provide adequate background information for this assay.

A paragraph describing fluctuation tests and canavanine resistance has been added to the Introduction.

2. The authors did not discuss the option to test other genomic locations and the limitations for the fluctuation test, such as that only limited genomic location can be tested using this method.

We now show data for mutation rates and frequencies of the *CAN1* gene when placed at a different genomic site (in Figure 2 and 6 of the revised manuscript). Also, the second paragraph of the Discussion now begins with a few sentences explaining that the method is limited based on the requirement that a selectable phenotype results from a genetic change, but emphasizing that creative assay design can allow many types of genome instability to be examined.

3. The experimental design for figure 1 might be confusing to readers inexperienced with the assay. It is recommended that authors provide a flow diagram for the experimental design indication when and where samples were taken for analysis.

We have added a flow chart as Figure 1 showing the overall procedure and the steps at which mutation rates, frequencies, and cell age are determined.

Minor Concerns:

1. An alternative protocol for a sorting procedure without using the Miltenyi Biotec QuadroMACS separator would be very helpful since such instruments may not be accessible to some researchers.

We have added a brief discussion of example alternative sorting systems that

have been used with yeast mother cells by other groups in the fifth paragraph of the Discussion.

2. It would also be helpful to discuss consideration for yeast genetic backgrounds suitable for the fluctuation test. Strain genome type used in the test.

We now reference the yeast strain background in the beginning of the Representative Results, and our addition to paragraph two of the Discussion in response to one of the above point states that any strain in which mutations can be detected through a selectable phenotype could potentially be used for fluctuation tests.

Additional Comments to Authors:
N/A

Reviewer #2:

However, the authors should address the following concerns:

1. This paper need two additional sections. After section 7 it needs a section on how to do the calculation to determine the mutation rate during aging (i.e. normalizing the mutation frequency to the number of cell divisions, and how to calculate the number of cell divisions). It also needs a better description of what is, and how to do, the fluctuation test, including the equations. I think they also need a clearer definition of mutation rate versus mutation frequency. Referring the reader to an online calculator does not help the researcher understand the important distinction between these terms.

We replaced the original section 7 (which is now just mentioned in the Discussion) with a new section describing how to calculate a predicted mutation frequency for old cells based on the mutation rate of young cells and the rounds of cell division. We revised step 5.2.2 to more explicitly state how to calculate bud scars from WGA fluorescence, and reference this step in section 7. We have added a paragraph to the introduction that describes fluctuation tests in general and that distinguishes between mutation frequency and mutation rate. We also include specific instructions and the formula for the Lea-Coulson median estimator in Section 2. We discuss an alternative mutation rate estimator in the second paragraph of the Discussion that we felt required too much explanation to include in the protocol and that a number of researchers might not choose for performing the rate determination. We give references for an explanation of the method and for a free online calculator to perform the calculations in the Discussion.

2. In fact, this method does not show the results of the one thing that it is meant to be measuring - an age dependent increase in mutation rate. Figure 5, the only experiment performed during aging, shows mutation frequency during aging not mutation rate. It is

well known that yeast accumulate mutations as they grow. They need to measure and show the mutation rate during aging, not just frequency.

The rationale for publishing this method was that no one has ever done a mutational analysis during replicative aging in yeast. I found this amusing, because my lab has done this exact CAN1 mutation rate analysis during yeast replicative aging, and we didn't publish it, because we found no significant change in mutation rate with age. However, what we did, which was not done by these authors, is we normalized the change in mutation frequency during aging to the change in mutation frequency that occurs just due to logarithmic growth alone, given that it is known that growth alone of haploid yeast leads to an accumulation of mutations with each cell division. The authors should think deeply about whether their results are really showing an increase in mutations that is due to aging, not just growth. Notwithstanding, the video and protocol described in this paper may have the potential to be further applied to study the effects of different genetic and environmental factors on genome instability during aging.

We agree that the data in the original Figure 5 did not show an age-dependent increase in mutation rate. Since the observed frequencies were below/similar to the predicted frequencies based on mutation rates in young cells, the increase in mutation frequency was approximately what would be expected just for additional rounds of cell division. We would only conclude that mutation rate increased with age if the observed frequency was significantly higher than the predicted frequency. In the revised manuscript we include a section for calculating the predicted frequency due just to additional growth and more explicitly discuss the representative data for this point (now Figure 6, last paragraph of Results) to emphasize that the predicted frequency is being used to determine if the observed frequency could reflect an age-dependent increase in mutation rate. While the observed value is a frequency, not a rate, a large deviation from the frequency predicted by growth would support an age-dependent change in rate. We also include an additional data set using a strain with *CAN1* in a different genomic location that does show evidence of an age-dependent effect (Figure 6).

