

TITLE:

An Efficient Strategy for Generating Tissue-Specific Binary Transcription Systems in *Drosophila* by Genome Editing

AUTHORS, AFFILIATIONS:

Lijuan Du, Amy Zhou, Sougata Roy

Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD

Corresponding author:

Sougata Roy, Ph.D. (sougata@umd.edu)

Email addresses of Co-authors:

Lijuan Du (lijuandu@umd.edu)

Amy Zhou (amyzhou@terpmail.umd.edu)

KEYWORDS:

Binary expression system; targeted gene expression; CRISPR/Cas9; homology-directed repair; exon replacement; *Drosophila*; transactivator, *LexA*; *fgf*

SUMMARY:

Here, we present a method for generating tissue-specific binary transcription systems in *Drosophila* by replacing the first coding exon of genes with transcription drivers. The CRISPR/Cas9-based method places a transactivator sequence under the endogenous regulation of a replaced gene, and consequently facilitates transactivator expression exclusively in gene-specific spatiotemporal patterns.

ABSTRACT:

Binary transcription systems are powerful genetic tools widely used for visualizing and manipulating cell fate and gene expression in specific groups of cells or tissues in model organisms. These systems contain two components as separate transgenic lines. A driver line expresses a transcriptional activator under the control of tissue-specific promoters/enhancers, and a reporter/effector line harbors a target gene placed downstream to the binding site of the transcription activator. Animals harboring both components induce tissue-specific transactivation of a target gene expression. Precise spatiotemporal expression of the gene in targeted tissues is critical for unbiased interpretation of cell/gene activity. Therefore, developing a method for generating exclusive cell/tissue-specific driver lines is essential. Here we present a method to generate highly tissue-specific targeted expression system by employing a “Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeat/CRISPR-associated” (CRISPR/Cas)-based genome editing technique. In this method, the endonuclease Cas9 is targeted by two chimeric guide RNAs (gRNA) to specific sites in the first coding exon of a gene in the *Drosophila* genome to create double-strand breaks (DSB). Subsequently, using an exogenous donor plasmid containing the transactivator sequence, the cell-autonomous repair machinery enables homology-directed repair (HDR) of the DSB, resulting in precise deletion and replacement of the

exon with the transactivator sequence. The knocked-in transactivator is expressed exclusively in cells where the *cis*-regulatory elements of the replaced gene are functional. The detailed step-by-step protocol presented here for generating a binary transcriptional driver expressed in *Drosophila fgf/branchless*-producing epithelial/neuronal cells can be adopted for any gene- or tissue-specific expression.

INTRODUCTION:

The genetic toolbox for targeted gene expression has been well developed in *Drosophila*, making it one of the best model systems to investigate the function of genes involved in a wide variety of cellular processes. Binary expression systems, such as yeast *Gal4/UAS* (upstream activation sequence), was first adopted for tissue-specific enhancer trapping and gene misexpression in the *Drosophila* genetic model¹ (**Figure 1**). This system facilitated the development of a large number of techniques such as spatiotemporal regulation of gene overexpression, misexpression, knockout in selected groups of cells as well as in cell ablation, cell marking, live tracing of cellular and molecular processes in embryo and tissues, lineage tracing and mosaic analyses during development. A number of binary transcription system, such as the bacterial *LexA/LexA_{op}* system (**Figure 1**) and *Neurospora* Q-system, are powerful genetic tools that are now widely used in *Drosophila*, in addition to the original *Gal4/UAS* system for targeted gene expression¹⁻³.

Here, we present a method to generate highly reliable tissue-specific binary expression system by employing a genome-editing technique. The recent advancements in CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology have allowed unprecedented opportunities to make directed genome changes in a broad range of organisms. Compared to the other available genome editing techniques, the CRISPR/Cas9 system is inexpensive, efficient, and reliable. This technology utilizes components of the bacterial adaptive immune system: a Cas9 endonuclease of *Streptococcus pyogenes* that creates a double-strand break (DSB), and a chimeric guide RNA (gRNA), which guides the Cas9 to a particular genome site for targeted DSB⁴. The cells contain the machinery to repair the DSB using different pathways. Non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) leads to small insertions or deletions to disrupt gene function, while homology-directed repair (HDR) introduces a defined directed/desirable genomic knock-in/knock-out by using an exogenous HDR donor as a template. The HDR-based replacement strategy can efficiently be utilized to generate a highly reliable tissue-specific binary expression system, which can overcome all the limitations of the traditional enhancer trap methods. We describe a step-by-step procedure for utilization of CRISPR/Cas9-based HDR repair in generating a binary transcription driver line that is expressed under the control of endogenous transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of a *Drosophila* gene. In this protocol, we demonstrate the generation of a driver line specific for *branchless* (*bnl*) gene encoding an FGF family protein that regulates branching morphogenesis of tracheal airway epithelium⁵. In this example, the first coding exon of the *bnl* gene was replaced by the sequence of a bacterial *LexA* transactivator sequence without altering any endogenous *cis*-regulatory sequences of the *bnl* gene. We show that the strategy generated a *bnl-LexA* driver line that spatiotemporally controls the expression of a reporter gene placed downstream of *LexAoperator* (*LexAop* or *LexO*) exclusively in *bnl*-expressing epithelial/mesenchymal/neuronal cells.

PROTOCOL:

1. Designing and constructing the gRNA

1.1. To precisely replace a long defined region of an exon, use a dual gRNA approach⁶, in which each gRNA can specifically target two ends of the selected region of interest. To obtain an accurate gene-specific spatiotemporal expression of the driver, select two gRNA target sites within the first coding exon of the gene.

1.2. For *Drosophila melanogaster*, select the gRNA target sites using the flyCRISPR Optimal Target Finder tool (<http://tools.flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu/targetFinder/>). Other available CRISPR design tools can be used as well, including the Optimized CRISPR Design tool (<http://crispr.mit.edu>), FlyCas9 (<https://shigen.nig.ac.jp/fly/nigfly/cas9>), and E-CRISP (www.e-crisp.org/E-CRISP).

NOTE: The following protocols of gRNA design and cloning was adopted from methods previously described^{6,7}.

1.2.1. Copy the actual sequence into the box provided in the online tool. Select the most recently released *Drosophila melanogaster* genome annotation version, “r_6,” in the drop-down menu for “Select genome.” In the field “Select guide length (nt),” input “20.” Select to find “All CRISPR targets” and click “Find CRISPR Targets”.

1.2.2. Evaluate all the candidate gRNA targets by setting “Maximum” for “Stringency” and “NGG Only” for “PAM”. Try to select the gRNA sites without any potential off-targets or a minimum number of off-targets possible. Use the web-tool described in Doench *et al.* 2014⁸ to select gRNAs with a potential “good” activity score.

1.2.3. Simultaneously, ensure that there is no single nucleotide polymorphism in the gRNA targets in the genome of the parent fly line selected for injection (*e.g.*, *nos-Cas9* on X chromosome, BL# 54591). Follow the protocol described in Gratz *et al.* 2015⁷ to extract the genomic DNA from the parent fly line. PCR amplify, approximately, the 500-1000 nt regions using primers that flank the sequence of interest and a high-fidelity DNA polymerase; sequence-verify the PCR amplified products.

1.3. For generating a tandem gRNA expression vector, follow a ligation-independent cloning protocol⁶ to introduce two protospacer sequences into a pCFD4 RNA expression vector. Note that an improved multi-gRNA expression vector (pCFD5) is now available where both the sgRNAs are expressed from the strong U6:3 promoters⁹ (<https://www.crisprflydesign.org>). Use a DNA Assembly method to clone the gRNAs into the pCFD4 vector.

1.3.1. Design and order the forward and reverse primers for introducing two protospacer sequences into the RNA expression vector pCFD4 (Table 1A). As previously described⁶, the forward primer contains the first protospacer sequence flanked by regions corresponding to the

U6-1 promoter and gRNA core in pCFD4; the reverse primer contains the reverse complement sequence of the second protospacer flanked by regions corresponding to the gRNA core and U6-3 promoter in pCDF4.

1.3.2. Resuspend primers to 100 μ M with DNase and RNase-free double distilled water (ddH₂O). Make a 10 μ M working concentration primer. Use pCFD4 plasmid as a template and set up PCR reaction using a high-fidelity polymerase and recommended settings for PCR reaction⁶.

1.3.3. To clone the amplified product into pCFD4, digest pCFD4 plasmid with BbsI enzyme by setting up the following reaction: 2-5 μ g of pCFD4 plasmid, 5 μ L of 10X digestion buffer, 1 μ L of BbsI enzyme, and ddH₂O to bring the final volume to 50 μ L. Mix the reaction contents by gently tapping the tube and collecting all the scattered droplets from the wall of the tube to the bottom by a brief spin. Incubate the reaction mix at 37 °C for 2 h.

1.3.4. Run the PCR product from 1.3.2 and the digested product from 1.3.3 on a 1% agarose gel. Perform the electrophoresis for enough time to completely separate the DNA bands. Cut the correct sized DNA bands under a UV trans-illuminator before purifying the expected products using gel elution column following the manufacturer's instruction (see **Table of Materials**). The PCR product should be 600 bp, and the linearized pCFD4 plasmid should be ~6.4 kb⁶.

1.3.5. Set up the DNA assembly reaction in a PCR tube, per the manufacturer's instruction (see **Table of Materials**).

1.3.6. Transform 2 μ L of the assembly product into competent bacteria (DH5 α or similar strains with $\Delta recA1$, $\Delta endA1$), plate on Ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) containing LB (LB/Amp) agar plates, and incubate at 37 °C overnight. Note that the DNA assembly mix might be toxic to certain bacteria strains, but diluting the assembly mix can reduce the toxicity.