3. I am really surprised by the level of contamination of cells with 0 bud scars. We do not see this in our hands. Maybe they need to optimize their biotin purification.

We had used an earlier data set for Figure 3 with some young cell contamination to show correspondence between the manual bud scar counts and flow cytometry signal (the contamination could be seen in each method). Since those results are not representative of our current results with the method, we have replaced them with a more representative data set that does not have substantial young cell contamination.

4. Figure 1 & 5 are missing error bars, so it is impossible to determine whether there are changes between their conditions or not.

We have added additional data sets to the graphs in these figures (which are now

Figures 2 and 6) so that error bars are now present for all values.

The following two comments are from people in my lab that routinely use these methods, that may account for the problem that I mention in point #3.

5. Under Bead labeling and Magnetic sorting stage (4.1.2), too little bead volume has been used in comparison to the manufacturer's recommended protocol. Maybe this is reducing the yield, and increasing the contamination.

We are using a low volume of beads for this step (which is now 4.3), but we do not see substantial young cell contamination in most of our experiments, as discussed in response to point #3. This low volume is similar to another protocol that we consulted when optimizing our procedure that we reference in this step and actually helped reduce contamination (since there are not many extra beads for unlabeled cells to bind).

6. We are concerned about the use of vortexing at 4.1.4 stage under Bead labeling and magnetic sorting section, as gentle treatments are expected at this stage and it has not been mentioned in the manufacturer's protocol if it is acceptable to use vortexing for these specific beads.

The vortexing at this step (now 4.5) is very brief, just enough to resuspend the cells. We have rephrased this step to emphasize that harsh vortexing should not be used.

Reviewer #3:

Major Concerns:
1. This is basically a protocol paper that incorporates some mutation analysis. However, the mutation analysis is thin and the results are mostly uninteresting. I am not familiar with temperature effects on mutation frequency. There may be a literature on this, but I am unaware of it. The authors should discuss this phenomenon and search for potential sources of artifact. There does not appear to be the predicted increase in mutation frequency in old cells. Is this reasonable given what is known on parameters such as loss of heterozygosity in older cell populations? Perhaps mutations in CAN1 is not the best measure in this context. The authors could screen for other types of nuclear and mitochondrial (petite) genetic damage. Addition of a chemical mutagen, or a strain defective in DNA damage repair, rather than hydrogen peroxide might provide better control and a nicer demonstration of the methods' potential. In short, the mutation analysis raises more questions than it answers.

This paper is intended to be primarily a methods paper, so the mutation analysis is primarily meant to show how the method works. We have added additional data to what is now Figure 2 to show that the temperature effect is not specific just to mutations in the *CAN1* gene. Previous work on loss of heterozygosity in older cells did not calculate whether the increase in this form of genome instability

could have been due just to additional rounds of cells growth rather than an age-specific influence. We agree that it would be good to use the method to measure other types of genetic damage and to perform experiments using mutant strains or DNA-damaging agents. We discuss these variations on the basic protocol in the Discussion. Since this is intended to be a methods paper, we did not attempt to investigate all these additional topics. We hope that future use of the method will address these questions.

2. A lot more characterization of different cell populations could have been performed and would add to the analysis. For example, what are the growth rates of young, middle aged, and old cells on different media? The cells could be stained with mitotracker and ROS reporters to gain insight into these parameters. Vacuole morphology has been reported to change as cells get old, so staining with FM4-64 would be useful.

We also agree that these are good additional analyses to combine with the method. We specifically added statements to the last paragraph of the Discussion about examining these types of physiological changes in conjunction with the method. Since this manuscript is intended to convey a method with just representative data showing its use, we did not perform these additional analyses.

3. Even with the authors explanation it is hard to understand why the number of cells recovered after sequential sorts (Fig. 2) does not drop dramatically. Are cells allowed to regrow after each sort?

Yes, cells are allowed to regrow after each sort. We have added a flow diagram to Figure 1 to show the overall steps of the method, and this figure indicates that sorting is followed by regrowth until the desired age is reached.

Minor Concerns:
The manuscript is written in what might be described as a rambling and somewhat vague fashion, which makes the argument difficult to follow.

When making changes in responses to other comments, we have tried to review the manuscript for clarity and improve transitions between topics.