1.3.7. Pick 3-4 ampicillin-resistant colonies from the overnight-incubated plate, inoculate the colony in 3 mL LB containing 100 μ g/mL ampicillin, and grow inoculated bacteria in a 37 °C shaker overnight. Extract plasmid from the overnight-cultured bacteria using the plasmid mini-prep kit following the manufacturer's instruction (see **Table of Materials**).

1.3.8. Sequence the plasmids with T3 universal primer to screen for the correct clones containing the tandem gRNA insertion. Establish a glycerol stock of bacteria transformed with a sequence-verified pCFD4-gRNA in 20% glycerol and store in a -80 °C freezer for future use.

2. Designing and constructing the HDR donor

2.1. For genomic knock-in of a *Gal4* or *LexA* sequence, design a double-stranded HDR donor containing the transactivator sequence flanked by two homology arms.

2.1.1. Use > 1.5 kb left and right homology arms flanking the gRNA targeting site(s). Extended homology arms increase the efficiency of HDR during the repair process¹⁰.

2.1.2. PCR amplify the homology arms from the genomic DNA (gDNA) extracted from the parent fly line selected for injection (*e.g.*, *nos-Cas9* (on X chromosome), BL# 54591). Use a proof-reading hot-start Taq-DNA polymerase enzyme suitable for long PCR extension (see **Table of Materials**). Sequence-verify.

2.2. To avoid retargeting of the gRNA to the engineered locus, design the replacement donor in such a way that the gRNA recognition sites are disrupted by the exogenous sequence introduced.

NOTE: to avoid altering the putative cis-regulatory elements, always select the gRNA recognition sites within the coding exon region.

2.3. For generating a replacement cassette, plan the DNA Assembly strategy to join four segments together: the 5' and 3' homology arms, the middle exogenous transactivator DNA sequence, and the linearized cloning vector backbone (*e.g.*, pUC19 or other commonly used cloning vectors). Following the manufacturer's guideline for DNA assembly (see **Table of Materials**), design the suitable primers for PCR amplification and assembly of each segment. Resuspend primers to 100 μ M with ddH₂O. Make a 10 μ M working concentration primer. Use high-fidelity polymerase for all the PCR reactions. Follow the following protocol:

2.3.1. PCR amplify a *Gal4* or *LexA* expression cassette from an available vector (*e.g.*, *nls-LexA:p65*; the ideal *Gal4/LexA/QF2* expression plasmids can be found and obtained from common resources such as Addgene) using the primers listed in **Table 1B**.

2.3.1.1. To retain all the original transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulations of the replaced exon on the expression of the transactivator DNA sequence, design the cassette in such a way that the edited genomic allele would express a chimeric mRNA of the transactivator and the gene. Preserve the 5' and 3' end of the targeted exon to retain any splicing signal.

2.3.1.2. Incorporate a T2A self-cleaving peptide sequence between the residual 5' coding exon and the transactivator sequence to prevent translation into a chimeric protein. Add a translation stop codon after the exogenous transactivator sequence (**Figure 5**).

2.3.2. PCR amplify the homology arms from the genomic DNA (gDNA) extracted from the parent fly line selected for injection (*e.g.*, *nos-Cas9* (on X chromosome), BL# 54591) using the primers listed in **Table 1B**. Use high-fidelity polymerase for all the PCR reactions using the systems as follows: 5 μ L of 5x Reaction Buffer, 0.5 μ L of 10 mM dNTPs, 1.25 μ L of 10 μ M Forward Primer, 1.25 μ L of 10 μ M Reverse Primer, 0.5 μ L of Template DNA, 0.25 μ L of High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase, 16.25 μ L of ddH₂O.

2.3.3. Use linearized cloning vector such as pUC19. A number of donor vectors are now available. See a comprehensive list at the following website- <http://flycrispr.molbio.wisc.edu>.

2.3.4. Run the PCR products on a 1% agarose gel. Perform the electrophoresis for enough time to ensure a clear separation of the desired band from the undesired non-specific bands (if any). Gel-purify the expected DNA fragments. Measure the concentration of each purified DNA fragment using a spectrophotometer.

2.3.5. Set up the DNA assembly reaction following the manufacturer's instruction. Mix the linearized cloning vector and target fragments from step 2.3.1 and 2.3.2 in the following reaction: 1 μ L of linear cloning vector (50 ng/ μ L), 2-3 fold excess of each target fragment, 10 μ L of 2x DNA assembly master mix, bring the final reaction volume to 20 μ L with ddH₂O. Incubate the reaction mix for 1 hour at 50 °C.

2.3.6. Transform 2 μ L of the assembly product into competent bacteria, plate on LB/Amp agar plates containing 100 μ g/mL ampicillin. Also, add X-Gal + IPTG on the plate for blue/white screening.

2.3.7. Pick 3-4 white colonies from the overnight-incubated plate, inoculate the colony in 3 mL LB containing 100 μ g/mL ampicillin, and grow at 37 °C overnight in a shaker. Extract plasmid from the overnight-cultured bacteria using the plasmid mini-prep kit following the manufacturer's manual (see **Table of Materials**).

2.3.8. Screen the positive colony by PCR or restriction digestion.

2.3.9. Sequence verify the HDR donor region of the final plasmid. Save a bacterial stock transformed with the correct clones in 20% glycerol at -80 °C for future inoculation.

3. Embryo injection, fly genetics and screening for genome editing

3.1. Prepare the high purity endotoxin-free gRNA expression vector as well as the HDR donor plasmid using a plasmid maxiprep kit (see **Table of Materials**).

3.2. Co-inject the gRNA expression plasmid (100 ng/ μ L) and the replacement donor (500 ng/ μ L) into the germline of *nos-Cas9* embryos⁶. NOTE: we use a commercial service for injection, but this procedure can be performed in the laboratory as well.

3.3. Fly genetics and screening (**Figures 2 and 3**):

3.3.1. When the injected embryos develop into adults, cross each single G₀ flies to balancer flies. Select suitable balancer for the chromosome containing the targeted allele.

3.3.2. Anesthetize the F1 offspring from each G₀ cross on a CO₂ pad and randomly pick 10-20 males under a stereomicroscope. Cross them individually to the balancer females as shown in **Figure 3**.

3.3.3. When the F2 larvae hatch, pick the single F1 father from each cross and extract gDNA using

the single fly genomic DNA preparation protocol:

3.3.3.1. Prepare gDNA extraction buffer: 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.2, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl, store at room temperature. Prepare 20 mg/mL Proteinase K stock solution and store in the freezer.

3.3.3.2. Put each fly in a 1.5 mL micro-centrifuge tube and label the tube. Keep in the -80 °C freezer overnight.

3.3.3.3. Prepare a fresh working volume of gDNA extraction buffer containing 200 µg/mL final concentration of Proteinase K.

NOTE: Do not use an old buffer for this step.

3.3.3.4. Squish each fly for 10-15 seconds with a pipette tip containing 50 µL of squishing buffer without dispensing the liquid. Dispense the remaining buffer into the tube and mix well. Incubate at 37 °C for 20-30 minutes.

3.3.3.5. Put tubes in 95 °C heat block for 1-2 minutes to inactivate the Proteinase K.

3.3.3.6. Spin down for 5 min at 10,000 x g. Store the preparation at 4 °C for further PCR analysis.

3.3.4. Use the same method to prepare gDNA from a *nos-Cas9* fly, which serves as a negative control. Perform three-step PCR based screens to identify the correct “ends out” HDR (Figs. 2, 5) using gDNA of each F1 male as a template⁵. Use 1 µL of the DNA prep in the following PCR reaction system: 10 µL of 2 X PCR Master Mix with Dye, 1 µL of each primer (10 µM), 1 µL of DNA template, and 7 µL of ddH₂O.

3.3.5. As shown in **Figure 5A**, perform PCR using primers fwd1 and rev1 to screen for the existence of the insertion or replacement; perform PCR using fwd2 and rev2 primers to verify the insertion or replacement from 3' region; perform PCR using primers M13F and rev3 to check “ends-in” HDR (**Table 1C**).

3.3.6. Keep the fly lines with the confirmed ends-out HDR and establish balanced stocks from the F2 generation. Outcross to the balancer flies again to remove any unintended mutations on other chromosomes.

3.3.7. Prepare high-quality gDNA from the established stocks for amplifying long PCR (>800-1000 nt) amplicon with high-fidelity Taq polymerase and fully sequence verify the amplicons obtained from the engineered genomic regions. Alternatively, use crude gDNA extract as described earlier to amplify shorter (<800 nt), overlapping PCR products to sequence-validate the edited genome. Use the following protocol to prepare the good quality *Drosophila* genomic DNA:

308 3.3.7.1. Put about 25 adult flies in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube, and freeze in -20 °C or -80 °C
309 freezer for at least 1 hour.
310
311 3.3.7.2. Add 250 µL of Solution A (pH 9.0 Tris HCl 0.1 M, EDTA 0.1M, SDS 1%).
312
313 3.3.7.3. Homogenize the flies using the homogenizing pestles in microcentrifuge tubes, and put
314 the tube on ice.
315
316 3.3.7.4. Incubate at 70 °C for 30 min.
317
318 3.3.7.5. Add 35 µL of KOAc (5 M), shake, and mix well, but do not vortex.
319
320 3.3.7.6. Incubate on ice for 30 min.
321
322 3.3.7.7. Spin at 12,000 x *g* for 15 min.
323
324 3.3.7.8. With a 1 mL micropipette, carefully transfer only the clear supernatant to a new tube,
325 leaving back any precipitate or interphase.
326
327 3.3.7.9. Add 150 µL of isopropanol to the supernatant. Gently mix by inversion.
328
329 3.3.7.10. Spin at 10,000 x *g* for 5 min.
330
331 3.3.7.11. Carefully remove the supernatant and leave the pellet in the tube.
332
333 3.3.7.12. Wash the pellet with 1 mL 70% EtOH.
334
335 3.3.7.13. Spin at 12,000 x *g* for 5 min.
336
337 3.3.7.14. Remove the supernatant. Air dry the pellet for 15 to 20 min. Do not over dry the
338 pellet.
339
340 3.3.7.15. Dissolve the pellet in 100 µL of ddH₂O.
341
342 3.3.7.16. Use high fidelity DNA polymerase suitable for long amplicon (>800 bp) to amplify the
343 complete edited region. Ideally, take two primers outside the inserted cassette to amplify the
344 genomic region. Gel purify the PCR product, and as described earlier, sequence verify the
345 product with multiple overlapping primers.
346
347 3.3.8. Validate the correct spatiotemporal expression patterns from dissected tissues/embryos
348 of the engineered fly lines:
349
350 3.3.8.1. Perform RT-PCR amplification of the replaced cassette from the total RNA to verify the
351 expression of the hybrid mRNA product (**Table 1D**).

3.3.8.2. Perform an *in situ* hybridization of the mRNA product of the interest in the larval tissues/embryo to validate the expression.

3.3.8.3. To screen for the accurate tissue-specific spatiotemporal expression patterns among the sequence-verified ends-out lines, cross each of the edited lines obtained for the binary expression driver with the *LexO*-GFP or *LexO*-RFP (for *LexA* driver) line (available from the Bloomington stock centers) and observe the *LexA* or *Gal4* driven reporter-gene expression in embryo, larval, and adult tissues under a fluorescent microscope.

REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

This protocol was successfully used to generate a targeted binary expression reporter system specific for *bnl* expressing cells⁵. The *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) that control complex spatiotemporal *bnl* expression are not characterized. Therefore, to achieve spatiotemporal expression under the control of the endogenous *bnl* regulatory sequence, only the first coding exon of *bnl* was designed to be replaced with the sequence of the bacterial *LexA* transactivator. An improved *nls-LexA::p65* cassette known to provide optimal expression in *Drosophila* was selected.

The replacement strategy aimed to generate a chimeric *nls-LexA:p65-bnl* mRNA under endogenous transcriptional and post-transcriptional control. This chimeric mRNA contained an intact *bnl* 5' UTR, part of the 5' end and the 3' end of the edited *bnl* coding exon (first exon), and the complete downstream *bnl* introns and exons. To preserve the *bnl* RNA-specific splicing of the replaced exon, small 5' and 3' ends of the replaced coding exon were retained. However, to prevent synthesis of a chimeric Bnl protein fused to *nls-LexA:p65*, a T2A self-cleaving peptide sequence was incorporated between the residual 5' *bnl* coding region and the ATG of *nls-LexA:p65*. Also, a translation stop codon was added after the *nls-LexA:p65* sequence to avoid co-translation of a truncated Bnl protein⁵ (**Figures 4 and 5A**).

A replacement donor containing all these features was used, which had the *T2A-nls-LexA:p65* sequence and 2 kb 5'- and 1.8 kb 3'- homology arms. Long homology arms were used for efficient HDR and insertion of a large DNA fragment at the site of repair (*T2A-nls-LexA:p65* is 1.8 kb) (**Figure 5A**).

Two gRNAs were used to create DSBs at the defined positions to delete most of the first coding exon of *bnl*. Two gRNAs that matched all criteria described in section 1.3 (PAM sequence underlined) were:

gRNA1: TGTATCTGCGATGCCCTCATGG

gRNA2: ATCCTTCAGATATTGCGGGATGG

Both gRNA recognition sites were disrupted in the replacement donor by the exogenous *T2A-nls-LexA:p65* sequence, which is the easiest way to avoid retargeting of the gRNAs to the engineered locus. The disrupted gRNA recognition sequences in the replacement donor (the exogenous sequences that disrupted gRNA recognition sites in italics) were:

gRNA1: TGTATCTGCG-GGCTCCGGCGAAGGAC...

gRNA2: ...AAAAACTCGTTTAGA-CGGGATGG

The pCFD4 gRNA expression vector containing these gRNAs, along with the replacement donor, was co-injected into the germline of *nos-Cas9* embryos. Subsequently, the protocol described here was followed to screen for the intended replacement (**Figure 5B,C**). About 67% of injected G0 animals were fertile. And 56% of the fertile G0s were the founders, which gave rise to HDR-positive progeny. Among the F1 progeny checked, about 23% were positive for successful HDR, and 73% of the positive HDR were “ends-out” (**Table 2**). Since the first coding exon of *bnl* was “knocked out”, all the HDR lines were found to be homozygous lethal, and the stocks were maintained over balancers.

The generation of a chimeric *LexA-bnl* mRNA in the cells was validated by RT-PCR analyses (**Figure 5D**). To verify if the obtained *bnl-LexA* lines were expressed in the endogenous *bnl* expression pattern, each “ends-out” HDR line was crossed to *LexO-mCherryCAAX* transgenic flies⁵, and the reporter expression pattern was examined in the progeny embryos and larvae. 42 out of 46 lines showed accurate spatiotemporal expression consistent with the previously reported *bnl* patterns⁵ (**Figure 6**). Four lines showed either weak or non-specific expression patterns. We predict that these lines might have accumulated mutations, which we need to verify with thorough sequencing analyses. Together these results confirmed that the HDR-mediated exon replacement strategy could successfully be employed to generate a targeted binary expression system for a gene. The tool can successfully be used to express reporter or ectopic genes in the spatiotemporal patterns of the *bnl* gene.

FIGURE AND TABLE LEGENDS:

Figure 1: Scheme of a binary transcription system for targeted gene expression. A transactivator (*GAL4* or *LexA*), expressed under the control of *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) of a gene, drives an effector transgene placed downstream of the specific transcription binding sites (*UAS* for *Gal4* or *LexAop* for *LexA*).

Figure 2: An overview of workflow for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing to generate a binary transcription system. The approximate time duration required for each step is indicated.

Figure 3: An illustration of the CRISPR screening and genetic cross scheme for establishing genome-edited fly lines. In genetic crosses, R stands for the edited allele that is on the 3rd chromosome. *MKRS* (*Tp(3;3)MRS*, *M(3)76A[1]* *kar[1]* *ry[2]* *Sb[1]*), is a 3rd chromosome marker; *TM6B* (*In(3LR)TM6B*, *Antp[Hu]* *e[1]* *Tb[1]*) is a 3rd chromosome balancer. Genome edited fly stocks are verified by PCR amplifying the target regions of interest from the genomic DNA extracted from either the F2 or F3 generation flies and sequence determining the PCR products.

Figure 4: Generation of the replacement cassette by DNA assembly. A schematic drawing depicting PCR amplification and assembly of different PCR products (a,b, and c) into the donor vector (d) using a DNA assembly method.

Figure 5: Generation of *bnl-LexA* by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated exon replacement. (A) Schematic drawing depicting the strategy of the CRISPR/Cas9 mediated HDR for exon replacement in the *bnl* locus. Box - exon; line- intron; replacement donor (pDonor-*bnl:LexA*) and two possible outcomes of the HDR were shown. The pDonor-*bnl:LexA* had the following features: (1) T2A-*nls-LexA:p65* (~1.8kb) sequence flanked by 2 kb and 1.8 kb long homology arms (dashed lines), (2) a T2A self-cleaving peptide between the residual N terminal *bnl* exon and the *nls-LexA:p65*, and (3) a translation stop codon (red *) after the *nls-LexA:p65* sequence. The HDR product retained all the transcriptional and post-transcriptional control of *bnl*, and the *LexA:p65* protein is expected to be produced in the same pattern as endogenous Bnl. Small black arrows show the relative binding sites (not in scale) of the PCR primers (Table 1) used for 3-step screening or RT-PCR validation. (B) Agarose gel pictures showing results of the 3-step PCR screening. PCR products amplified from the genomic DNA of four successful ends-out HDR lines are shown; negative control, the genomic DNA of *nos-Cas9* parental line; positive control, pDonor-*bnl:LexA* plasmid; M, Marker (SL2K DNA ladder). (C) An example of the screening gel showing the expected PCR product using primers M13F and rev3 for ends-in lines; M8-7 and M9-6 are two ends-in lines; negative and positive controls, the same as in B; M, Marker (NEB 1 kb DNA ladder). (D) RT-PCR analysis on total RNA from *bnl-LexA* and the *nos-Cas9* control flies. Forward primer binds to a *LexA* specific region, reverse primer binds to a downstream *bnl* exon region; ~440 bp (base-pair) amplification band (*) was detected from RT-PCR on *bnl-LexA* mRNA, but not from the control RNA. M, 100 bp Marker (NEB). Adapted from Figures 2 and S1 in Du et al. 2017⁵.

Figure 6: Validation of tissue-specific and conditional *bnl-LexA* expression in different tissues. (A-D) *bnl* expression (red) in different larval tissues, with the *btI* expressing cells shown in green. (A,A') Wing disc *bnl* source ahead of the growing air sac primordium (ASP). (B,C) *bnl* expression in TR5 transverse connective (TC) (B) and TR2 dorsal branch (DB) (C). (D) *bnl* expression in genital discs. (E-J) Dynamic *bnl* expression (red) during embryonic tracheal branch (green) patterning; Small arrows, five *bnl* sources surrounding the tracheal placode at stage 10. Genotypes: A-J; *btI-Gal4, UAS-CD8:GFP/+; bnl-LexA, LexO-CherryCAAX/+*. (K-L") Hypoxia-induced *bnl-LexA* expression profile in wing discs and associated TR2 tracheal metamere (TC, DB, dorsal trunk-DT). Genotype: *bnl-LexA, LexO-CherryCAAX/+*. (K) control discs from *ex vivo* cultured organs without CoCl₂. (L-L") wing discs (L, L') and trachea (DT, (L'')) from cultured *ex vivo* organs with CoCl₂ induced hypoxia. Star, ectopic expression induced by hypoxia. Scale bars, 30 μm; K-L", 50 μm. Adapted from Figure 3 in Du et al. 2017⁵.

Table 1: Primers used in this study. (A) Primers for cloning gRNA expression vector and sequencing. (B) Primers for cloning HDR donor template. (C) Primers for screening and sequencing of the HDR products. (D) Primers used for RT-PCR verification of the chimeric *LexA-bnl* mRNA product.

Table 2: The efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated HDR. The HDR transmission rate is calculated as the # of HDR-yielding G0/# of total fertile G0. Successful HDR% is calculated as the # of HDR-positive F1/# of total F1 screened. "Ends-out"% is calculated as the # of "ends-out" HDR/# of total positive HDR.

DISCUSSION:

Traditionally, *Drosophila* enhancer traps were generated by two different methods. One of the ways includes random insertion of a driver (eg., *Gal4*) sequence in the genome by transposition (eg., P-element transposition)¹. Alternatively, the driver sequences can be placed under the transcriptional control of a putative enhancer/promoter region in a plasmid construct, which would then be integrated into an ectopic site of the genome^{3,11}. Although these methods have generated a large pool of *Gal4* or *LexA* enhancer traps for *Drosophila*, they have several limitations. The P-element-mediated random insertion in the genome may disrupt the regulatory activity of critical *cis*-regulatory sequences. Due to the random transposition in the genome, transactivator expression may report patterns of multiple neighboring genes. On the other hand, a prior knowledge of the *cis*-regulatory sequences of a gene is necessary for an enhancer-trap plasmid construct. There is also a limit to the length of a sequence that can be cloned and tested in a plasmid construct. Isolating and cloning only a partial fragment of DNA out of the endogenous genomic context might not reproduce a complete gene-specific expression patterns. For instance, the *bnl-Gal4* (NP2211) line, which was generated by P-element insertion of an enhancer trap *Gal4* element just ahead of the *bnl* gene, does not completely reproduce the gene-specific expression patterns in the embryo⁵. Therefore, under such contexts, the repurposing techniques, such as HACK (homology assisted CRISPR knock-in), that can convert the existing *Gal4* lines into another *LexA* or Q-system based driver line¹² might not be very useful. To overcome these limitations, here, we described an efficient and alternative method for generating binary expression systems by genome editing. In this method, the first coding exon of a gene is swapped with the transactivator (eg., *LexA* or *Gal4*) sequence so that the expression of the transcription driver exclusively mimics the spatiotemporal patterns of the gene expression. Although the strategy and protocols described here were optimized for *bnl*-expression, the method can easily be adopted for other genes.

Determining an insertion site within the targeted gene region is the most critical step in this experimental strategy. The method we presented was designed to retain all the original transcriptional as well as the post-transcriptional regulations of the *bnl* gene⁵. Therefore, we planned to delete most of the first coding exon of the *bnl* gene and replace it with the *LexA* cassette. The replacement was planned in such a way that the edited allele expresses a hybrid *LexA-bnl* mRNA from the endogenous transcription and translation start site of *bnl* while retaining all the intronic regions. However, the hybrid mRNA was engineered to produce only *LexA* protein, but not Bnl. We showed that the strategy had successfully generated a *bnl-LexA* /*LexO*-based transcription system and that the system could accurately report the dynamic, spatiotemporal *bnl* expression patterns (**Figure 5**)⁵. A limitation of this process is the homozygous lethality in the *Drosophila* lines if the targeted gene is essential for survival. Further, use of a dual gRNA strategy may increase the chance of off-target editing. An alternative strategy can be employed to avoid these problems. In this strategy, a *LexA* or *Gal4* cassette can be placed right at the ATG start site of the replaced gene and a T2A self-cleaving peptide sequence can be placed between the *LexA/Gal4* cassette and the start of the intact coding exon of the target gene. This strategy is expected to produce a chimeric mRNA that can be translated into both the transactivator and the functional gene product. Such a design could possibly reduce the chance of homozygous lethality. In this strategy, a single gRNA is sufficient for genome editing. However,

in the presence of two copies of functional genes, expression of the transgenic protein variants driven by the *Gal4/LexA* driver (eg., *bnl-LexA* driven expression of *LexO-Bnl*:GFP fusions, or Bnl proteins with deletions) will not provide information of the functionality of the variant proteins.

A limitation of the genome-editing strategy is the laborious process of targeting and editing of a single gene at a time. However, the simplicity and efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing method have revolutionized the targeted genomic knock-in/knock-out and replacement technology that can easily be adopted in any standard laboratory set up. In the last several years, *Drosophila* researchers have developed convenient toolkits for genome editing that can be employed to expand the genetic toolsets essential for understanding fundamental biological processes^{7,13}. The genome editing and screening processes described here is relatively faster and takes about two months in comparison to any other homologous recombination-based replacement. For successful and efficient genome-editing results, it is important to follow several technically critical steps: 1) each gRNA is selected by the maximum stringency and activity without potential off-target; 2) the HDR donor contains ~1.5 kb homology arms flanking the gRNA targeting sites. Longer homology arms (1.8-2 kb) are used to increase the efficiency of HDR when a large exogenous DNA fragment needs to be inserted; 3) the HDR donor is designed to be free of the gRNA recognition sites to avoid retargeting of the gRNA to the engineered locus; and 4) a foolproof elaborate plan and coordination of the timing of genetic crosses with 3-step PCR-based screening to select an “ends-out” HDR line.

Unlike random transposition or cloned enhancer constructs, the strategy and protocols we described here ensures generation of an exclusively target gene-specific binary transcription system. Since the expression of the driver replaces a native gene exon, gene-specific expression of the driver is also versatile and is expected to mimic gene expression patterns under all developmental, metabolic, and physiological conditions⁵. Gene/cell-specific expression is the most desirable criteria of all the binary driver lines when they are used in various cell and developmental biology methods. For instance, gene-specific expression of reporter genes by a transcription driver facilitates reliable lineage analyses of the cells expressing the target gene. It also enables live imaging and tracking of the spatiotemporal expression, migration, and reorganization of cells during development. To investigate the cellular and molecular events during tissue morphogenesis, *Gal4* or *LexA* driven overexpression/misexpression of various transgenes and RNAi-mediated gene knock-down in specific sets of cells are essential. Highly specific targeted expression system provides an unbiased analyses and interpretation of the results. Therefore, CRISPR/Cas9-based targeting of a gene exon and its replacement with a transactivator provides a better alternative for generating highly specific targeted gene expression systems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

We thank Dr. F. Port, Dr. K. O'Connor-Giles, and Dr. S. Feng for discussions on CRISPR strategy; Dr. T.B. Kornberg, and the Bloomington Stock Center for reagents; UMD imaging core facility; and funding from NIH: R00HL114867 and R35GM124878 to SR.

DISCLOSURES:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

REFERENCES:

1. Brand, A. H., Perrimon, N. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development*. **118** (2), 401–415 (1993).
2. Lai, S.-L., Lee, T. Genetic mosaic with dual binary transcriptional systems in *Drosophila*. *Nature Neuroscience*. **9** (5), 703–709, doi:10.1038/nn1681 (2006).
3. Potter, C. J., Tasic, B., Russler, E. V., Liang, L., Luo, L. The Q system: a repressible binary system for transgene expression, lineage tracing, and mosaic analysis. *Cell*. **141** (3), 536–548, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.02.025 (2010).
4. Jinek, M. et al. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science*. **337** (6096), 816–821, doi:10.1126/science.1225829 (2012).
5. Du, L., Zhou, A., Patel, A., Rao, M., Anderson, K., Roy, S. Generation of a targeted expression system for branchless and characterization of novel cellular expression patterns of the gene in *Drosophila*. *Developmental Biology*. **427** (1), 35–48, doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2017.05.009 (2017).
6. Port, F., Chen, H.-M., Lee, T., Bullock, S. L. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in *Drosophila*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. **111** (29), E2967–76, doi:10.1073/pnas.1405500111 (2014).
7. Gratz, S. J., Rubinstein, C. D., Harrison, M. M., Wildonger, J., O'Connor-Giles, K. M. CRISPR-Cas9 Genome Editing in *Drosophila*. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. **111** (1), 31.2.1–20, doi:10.1002/0471142727.mb3102s111 (2015).
8. Doench, J. G. et al. Rational design of highly active sgRNAs for CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene inactivation. *Nature Biotechnology*. **32** (12), 1262–1267, doi:10.1038/nbt.3026 (2014).
9. Port, F., Bullock, S. L. Augmenting CRISPR applications in *Drosophila* with tRNA-flanked sgRNAs. *Nature Methods*. **13** (10), 852–854, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3972 (2016).
10. Beumer, K. J., Trautman, J. K., Mukherjee, K., Carroll, D. Donor DNA Utilization During Gene Targeting with Zinc-Finger Nucleases. *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)*. **3** (4), 657–664, doi:10.1534/g3.112.005439 (2013).
11. Pfeiffer, B. D. et al. Refinement of tools for targeted gene expression in *Drosophila*. *Genetics*. **186** (2), 735–755, doi:10.1534/genetics.110.119917 (2010).
12. Lin, C.-C., Potter, C. J. Editing Transgenic DNA Components by Inducible Gene Replacement in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*. **203** (4), 1613–1628, doi:10.1534/genetics.116.191783 (2016).
13. Li, W. et al. Quality control, modeling, and visualization of CRISPR screens with MAGeCK-VISPR. *Genome Biology*. **16** (1), 281, doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0843-6 (2015).